

# **The effect of exercise training and physiotherapy on left and right heart function in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: a systematic literature review**

**Eglė Palevičiūtė<sup>1</sup> · Toma Šimbelytė1 · Christina A. Eichstaedt2,3 · Nicola Benjamin2  [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4730-969X) Benjamin Egenlauf2 · Ekkehard Grünig2 · Jelena Čelutkienė[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3562-9274)**

Accepted: 23 June 2022 / Published online: 13 July 2022 © The Author(s) 2022

### **Abstract**

The impact of exercise training and physiotherapy on heart function and pulmonary circulation parameters in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) patients is uncertain. Hence, we performed a systematic review of published trials studying physical training in HFpEF population, with a focus on exercise and physiotherapy efect on left ventricular (LV), right ventricular (RV) morphological, functional, and pulmonary circulation parameters. We searched Cochrane Library and MEDLINE/PubMed for trials that evaluated the efect of exercise training and/or physiotherapy in adult HFpEF patients (defned as LVEF≥45%), including publications until March 2021. Our systematic review identifed eighteen articles (*n*=418 trained subjects, 4 to 52 weeks of training) and covered heterogeneous trials with various populations, designs, methodologies, and interventions. Five of twelve trials revealed a significant reduction of mitral E/e' ratio after the training  $(-1.2$ to − 4.9). Seven studies examined left atrial volume index; three of them showed its decrease ( $-3.7$  to  $-8$  ml/m<sup>2</sup>). Findings were inconsistent regarding improvement of cardiac output, E/A ratio, and E wave DecT and uncertain for RV function and pulmonary hypertension parameters. For now, no reliable evidence about rehabilitation efect on HFpEF cardiac mechanisms is available. There are some hypotheses generating fndings on potential positive efects to parameters of LV flling pressure (E/e'), left atrium size, cardiac output, and RV function. This encourages a broader and more complex assessment of parameters refecting cardiac function in future HFpEF exercise training studies.

**Keywords** Exercise training · Physiotherapy · Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction · Cardiac imaging · Diastolic function

 $\boxtimes$  Eglė Palevičiūtė egle.paleviciute@santa.lt

- <sup>1</sup> Clinic of Cardiac and Vascular Diseases, Institute of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Vilnius University, Santariskiu-2, 08661 Vilnius, Lithuania
- Centre for Pulmonary Hypertension, Thoraxklinik Heidelberg gGmbH at Heidelberg University Hospital, Translational Lung Research Center Heidelberg (TLRC), German Center for Lung Research (DZL), Heidelberg, Germany
- Laboratory for Molecular Genetic Diagnostics, Institute of Human Genetics, Heidelberg University, Heidelberg, Germany

## **Introduction**

Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) accounts for approximately half of all heart failure (HF) patients and its burden is increasing [\[1](#page-11-0)]. A defnite diagnosis of HFpEF can be made by right heart catheterization with pulmonary arterial wedge pressure (PAWP)≥15 mmHg or left ventricular end diastolic pressure (LVEDP)≥16 mmHg at rest in presence of preserved left ventricular systolic function. The hallmark of HFpEF is an elevation in left-sided flling pressures. In some patients, this leads to secondary pulmonary hypertension (PH). Pulmonary arterial pressure (PAP) is a marker of the severity and chronicity of pulmonary venous congestion/hypertension in HFpEF, and, if present, PH is associated with more pronounced symptoms and a poorer outcome  $[2, 3]$  $[2, 3]$  $[2, 3]$  $[2, 3]$ . Consistent scientific data show that properly designed exercise interventions alone or as a component of comprehensive cardiac rehabilitation program for HF improved patients' exercise capacity, symptoms, and health-related quality of life (QOL) and reduced the risk of all-cause and HF hospitalizations [[4–](#page-11-3)[7](#page-11-4)]. Of note, patients with HF with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) were predominant in most of the studies. European Society of Cardiology (ESC) guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic HF recommend exercise rehabilitation not only to improve exercise capacity and QOL, but also to reduce HF hospitalizations, regardless of LV systolic function (Class I recommendation) [[4\]](#page-11-3).

The positive impact of exercise training on the functional capacity of HF patients is complex and can be determined by diferent pulmonary, cardiovascular, skeletal muscle, and metabolic adaptations that increase oxygen delivery and energy production [[8](#page-11-5)]. As shown in several meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials (RCT), aerobic exercise training, especially long-term duration  $(\geq 6 \text{ months})$ , reverses left ventricular (LV) remodeling in clinically stable patients with HFrEF  $[9-11]$  $[9-11]$ . Exercise training was associated with a signifcant improvement in LV ejection fraction (LV EF), when data from all RCT were pooled in the frst meta-analysis (articles were published in 1966–2006; 14 trials; 812 patients) [\[9](#page-11-6)]. Later, this fnding was confrmed in two updated metaanalyses (including articles published in 2006–2011: 16 trials with 813 patients, and 2007–2017: 18 trials with 1077 patients) [[10,](#page-11-8) [11](#page-11-7)]. Moreover, in two meta-analyses, aerobic training led to signifcant improvements in LV end diastolic volume (EDV) (5 trials with 371 patients and 12 trials with 573 patients) and LV end systolic volume (ESV) (5 trials with 371 patients and 11 trials with 548 patients) [[10](#page-11-8), [11\]](#page-11-7). There are limited data on the efects of HFrEF patients exercising on more precise structural and functional echocardiographic parameters, such as myocardial velocities, strain and strain rate, stroke volume, right ventricular 3D ejection fraction, estimated systolic pulmonary arterial pressure, and size and collapsibility of inferior vena cava. This knowledge gap is even wider in HFpEF patients.

Earlier HFpEF rehabilitation systematic reviews and metaanalyses demonstrated positive impact of exercise training on functional capacity change, by improving peak oxygen uptake [\[12](#page-11-9)[–17](#page-12-0)] and six-minute walk test distance [[14,](#page-11-10) [15](#page-11-11)]. Moreover, HFpEF training seemed to be safe [\[12](#page-11-9)[–16,](#page-12-1) [18](#page-12-2), [19\]](#page-12-3) and beneficial for the QOL of patients  $[12-17]$  $[12-17]$ . Five previous meta-analyses assessed the infuence of exercising on only several echocardiographic parameters, mostly the mitral E/A ratios, E/e′ ratios, and E wave deceleration time (DecT), and their results were inconsistent [[12–](#page-11-9)[16\]](#page-12-1). Neither of prior systematic reviews evaluated the changes of right ventricular (RV) and pulmonary circulation parameters after the training. The summary of their fndings is presented in Table [1.](#page-2-0)

A single center exercise invasive hemodynamic study revealed that patients with HFpEF, complicated with PH and pulmonary vascular disease, demonstrate unique hemodynamic limitations during exercise that constrain aerobic capacity, including impaired recruitment of LV preload due to excessive right heart congestion (due to afterload) and blunted RV systolic reserve [\[20](#page-12-4)]. These conditions are leading to RV and pulmonary artery (PA) uncoupling with further limitation of exercise capacity and poor outcome [[21](#page-12-5)].

