

Building the vertebrate codex using the gene breaking protein trap library

Noriko Ichino¹, MaKayla R Serres¹, Rhianna M Urban¹, Mark D Urban¹, Anthony J Treichel¹, Kyle J Schaefbauer¹, Lauren E Tallant¹, Gaurav K Varshney^{2,3}, Kimberly J Skuster¹, Melissa S McNulty¹, Camden L Daby¹, Ying Wang⁴, Hsin-kai Liao⁴, Suzan El-Rass⁵, Yonghe Ding^{1,6}, Weibin Liu^{1,6}, Jennifer L Anderson⁷, Mark D Wishman¹, Ankit Sabharwal¹, Lisa A Schimmenti^{1,8,9}, Sridhar Sivasubbu¹⁰, Darius Balciunas¹¹, Matthias Hammerschmidt¹², Steven Arthur Farber⁷, Xiao-Yan Wen⁵, Xiaolei Xu^{1,6}, Maura McGrail⁴, Jeffrey J Essner⁴, Shawn M Burgess², Karl J Clark^{1*}, Stephen C Ekker^{1*}

¹Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, United States; ²Translational and Functional Genomics Branch, National Human Genome Research Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, United States; ³Functional & Chemical Genomics Program, Oklahoma Medical Research Foundation, Oklahoma City, United States; ⁴Department of Genetics, Development and Cell Biology, Iowa State University, Ames, United States; ⁵Zebrafish Centre for Advanced Drug Discovery & Keenan Research Centre for Biomedical Science, Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute, St. Michael's Hospital, Unity Health Toronto & University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada; ⁶Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, United States; ⁷Department of Embryology, Carnegie Institution for Science, Baltimore, United States; ⁸Department of Clinical Genomics, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, United States; ⁹Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, United States; ¹⁰Genomics and Molecular Medicine Unit, CSIR-Institute of Genomics and Integrative Biology, Delhi, India; ¹¹Department of Biology, Temple University, Philadelphia, United States; ¹²Institute of Zoology, Developmental Biology Unit, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany

*For correspondence: Clark.Karl@mayo.edu (KJC); ekker.stephen@mayo.edu (SCE)

Competing interests: The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Funding: See page 26

Received: 19 December 2019 Accepted: 07 August 2020 Published: 11 August 2020

Reviewing editor: Wenbiao Chen, Vanderbilt University, United States

© This is an open-access article, free of all copyright, and may be freely reproduced, distributed, transmitted, modified, built upon, or otherwise used by anyone for any lawful purpose. The work is made available under the Creative Commons CC0 public domain dedication. **Abstract** One key bottleneck in understanding the human genome is the relative undercharacterization of 90% of protein coding regions. We report a collection of 1200 transgenic zebrafish strains made with the gene-break transposon (GBT) protein trap to simultaneously report and reversibly knockdown the tagged genes. Protein trap-associated mRFP expression shows previously undocumented expression of 35% and 90% of cloned genes at 2 and 4 days postfertilization, respectively. Further, investigated alleles regularly show 99% gene-specific mRNA knockdown. Homozygous GBT animals in *ryr1b*, *fras1*, *tnnt2a*, *edar* and *hmcn1* phenocopied established mutants. 204 cloned lines trapped diverse proteins, including 64 orthologs of human disease-associated genes with 40 as potential new disease models. Severely reduced skeletal muscle Ca²⁺ transients in GBT *ryr1b* homozygous animals validated the ability to explore molecular mechanisms of genetic diseases. This GBT system facilitates novel functional genome annotation towards understanding cellular and molecular underpinnings of vertebrate biology and human disease.

CC

eLife digest The human genome counts over 20,000 genes, which can be turned on and off to create the proteins required for most of life processes. Once produced, proteins need move to specific locations in the cell, where they are able to perform their jobs. Despite striking scientific advances, 90% of human genes are still under-studied; where the proteins they code for go, and what they do remains unknown.

Zebrafish share many genes with humans, but they are much easier to manipulate genetically. Here, Ichino et al. used various methods in zebrafish to create a detailed 'catalogue' of previously poorly understood genes, focusing on where the proteins they coded for ended up and the biological processes they were involved with.

First, a genetic tool called gene-breaking transposons (GBTs) was used to create over 1,200 strains of genetically altered fish in which a specific protein was both tagged with a luminescent marker and unable to perform its role. Further analysis of 204 of these strains revealed new insight into the role of each protein, with many having unexpected roles and localisations. For example, in one zebrafish strain, the affected gene was similar to a human gene which, when inactivated, causes severe muscle weakness. These fish swam abnormally slowly and also had muscle problems, suggesting that the GBT fish strains could 'model' the human disease.

This work sheds new light on the role of many previously poorly understood genes. In the future, similar collections of GBT fish strains could help researchers to study both normal human biology and disease. They could especially be useful in cases where the genes responsible for certain conditions are still difficult to identify.

Introduction

Analyses of genomic sequences from over 100 vertebrate species (*Meadows and Lindblad-Toh*, 2017) have revealed that we need more than nucleic acid sequence alone to comprehend the vertebrate genome. A more complete understanding of any genetic locus requires knowledge of its expression pattern and its function(s) in subcellular, cellular, and organismal contexts—the compendium of information that can be described as a gene 'codex'. Despite their importance, the expression patterns and functions of most protein coding genes remain surprisingly uncharacterized. The number of these genes linked to human disease without functional insights into their gene-disease relationships highlights the significance of this knowledge gap (*Kettleborough et al., 2013*). In recent estimates, 80% of rare, undiagnosed diseases are thought to have genetic underpinnings (*Robe, 2005; Varga et al., 2018; Wangler et al., 2017*). Tools are therefore needed to identify and annotate the expression and function(s) of these poorly characterized gene products in both biological and pathological processes.

Zebrafish (Danio rerio) has emerged as an outstanding model to bridge the gap between sequence and function in the vertebrate genome. Investigations of gene function in zebrafish, from organismal to subcellular, are amenable to both forward and reverse genetic approaches (**Stoeger et al., 2018**). Additionally, the natural transparency of developing zebrafish enables live, non-invasive collection of gene expression data at a subcellular resolution on an organismal scale. Therefore, the zebrafish facilitates parallel discovery of gene expression and function towards a comprehensive codex of the vertebrate genome. To begin constructing this vertebrate codex, we previously developed a unique, revertible mutagenesis tool called the gene-break transposon (GBT) with elements that cooperate to report gene sequence, expression pattern, and function (**Clark et al., 2011a**). Specifically, when integrated in the sense orientation of a transcriptional unit, the GBT protein trap overrides endogenous splicing and creates a fusion between upstream exons and its start-codon deficient monomeric RFP (mRFP) reporter. Then, the strong internal polyadenylation site and putative border element following the mRFP truncate the gene product. Finally, the GBT construct is flanked by loxP sites on either side to enable excision and subsequent rescue with Cre-recombinase (**Clark et al., 2011a**).

Visualization of the start-codon deficient mRFP reporter requires an in-frame integration. In the original GBT protein trap construct, RP2.1 (*Figure 1A*), this in-frame requirement restricts mRFP expression to a single reading frame and leaves the potential to truncate genes without reporting

Developmental Biology

their expression with mRFP (*Clark et al., 2011a*). We therefore developed a new series of GBT protein trap constructs, including versions to trap expression in each of the three potential reading frames (*Figure 1A*). Alongside the original, we employed these new vectors in zebrafish to generate and catalog over 800 additional GBT protein trap lines with visible mRFP expression at 2 days postfertilization (dpf) (end of embryogenesis) or four dpf (larval stage). 147 of these additional GBT lines were cloned, and candidate genes were identified for another 144 GBT lines. mRFP expression in



Figure 1. Schematic of the RP2 and RP8 gene-break transposon (GBT) system with all three reading frames of AUG-less mRFP reporter. (A–C) Schematic of the GBT system, RP2 and RP8 incorporate a protein-trap cassette fused with three reading frames of AUG-less mRFP reporter and a 3' exon trap cassette with GFP or tagBFP reporters, respectively. (A) RP2 series (RP2.1, RP2.2 and RP2.3). Underline: Previously published vector construct (B–C) RP8 series (RP8.1, RP8.2 and RP8.3) with a schematic RP8 insertion event showing expected transcription off of a locus below (C). ITR: inverted terminal repeat, SA: splice acceptor, lox: Cre recombinase recognition sequence, *mRFP: AUG-less mRFP sequence, poly (A)+: polyadenylation signal, red octagon: extra transcriptional terminator and putative border element, β -act: carp beta-actin enhancer, γ -cry: gamma crystalline promoter, SD: splice donor, E: enhancer, P: promoter, and WT: wild-type.

The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 1:

Figure supplement 1. Representative expression patterns of mRFP fusion protein integrated all reading frames of RP2 and RP8.

cloned GBT lines showcased novel expression patterns for a population of genes encoding diverse proteins in function and localization, including 64 implicated in human disease. Further, animals homozygous for the GBT allele in *ryr1b* displayed severely dampened skeletal muscle Ca²⁺ transients, demonstrating the ability to elucidate molecular mechanisms of genetic disorders. Since detailed investigations of mutant phenotypes are vital to functional annotation of the vertebrate genome, the mutagenic reporters in our GBT system provide the basis for this functional annotation to better understand normal biology and human disease.

Results

GBT vector series RP2 and RP8 illuminate all three vertebrate proteomic reading frames

We previously reported the intron-based gene-break transposon (GBT) as an effective and revertible loss-of-function tool for zebrafish (Clark et al., 2011a). The original GBT construct called RP2/RP2.1 contains the following key features (Figure 1A): 1) flanking miniTol2 sequences for transposasemediated random integration (Balciunas et al., 2006; Kawakami et al., 2004; Urasaki et al., 2006), 2) a 5' protein trap containing a strong splice-acceptor (SA) and a start codon-free mRFP reporter to detect 5' sequence and visualize in vivo expression of the trapped locus with the endogenous promoter (Clark et al., 2011a; Ding et al., 2013; Ding et al., 2017; Liao et al., 2012; Petzold et al., 2009; Westcot et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2012), 3) a mutagenic transcriptional terminator containing both a polyadenylation signal (pA) and a putative border element to truncate the trapped locus in conjunction with the protein trap (Sivasubbu et al., 2006), 4) a 3' exon trap with a β -actin promoter driving expression of GFP to report 3' sequence and detect lines with weak (or absent) mRFP expression or with the integration in other frames of the mRFP reporter. (Clark et al., 2011a; Petzold et al., 2009; Sivasubbu et al., 2006), 5) a second mini-intron within the GFP expression cassette that can further contribute to loss of wild-type transcripts, and 6) flanking loxP sites for Cremediated excision and restoration of trapped locus function using both germline (Petzold et al., 2009) and somatic approaches (Clark et al., 2011a; Ding et al., 2013; Westcot et al., 2015).