In healthy subjects, intensive exercise has already shown to cause potentially deleterious remodeling of the RV [[22,](#page-12-6) [23](#page-12-7)]. As pointed out by Arena et al. there may thus be an exercise training volume/intensity which may be detrimental to the RV in patients with HF and concomitant PH [\[24](#page-12-8)].

It is not clear whether changes of heart function and pulmonary circulation parallel improvement in cardiorespiratory ftness, or maybe exercise training may lead to harmful efects or worsening of the disease. We aimed to systematically review existing data on the impact of exercise and physiotherapy in HFpEF trials on LV, RV morphological, functional, and pulmonary circulation parameters.

### **Methods**

We prepared this article by following the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines [\[25](#page-12-9)].

We conducted a Cochrane Library and MEDLINE/Pub-Med search for all types of trials that evaluated the effects of various types of exercise training and/or physiotherapy in adult (>18 years) HFpEF patients (defned as LVEF≥45%), including all papers published from December 1991 to March 2021. Studies that merely enrolled patients with other cardiac or respiratory diseases were excluded. HFpEF data was extracted from studies if various parameters were included and reported separately. The main outcomes of interest were any reported echocardiographic, MRI, and invasive hemodynamic parameters.

For each database search, we used two groups of keywords and their synonyms for participants and intervention. The search strategy for MEDLINE/PubMed can be found in the Supplementary Material (Table S1). We modifed search strategies according to each database to achieve the broadest research.

The search was limited to human studies only, adults (>18 years), and the results were fltered by "Clinical Trial," "Meta-Analysis," and "Systematic Review." Additionally, for any potential eligible trials, we manually searched in clinicaltrials. gov, Google Scholar, and the references of the identifed studies.

Each title and abstract were independently evaluated by 2 reviewers. If at least one of the reviewers considered the trial to be eligible, it was obtained for primary analysis.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>



\* Mean diference; # weighted mean diference

After initial review, the full texts of selected studies were assessed to verify eligibility criteria.

Two reviewers assessed methodological quality of studies using modifed Downs and Black Quality checklist, which is meant to assess the quality for both randomized and non-randomized trials [[26\]](#page-12-10). The sub-domain, estimating the power, was modifed (if the study conducted a power analysis to determine the sample size needed to detect a signifcant diference in efect size, 1 point was added, if not  $-0$  point). The maximum score in this checklist was 28. The studies were rated as excellent, good, moderate, and poor, based on the percentage of the total score achieved: >  $95\%$  ( $\geq$  25), 75–95% (21–24), 55–74%  $(16–20)$ , and  $< 55\%$  ( $\leq 15$ ).

The same reviewers extracted data from the relevant articles, using pre-defned extraction forms, including the aspects of study population, such as mean age and sex, study design, intervention characteristics, follow-up period, and main outcomes. Any disagreements in data extraction were discussed until consensus was reached.

#### **Results**

#### **Study identification and selection**

A fow diagram showing the selection of eligible studies is presented in Fig. [1.](#page-3-0) Initially, our searches in databases identifed 1077 relevant publications; after primary review of titles and abstracts, 90 articles were eligible, and one extra paper was later found in the references. After fulltext reading, 50 articles were rejected, thus the data were extracted from the rest 41 publications. The reasons for the rejection are described in Fig. [1.](#page-3-0)

Among these 41 papers, 6 were conference materials and 8 were meta-analyses and (or) systematic reviews—they were removed from the fnal analyses—leaving 27 articles. Nine from 27 articles did not analyze cardiac imaging parameters at all resulting in a fnal data set of 18 articles for our systematic review (Fig. [1](#page-3-0), Table [2](#page-4-0)). We contacted the corresponding authors of selected trials asking about any additional fndings of echocardiographic, MRI, or

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Study fow diagram. This flow diagram shows the selection process of eligible studies



invasive measurement parameters; nobody could provide any unpublished data.

### **Characteristics of included studies and patients**

We extracted the data from nine randomized controlled trials, fve randomized parallel group trials (no control, all patients trained, but diferent training protocols were used), and four observational studies (one group, no control).

All studies included stable patients, diagnosed with HFpEF. The trials were performed in different years (1994–2018) and the defnition of HFpEF used in each study varied, but in all trials, LV EF of the participants was  $\geq 45\%$ . Echocardiography was applied to measure LV EF in all studies: 7 trials used Simpson biplane, 1—Teichholz method (by M-mode echocardiography), and the remaining 10 studies did not specify the methodology. Detailed information about HFpEF defnition used in each study is provided in Supplementary Material (Table S2). All incorporated studies measured at least one cardiac imaging parameter, refecting LV diastolic function, RV function, or pulmonary hemodynamics.

Our study covered heterogeneous trials with various designs, populations, methodologies, and interventions. The majority of studies were small in sample size—more than 25 patients were trained in only four of the eighteen trials. All training programs were held out-patient, and in sixteen of them, intervention was supervised by healthcare professionals. Eleven programs consisted of endurance training alone, and four, endurance and resistance workouts in combination. Two studies applied resistance training, and in one of them, functional electric stimulation (FES) was added. Only FES was used in a single trial. Various research appraised multifarious echocardiographic parameters and none of them provided random variability in the data for these outcomes. In the selected trials, overall 418 patients (mean age 60.0 to 75.0, 57% female, training duration 4 to 52 weeks) were trained. The components of exercise training and (or) physiotherapy, together with other characteristics and results of these studies, are summarized in Table [2.](#page-4-0)



 $\overline{a}$ 

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Table 2** Summary of articles selected and included in the review

Table 2 Summary of articles selected and included in the review





**Table 2** (continued)

Table 2 (continued)

	<b>Study type</b>	<b>Reporting</b> (11)	<b>External</b> validity (3)	<b>Internal validity</b>		<b>Power</b>	Total $(28)$	Quality*
				<b>Bias</b> (7)	Confounding (6)	(1)		
Kitzman et al. [70]	<b>RCT</b>	10	3	6	5		25	Excellent
Donelli da Silveira et al. [36]	Randomized parallel group	10	3	6	5		25	Excellent
Mueller et al. [73]	Randomized parallel group	10	3	6	5		25	Excellent
Kitzman et al. $[68]$	<b>RCT</b>	10	2	6	4		23	Good
Edelmann et al. [30]	<b>RCT</b>	10		6	5		23	Good
Alves et al. $[31]$	<b>RCT</b>	10		6	5		23	Good
Smart et al. $[27]$	<b>RCT</b>	9	3	5	5		23	Good
Palau et al. [71]	<b>RCT</b>	9	2	6	5		23	Good
Karavidas et al. $[69]$	<b>RCT</b>	8	3	5	5		22	Good
Palau et al. [32]	<b>RCT</b>	9		5	5		21	Good
Yeh et al. [72]	Randomized parallel group	9		6	5	$\Omega$	21	Good
Angadi et al. [29]	Randomized parallel group	9		5	5		21	Good
Haykowsky et al. [28]	<b>RCT</b>	10		5	4	$\Omega$	20	Moderate
Angadi et al. [33]	Randomized parallel group	8		4	5		19	Moderate
Smart et al. [74]	Observational	10	0	5		$\Omega$	16	Moderate
Nolte et al. [34]	Observational	9		5	4	0	19	Moderate
Fu et al. [35]	Observational	9		6	3		20	Moderate
Fujimoto et al. [37]	Observational	9		3	$\overline{c}$	$\mathbf{0}$	15	Poor

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Table 3** The quality assessment of included studies by modifed Downs and Black Quality checklist

*RCT* randomized controlled trial

\* Evaluated by the total score number:≥25 – excellent, 21–24 – good, 16–20 – moderate,≤15 – poor. Studies with no signifcant changes of assessed echocardiographic parameters after the intervention are marked in gray

The methodological quality of trials, assessed by modifed Downs and Black Quality checklist, varied between excellent  $(n=3)$ , moderate  $(n=5)$ , good  $(n=9)$ , and poor  $(n=1)$ , as summarized in Table [3.](#page-7-0)

#### **Echocardiographic assessment**

All included studies analyzed the changes of echocardiography as secondary endpoints. Various studies appraised multifarious echocardiographic parameters (Table [2](#page-4-0)). The quantity of studies that assessed specifc parameters along with the number of trained patients is shown in Table [4](#page-8-0).

As it is shown in Table [2,](#page-4-0) diferent studies demonstrated controversial results of the training impact on echocardiographic change. Variations of echocardiographic measurements of trained patients before and after intervention were published in sixteen articles (two trials declared only the changes comparing diferent training modalities). LV EF and E/e' were parameters most frequently analyzed—in 14 and 12 studies, respectively.

Five of nine RCTs, four of fve randomized parallel group trials, and three of four observational trials reported signifcant changes of diferent echocardiographic parameters by training, while the other studies detected no changes in the assessed parameters (Table [2](#page-4-0)). Signifcant reduction of mitral E/e' ratio after the training was reported in 5 of 12 studies, ranging from  $-1.2$  to  $-4.9$ ; significant decrease of LAVI was observed in 3 of 7 trials, ranging from−3.7 to−8 ml/m2 . All but one study showed no signifcant change of LV EF after the intervention.

Inconsecutive fndings were also reported for the change of E/A ratio (9/11 studies showed no change, two statistically signifcant increase) and E wave DecT (8 studies, one signifcant increase, one signifcant decrease).

Furthermore, the impact of exercise training on cardiac output (CO) was reported with inconsistent results, including improvement of CO by 24.5% in one small  $(n=12)$ , good quality study, after 16 weeks of endurance exercise training, organized 30 min 3 times a week [\[27](#page-12-13)]. Another moderate quality trial with older patients (*n*=22) demonstrated no signifcant changes of CO after similar duration endurance exercise training, 60 min 3 times a week [\[28](#page-12-14)].

The effect of exercising on RV function was assessed in one study [[29](#page-12-17)]. RV global longitudinal strain and RV global longitudinal strain rate were measured before and after 4 weeks of high intensity interval training (HIIT)  $(n=9)$  and moderate intensity aerobic continuous training (MI-ACT) (*n*=6). HIIT group patients demonstrated the increase of RV global longitudinal <span id="page-8-0"></span>**Table 4** The echocardiographic parameters, assessed in selected studies



Following parameters were NOT assessed in the included studies: RV diameter, SPAP, TV laterals', TAPSE, RV FAC, RA area, RA pressure, and IVC diameters

*A (m/s)* late mitral infow velocity, *a' (m/s)*, tissue Doppler mitral annular late diastolic velocity, *CO (l/ min.*) cardiac output, *DecT* (*ms*) mitral flow E wave deceleration time, *E* (*m/s*) early mitral inflow velocity, *e' (m/s)* tissue doppler mitral annular early diastolic velocity, *E/A* E and A ratio, *E/e'* E and e' ratio, *EF (%)* ejection fraction, *IVRT (ms)* isovolumetric relaxation time, *LAVI (ml/m2)* left atrium volume index, *LV* left ventricle, *LV-GLS (%)* left ventricle global longitudinal strain, *LV-GSR (s-1)* left ventricle global longitudinal strain rate, *LVMI (g/m2 )* left ventricle mass index, *LVVI (ml/m<sup>2</sup> )* left ventricle volume index, *RA* right atrium, *RV-GLS (%)* right ventricle global longitudinal strain, *RV-GSR (s-1)* right ventricle global longitudinal strain rate, *s'(m/s)* tissue doppler mitral annular systolic velocity, *SPAP (mmHg)* systolic pulmonary artery pressure, *SV (ml)* stroke volume, *SVI (ml/m<sup>2</sup> )* stroke volume index, *TAPSE (mm)* tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion

strain by 3% (from−18.4±3.2 to−21.4±1.7), *p*=0.02. The changes between MI-ACT group patients were insignifcant.

As it is shown in Table [4](#page-8-0), any other right heart and pulmonary hypertension parameters were not evaluated in the included trials.

Not all studies were well-balanced by the gender of trained participants (Table [4\)](#page-8-0) and neither of them compared echocardiographic changes after the intervention according to sex. However, the majority of trials that revealed signifcant changes of specifc echocardiographic parameters (E/e', LAVI, DecT, CO, EF, RV-GLS) included predominantly males, or males amounted at least 45% (Table [4\)](#page-8-0) [[27,](#page-12-13) [29](#page-12-17)[–35\]](#page-12-21). The study of Silveira et al. was the only one with female predominance (63.2%) and signifcant decrease of E/e'; in this study, a multivariate model was created to adjust E/e' diferences for age, BMI, and sex; the efect of training on E/e' remained statistically signifcant after the adjustment [\[36](#page-12-18)].