Initial experiments with the RP2.1 construct, however, revealed some limitations. First, effective transcript trapping does not always generate mRFP reporter expression because the RP2.1 plasmid is designed for a single reading frame. Molecular cloning of GFP⁺/mRFP⁻ lines demonstrated the requirement to capture an appropriate reading frame to visualize the mRFP reporter. Even though RP2.1 is designed to use one main reading frame, some lines with mRFP expression used an alternate 'CAG' five nucleotides downstream of the main splice acceptor which offered a second chance at creating a functional mRFP reporter (Clark et al., 2011a). Therefore, to maximize genome coverage of our mutagenesis vectors in this study, we created a series of RP2 constructs to encode functional mRFP in each of the three reading frames (Figure 1A). Second, the 3' exon trap in the RP2 series uses the nearly ubiquitous β -actin promoter to drive expression of GFP (detectable around the seven- to eight-somite-stage similar to ubiquitous GFP expression driven under the $EF1\alpha$ enhancer/promoter [Davidson et al., 2003]) which could interfere with another GFP-based reporter system in future studies (Clark et al., 2011a). To overcome this limitation, we engineered a novel, next-generation GBT series called RP8. RP8 constructs possess a new 3' exon trap cassette that uses the γ-crystalline promoter to drive expression of lens-specific tagBFP instead of the ubiquitous expression GFP with RP2 series vectors. (Figure 1B-C). Additionally, all RP8 series for three reading frames reporting mRFP constructs are built on a smaller vector backbone and include new restriction enzyme sites that render these vectors modular for subsequent genetic engineering. Using all five of these new GBT constructs in zebrafish, we conducted an initial screen for expression of protein trap mRFP and observed that all RP2 and RP8 series constructs readily produced mRFP fusion proteins expressed from their endogenous promoters (Figure 1-figure supplement 1).

Creation of a GBT-line collection enables illumination of the vertebrate genome

We then deployed all of these GBT vectors to generate over 800 additional zebrafish GBT lines. A key feature of the protein trap in these lines is the ability to non-invasively image the spatial and temporal expression patterns of the trapped loci. Our initial mRFP⁺ lines demonstrated that, using

standard methods, this imaging was going to be a major bottleneck (*Figure 2A*). Consequently, we utilized both SCORE imaging—a capillary tube placed in a refractive index-matched medium for efficient sample rotation—on an ApoTome (*Petzold et al., 2010*, and see Materials and methods) or a Zeiss Lightsheet Z.1 SPIM microscope (see Materials and methods) to enable high throughput fluorescence imaging. To date, we have now cataloged over 1,200 GBT lines with robust mRFP expression in heterozygous F2 animals at two dpf and/or four dpf according to our screening pipeline and made all imaging data freely accessible on zfishbook (www.zfishbook.org) (*Clark et al., 2012; Figure 2A*). We have cryopreserved these 1,200 GBT lines and have retained them at the Mayo Clinic Zebrafish Facility (MCZF) with a copy also sent to the Zebrafish International Resource Center (ZIRC) (*Figure 2A*).

Molecular cloning of a subset of GBT lines highlights the genetic diversity of this protein trap collection

Traditional molecular methods, such as inverse PCR (iPCR) and thermal asymmetric interlaced (TAIL) PCR and 5' and 3' rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE), are labor-intensive and represent a functional bottleneck in identifying randomly integrated loci in GBT lines. To overcome this, we employed a rapid cloning process based on methods used to isolate retroviral integrations that leverage the massive parallel sequencing technology of the Illumina MiSeq and a custom bioinformatics pipeline that involves both mapping and annotation (*Figure 2B; Varshney et al., 2013a; Varshney et al., 2013b*). First, fin-clips from four male animals per GBT line were obtained during sperm cryopreservation and used as a source of DNA for cloning the integrated locus. Next, high-throughput sequencing amplified reads with barcodes linked to the source of DNA from the sperm-cryopreserved males. Mapping reads to the genome indicated potential GBT integration loci in each individual. A shared integration locus in multiple individuals from a single GBT line was considered a candidate integrated locus, and we termed a GBT line with at least one candidate integrated locus a 'GBT-candidate line'. After a candidate was determined using the sequencing pipeline or other manual molecular approaches, such as 5' RACE, 3' RACE, iPCR, or TAIL PCR, we used standard PCR to test if the candidate integration locus segregates with mRFP expression.

At the end of this pipeline, 204 GBT-candidate lines met the highest stringency of confirmed expression linkage and were classified as 'GBT-confirmed lines' (*Figure 2A*). While 57 of these GBT-confirmed lines have been previously published (*Clark et al., 2011a; Ding et al., 2013; Ding et al., 2017; El-Rass et al., 2017; Ma et al., 2020; Westcot et al., 2015*), 147 of these GBT-confirmed lines are newly characterized in this manuscript and were selected for confirmation based upon their expression pattern and/or homozygous phenotype (*Supplementary file 1*). A small subset of these GBT-confirmed lines mapped to areas in the genome without annotated transcripts. Publicly available RNA-sequencing data (*White et al., 2017*) revealed reads flanking a majority of these mapped integrations. Some of these reads contained evidence of splicing in the sense orientation of the mRFP reporter (*Supplementary file 1*). Finally, another 144 GBT-candidate lines from this pipeline have yet to be confirmed (*Figure 2A*). Integration locus annotation of both GBT-candidate and GBT-confirmed lines is available on zfishbook (www.zfishbook.org) (*Clark et al., 2012*).

RP2.1 induces high knockdown efficiency of endogenous transcripts in GBT-confirmed lines

We wanted to determine the knockdown efficacy of the GBT system as a quantitative assessment of mutagenicity. We therefore compiled qRT-PCR data to compare wild-type and truncated, mRFP-fused transcript levels for all 26 RP2.1-derived GBT-confirmed lines that we and others have tested (*Clark et al., 2011a; Ding et al., 2013; Ding et al., 2017,* GBT0235—this manuscript). This compilation determined at minimum 97% knockdown in animals homozygous for the RP2.1 alleles. We next directly compared the transcriptional effects of RP2.1 with those of other published transposon-based protein trap systems (*Figure 3*). The FlipTrap system produced a range of 70–96% knockdown in six tested fish alleles (*Trinh et al., 2011*), similar to our initial R-series protein trap vectors (R14-R15) that contained a single splice acceptor and a simple transcriptional terminator (*Liao et al., 2012; Petzold et al., 2009*). The pFT1, which contains a single splice acceptor, but a tandem array of five simple polyadenylation sites, appears to be an improvement over these systems with 89–94% knockdown from four tested fish alleles (*Ni et al., 2012*). The 97% minimum knockdown observed



Figure 2. GBT screening pipeline. (A) Overview of GBT screening pipeline. Wild-type embryos at 1 cell were coinjected with RP plasmid and Tol2 transposase mRNA to create F0 founders. These F0 larvae were screened for non-mosaic RP expression, raised, and outcrossed for two generations. Then, mRFP⁺ F2 heterozygous larvae were 3-dimensionally imaged at 2 and 4 dpf and this imaging data were uploaded to zfishbook (http://www.zfishbook. *Figure 2 continued on next page*

Figure 2 continued

org/). Sperm from four F2 males in over 1200 robust mRFP expressing lines were cryopreserved using the Zebrafish International Resource Center (ZIRC) standard protocol and stored at both ZIRC and Mayo Clinic Zebrafish Core Facility (MCZF). DNA and RNA isolated from these four F2 males with cryopreserved sperm was utilized to perform next-generation sequencing and to confirm RFP linkage of candidate lines by manual PCRs (iPCR, TAIL-PCR, 5' RACE and 3' RACE). Venn diagram illustrates current library of over 1,200 GBT lines with 204 GBT-confirmed lines out of 348 molecularly analyzed GBT-candidate lines. (B) Next generation sequencing based validation for GBT integration loci. Fin biopsies from four F2 males were utilized as DNA source for the validation process to identify GBT integration loci. Extracted genomic DNA was fragmented, pooled in 96-wells plate, and ligated with barcode linker to identify each single male with cryopreserved sperm. Linker-mediated (LM) PCR with the primers, R-ITR P1 and LP1 and nested PCR with the primers, R-ITR P2 and LP2 were conducted to perform Illumina sequencing the final PCR products. The integration events of individual sperm-cryopreserved male were mapped on zebrafish reference genome sequence with bioinformatics analysis. This figure was created with BioRender.com. The area proportional Venn diagram was produced using BioVenn (http://www.biovenn.nl/).

with RP2.1 is quantitatively higher than these other systems and could be deployed with other insertional genome engineering approaches. We expect the RP8 series vectors to have similar transcriptional knockdown to RP2.1, but to date we have not quantified the effect to measure their mutagenicity.



Figure 3. Knockdown efficiency of RP2.1 compared with previous gene-trap systems. Violin plots comparing percent knockdown efficiency in the analyzed individual lines generated by four protein trap systems. All plots show median. The data of previous protein trap systems were converted from the data in the original articles, R14-R15, our initial R-series protein trap vectors (n = 6), (*Clark et al., 2011a*; FlipTrap, FlipTrap vectors (n = 6), *Trinh et al., 2011*; FT1, FT1 vector (n = 4), *Ni et al., 2012*; RP2.1, RP2.1 vector (n = 26), *Clark et al., 2011a*; *Ding et al., 2013*; *Ding et al., 2017*; *El-Rass et al., 2017*; *Westcot et al., 2015* and unpublished data) (*Figure 3—source data 1*). The graph was made in JMP14 (SAS, Cary, NC). The online version of this article includes the following source data for figure 3:

Source data 1. Numeric data analyzing knockdown efficiency in *Irpprc*^{mn0235Gt/mn0235Gt}.

Phenotype appearance rate in GBT lines is comparable to other mutagenic technologies for forward genetic screening

In parallel to knockdown efficacy, we wanted to know the GBT construct effectiveness at mutagenizing its integrated locus. To assess this mutagenic efficiency, we conducted an initial phenotypic screen on F2 embryos and early larvae. In 179 mRFP⁺ GBT lines, we identified 12 recessive phenotypes visible during the first five days of development that, among others, included lethal, cardiac, muscular, and integumentary defects as reported in previous studies (*Supplementary file 2*).

Molecular analyses revealed that a subset of these phenotypes stem from GBT integrations in genes with established loss of function mutant phenotypes including *ryr1b*, *tnnt2a*, *fras1*, *hmcn1*, and *edar* (*Clark et al., 2011a*; *Westcot et al., 2015*; Hatzold J et al., unpublished). In accord with their mRNA knockdown potency, these five GBT-confirmed lines (*ryr1b*, *tnnt2a*, *fras1*, *hmcn1*, and *edar*) phenocopied their respective homozygous mutants generated with other strategies and thereby validated the mutagenicity of the GBT constructs. To date, 17 of our GBT-confirmed lines have been published with homozygous phenotypes ranging from embryonic lethal, to reduced adult viability, to differences in pharmacological susceptibility (*Supplementary file 2*). Additional GBT-confirmed lines with homozygous phenotypes will continue to be identified and characterized in future studies.

ryr1b confirmed line is a pioneer model of human disease that validates GBT ability to functionally annotate a genetic locus

We next sought to validate the ability of GBT constructs to functionally annotate genes. During the initial GBT library creation, we identified a GBT line with skeletal muscle-specific mRFP expression and a slow swimming phenotype (*Clark et al., 2011a*). We confirmed that this line possesses an RP2.1 integration between exon 81 and exon 82 of *ryr1b* and designated it *ryr1b^{mn0348Gt}*. Animals homozygous for this *ryr1b^{mn0348Gt}* allele show 97% knockdown of wild-type *ryr1b* mRNA levels (*Clark et al., 2011a*). Due to the well-characterized nature of *ryr1b* from the *relatively relaxed* mutant (*Hirata et al., 2007*), this line was ideal for a proof of concept experiment in this study to validate functional genome annotation with GBT constructs. *ryr1b* orthologs are known across species to encode calcium-activated calcium channels that release sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca²⁺ stores to facilitate excitation-contraction coupling in skeletal muscles (*Hernández-Ochoa et al., 2015; Hirata et al., 2007*). Therefore, we set out to test whether loss of ryr1b in *ryr1b^{mn0348Gt/mn0348Gt* animals dampens skeletal muscle Ca²⁺ transients and may explain their previously reported slow swimming phenotype (*Clark et al., 2011a*).}

To address this, we injected the skeletal muscle-targeted construct p-mylpfa:GCaMP3 (Baxendale et al., 2012) into both ryr1b^{+/+} and ryr1b^{mn0348Gt/mn0348Gt} animals, treated these animals at 2 dpf with 20 mM pentylenetetrazole (PTZ) to maximize the probability of recording muscle activity, and assayed individual skeletal muscle Ca²⁺ transients associated with PTZ-induced convulsions (Figure 4A). p-mylpfa:GCaMP3 injection at the single-cell stage resulted in mosaic-labeled, GCaMP3⁺ myocytes in both ryr1b^{+/+} and ryr1b^{mn0348Gt/mn0348Gt} animals (Figure 4B,F). Likewise, PTZ-treated ryr1b^{+/+} and ryr1b^{mn0348Gt/mn0348Gt} animals showed spontaneous, convulsion-associated Ca²⁺ transients in their myocytes at two dpf (Figures 4C-E,G-I). However, PTZ-induced Ca²⁺ transients in myocytes of $ryr1b^{+/+}$ animals had higher peak amplitude when averaged within fish (Figure 4J-K) or within myocytes (Figure 4-figure supplement 1A) and shorter rise time (Figure 4J,M) than those in ryr1b^{mn0348Gt/mn0348Gt} animals. PTZ-induced Ca²⁺ transient peak-width (Figure 4J,L) and decay time (Figure 4J,N) were not significantly different between ryr1b^{+/+} and ryr1b^{mn0348Gt/mn0348Gt} animals. In contrast, myocytes in ryr1b^{+/+} animals had more Ca²⁺ transients during the imaging period than myocytes in ryr1b^{RP2.1/RP2.1} animals (Figure 4-figure supplement 1B). We were thus able to use a GBT-confirmed line to demonstrate that a smaller peak amplitude (consistent with relatively relaxed [Hirata et al., 2007]), slower upstroke, and lower frequency of Ca^{2+} transients in skeletal muscle likely provide the basis for the slow swimming phenotype in ryr1b^{mn0348Gt/mn0348Gt} animals. The consistency of our findings in ryr1b^{mn0348Gt/mn0348Gt} with those in relatively relaxed mutants (Hirata et al., 2007) validates the functional genome annotation available with the GBT mutagenic system.



Figure 4. GBT demonstrates that neural disinhibition mediated Ca^{2+} transients in *mylpfa⁺* myocytes require the ryanodine receptor *ryr1b* in vivo. (A) Cartoon showing approach to assay Ca^{2+} transients in zebrafish myocytes through (1) injection of *p-mylpfa:GCaMP3* (**Baxendale et al., 2012**) at the single cell stage, (2) embedding in 1% low melt agar/20 mM pentylenetetrazole (PTZ)/5 μ M (S)-(-)-blebbistatin, (3) imaging for 3 min to record transient-associated changes in myocyte GCaMP3 fluorescence at 2 days post-fertilization, and (4) Ca^{2+} transient analysis. (B–I) Static images of GCaMP3 expressing myocytes (**B**, **F**) and representative GCaMP3 time-series images showing baseline (**C**, **G**), transient peak (**D**, **H**), and recovery (**E**, **I**) in *ryr1b^{+/+}* (black) and *ryr1b^{mn0348Gt/mn0348Gt* (G–I) animals, respectively. Scale bar = 20 μ m. (J) Representative $\Delta F/F_0$ traces of Ca^{2+} transients from *ryr1b^{+/+}* (black) and *ryr1b^{mn0348Gt/mn0348Gt* (gray) myocytes. (K–N) Violin plots comparing transient peak $\Delta F/F_0$ (averaged within fish) (K), Ca^{2+} transient peak-width (L), Ca^{2+} transient rise (**M**) and decay (**N**) time between *ryr1b^{+/+}* and *ryr1b^{mn0348Gt/mn0348Gt* animals. All plots show median with interquartile range. For (**K**) $n_{ryr1b+r/+} = 19$ animals, $n_{ryr1bmn0348Gt/mn0348Gt} = 16$ animals. For (**L–M**) $n_{ryr1b+r/+} = 32$ cells, $n_{ryr1bmn0348Gt/mn0348Gt} = 16$ cells. For (**N**) $n_{ryr1b+r/+} = 32$ cells, $n_{ryr1bmn0348Gt/mn0348Gt} = 16$ cells. For (**N**) $n_{ryr1b+r/+} = 32$ cells, $n_{ryr1bmn0348Gt/mn0348Gt} = 16$ cells. Data are compiled from four independent experiments containing at least two animals in each group. p-values determined using the Mann-Whitney U test. Effect size (Cohen's d)=1.829 (K) and 0.866 (**M**). Source data can be found in *Figure 4—source data 1* (**K**, **L**, **M**, **N**) and *Figure 4—source data 2* (J).}}}

The online version of this article includes the following source data and figure supplement(s) for figure 4:

Source data 1. Summary data analyzing the parameters of Ca^{2+} transients in individual tested animals. **Source data 2.** Individual $\Delta F/F_0$ traces of GCaMP3-fluorescence in both $ryr1b^{+/+}$ and $ryr1b^{mn0348Gt/mn0348Gt}$ myocytes. **Figure supplement 1.** Ca^{2+} transients in $ryr1b^{+/+}$ myocytes have higher peak amplitude and are more frequent than in $ryr1b^{mn0348Gt/mn0348Gt}$ myocytes. **Figure supplement 1—source data 1.** Summary data analyzing the parameters of Ca^{2+} transients in individual tested cells.

GBT protein trapping generates a variety of potential models of human disease

This functional genome annotation available with the GBT system in zebrafish is powerful for understanding the genetic causes of human disease. For instance, mutations in *RYR1*, the human ortholog of *ryr1b*, are well-associated with a rare genetic neuromuscular disorder called central core disease. Central core disease commonly presents with mild to severe muscle weakness (*Jungbluth et al.*, *2018*) which is analogous to the slow swimming phenotype we saw in our *ryr1b^{mn0348Gt/mn0348Gt* animals (*Clark et al.*, *2011a*) and likely arises from similar disruptions to skeletal muscle Ca²⁺ transients (*Figure 4*). A subset of this GBT collection consequently represents a potential library of human disease models. Intriguingly, 82% of OMIM listed human disease-associated genes (2601 genes) can be related to at least one zebrafish ortholog (*Howe et al.*, *2013*).} We therefore took a new angle and investigated whether any GBT-confirmed lines represent potential human disease models. Within the set of GBT-confirmed lines that match a human ortholog (n = 177), 64 (36%) are integrated in genes associated with human diseases, including those of the nervous, circulatory, endocrine, metabolic, digestive, musculoskeletal, immune, and integumentary systems (select genes listed in *Figure 5A*, all 64 genes with disease-associated human orthologs listed in *Supplementary file 3*). 40 of these human disease-associated GBT-confirmed lines represent potential novel genetic disease models as we failed to find a description for any established disease models in mice or zebrafish for orthologs of these genes (*Figure 5B* and *Supplementary file 3*).

GBT protein trapping creates loss of function products for a diverse population of proteins

Functional genome annotation with GBT constructs is equally powerful in detecting roles for genes in basic cellular processes. We and others have previously used imaging to investigate effective protein trapping in GBT-confirmed lines. This imaging has revealed diverse cellular and subcellular protein expression patterns (*Clark et al., 2011a; Ding et al., 2013; Ding et al., 2017; El-Rass et al., 2017; Liao et al., 2012; Petzold et al., 2009; Westcot et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2012*). In this study, we imaged GBT lines using a Lightsheet microscope for the first time. Multi-area tiling with a $20 \times$ objective enabled rapid acquisition of 3-dimensional mRFP fusion protein localization across the entire organism (*Figure 6A*). Confocal imaging in areas of interest revealed even more detail with subcellular resolution (*Figure 6A–B*). Further confocal imaging demonstrated diverse subcellular localizations in GBT-confirmed lines, (*Figure 6B–C*) GBT-candidate lines, and GBT lines (*Figure 6– figure supplement 1*). This subcellular protein localization data from GBT lines can provide crucial information in piecing together gene function.

We therefore wanted to assess the subcellular diversity of all gene products trapped in our current collection of GBT-confirmed lines. As an approach to complement our imaging assessments, we focused on computational approaches to explore subcellular protein diversity in current GBT-confirmed lines. 177 of the GBT-trapped genes were annotated to their human orthologs in at least one public database (ZFIN, Ensembl, Homologene, and InParanoid version 8) (*Supplementary file 1*). Several of these genes were provisionally annotated using BLASTP or a synteny analysis tool,



Figure 5. Disease-associated human orthologs of the GBT trapped genes are implicated in human genetic disorders of multiple organ systems. (A) Representative human orthologs of the GBT-tagged genes are associated with genetic disorders in multi-organ systems. Image provided by Mayo Clinic Media Services. Underline: Disease causative genes with documentations of established disease model in mouse or zebrafish (B) Area proportional Venn diagram of 64 human orthologs tagged that are associated with human genetic disorders. 40 human orthologs of GBT-tagged genes are associated with human genetic disorders. 40 human orthologs of GBT-tagged genes are associated with human genetic disorders. 40 human orthologs of genes are associated with human genetic disorders in mouse. Area proportional Venn diagram was produced using BioVenn (http://www.biovenn.nl/).



Figure 6. GBT-confirmed lines illuminate and disrupt genes encoding proteins with diverse functions and subcellular localizations. The online version of this article includes the following source data and figure supplement(s) for figure 6:

Source data 1. PANTHER protein classes of human orthologs tagged in GBT-confirmed lines. *Figure 6 continued on next page*

Figure 6 continued

Figure supplement 1. GBT protein traps illuminate diverse subcellular protein localizations.