### **Invasive hemodynamic assessment**

Invasive hemodynamic measurements were performed in only one very small  $(n=7)$  poor quality study [\[37\]](#page-12-19). Right heart catheterization was performed at baseline and after a year of endurance exercise training. The results revealed

that pulmonary artery wedge pressure (PAWP) was unaffected by exercise training in HFpEF patients  $(16.1 \pm 5.6 \text{ vs.})$  $15.2 \pm 3.6$  mmHg,  $p = 0.65$ ). A year of training had no effect on Starling curves (stroke volume index/PAWP) or stroke work-LVEDV relations (as a parameter of LV contractile function), suggesting no change in LV flling and contractile function.

#### **Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging**

None of the eighteen eligible studies assessed the impact of exercise rehabilitation to cardiac magnetic resonance imaging parameters.

## **Discussion**

To the best of our knowledge, this is the frst systematic literature review, which investigated the exercise training and physiotherapy impact not only on LV, but also RV morphology, function, and pulmonary circulation parameters in HFpEF patients. The results of our extended literature review were inconsistent. Eleven of 18 (61.1%) of the considered studies reported a positive impact of exercise training on at least one left and/or right heart function echocardiographic parameter. In seven of all eligible studies (38.9%, five RCTs), no significant changes were observed. Neither of the trials revealed negative efects of exercise training or physiotherapy on heart function (the outcome was positive or indiferent). These fndings are in line with previous metaanalyses reporting inconsistent efects of exercise training in HFpEF [[12–](#page-11-9)[16\]](#page-12-1).

### **LV diastolic dysfunction in HFpEF**

Twelve studies assessed mitral E/e′ ratio, and signifcant decrease of it was observed in five of them [[30](#page-12-11), [32](#page-12-15), [34](#page-12-20)[–36](#page-12-18)]. Mitral E/e' ratio is the measure widely accepted as an index of LV flling pressure, but it also has limitations that are relevant in clinical practice, and it is not recommended to use as a single follow-up echocardiographic parameter in HFpEF [[38–](#page-12-22)[41\]](#page-12-23).

LAVI is a further echocardiographic parameter, refecting LV flling pressure, which is crucially important to measure, when assessing LV diastolic function [[41](#page-12-23)]. We found seven articles with published LAVI assessments; three of these studies revealed statistically signifcant decrease of LAVI after the intervention [\[30](#page-12-11), [32](#page-12-15), [34\]](#page-12-20). Two out of three studies observed signifcant decrease of LAVI together with substantial decrease of mitral E/e' ratio, strengthening the tendency of positive training impact to LV diastolic function. When these two parameters were evaluated together, no signifcant diferences of mitral E/e' ratio or LAVI changes were identifed, when comparing the usual care (control) group with any of active treatment groups (IMT; FES;  $IMT + FES$ ). However, when the analysis of each interventional group was performed separately, signifcant changes were observed [[32\]](#page-12-15). After 12 weeks of IMT, statistically signifcant decrease of median mitral E/e' ratio was observed, while the change of median LAVI was insignifcant. After 24 weeks follow-up period, statistically signifcant decrease of median LAVI was noticed, but the decrease of median mitral E/e' ratio became insignifcant, comparing with the baseline data [\[32](#page-12-15)]. These fndings could either be explained by the necessity of longer time for the remodeling of LA, as LAVI refects the cumulative efects of increased LV flling pressures over time [[41\]](#page-12-23), or it could be an accidental fnding, due to small sample size.

Improvements in mitral E/e' ratio were more often detected in the trials with larger sample size, which may be a hint that the other studies were underpowered for the efect size of E/e' changes. Signifcant decrease in LAVI was more common in studies with a longer follow-up period, which supports the theory of a longer period required to induce reverse atrial remodeling.

Some studies of our systematic review appraised intervention impact on LV diastolic function by evaluating the changes of mitral E wave, A wave, E/A ratio. However, the increase of mitral E wave or E/A ratio can be associated both with improvement and deterioration of diastolic function (a shift from impaired relaxation pattern to normal diastolic function, but also with a shift from normal diastolic function or pseudonormalized pattern to the restrictive flling pattern). For the same reasons and bidirectional interpretation, the changes of mitral E wave DecT and IVRT should not be used for pooled data analysis as well. A solution could be the graduation of LV diastolic function, as it was done by Angadi et al. [[33\]](#page-12-16), or use of unidirectional LV diastolic function indices such as mitral e' and E/e' ratio.

### **RV dysfunction and pulmonary hypertension in HFpEF**

Though LV diastolic dysfunction is considered to be the cornerstone of HFpEF, the pathophysiology of the disease is complex. It consists not only of variable contributions of diastolic dysfunction, but also of impaired atrial function, impaired contractile reserve, ventriculo–arterial uncoupling, RV dysfunction, and pulmonary hypertension (PH) [[42–](#page-12-24)[44](#page-12-25)]. Despite variable reports, methods, and criteria, the best available current data indicate that RV dysfunction is present in up to 30–50% of HFpEF. It appears to be present in 18%, 28%, and 21% of HFpEF patients using RV FAC, TAPSE, and RV S' measurements, respectively [[45,](#page-13-7) [46](#page-13-8)]. Increased LV filling pressure  $(> 12 \text{ mmHg})$  in HFpEF promotes symptoms of dyspnea [[47\]](#page-13-9), impairs exercise capacity [\[48](#page-13-10)],

and leads to pulmonary venous congestion and secondary PH, which are associated with worse symptoms and overall prognosis of HFpEF [[2](#page-11-1)]. PH is common in HFpEF—a population-based study reported echocardiographic signs of PH in 83% of HFpEF patients [[2\]](#page-11-1). In a prospective invasive hemodynamic assessment study, 77% of HFpEF patients were diagnosed with PH, and 12% of them had combined post- and pre-capillary PH (CpcPH) [[49](#page-13-11)]. According the recent recommendations to defne PH as mean pulmonary artery pressure>20 mmHg is considered to be abnormal [\[50\]](#page-13-12); these numbers probably are even higher.

As LV diastolic dysfunction parameters are not the only ones that are relevant to the symptoms and prognosis of HFpEF patients [\[2](#page-11-1), [44–](#page-12-25)[47,](#page-13-9) [51,](#page-13-13) [52\]](#page-13-14), we believe that evaluating efectiveness of exercise training on cardiac mechanisms should not be limited by the estimation of LV function single parameters. Instead, it should be more inclusive, by additionally assessing structural and functional measurements of both atria (LAVI, RA area, RA pressure), LV (LVMI, EDV, ESV, SV), RV, and pulmonary circulation (RV area, RV FAC, TAPSE, RV S', estimated PAP) and using more precise methods, including 3D and speckle tracking echocardiography. Even applying extended inclusion criteria for the trials, we found very few studies assessing change of indicated LA and LV echocardiographic parameters after the training; almost no studies analyzed specifc right heart and pulmonary circulation parameters. This implies the need to evaluate them in the future HFpEF rehabilitation studies.