SynFind in Comparative Genomics (CoGe) database (https://genomevolution.org/CoGe/SynFind.pl) (Lyons and Freeling, 2008). We assessed the functional diversityhuman orthologs of human orthologs of these 177 GBT-confirmed loci with data from the PANTHER version 14.1 database on protein class ontology (http://www.pantherdb.org/) (Mi et al., 2019), the Human Protein Atlas on genomewide experimental proteomics (www.proteinatlas.org. August 27, 2019) (Uhlén et al., 2015), and knowledge-based proteomics (UniProtKB, https://www.uniprot.org/, the UniProtKB on UniProt Consortium, 2018). PANTHER protein classification revealed that 105 human orthologs (60%, n = 176: see Materials and methods) are classified to at least one of 21 protein classes, and 19 human orthologs (11%) belong to transcription factors (Figure 6D and Figure 6-source data 1). Human Protein Atlas and UniProtKB subcellular localization data likewise showed diverse classifications of expression with a large group of nuclear localized human orthologs of these 177 GBT-confirmed loci (Figure 6E and Supplementary file 4).

We then asked whether this if our computational analysis corresponded to the patterns seen in our imaging data. We found that *LRPPRC* (human ortholog of *Irpprc* (GBT0235)—*Figure 6A–B*) was not annotated to a protein class in PANTHER but mapped to mitochondria in Human Protein Atlas, consistent with its puncta expression pattern (*Figure 6A–B*). *RYR1* (human ortholog of *ryr1b* (GBT0348)—*Figure 6C*) was annotated as a transporter in PANTHER and was mapped to the cytosol, Golgi apparatus, and vesicles in Human Protein Atlas, consistent with its more uniform expression pattern (*Figure 6C*). Overall, protein class ontology and known subcellular localizations of cloned GBT genes suggest that the GBT system traps and enables functional annotation for a rich diversity of proteins. Additionally, the GBT-confirmed lines in orthologs of human genes without a known subcellular localization potentiate the discovery of their subcellular expression pattern in the context of a living animal.

mRFP expression profiling in GBT-confirmed lines reveals substantial new expression data at both 2 dpf and 4 dpf

We next asked if the mRFP expression patterns in our GBT-confirmed lines unveiled novel cellular expression data. To address this, we focused on the GBT-confirmed lines that were non-redundant and mapped to a known protein coding gene. Importantly, these GBT-confirmed lines exhibited expression patterns that are tissue specific and include assorted brain regions, heart, skin, muscle, vasculature, and blood (*Figure 7A-R*). We analyzed publicly available expression data of these 193 tagged genes in wild-type fish (downloaded from ZFIN on August 28th, 2019). Our GBT-confirmed lines revealed expression patterns (available on www.zfishbook.org) for 67 genes at 2 dpf and 174 genes at four dpf without publicly available expression data in ZFIN (*Figure 75–T*).

Discussion

Gene-break transposon system as a next generation mutagenesis system

GBT technology represents the first method for revertible allele generation in vertebrates outside of the mouse model (*Clark et al., 2011a*). In this manuscript we broadened GBT genomic coverage through the development of an RP2 construct series to encode functional mRFP in each of the potential reading frames (*Figure 1A*). While each individual construct still only integrates in-frame in a subset of introns, the RP2 series potentiates in-frame mRFP for any intron with Tol2-mediated integration. We also desired to increase GBT utility for subsequent genomic engineering applications. As RP2 series constructs were not modularly designed, we iteratively developed a next generation RP8 GBT series. All RP8 constructs include new restriction enzyme sites that render them modular for custom engineering. Additionally, RP8 series constructs use a smaller backbone designed to enhance transgenic efficiency. RP8 vectors most notably possess a new 3' exon trap cassette that uses the γ -crystalline promoter to drive expression of lens-specific tagBFP instead of the ubiquitous expression of GFP delivered from RP2 vectors (*Figure 1B*). We found this lens-specific tagBFP to be



Figure 7. GBT protein trap elucidates novel gene expression patterns in embryonic and laval zebrafish. (A–C) Dorsal views of 2 days post-fertilization (dpf) embryos with GBT protein trap mRFP expression patterns ranging from *bcl11ba* in the forebrain and hindbrain (A), to *col7a1* in the skin (B), and *plpp2a* in the otoliths (C). (D-F) Lateral views of 2 dpf embryos with GBT protein trap mRFP expression patterns ranging from *cyth3a* in blood cells (D), to *dph1* in somites (E), and *ino80c* around the yolk (F). (G–L) Dorsal views of GBT protein trap mRFP expression patterns in 4 dpf larvae including *nusap1* in the forebrain and midbrain (G), *gpm6ba* in the brain, spinal cord, and pineal gland (H), *unkl* in the olfactory pits (I), *foxl2a* in the forebrain and midbrain (J), *zgc:194659* in the brain and spinal cord (K), and *marcks11a* in the lens, skin, and notochord (L). (M–R) Lateral views of GBT protein trap mRFP expression patterns in 4 dpf larvae including *nusap1* in the service in the dpf larvae including *nfatc3a* in heart and muscle (M), *dele1* in muscle (N), *pard3bb* in the gut and pronephros (O), *LOC100537272* in vessels (P), *mgat5* in neuromasts (Q), and *ahnak* in skin (R). Scale bars = 200 µm. (S–T) Area proportional Venn diagrams of 193 genes trapped in GBT-confirmed lines comparing the ZFIN-assembled database with mRFP expression in GBT lines available through zfishbook at two dpf (S) and four dpf (T). 67 (35%) and 174 (90%) of 193 genes trapped in GBT-confirmed lines have no description about wild-type expression at 2 dpf and 4 dpf, respectively.

equally useful in screening founders with GBT integrations. While we did not explicitly validate that the RP8 series provides transcriptional effects equivalent to the RP2 series, the major functional change in RP8 lies in its 3' exon trap. We therefore expect the knockdown to be similar between RP8 and RP2.

Two additional zebrafish transposon-based protein trap vectors have been established. Dr. Fraser's group developed the FlipTrap system (*Trinh et al., 2011*) that is mutagenic in the presence of Cre-recombinase. However, this FlipTrap system is primarily focused on imaging fusion proteins in vivo and addressing cellular dynamics. The Chen lab developed a complementary flipping system called the FT1 system that uses either Cre or Flp recombinase to regulate its alleles depending on the original insertion orientation (*Ni et al., 2012*). Our GBT system is highly complementary and non-redundant with these alternative transposon-based protein trap methods. The 5' protein trap in the GBT system is terminated through an enhanced polyadenylation signal in conjunction with a putative border element and a second splice acceptor in the 3' exon trap helps eliminate any pass-through. Together these elements achieve higher gene-specific mRNA knockdown than the single splice acceptor and the basic polyadenylation signal in FlipTrap and FT1 (*Figure 3*). In addition to a 5' protein trap, our GBT system also possesses a 3' exon trap that serves as a means for screening integrations, reports 3' sequence, and possesses the ability to trap (without mRFP expression) non-coding RNAs that undergo splicing (*Figure 1*).

Consistent with its mRNA knockdown abilities, the mutagenic efficacy of the GBT system is functionally similar to other genome-wide forward genetic approaches at identifying critical early developmental loci. The GBT system achieved 7% recovery of visible early (through five dpf) developmental phenotypes during an initial forward genetic screen. This recovery is comparable to the 5% recovered visible phenotypes from the Sanger TILLING consortium analysis of truncated zebrafish genes (*Kettleborough et al., 2013*). Our 7% phenotype recovery is also comparable to prior retroviral (*Amsterdam and Hopkins, 2004*) and ENU (*Haffter et al., 1996*) zebrafish mutagenesis works that estimated between 1400 and 2400 genes (~5–9% of the genome) would result in a visible embryonic phenotype when mutated.

Gene-break transposon system enables functional genome annotation and generates novel potential human disease models

The connections between gene, expression pattern, function, and phenotype (or human disease) can be elucidated using our GBT system. During the initial GBT library creation, we identified a GBT-confirmed line with an RP2 integration between exon 81 and exon 82 of *ryr1b* (ENS-DART00000036015.9, Ensembl Release 100 on April 2020), a zebrafish ortholog of human *RYR1*. Mutations in *RYR1* are well-linked to a rare genetic neuromuscular disorder known as central core disease that presents with mild to severe muscle weakness (*Jungbluth et al., 2018*). Indeed, homozygous animals in this *ryr1b* GBT-confirmed line possess skeletal muscle-specific mRFP expression and a slow swimming phenotype (*Clark et al., 2011a*). Previously, a spontaneous mutant called *relatively relaxed* (*ryr1b^{mi340/mi340}*) was shown to have a slow swimming phenotype, truncated ryr1b protein with a pre-mature stop involved in an insertional mutagen, and defective skeletal muscle Ca²⁺ transients (*Hirata et al., 2007*). Our *ryr1b* GBT-confirmed line was therefore ideal to validate the functional genome annotation abilities of GBT constructs.

Similar to the *relatively relaxed* mutants which carry an insertion that introduces a premature stop codon between exons 48 and 49 of *ryr1b* (*Hirata et al., 2007*), we noted severely dampened skeletal muscle Ca²⁺ transients in animals homozygous for the *ryr1b* GBT allele (*Figure 4*). In addition to previously reported decreases in peak amplitude (*Hirata et al., 2007*) we found that *ryr1b^{mn0348Gt/} mn0348Gt* animals also displayed a slower upstroke and lower frequency of skeletal muscle Ca²⁺ transients than wildtypes, functionally annotating these roles for the C-terminal region of *ryr1b* gene in vivo. Including this *ryr1b* line, we generated GBT-confirmed lines with integrations in 64 zebrafish orthologs of human disease-associated genes (*Figure 5, Supplementary file 1, Supplementary file* **3**) in this study. GBT-confirmed lines with integrations in 40 zebrafish orthologs of human diseaseassociated genes may represent novel potential disease models, as we failed to find any description of existing zebrafish or mouse models for these genes or diseases. Our GBT system importantly possesses a built-in cure due to its revertible nature. Therefore, these GBT potential disease models will allow direct comparison of tissue-specific gene restoration with any therapeutic approach.

GBT protein trapping provides the basis for annotation of functionally diverse proteins and novel transcripts mapped on poorly assembled genomic regions

To achieve genomic representation, unbiased protein trapping is an important consideration. Tol2 transposase-mediated systems are known to facilitate near-random integration, but we wanted to

explore this in the context of the GBT protein trapping constructs. We utilized PANTHER, Human Protein Atlas, and UniProtKB to explore the protein class and subcellular localization of the human orthologs of the genes trapped in GBT-confirmed lines (Figure 6). While nuclear localized proteins, such as transcription factors, represented the largest class of GBT-trapped genes, we identified a diverse set of proteins in our GBT-confirmed lines that localize all the way from the nucleoli to the extracellular space. The reason for the enrichment of nuclear genes is unknown. The rich diversity of proteins observed in our GBT-confirmed lines still supports that the entire collection has high diversity and is consistent with the random nature of Tol2-mediated genome integration events (Clark et al., 2011a). Completion of the zebrafish reference genomes also has enabled many new discoveries to be made with regards to the position of hundreds of genes that affect embryogenesis, behavior, and physiology. However, poorly assembled regions remain in both the zebrafish and the human genome (Howe et al., 2013). We indeed found that 10 GBT integrations in the confirmed lines (with mRFP expression) failed to map to any predicted genes. However, RNA sequencing reads in public datasets identified potential unannotated coding sequences aligned with these GBT integration loci (Supplementary file 1). While 5' and 3' RACE are necessary to confirm the mRNA fusion products, these unannotated coding sequences represent the possibility to annotate novel, proteincoding transcripts in these GBT lines. Therefore, GBT protein trapping can find, illuminate expression, and elucidate in vivo functions of novel genes and/or gene variants in poorly annotated regions of reference genomes.

GBT protein trapping annotates novel endogenous gene expression

GBT-based mRFP fusion proteins represent a notable advance over traditional techniques for probing endogenous gene expression (e.g., immunohistochemistry, in situ hybridization) that have yielded very little gene expression data at later developmental stages. The truncated mRFP fusion proteins in both RP2 and RP8 series constructs exhibited distinct cellular localizations in our GBT lines throughout development, including two dpf and beyond. Approximately 90% of GBT-confirmed lines showcased novel expression patterns of their annotated genes at four dpf (*Figure 7*).

These GBT-based mRFP fusion proteins allow investigation of subcellular localization of these tagged-gene products (*Figure 6, Figure 6—figure supplement 1*), with the exception of cases where the protein localization signal is contained in the C-terminal domain (*Clark et al., 2011a; Trinh and Fraser, 2013*). We indeed observed mRFP accumulation in the kidney tubules, white blood cells or developing bones in some GBT lines, likely based upon the remaining signal sequences at the N-terminus of the endogenous protein. Still, visualizing protein expression dynamics of GBT-trapped proteins in most lines should facilitate important initial annotations regarding subcellular localization of uncharacterized proteins to investigate molecular functions in vivo. With everimproving fluorescence-based imaging tools (*Liu et al., 2018*), our GBT lines have the potential to annotate both cellular and subcellular gene expression at diverse stages on an organismal scale.

Gene-break protein trap library is a rich resource for the community

Taken together, GBT-based mRFP-reporters demonstrate how much we still have left to understand about the expression patterns of the overall proteome and, ultimately, the complex codex that is our genome. Even at the relatively well-studied 2-dpf stage, nearly 40% of GBT-confirmed lines elucidated novel gene expression data (*Figure 7*). Cataloging these expression patterns enables investigators to make collections of lines with expression in their cell/tissue of interest and/or a phenotype. The remaining 144 GBT-candidate lines and over 800 GBT lines represent a rich resource for genomic discoveries. For any GBT-candidate or GBT lines of interest, a similar cloning pipeline (*Figure 2*) can be employed to identify the GBT integration locus. In addition, the refinement of the zebrafish genome will enhance our ability to complete the annotation from GBT-line to GBT-confirmed line for any given line with a desired expression profile and/or phenotype. Together, this 1,200+ GBT-line collection is a new contribution for using zebrafish to annotate the vertebrate genome.

Future genomic insights using the GBT system

Although our GBT lines were made with random integration, new targeted integration tools, such as GeneWeld (*Wierson et al., 2020*), that employ gene editing techniques will empower labs to build custom GBT lines for their gene of interest (*El Khoury et al., 2018*). The three reading frames and

modularity of the RP8 series are especially well suited to targeted integration approaches. Further, a combination of targeted and random integration may best facilitate discovery. For instance, a targeted approach could integrate a GBT cassette into a well-characterized, process-associated gene. Then, random integration could be used to probe for genes that potentiate or abrogate the disruption in the original process-associated gene. With this approach, GBTs are a powerful tool to investigate multigenic processes, including human disease.

GBT system offers advantages over frame-shift mutations

Finally, targeted mutagenic technology, such as CRISPR and TALEN systems, has become the gold standard reverse genetic approach. However, engineered mutant animals using approaches generate targeted indel mutation frequently fail to display overt phenotypes, often explained by genetic compensation (Balciunas, 2018; El-Brolosy and Stainier, 2017). One mechanism includes cellular increases in transcripts of genes in the same family that can functionally substitute when activated in a mutant background (Balciunas, 2018). Recently, a number of studies using reverse genetics tools have revealed phenotype differences between knockouts (indel mutants), and knockdowns (antisense-treated animals) in multiple model systems including Arabidopsis, Drosophila, zebrafish, mouse, and human cell lines. This discrepancy is attributed to transcriptomic changes in mutant but not in knockdown animals (Reviewed from El-Brolosy and Stainier, 2017). For example, knockdown of egfl7, an endothelial extracellular matrix (ECM) gene, induces severe vascular defects, whereas most egfl7 mutants exhibit no obvious defect, resulting from upregulation of other ECM proteins, especially emilins in egfl7 mutants, but not in egfl7 morphants (Ronzitti, 2019). As another mechanism of genetic compensation, mRNA processing-including nonsense-associated exon skipping and the use of alternative start or splice sites to escape nonsense-mediated decay-has been recently demonstrated to hinder loss-of-function approaches in zebrafish (Anderson et al., 2017; Prykhozhij et al., 2017), in human cell lines (Lalonde et al., 2017; Winter et al., 2019) and in the human population (Jagannathan and Bradley, 2016). In contrast, the molecular mechanism of GBT mutagenesis can normally avoid this genetic compensation effect seen with small indel mutations because the strong poly (A)-trapping element in the 5' exon trap domain of RP cassettes can reduce mRNA to below 1% of the complete wild-type transcript level. This reduction eliminates many sources of transcriptional adaptations triggered by a loss of function mutation, such as alternative transcriptional start sites, splicing, or alternative translation initiation. The GBT can therefore act as a useful validation tool when targeted mutations with other technologies fail to display any phenotype.

Materials and methods

Key resources table

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Recombinant DNA reagent	pGBT-RP2.1	Clark et al., 2011a	RRID:Addgene_31828, Genbank: HQ335170	Figure 1A
Recombinant DNA reagent	pGBT-RP2.2	This paper	Genbank: MT815588	Figure 1A
Recombinant DNA reagent	pGBT-RP2.3	This paper	Genbank: MT815589	Figure 1A
Recombinant DNA reagent	pGBT-RP8.1	This paper	Genbank: MT815590	Figure 1B
Recombinant DNA reagent	pGBT-RP8.2	This paper	Genbank: MT815591	Figure 1B
Recombinant DNA reagent	pGBT-RP8.3	This paper	Genbank: MT815592	Figure 1B
Recombinant DNA reagent	pGBT-RP7.1	This paper		An intermediate construct to create pGBT-RP8.1
Continued on next pag	е			



Continued

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Recombinant DNA reagent	pGBT-RP6.1	This paper		An intermediate construct to create pGBT-RP8.1
Recombinant DNA reagent	pGBT-RP5.1	This paper		An intermediate construct of pGBT-RP8.1
Recombinant DNA reagent	pre(-1)GBT-RP5.1	This paper		An intermediate construct of pGBT-RP5.1
Recombinant DNA reagent	pre(–2)GBT-RP5.1	This paper		An intermediate construct of pGBT-RP5.1
Recombinant DNA reagent	pre(-3)GBT-RP5.1	This paper		An intermediate construct of pGBT-RP5.1
Recombinant DNA reagent	pKTol2-SE	Clark et al., 2011b		
Recombinant DNA reagent	pUC57-I-Scel_ LoxP_Splice	This paper		DNA source to create pre(–3)GBT-RP5.1
Recombinant DNA reagent	pUC57	Genscript	SD1176	
Recombinant DNA reagent	pKTol2gC-nlsTagBFP	This paper		DNA source to create pre(–2)GBT-RP5.1
Recombinant DNA reagent	pGBT-R15	Clark et al., 2011a	RRID:Addgene_31826, Genbank ID: HQ335168	
Recombinant DNA reagent	pGBT-PX	Sivasubbu et al., 2006	RRID:Addgene_31824, Genbank ID: HQ335166	
Recombinant DNA reagent	pCR4-bactmIntron	This paper		DNA source to create pGBT-RP8.1
Recombinant DNA reagent	pCR4-bact_l1	This paper		DNA source of the carp beta-actin intron amplified from pGBT-RP2.1
Recombinant DNA reagent	pCR4-TOPO	Invitrogen	450030	
Recombinant DNA reagent	pEXPR-mylpfa:GCaMP3	Baxendale et al., 2012		
Chemical compound, drug	phenylthiocarbamide	Sigma-Aldrich	P7629	
Chemical compound, drug	tricaine	Sigma-Aldrich	A5040	
Chemical compound, drug	low melt agarose	Fisher Scientific	BP1360	
Chemical compound, drug	pentylenetetrazole	Sigma-Aldrich	P6500	
Chemical compound, drug	(S)-(-)-blebbistatin	Tocris	1852	
Chemical compound, drug	β -mercaptoethanol	Sigma-Aldrich	M6250	
Chemical compound, drug	proteinase K	Roche	3115879001	
Commercial assay or kit	T4 DNA ligase	New England Biolabs	M0202S	
Commercial assay or kit	RNeasy Micro Kit	QIAGEN	74004	
Commercial assay or kit	Stainless steel beads	Next Advance	SSB05	
Commercial assay or kit	MaXtract High Density tubes	QIAGEN	129056	

Continued on next page



Continued

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Commercial assay or kit	SuperScript II Reverse Transcriptase	Thermo Fisher Scientific	18064014	
Commercial assay or kit	SensiFAST SYBR Lo-ROX kit	Bioline	BIO-94005	
Commercial assay or kit	QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit	QIAGEN	28704	
Software, algorithm	GraphPad Prism 8	GrapgPad	RRID:SCR_002798	
Software, algorithm	R	www.R-project.org	RRID:SCR_001905	
Software, algorithm	R-Studio	www.rstudio.com/		
Software, algorithm	pwr package	https://CRAN.R-project. org/package=pwr		
Software, algorithm	wilcox.test function	www.R-project.org		
Software, algorithm	coin package	Hothorn et al., 2006, Hothorn et al., 2008		
Software, algorithm	outliers package	https://CRAN.R-project. org/package=outliers		
Software, algorithm	effsize package	https://CRAN.R-project. org/package=effsize		
Software, algorithm	JMP version 14	http://www.jmp. com/en_us /software/jmp.html	RRID:SCR_014242	
Software, algorithm	SynFind	https://genomevolution. org/CoGe/SynFind.pl		
Software, algorithm	BLASTP	http://blast.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/Blast.cgi? PROGRAM=blastp&PAGE_ TYPE=BlastSearch& LINK_LOC=blasthome	RRID:SCR_001010	
Software, algorithm	BioMart, Ensembl tool	http://useast. ensembl.org/ biomart/martview/	RRID:SCR_002344	
Software, algorithm	PANTHER version 14.1	http://www. pantherdb.org/	RRID:SCR_004869	
Software, algorithm	FIJI	https://fiji.sc/	RRID:SCR_002285	
Software, algorithm	MetaMorph Microscopy Automation and Image Analysis Software	Molecular Devices	RRID:SCR_002368	
Software, algorithm	Digidata 1440A	Molecular Devices		
Software, algorithm	Clampex 10.3	Molecular Devices		
Software, algorithm	Integrative Genomics Viewer (version 2.4.19)	Thorvaldsdóttir et al., 2013	RRID:SCR_011793	
Software, algorithm	Galaxy	https://usegalaxy.org/	RRID:SCR_006281	
Software, algorithm	BAMtools	Barnett et al., 2011	RRID:SCR_015987	
Software, algorithm	TopHat	Kim et al., 2013	RRID:SCR_013035	
Software, algorithm	Zebrafish Information Network (ZFIN)	https://zfin.org/	RRID:SCR_002560	
Software, algorithm	Ensembl	https://useast.ensembl. org/index.html	RRID:SCR_002344	
Software, algorithm	InParanoid version 8	http://inparanoid.sbc. su.se/cgi-bin/index.cgi	RRID:SCR_006801	