#### **Impact of exercise training on HFpEF with PH**

There are scientifc insights on heterogeneity of HFpEF patients, recommending to look for specifc phenotypes [\[53\]](#page-13-15). Previously, PH was considered to be limited to the end-stage HFpEF patients, but the study by Borlaug BA et al. revealed abnormalities in PA vasodilation and dynamic RV-PA coupling even in the earliest stages of HFpEF [\[43\]](#page-12-26). HFpEF patients with PH (HFpEF-PH) difer in hemodynamics and exercise intolerance, compared with HFpEF patients without PH. Phenotyping HFpEF patients according to the presence of PH in the exercise training studies could be benefcial in gaining a better understanding of the workouts' role on pulmonary circulation changes and fnding the optimal exercise training modality for an individual patient. Signifcant impact of pulmonary vascular disease on the pathophysiology of exercise intolerance was already proven. During symptom limited peak exercise, CpcPH-HFpEF patients, comparing with non-PH-HFpEF and isolated post capillary PH, demonstrated greater increase in right atrial pressure, enhanced ventricular interdependence, and displayed an inability to enhance cardiac output together with blunted augmentation in RV systolic performance; these changes were coupled with marked limitation in aerobic capacity [[20](#page-12-4)].

### **Extra‑cardiac mechanisms of exercise intolerance in HFpEF and PH**

Though major reasons for reduced physical capacity in many patients with HFpEF seem to be cardiac, non-cardiac factors are also very important. Reduced peripheral oxygen extraction during exercise in these patients was observed [[54](#page-13-16)[–56\]](#page-13-17) that can be related to adverse changes in leg muscle mass and volume [\[57\]](#page-13-18). The role of extra-cardiac mechanisms of exercise intolerance in PH is probably even more prominent; they include respiratory muscle weakness, dynamic hyperinfation and mechanical constraints [[58](#page-13-19)], poor skeletal muscle and cerebral oxygenation, hyperventilation, and enhanced sympathetic drive [\[59](#page-13-20)[–61\]](#page-13-21). Skeletal muscles represent the largest pool of proteins in the organism, and its proper function is essential for locomotion and breathing [[62](#page-13-22)]. Loss of skeletal muscle mass, that is characteristic in advanced HFpEF and PH, directly contributes to exercise intolerance. Exercise training provides benefts at the molecular and physiological level preventing muscle wasting and reduction in force generation [\[62,](#page-13-22) [63\]](#page-13-23).

### **Limitations**

Our systematic review included trials that were conducted in diferent years (1994–2018). The defnition of HFpEF used in each study was not the same. The lowest limit of LV EF in this review was 45%, and according to the very recent ESC guidelines, one of the defnition criteria for HFpEF diagnosis is LV  $EF \geq 50\%$ , while LV EF 41–49% is considered to be a diagnostic criteria for HFmrEF [\[4\]](#page-11-3). Involving a lot of studies with different designs and various statistics, we did not perform a metaanalysis, but previous systematic reviews and meta-analyses of RCT revealed controversial echocardiographic changes after exercise training  $[12–16]$  $[12–16]$  $[12–16]$ . Potential reasons for these inconsistent results could be related with pooled evaluation of studies with diferent populations, methodologies, and protocols, when diferent exercise training modalities and durations of training period and unequal HFpEF diagnostic criteria were used, as well as small sample sizes of the trials. Moreover, the mechanisms of exercise intolerance in HFpEF are complex and the improvement of cardiorespiratory ftness after exercise training might be mediated by cardiac and extra-cardiac mechanisms, being only partially dependent on LV function [\[28,](#page-12-14) [64](#page-13-24), [65](#page-13-25)].

### **Future research**

Our work encourages future HFpEF rehabilitation trials to be supplemented by right heart function and pulmonary circulation evaluation in addition with more precise assessments of LV parameters. Further studies that consider echocardiographic changes after exercising according to sex could be benefcial. Moreover, estimation of specialized rehabilitation infuence in HFpEF-PH phenotype would be useful, as until now we have no information about training safety and efectiveness in these patients, while the efectiveness of standardized exercise training in pulmonary arterial hypertension and chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension was already demonstrated [\[66](#page-13-26), [67\]](#page-13-27).

# **Conclusions**

This systematic literature review that aimed to evaluate and summarize existing data of exercise training and physiotherapy impact on LV, RV morphological, functional, and pulmonary circulation parameters in HFpEF revealed a gap in this area. There are some hypotheses generating fndings on potential positive efects on parameters of LV flling pressure (E/e'), left atrial size, cardiac output, and right ventricular function (RV-GLS). However, no reliable evidence about rehabilitation efect to HFpEF cardiac mechanisms is available for now. This encourages a broader and more complex assessment of parameters refecting cardiac function in the future HFpEF exercise training studies.

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10741-022-10259-1>.

### **Declarations**

**Ethics approval** Due to the design of the trial (literature review), the study was exempted from requiring ethics approval.

**Competing interests** The author E.P. reports speaker honoraria fees from Johnson and Johnson and Medis Pharma outside this work. The authors T.Š., C.A.E, N.B., and B.E. have no competing interests to declare. The author E.G. reports research grants and speaker honoraria/consultancy fees from Actelion, Janssen, Bayer, MSD, Merck, and Ferrer and research grants to the institution from Acceleron, Actelion, Bayer, MSD, Janssen, Liquidia, United Therapeutics, and OMT outside the submitted work. The author J.Č. reports personal fees from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Pfizer, Bayer, and Novartis outside this work.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