Continued on next page

Continued

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Software, algorithm	The Human Protein Atlas	www.proteinatlas.org	RRID:SCR_006710	
Software, algorithm	UniProtKB	https://www.uniprot.org/	RRID:SCR_004426	
Software, algorithm	Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM)	https://omim.org/	RRID:SCR_006437	
Software, algorithm	Mouse Genome Informatics (MGI)	http://www. informatics.jax.org	RRID:SCR_006460	
Software, algorithm	zfishbook	https://zfishbook.org/	RRID:SCR_006896	
Other	RNA-seq dataset	White et al., 2017	GRCz10.WTSI. 36hpf.1.bam	ftp://ftp.ensembl.org/pub/ data_files/danio_rerio/ GRCz10/rnaseq/
Other	RNA-seq dataset	White et al., 2017	GRCz10.WTSI. 48hpf.1.bam	ftp://ftp.ensembl.org/pub/ data_files/danio_rerio/ GRCz10/rnaseq/
Other	RNA-seq dataset	White et al., 2017	GRCz10.WTSI. 4dpf.1.bam	ftp://ftp.ensembl.org/pub/ data_files/danio_rerio/ GRCz10/rnaseq/

Zebrafish husbandry

All zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) were maintained according to the procedures described previously (*Leveque et al., 2016*).

Generating GBT constructs, RP2 and RP8 series

pGBT-RP2.2 and -RP2.3 were made by combining three restriction endonuclease fragments of pGBT-RP2.1 (*Clark et al., 2011a*), a 3.6 kb Blpl to Agel, a 1.9 kb EcoRl to AvrII, and a 3.55 kb AvrII to Blpl, with a short adapter to close the space between Agel and EcoRl that effectively removed one or two thymine nucleotides just following the splice acceptor prior to the AUG-less mRFP cassette. For pGBT-RP2.2, Adapter-GBT(+2) was made by annealing oligos adapter-GBT(+2)-a and adapter-GBT(+2)-b. For pGBT-RP2.3, Adapter-GBT(+3) was made by annealing oligos adapter-GBT (+3)-a and adapter-GBT(+3)-b. pGBT-RP8.1 was made by cloning a mini-intron derived from carp beta actin intron one into pGBT-RP7.1. The 234 bp Sall to Xhol mini-intron fragment was isolated from pCR4-bactmIntron following digestion. The pGBT-RP7.1 plasmid was digested with Xhol so that the Sall to Xhol fragment was cloned between the gamma-crystallin promoter and nls tagBFP.

pCR4-bactmIntron was made by removing a 1.1 kb internal portion of the carp beta actin intron one by digestion of pCR4-bact_I1 with BstBI and BssHII, followed by filling in 5' overhangs and ligating remaining vector fragment.

pCR4-bact_I1 was cloning a PCR product containing the carp beta-actin intron into pCR4-TOPO (450030, Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). The intron was amplified from pGBT-RP2.1 (*Clark et al., 2011a*) using MISC-bact_exon-F1 [CAGCTAGTGCGGAATATCATCTGCC] and MISC-bact_intron-R1 [CTTCTCGAGGTGAATTCCGGCTGAACTGTA] primers.

pGBT-RP7.1 was made by replacing a 501 bp Pstl to Pstl fragment of pGBT-RP6.1 with a 480 bp Pstl to Pstl fragment of pRP2.1. This changed the nucleotide sequence between the carp beta-actin

splice acceptor to replicate the sequences in pGBT-RP2.1. pGBT-RP7.1 was never directly tested in zebrafish.

pGBT-RP6.1 was made by flipping the internal trap cassette relative the Tol2 inverted terminal repeats in pGBT-RP5.1. To do this, pGBT-RP5.1 was cut with EcoRV and Smal. The 2.27 kb EcoRV to Smal vector backbone fragment, which included the ITRs, was ligated to the 3.51 kb EcoRV to Smal trap fragment. pGBT-RP6.1 was then selected based on the right ITR of Tol2 being in front of the RFP trap, which is the same orientation of pGBT-RP2.1.

pGBT-RP5.1 was made by cloning a PCR product with the AUG-less mRFP into pre(-1)GBT-RP5.1. The 698 bp mRFP* PCR product was obtained by amplification of pGBT-R15 (*Clark et al.,* **2011a**) with CDS-mRFP*-F1 [AAGAATTCGAAGGTGCCTCCTCCGAGGATGTCATCAAGG] and CDS-mRFP-R1 [AAACTAGTCTTAGGCTCCGGTGGAGTGGCGG]. Prior to cloning the PCR mRFP* product was digested with EcoRI and Spel to prepare the ends for subcloning into pre(-1)GBT-RP5.1 that was opened between the carp beta actin splice acceptor and the ocean pout terminator.

pre(-1)GBT-RP5.1 was made by cloning 1.2 kb Spel to AvrII fragment from pGBT-PX (*Sivasubbu et al., 2006*) that contained the ocean pout terminator into the Spel site of pre(-2)GBT-RP5.1. The resulting products were screened for the proper orientation of the ocean pout terminator relative to the carp beta actin splice acceptor.

pre(-2)GBT-RP5.1 was made by inserting an expression cassette to make a 3' poly(A) trap that makes blue lenses. A 1.15 kb Spel to BgIII fragment from pKTol2gC-nlsTagBFP was cloned into pre (-3)GBT-RP5.1 that had been cut with AvrII and BgIII. This moved the *Xenopus* gamma crystallin promoter driving a nuclear-localized TagBFP in front of the carp beta actin splice donor within pre (-3)GBT-RP5.1 to create a localized BFP poly(A) trap signal replacing the ubiquitous GFP signal that was in pGBT-RP2.1.

pre(-3)GBT-RP5.1 was made by cloning a 492 bp Xmal to Nhel scaffold fragment from pUC57-I-Scel_loxP_splice into pKTol2-SE (*Clark et al., 2011b*) opened with Xmal and Nhel.

pUC57-I-SceI_LoxP_Splice contains a synthetic sequence (see below) cloned into pUC57 (SD1176, Genscript, Piscataway, NJ). The scaffold contains an I-SceI site; loxP site; carp beta actin splice acceptor; cloning sites for mRFP, ocean pout terminator, and BFP lens cassettes; carp beta actin splice donor; loxP site; and an I-SceI site.

Generating GBT collection

Generation of the GBT collection was based on the prior described protocols (*Clark et al., 2011a*; *Ni et al., 2016*). RP2 constructs were injected and sorted by low mosaicism of GFP expression. RP8 constructs were sorted on the basis of strong tagBFP expression in the eyes. Overall ~30% of injected fish met these criteria. ~ 25% of these F0 fish gave RFP offspring.

Fluorescent microscopy of mRFP reporter protein expression

Larvae were treated with 0.2 mM phenylthiocarbamide (P7629, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) at one dpf to inhibit pigment formation. The anesthetized fish were mounted in 1.5% low-melt agarose (BP1360, Fisher Scientific, Hampton, NH) prepared with 0.017 mg/ml tricaine (Ethyl 3-aminobenzoate methanesulfonate salt, A5040, Sigma-Aldrich) solution in an agarose column in the imaging chamber. The protocol of ApoTome microscopy was described in previous publication. (*Clark et al.,* **2011a**) For Lightsheet microscopy, larval zebrafish were anesthetized with 0.017 g/ml tricaine in 1.5% low-melt agarose (BP1360, Fisher Scientific) and mounted in glass capillaries. To capture RFP expression patterns of 2 dpf and four dpf larval zebrafish, LP 560 nm filter as excitation and LP 585 nm as emission was used for Lightsheet microscopy. The sagittal-, dorsal-, and ventral- oriented z-stacks of the mRFP expression were captured at either 50x magnification using an ApoTome microscope (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) with a 5x/0.25 NA dry objective (Zeiss) or 50x magnification using a Lightsheet Z.1 microscope (Zeiss) 5x/0.16 NA dry objective. Each set of images were obtained from the same larva and the images shown are composites of the maximum image projections of the z-stacks obtained from each direction.

For confocal microscopy, larval zebrafish were anesthetized with 0.017 g/ml tricaine (Ethyl 3-aminobenzoate methanesulfonate salt, A5040, Sigma-Aldrich) in 1.0% low-melt agarose (BP1360, Fisher Scientific) and mounted 35 mm glass-bottom dishes (P35G-1.5–14 C, MatTek Life Sciences, Ashland, MA). Imaging was performed on an LSM-780 (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) using either a C-Apochromat 63x/1.2 NA or a C-Apochromat 40x/1.2 NA water immersion objective. RFP was excited at 561 nm and emissions 570–750 nm were collected.

Sperm cryopreservation

Sperm collection and cryopreservation was initially based on the protocol described in **Draper and Moens, 2009** and moved to the Zebrafish International Resource Center (ZIRC) protocol described in **Matthews et al., 2018**.

Genomic DNA isolation

Genomic DNA was isolated from F2 fish tail biopsies to conduct next generation sequencing and from both wild-type and heterozygous larva to manually perform PCR-based analysis for the identification of GBT-insertion site. 60 µl of lysis buffer containing with 10 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA, 0.4% SDS and 5 µg/ml proteinase K (03115879001, Roche) was loaded into each well of a 96-wells plate and clipped adult fins/larvae were individually placed into each well and incubated at 50°C for 3 hr. The solution with lysed tissue were suspended with a multichannel micropipette to dissolve the tissue, mixed with 60 µl of isopropanol and centrifuged at ~3,000 rpm/ 15,000 × g for 20 min at 4°C. After removing the supernatant, 100 µl of 70% ethanol were added, centrifuged for 20 min at 4°C. After discarding ethanol, the pellets were dried and re-suspended in 50 µl of water/TE. As the alternative protocol to quickly extract genomic DNA from zebrafish larvae, the specimens were individually placed to 0.2 ml PCR tubes and lysed with 30–50 µl of 50 mM NaOH and incubated at 95 C° for 20 min. The solutions with lysed specimens were vortexed and neutralized with 1 of 10 vol 1M Tris-HCl.