### **References**

- <span id="page-11-0"></span>1. Reddy YNV, Borlaug BA (2016) Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. Curr Probl Cardiol 41:145–188. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpcardiol.2015.12.002) [10.1016/j.cpcardiol.2015.12.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpcardiol.2015.12.002)
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>Lam CSP, Roger VL, Rodeheffer RJ et al (2009) Pulmonary hypertension in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: a community-based study. J Am Coll Cardiol 53:1119–1126. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2008.11.051) [doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2008.11.051](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2008.11.051)
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>3. Opitz CF, Hoeper MM, Gibbs JSR et al (2016) Pre-capillary, combined, and post-capillary pulmonary hypertension: a pathophysiological continuum. J Am Coll Cardiol 68:368–378. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2016.05.047) iacc.2016.05.047
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>4. McDonagh TA, Metra M, Adamo M et al (2021) 2021 ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure. Eur Heart J 42:3599–3726. [https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/](https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehab368) [ehab368](https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehab368)
- 5. Pelliccia A, Sharma S, Gati S et al (2021) 2020 ESC guidelines on sports cardiology and exercise in patients with cardiovascular disease. Eur Heart J 42:17–96. [https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/](https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa605) [ehaa605](https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa605)
- 6. O'Connor CM, Whellan DJ, Lee KL et al (2009) Efficacy and safety of exercise training in patients with chronic heart failure: HF-ACTION randomized controlled trial. JAMA 301:1439–1450. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2009.454>
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>Taylor RS, Long L, Mordi IR et al (2019) Exercise-based rehabilitation for heart failure: Cochrane systematic review, meta-analysis, and trial sequential analysis. JACC Heart Fail 7:691–705. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchf.2019.04.023) [doi.org/10.1016/j.jchf.2019.04.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchf.2019.04.023)
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>8. Crimi E, Ignarro LJ, Cacciatore F, Napoli C (2009) Mechanisms by which exercise training benefts patients with heart failure. Nat Rev Cardiol 6:292–300. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrcardio.2009.8>
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>Haykowsky MJ, Liang Y, Pechter D et al (2007) A meta-analysis of the efect of exercise training on left ventricular remodeling in heart failure patients: the beneft depends on the type of training performed. J Am Coll Cardiol 49:2329–2336. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2007.02.055) [1016/j.jacc.2007.02.055](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2007.02.055)
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>10. Chen YM, Li ZB, Zhu M, Cao YM (2012) Efects of exercise training on left ventricular remodelling in heart failure patients: an updated meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. Int J Clin Pract 66:782–791. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-1241.2012.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-1241.2012.02942.x) [02942.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-1241.2012.02942.x)
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>11. Tucker WJ, Beaudry RI, Liang Y et al (2019) Meta-analysis of exercise training on left ventricular ejection fraction in heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: a 10-year update. Prog Cardiovasc Dis 62:163–171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcad.2018.08.006>
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>12. Taylor RS, Davies EJ, Dalal HM et al (2012) Efects of exercise training for heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: a systematic review and meta-analysis of comparative studies. Int J Cardiol 162:6–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2012.05.070>
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>13. Pandey A, Parashar A, Kumbhani D et al (2015) Exercise training in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction: metaanalysis of randomized control trials. Circ Heart Fail 8:33–40. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.114.001615>
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>14. Dieberg G, Ismail H, Giallauria F, Smart NA (2015) Clinical outcomes and cardiovascular responses to exercise training in heart failure patients with preserved ejection fraction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Appl Physiol Bethesda Md 119:726– 733.<https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00904.2014>
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>15. Chan E, Giallauria F, Vigorito C, Smart NA (2016) Exercise training in heart failure patients with preserved ejection fraction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Monaldi Arch Chest Dis Arch Monaldi Mal Torace 86:759. [https://doi.org/10.4081/monaldi.2016.](https://doi.org/10.4081/monaldi.2016.759) [759](https://doi.org/10.4081/monaldi.2016.759)
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>16. Fukuta H, Goto T, Wakami K et al (2019) Efects of exercise training on cardiac function, exercise capacity, and quality of life in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Heart Fail Rev 24:535–547. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10741-019-09774-5) [doi.org/10.1007/s10741-019-09774-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10741-019-09774-5)
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>17. Gomes-Neto M, Durães AR, Conceição LSR et al (2019) Efect of aerobic exercise on peak oxygen consumption, VE/VCO2 slope, and health-related quality of life in patients with heart failure with preserved left ventricular ejection fraction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Curr Atheroscler Rep 21:45. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11883-019-0806-6>
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>18. Leggio M, Fusco A, Loreti C et al (2020) Efects of exercise training in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: an updated systematic literature review. Heart Fail Rev 25:703– 711. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10741-019-09841-x>
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>19. Palau P, Núñez E, Domínguez E et al (2016) Physical therapy in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: a systematic review. Eur J Prev Cardiol 23:4–13. [https://doi.org/10.1177/](https://doi.org/10.1177/2047487314562740) [2047487314562740](https://doi.org/10.1177/2047487314562740)
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>20. Gorter TM, Obokata M, Reddy YNV et al (2018) Exercise unmasks distinct pathophysiologic features in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction and pulmonary vascular disease. Eur Heart J 39:2825–2835.<https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehy331>
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>21. Rommel K-P, von Roeder M, Oberueck C et al (2018) Loadindependent systolic and diastolic right ventricular function in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction as assessed by resting and handgrip exercise pressure-volume loops. Circ Heart Fail 11:e004121. [https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.](https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.117.004121) [117.004121](https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.117.004121)
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>22. La Gerche A, Heidbüchel H, Burns AT et al (2011) Disproportionate exercise load and remodeling of the athlete's right ventricle. Med Sci Sports Exerc 43:974–981. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e31820607a3) [1249/MSS.0b013e31820607a3](https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e31820607a3)
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>23. La Gerche A, Burns AT, Mooney DJ et al (2012) Exerciseinduced right ventricular dysfunction and structural remodelling in endurance athletes. Eur Heart J 33:998–1006. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehr397) [10.1093/eurheartj/ehr397](https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehr397)
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>24. Arena R, Lavie CJ, Borghi-Silva A et al (2016) Exercise training in group 2 pulmonary hypertension: which intensity and what modality. Prog Cardiovasc Dis 59:87–94. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcad.2015.11.005) [10.1016/j.pcad.2015.11.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcad.2015.11.005)
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>25. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J et al (2009) Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. J Clin Epidemiol 62:1006–1012. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2009.06.005) [1016/j.jclinepi.2009.06.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2009.06.005)
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>26. Downs SH, Black N (1998) The feasibility of creating a checklist for the assessment of the methodological quality both of randomised and non-randomised studies of health care interventions. J Epidemiol Community Health 52:377–384. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1136/jech.52.6.377) [org/10.1136/jech.52.6.377](https://doi.org/10.1136/jech.52.6.377)
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>27. Smart NA, Haluska B, Jefriess L, Leung D (2012) Exercise training in heart failure with preserved systolic function: a randomized controlled trial of the efects on cardiac function and functional capacity. Congest Heart Fail Greenwich Conn 18:295–301.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-7133.2012.00295.x>
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>28. Haykowsky MJ, Brubaker PH, Stewart KP et al (2012) Efect of endurance training on the determinants of peak exercise oxygen consumption in elderly patients with stable compensated heart failure and preserved ejection fraction. J Am Coll Cardiol 60:120–128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2012.02.055>
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>29. Angadi SS, Jarrett CL, Sherif M et al (2017) The efect of exercise training on biventricular myocardial strain in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. ESC Heart Fail 4:356–359. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ehf2.12149>
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>30. Edelmann F, Gelbrich G, Düngen H-D et al (2011) Exercise training improves exercise capacity and diastolic function in

patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: results of the Ex-DHF (Exercise training in diastolic heart failure) pilot study. J Am Coll Cardiol 58:1780–1791. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2011.06.054) [org/10.1016/j.jacc.2011.06.054](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2011.06.054)

- <span id="page-12-12"></span>31. Alves AJ, Ribeiro F, Goldhammer E et al (2012) Exercise training improves diastolic function in heart failure patients. Med Sci Sports Exerc 44:776–785.<https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e31823cd16a>
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>32. Palau P, Domínguez E, López L et al (2019) Inspiratory muscle training and functional electrical stimulation for treatment of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: the TRAINING-HF trial. Rev Espanola Cardiol Engl Ed 72:288–297. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rec.2018.01.010) [1016/j.rec.2018.01.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rec.2018.01.010)
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>33. Angadi SS, Mookadam F, Lee CD et al (2015) High-intensity interval training vs. moderate-intensity continuous exercise training in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: a pilot study. J Appl Physiol Bethesda Md 1985 119:753–758. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00518.2014) [10.1152/japplphysiol.00518.2014](https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00518.2014)
- <span id="page-12-20"></span>34. Nolte K, Schwarz S, Gelbrich G et al (2014) Efects of long-term endurance and resistance training on diastolic function, exercise capacity, and quality of life in asymptomatic diastolic dysfunction vs. heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. ESC Heart Fail 1:59–74. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ehf2.12007>
- <span id="page-12-21"></span>35. Fu T-C, Yang N-I, Wang C-H et al (2016) Aerobic interval training elicits diferent hemodynamic adaptations between heart failure patients with preserved and reduced ejection fraction. Am J Phys Med Rehabil 95:15–27. [https://doi.org/10.1097/PHM.](https://doi.org/10.1097/PHM.0000000000000312) [0000000000000312](https://doi.org/10.1097/PHM.0000000000000312)
- <span id="page-12-18"></span>36. Donelli da Silveira A, Beust de Lima J, da Silva PD et al (2020) High-intensity interval training is effective and superior to moderate continuous training in patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: a randomized clinical trial. Eur J Prev Cardiol 27:1733–1743.<https://doi.org/10.1177/2047487319901206>
- <span id="page-12-19"></span>37. Fujimoto N, Prasad A, Hastings JL et al (2012) Cardiovascular efects of 1 year of progressive endurance exercise training in patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. Am Heart J 164:869–877.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ahj.2012.06.028>
- <span id="page-12-22"></span>38. Lancellotti P, Galderisi M, Edvardsen T et al (2017) Echo-Doppler estimation of left ventricular flling pressure: results of the multicentre EACVI Euro-flling study. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 18:961–968.<https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjci/jex067>
- 39. Obokata M, Borlaug BA (2018) The strengths and limitations of E/e' in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. Eur J Heart Fail 20:1312–1314.<https://doi.org/10.1002/ejhf.1250>
- 40. Pieske B, Tschöpe C, de Boer RA et al (2019) How to diagnose heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: the HFA-PEFF diagnostic algorithm: a consensus recommendation from the heart failure association (HFA) of the European society of cardiology (ESC). Eur Heart J 40:3297–3317.<https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehz641>
- <span id="page-12-23"></span>41. Nagueh SF, Smiseth OA, Appleton CP et al (2016) Recommendations for the evaluation of left ventricular diastolic function by echocardiography: an update from the American society of echocardiography and the European association of cardiovascular imaging. J Am Soc Echocardiogr Off Publ Am Soc Echocardiogr 29:277–314.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.echo.2016.01.011>
- <span id="page-12-24"></span>42. Borlaug BA (2014) The pathophysiology of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. Nat Rev Cardiol 11:507–515. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrcardio.2014.83) [doi.org/10.1038/nrcardio.2014.83](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrcardio.2014.83)
- <span id="page-12-26"></span>43. Borlaug BA, Kane GC, Melenovsky V, Olson TP (2016) Abnormal right ventricular-pulmonary artery coupling with exercise in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. Eur Heart J 37:3293–3302.<https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehw241>
- <span id="page-12-25"></span>44. Borlaug BA, Olson TP, Lam CSP et al (2010) Global cardiovascular reserve dysfunction in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. J Am Coll Cardiol 56:845–854. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2010.03.077) [jacc.2010.03.077](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2010.03.077)
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>45. Gorter TM, van Veldhuisen DJ, Bauersachs J et al (2018) Right heart dysfunction and failure in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: mechanisms and management. Position statement on behalf of the heart failure association of the European society of cardiology. Eur J Heart Fail 20:16–37. [https://doi.org/10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/ejhf.1029) [ejhf.1029](https://doi.org/10.1002/ejhf.1029)
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>46. Gorter TM, Hoendermis ES, van Veldhuisen DJ et al (2016) Right ventricular dysfunction in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Heart Fail 18:1472–1487. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejhf.630>
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>47. Obokata M, Olson TP, Reddy YNV et al (2018) Haemodynamics, dyspnoea, and pulmonary reserve in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. Eur Heart J 39:2810–2821. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehy268) [1093/eurheartj/ehy268](https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehy268)
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>48. Reddy YNV, Olson TP, Obokata M et al (2018) Hemodynamic correlates and diagnostic role of cardiopulmonary exercise testing in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. JACC Heart Fail 6:665–675.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchf.2018.03.003>
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>49. Gerges M, Gerges C, Pistritto A-M et al (2015) Pulmonary hypertension in heart failure. Epidemiology, right ventricular function, and survival. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 192:1234–1246. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201503-0529OC) [doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201503-0529OC](https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201503-0529OC)
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>50. Simonneau G, Montani D, Celermajer DS et al (2019) Haemodynamic defnitions and updated clinical classifcation of pulmonary hypertension. Eur Respir J 53. [https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.](https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.01913-2018) [01913-2018](https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.01913-2018)
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>51. Melenovsky V, Hwang S-J, Lin G et al (2014) Right heart dysfunction in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. Eur Heart J 35:3452–3462. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehu193>
- <span id="page-13-14"></span>52. Gorter TM, van Veldhuisen DJ, Voors AA et al (2018) Right ventricular-vascular coupling in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction and pre- vs. post-capillary pulmonary hypertension. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 19:425–432.<https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjci/jex133>
- <span id="page-13-15"></span>53. Del Buono MG, Iannaccone G, Scacciavillani R et al (2020) Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction diagnosis and treatment: an updated review of the evidence. Prog Cardiovasc Dis 63:570– 584.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcad.2020.04.011>