Identification of GBT insertion loci

In addition to the broad next gen sequencing approach used to identify GBT integration sites, we used a combination of several a la carte methods including 5' and 3' rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE) (Clark et al., 2011a), inverse PCR (Clark et al., 2011a), and Thermal Asymmetric Interlaced PCR (TAIL-PCR). The protocol used for TAIL-PCR was designed to amplify and clone junction fragments from Tol2-based gene-break transposons was based on a protocol from Parinov et al., 2004 with some modifications. The following primer mixtures (containing 0.4 μ M GBT specific primer and 2 µM degenerate primers (DP)) were prepared: for primary PCR: 5R-mRFP-P1/DP1, 5RmRFP-P1/DP2, 5R-mRFP-P1/DP3, 5R-mRFP-P1/DP4, 3 R-GM2-P1/DP1, 3 R-GM2-P1/DP2, 3 R-GM2-P1/DP3, 3 R-GM2-P1/DP4, 3R-tagBFP-P1/DP1, 3R-tagBFP-P1/DP2, 3R-tagBFP-P1/DP3, 3R-tagBFP-P1/DP4; for secondary PCR: 5R-mRFP-P2/DP1, 5R-mRFP-P2/DP2, 5R-mRFP-P2/DP3, 5R-mRFP-P2/ DP4, 3 R-GM2-P2/DP1, 3 R-GM2-P2/DP2, 3 R-GM2-P2/DP3, 3 R-GM2-P2/DP4, 3R-tagBFP-P2/DP1, 3R-tagBFP-P2/DP2, 3R-tagBFP-P2/DP3, 3R-tagBFP-P2/DP4; for tertiary PCR: TAIL-bA-SA/DP1, TAILbA-SA/DP2, TAIL-bA-SA/DP3, TAIL-bA-SA/DP4, Tol2-ITR(L)-O1/DP1, Tol2-ITR(L)-O1/DP2, Tol2-ITR (L)-O1/DP3, Tol2-ITR(L)-O1/DP4, Tol2-ITR(L)-O3/DP1, Tol2-ITR(L)-O3/DP2, Tol2-ITR(L)-O3/DP3, Tol2-ITR(L)-O3/DP4. A total of 1 µl of primer mixtures were added to PCR reaction (total volume 25 µl). Cycle settings were as follows. Primary: (1) 95°C, 3 min; (2) 95°C, 20 s; (3) 61°C, 30 s; (4) 70°C, 3 min; (5) go to 'cycle 2' five times; (6) 95°C, 20 s; (7) 25°C, 3 min; (8) ramping 0.3'/sec to 70°C; (9) 70°C, 3 min; (10) 95°C, 20 s; (11) 61°C, 30 s; (12) 70°C, 3 min; (13) 95°C, 20 s; (14) 61°C, 30 s; (15) 70°C, 3 min; (16) 95°C, 20 s; (17) 44°C, 1 min; (18) 70°C, 3 min; (19) go to 'cycle 10' 15 times; (20) 70°C, 5 min; Soak at 12°C. A total of 5 μ l of the primary reaction was diluted with 95 μ l of 10 mM Tris-Cl or TE buffers and 1 μ l of the mixture was added to the secondary reaction. Secondary: (1) 95°C, 2 min (2) 95°C, 20 s; (3)61°C, 30 s; (4) 70°C, 3 min; (5) 95°C, 20 s; (6) 61°C, 30 s; (7) 70°C, 3 min; (8) 95°C, 20 s; (9) 44°C, 1 min; (10) ramping 1.5°/sec to 70°C; (11) 70°C, 3 min; (12) go to 'cycle 2' 15 times; (13) 70° C, 5 min; Soak at 12°C. A total of 5 μ l of the primary reaction was diluted with 95 μ l of 10 mM Tris-Cl or TE buffers and 1 μ l of the mixture was added to the tertiary reaction. Tertiary: (1) 95°C, 2 min; (2) 95°C, 20 s; (3) 44°C, 1 min; (3) ramping 1.5°/sec to 70°C; (4) 70°C, 3 min; (5) go to 'cycle 2' 32 times; (6) 70°C, 5 min; Soak at 12°C. Products of the secondary and tertiary reactions were separated by using 1–1.5% agarose gel. The individual bands from the 'band shift' pairs were cut from the gel and purified by using QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (28704, QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany), and sequenced by the sequencing service in the Medical Genome Facility at Mayo Clinic.

Alternatively, 5' RACE, 3' RACE, or inverse PCR were used to identify the interrupted gene as previously described (*Clark et al., 2011a*).

Bioinformatic analysis of public RNA sequencing data at the integrated loci

Public datasets of zebrafish wildtype at 36 hpf, 48 hpf and four dpf were downloaded from Ensembl database (Downloaded datasets: GRCz10.WTSI.36hpf.1.bam, GRCz10.WTSI.48hpf.1.bam and GRCz10.WTSI.4dpf.1.bam, URL: ftp://ftp.ensembl.org/pub/data_files/danio_rerio/GRCz10/rnaseq/) (*White et al., 2017*) to browse mapping RNA sequence (RNA-seq) reads around the integration loci. With Galaxy (https://usegalaxy.org/) as a web-based platform for next generation sequencing data analysis (*Afgan et al., 2018*), these downloaded BAM files of these datasets were converted FASTQ file format using BAMtools (*Barnett et al., 2011*). TopHat created a new BAM file and re-aligned RNA-seq reads in the FASTQ file to identify splice junctions between exons in each dataset (*Kim et al., 2013*). These re-mapped BAM files were used to predict candidate transcripts integrated with RP2/RP8 constructs with Integrative Genomics Viewer (version 2.4.19) (*Thorvaldsdóttir et al., 2013*).

Calcium imaging

Our calcium imaging protocols were modified from **Baxendale et al., 2012**. Calcium data are compiled from two similar methods with independent experimenters and equipment. L.E.G. performed two independent runs of 'Method 1', and A.J.T. performed two independent runs of 'Method 2'. Zebrafish embryos at the single cell (single-cell to 4 cell in Method 1) stage were injected with 80– 100 pg (Method 1) or 2 nL of *pEXPR-mylpfa:GCaMP3* plasmid (a gift from Dr. Cunliffe) diluted in water (Method 1) or to 50 ng/µL in 200 mM KCl/0.05% phenol red (Method 2). On day 2, GCaMP3⁺ embryos were de-chorionated and allowed to rest for 30 min.

For Method 1, embryos were singly incubated in E2 medium containing 20 mM pentylenetetrazole (PTZ) (P6500, Sigma-Aldrich) for approximately 5 min and mounted with a 3% methylcellulose solution containing 20 mM PTZ in a PPT tube and viewed laterally (similar to SCORE imaging [**Petzold et al., 2010**]). After mounting, Ca²⁺ transients in muscles were assessed using an Axio Scope.A1 (Zeiss) equipped with a D3 DSLR camera (Nikon, Minato, Tokyo, Japan) and a HXP 120 fluorescent lamp (Zeiss) using a 10x, 0.45 NA objective. Images were acquired at a rate of 2 Hz over 30 s.

For Method 2, four embryos at a time were transferred into E2 medium containing 5 μ M (*S*)-(-)blebbistatin (1852, Tocris, Bristol, United Kingdom) and incubated for at least 30 min until paralyzed. Once paralyzed, we embedded pairs of embryos into glass bottom dishes (MatTeK Corporation, Ashland, MA) with 1% low melting agarose (BP1360, Fisher Scientific) in E2 embryo medium with 5 μ M (*S*)-(-)-blebbistatin and 20 mM PTZ. After an incubation period of 10–20 min, Ca²⁺ transients in muscles were assessed using an inverted IX70 microscope (Olympus, Shinjuku, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with an OrcaFlash4.0 V2 sCMOS camera (Hamamatsu, Hamamatsu City, Shizuoka, Japan) and a pE-300ultra LED light source (CoolLED, Andover, United Kingdom) using a 20x, 0.75 NA objective. Images were acquired for 3 min at 5 Hz as a stream using Metamorph software (Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA), while the camera and LED were triggered through TTL output through a Digidata 1440A (Molecular Devices) with Clampex 10.3 software (Molecular Devices).

During the acquisition process, experimenters were blind to the genotype and mRFP expression pattern of the GCaMP3⁺ animals in both Method one and Method 2. After acquisition, images were de-identified using a random number generator to blind the analysis. For Method 1, images were stitched together as TIFF series in NIH ImageJ/FIJI (https://fiji.sc/). Due to the lack of paralysis in

Method 1, some contractions resulted in axial motion that temporarily removed the cells from the focal plane. These frames with cells outside of the focal plane were manually removed from the image series. For Method 2, image series were filtered to 2.5 Hz and exported from Metamorph in TIFF format. The Template Matching plugin (https://sites.google.com/site/qingzongtseng/template-matching-ij-plugin#description) for NIH ImageJ/FIJI was used to adjust for lateral motion during contractions and drift.

After alignment, regions of interest (ROIs) were drawn around each cell using the magic wand tool and the background (an area in each animal devoid of GCaMP3⁺ cells) using the rectangle tool in NIH ImageJ/FIJI. The average gray values of these ROIs were measured over the time series using the multi measure tool in NIH ImageJ/FIJI. Raw data were exported to Excel (Microsoft, Redmond, WA) and fluorescence time series were converted using background subtraction to Δ F/F₀ (Δ F/F₀ = (F - F₀)/F₀), where F₀ was the baseline fluorescence for each trial. Kinetic measurements for individual peaks (rise time (10%–90%), decay time (90%–50%), and peak-width at half max) were made on the data acquired with Method two using Clampfit 10.7 (Molecular Devices).

Due to the mosaic nature of injections, each field contained 1–8 (median = 2, 25% quartile = 2, 75% quartile = 5) GCaMP3⁺ myocytes. Further, individual cells exhibited 0–9 (median = 1, 25% quartile = 0, 75% quartile = 2.75) calcium transients within the imaging window. For $\Delta F/F_0$ quantitation, a unique F_0 was determined for each Ca²⁺ transient event. In the case where a cell exhibited multiple Ca²⁺ transient events, these events were treated as technical replicates and were averaged to give a single peak $\Delta F/F_0$ for each cell. In the case where a field contained multiple cells, the peak $\Delta F/F_0$ values for each cell were treated as technical replicates and averaged to give an average response for that animal. Cells or animals were considered biological replicates for analyses of peak $\Delta F/F_0$ and number of responses. For kinetic measurements, only the first peak in from each cell was analyzed and each peak was considered a biological replicate. For cells with only '- $\Delta F/F_0$ ' (photo-bleaching) recorded over the course of the trial, '0' was denoted as the peak $\Delta F/F_0$. Otherwise, the maximum numerical value of $\Delta F/F_0$ for each transient was assigned as peak $\Delta F/F_0$. For analysis of transient numbers, any transient with $\Delta F/F_0 \ge 0.05$ was counted as a response.

PCR genotyping was used to determine ryr1b alleles and assign data to its respective group. Only $ryr1b^{+/+}$ and $ryr1b^{mn0348Gt/mn0348Gt}$ animals were included in analyses due to the variable mRNA expression seen in $ryr1b^{+/mn0348Gt}$ animals (*Clark et al., 2011a*).