- <span id="page-13-16"></span>54. Haykowsky MJ, Brubaker PH, John JM et al (2011) Determinants of exercise intolerance in elderly heart failure patients with preserved ejection fraction. J Am Coll Cardiol 58:265–274. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2011.02.055) [doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2011.02.055](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2011.02.055)
- 55. Dhakal BP, Malhotra R, Murphy RM et al (2015) Mechanisms of exercise intolerance in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: the role of abnormal peripheral oxygen extraction. Circ Heart Fail 8:286–294. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.114.001825>
- <span id="page-13-17"></span>56. Bhella PS, Prasad A, Heinicke K et al (2011) Abnormal haemodynamic response to exercise in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. Eur J Heart Fail 13:1296–1304. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/eurjhf/hfr133) [eurjhf/hfr133](https://doi.org/10.1093/eurjhf/hfr133)
- <span id="page-13-18"></span>57. Tucker WJ, Angadi SS, Haykowsky MJ et al (2020) Pathophysiology of exercise intolerance and its treatment with exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. J Cardiopulm Rehabil Prev 40:9–16. [https://doi.org/10.1097/](https://doi.org/10.1097/HCR.0000000000000481) [HCR.0000000000000481](https://doi.org/10.1097/HCR.0000000000000481)
- <span id="page-13-19"></span>58. Laveneziana P, Garcia G, Joureau B et al (2013) Dynamic respiratory mechanics and exertional dyspnoea in pulmonary arterial hypertension. Eur Respir J 41:578–587. [https://doi.org/10.1183/](https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00223611) [09031936.00223611](https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00223611)
- <span id="page-13-20"></span>59. Malenfant S, Brassard P, Paquette M et al (2017) Compromised cerebrovascular regulation and cerebral oxygenation in pulmonary arterial hypertension. J Am Heart Assoc 6:e006126. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.117.006126) [org/10.1161/JAHA.117.006126](https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.117.006126)
- 60. Malenfant S, Potus F, Mainguy V et al (2015) Impaired skeletal muscle oxygenation and exercise tolerance in pulmonary hypertension. Med Sci Sports Exerc 47:2273–2282. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000000696) [1249/MSS.0000000000000696](https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000000696)
- <span id="page-13-21"></span>61. Müller-Mottet S, Hildenbrand FF, Keusch S et al (2015) Efects of exercise and vasodilators on cerebral tissue oxygenation in pulmonary hypertension. Lung 193:113–120. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00408-014-9667-5) [s00408-014-9667-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00408-014-9667-5)
- <span id="page-13-22"></span>62. Adams V, Reich B, Uhlemann M, Niebauer J (2017) Molecular efects of exercise training in patients with cardiovascular disease: focus on skeletal muscle, endothelium, and myocardium. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 313:H72–H88. [https://doi.org/10.1152/](https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpheart.00470.2016) aipheart.00470.2016
- <span id="page-13-23"></span>63. Marra AM, Arcopinto M, Bossone E et al (2015) Pulmonary arterial hypertension-related myopathy: an overview of current data and future perspectives. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis NMCD 25:131–139.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.numecd.2014.10.005>
- <span id="page-13-24"></span>64. Adamopoulos S, Parissis J, Kroupis C et al (2001) Physical training reduces peripheral markers of infammation in patients with chronic heart failure. Eur Heart J 22:791–797. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1053/euhj.2000.2285) [1053/euhj.2000.2285](https://doi.org/10.1053/euhj.2000.2285)
- <span id="page-13-25"></span>65. Linke A, Schoene N, Gielen S et al (2001) Endothelial dysfunction in patients with chronic heart failure: systemic effects of lowerlimb exercise training. J Am Coll Cardiol 37:392–397. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0735-1097(00)01108-6) [org/10.1016/s0735-1097\(00\)01108-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0735-1097(00)01108-6)
- <span id="page-13-26"></span>66. Grünig E, Eichstaedt C, Barberà J-A et al (2019) ERS statement on exercise training and rehabilitation in patients with severe chronic pulmonary hypertension. Eur Respir J 53:1800332. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.00332-2018) [10.1183/13993003.00332-2018](https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.00332-2018)
- <span id="page-13-27"></span>67. Grünig E, MacKenzie A, Peacock AJ et al (2021) Standardized exercise training is feasible, safe, and efective in pulmonary arterial and chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension: results from a large European multicentre randomized controlled trial. Eur Heart J 42:2284–2295. [https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/](https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa696) [ehaa696](https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa696)
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>68. Kitzman DW, Brubaker PH, Morgan TM et al (2010) Exercise training in older patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction: a randomized, controlled, single-blind trial. Circ Heart Fail 3:659–667. [https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.](https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.110.958785) [110.958785](https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.110.958785)
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>69. Karavidas A, Driva M, Parissis JT et al (2013) Functional electrical stimulation of peripheral muscles improves endothelial function and clinical and emotional status in heart failure patients with preserved left ventricular ejection fraction. Am Heart J 166:760– 767.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ahj.2013.06.021>
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>70. Kitzman DW, Brubaker PH, Herrington DM et al (2013) Efect of endurance exercise training on endothelial function and arterial stifness in older patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction: a randomized, controlled, single-blind trial. J Am Coll Cardiol 62:584–592.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2013.04.033>
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>71. Palau P, Domínguez E, Núñez E et al (2014) Efects of inspiratory muscle training in patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. Eur J Prev Cardiol 21:1465–1473. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1177/2047487313498832) [org/10.1177/2047487313498832](https://doi.org/10.1177/2047487313498832)
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>72. Yeh GY, Wood MJ, Wayne PM et al (2013) Tai chi in patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. Congest Heart Fail Greenwich Conn 19:77–84. <https://doi.org/10.1111/chf.12005>
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>73. Mueller S, Winzer EB, Duvinage A et al (2021) Efect of highintensity interval training, moderate continuous training, or guideline-based physical activity advice on peak oxygen consumption in patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA 325:542–551. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.26812) [1001/jama.2020.26812](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.26812)
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>74. Smart N, Haluska B, Jefriess L, Marwick TH (2007) Exercise training in systolic and diastolic dysfunction: efects on cardiac function, functional capacity, and quality of life. Am Heart J 153:530–536. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ahj.2007.01.004>

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.