Forward genetic screening with next-generation sequencing

Isolated genomic DNA (300–500 ng) was digested with Msel, and Bfal in parallel for 3 hr at 37°C and heat inactivated for 10 min at 80°C. The digested samples from each enzyme were pooled with prealiquoted barcoded linker in individual wells. The T4 DNA ligase (M0202S, New England Biolabs, Inc, Ipswich, MA) was added, and the reaction mix was incubated for 2 hr at 16°C. The linker-mediated PCR was performed in two steps. In the first step, PCR was done with one primer specific to the 3'- ITR (R-ITR P1, 5'- AATTTTCCCTAAGTACTTGTACTTTCACTTGAGTAA-3') and the other primer specific to linker sequences (LP1, 5'- GTAATACGACTCACTATAGGGCACGCGGTG- 3') using the following conditions: 2 min at 95°C, 25 cycles of 15 s at 95°C, 30 s at 55°C and 30 s at 72°C. The PCR products were diluted to 1:50 in dH2O, and a second round of PCR was performed using ITR (R-ITR P2, 5'-TCACTTGAGTAAAATTTTTGAGTACTTTTTACACCTC-3') and linker specific (LP2, 5' -GCGTGGTCGACTGCGCAT-3') nested primers to increase sensitivity and avoid non- specific amplification using the following conditions: 2 min at 95°C, 20 cycles of 15 s at 95°C, 30 s at 58°C and 30 s at 72°C. The nested PCR products from each 96-well plate are pooled and processed for Illumina library preparation as per manufacturer's instructions.

Quantitative reverse transcription-PCR

To quantify knockdown efficiencies for a GBT-confirmed lines, GBT0235 carrying the RP2.1 insertion into the *Irpprc* gene locus, quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed by using the following protocol. Embryo collections were obtained from in-crossed *Irpprc*^{+/mn0235Gt} adults. The larvae (six dpf) were sorted by mRFP expression to separate them based upon GBT allele and visible dark liver phenotype which has been characterized as a specific abnormality in *Irpprc*^{mn0235Gt/mn0235Gt} previously. Larvae with both RFP expression and dark liver phenotype were used as the experimental group (*Irpprc*^{mn0235Gt/mn0235Gt}) against larvae without either the RFP expression or

the liver phenotype as a control (Irpprc $^{+/+}$). After the initial sorting, individual zebrafish larvae were placed into a 1.7 ml tube with 350 μ l RLT buffer within RNeasy Micro Kit (74004, QIAGEN) with β mercaptoethanol (M6250, Sigma-Aldrich). Embryos were homogenized at max frequency (30 shakes/s) for 5 min using ~30 of 0.5 mm stainless steel beads, RNase free (SSB05, Next Advance, Troy, NY) and Tissue Lyser II (QIAGEN). Homogenized samples were replaced to MaXtract High Density tubes (129056, QIAGEN) to separate between the organic solvent (phenol/chloroform) and the nucleic acid-containing aqueous. Total RNA was purified from the nucleic acid-containing aqueous using the RNeasy Micro Kit (QIAGEN). 250 ng of total RNA from the individual larva was used for cDNA synthesis with the SuperScript II Reverse Transcriptase (18064014, Thermo Fisher Scientific) using random hexamer primers. The 16-folds diluted cDNA with deionized water were used as templates and no reverse transcriptase (RT) controls were run parallel to test for genomic DNA contamination. To analyze transcript levels, quantitative PCR was performed using SensiFAST SYBR Lo-ROX kit (BIO-94005, Bioline) and the CFX96 Touch Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). eef1a111 levels were used as reference. Three technical replicates were run for each sample and three biological replicates for each group and two no RT controls were also run. Data were analyzed through calculation of Delta Ct values. Quantitative reverse transcription-PCR was repeated for four individual clutches from the pair of *Irpprc^{+/mn0235Gt}* adults. Primer sequences are the following information; Irpprc FP: 5'-TGATAATGCTGAGGAAGCTCTCAAACTG-3', Irpprc RP: 5'-CCTTCA TCTCCTTCAGTATGTCTAACGC-3', eef1a1l1 FP: 5'-CCGTCTGCCAACTTCAGGATGTGT-3', eef1a1/1 RP: 5'-TTGAGGACACCAGTCTCCAACACGA-3'. Source data can be found in Figure 3 source data 1.

Annotating human orthologues of GBT-tagged genes and diseasecausing genes

The human orthologues of 177 cloned zebrafish genes were mainly collected from Zebrafish Information Network (ZFIN, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403–5274; URL: http://zfin.org/) In some cases, the candidates of human orthologues unlisted in ZFIN database were manually searched by using both Ensembl released 98 (https://useast.ensembl.org/index.html, (Zerbino et al., 2018) and InParanoid8 (http://inparanoid.sbc.su.se/cgi-bin/index.cgi, (Sonnhammer and Östlund, 2015) databases. In parallel, the candidates were manually identified by the result of Protein BLAST (https:// blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi?PAGE=Proteins) assembled with human proteins and by the result of an online synteny analysis tool, SynFind in Comparative Genomics (CoGe) database (https:// genomevolution.org/CoGe/SynFind.pl) (Lyons and Freeling, 2008). If the candidate multiply hit in those manual assessments, it was annotated as a human orthologue. The human phenotype data caused by mutations of 64 human orthologues were collected by using another data mining tool, BioMart provided by Ensembl (http://useast.ensembl.org/biomart/martview/) (Kinsella et al., 2011; Smedley et al., 2015).

Protein classification of the trapped human orthologs

The 177 human orthologs of cloned GBT-tagged genes were analyzed using PANTHER14.1 (http:// www.pantherdb.org/) (*Mi et al., 2019*). With those gene symbols, 176 gene were identified in the PANTHER system (the exception was *NRXN* Entrez Gene ID: 9378), and 105 genes were classified at least one PANTHER protein class (details are listed in *Figure 6—source data 1*). 8282/20996 human genes have been annotated with 214 protein classes in PANTHER14.1 (April, 2018, http://www.pantherdb.org/panther/summaryStats.jsp).

Subcellular localization of the trapped human orthologs

Experimentally validated subcellular localization data of 177 human orthologous genes tagged by GBT were manually collected from the Human Protein Atlas Subcellular Localization data downloaded on August 27th, 2019 (Courtesy of Human Protein Atlas, www.proteinatlas.org) (**Uhlén et al.**, **2015**) and knowledge-based subcellular localization data for the 49 genes un-validated in the Human Protein Atlas was acquired from UniProtKB on Oct 2nd, 2019 (https://www.uniprot.org/) (**UniProt Consortium, 2018**). This data (**Supplementary file 4**) contains 271 entries because some human orthologous genes were annotated to multiple subcellular localizations.

Finding disease models in vertebrates

Mouse models were found by using descriptions of animal models in both Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM. Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD: October 28th, 2019. URL: https:// omim.org/) (Amberger et al., 2019) and in Mouse Genome Database (MGD) at the Mouse Genome Informatics (MGI) website, The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, Maine: October 28th, 2019 (URL: http://www.informatics.jax.org)(Bult et al., 2019). MGI provided the details of mouse models of human disease, such as the number of models that have been established. Zebrafish model were also found by using OMIM and ZFIN; August 28, 2019. ZFIN provided all data of fish strains listed in this database (Ruzicka et al., 2019). The area proportional Venn diagram were created using Bio-Venn (Hulsen et al., 2008) to visualize the number of human orthologs of the cloned genes associated with human genetic disorders which have at least one established disease model in zebrafish or mouse (Figure 5B).

Gene expression profiling of the cloned zebrafish genes

The cloned genes with published expression data were isolated by using the wild-type expression data retrieved from ZFIN; August 28, 2019 (*Ruzicka et al., 2019*). In parallel, some published expression data were also manually searched from ZFIN. The mRFP reporter expression patterns of the cloned genes 2 and 4 dpf were manually searched using zfishbook database (*Clark et al., 2012*). The comparison with the number of genes with description about expression in both ZFIN and zfishbook (https://zfishbook.org/) was presented using BioVenn (*Hulsen et al., 2008*) to create the area proportional Venn diagrams in *Figure 7S* and *Figure 7T*.

Statistical analysis

Knockdown efficiency and calcium imaging graphs were made in JMP 14 (SAS, Cary, NC) and in GraphPad Prism 8 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA), respectively. All other statistical analyses were performed with R (www.R-project.org) using R-Studio (www.rstudio.com/). Code used for analysis in R can be found in Supplementary file 6. Sample sizes for calcium imaging studies were estimated using peak Δ F/F₀ data from Method one and the 'pwr' package (https://CRAN.R-project.org/ package=pwr). Statistical analyses for calcium imaging data were performed using the 'wilcox.test' function or the 'coin' package (Hothorn et al., 2006; Hothorn et al., 2008) due to the non-normality visualized in the data. Outliers (determined by Grubb's test for one outlier in the 'outliers' package (https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=outliers) were included in overall analysis, although each statistical analysis was also performed without them as a proxy for the sensitivity of our conclusions to the outliers. All statistical tests supported the same conclusion with and without the outliers. Therefore, plots and p-values in the figures include all data points. p-values calculated excluding outliers can be found in Supplementary file 6. Effect size was measured by Cohen's d using the 'effsize' package (https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=effsize). For ease of reporting, all p-values less than 0.0001 were reported in figures as 'p<0.0001', but exact p-values are reported in Supplementary file 6.

Availability of the materials and resources

All reagents are available upon request and all protein trap vectors in each reading frame will be deposited to Addgene (http://www.addgene.org). Zebrafish lines are available either from Zebrafish International Resource Center (ZIRC, http://zebrafish.org/) or the Mayo Clinic Zebrafish Facility, respectively.

Acknowledgements

We thank Zoltan Varga for sharing of the ZIRC sperm cryopreservation protocol prior to publication, Vincent Cunliffe for sharing *p-mylpfa*:GCaMP3 plasmid, Sara Whiteman, Arthur Beyder, and Constanza Alcaino (Enteric Neuroscience Program, Mayo Clinic) for allowing us to use their Ca²⁺ imaging setup and to Krista Habing and David Linden (Enteric Neuroscience Program, Mayo Clinic) for technical help with Ca²⁺ imaging analysis. Additional thanks to the Mayo Clinic Media Services for providing the image in *Figure 5A*. Appreciation is also extended to the Mayo Clinic Zebrafish Facility staff for their excellent support.

Additional information

Funding

Funder	Grant reference number	Author
National Institutes of Health	GM63904	Stephen C Ekker
National Institutes of Health	DA14546	Stephen C Ekker
National Institutes of Health	DK093399	Stephen C Ekker
National Institutes of Health	HG006431	Stephen C Ekker
The Mayo Foundation	Internal	Stephen C Ekker
Natural Sciences and Engi- neering Research Council of Canada	RGPIN 05389-14	Xiao-Yan Wen
The intramural Reserch Pro- gram of the National Human Genome Research Institute, National Institutes of Health	1ZIAHG000183	Shawn M Burgess
The Roy J. Carver Charitable Trust	07-2991	Maura McGrail Jeffrey J Essner
Council of Scientific and In- dustrial Research	MLP1801	Sridhar Sivasubbu

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Author contributions

Noriko Ichino, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Visualization, Methodology, Writing original draft, Writing - review and editing; MaKayla R Serres, Lauren E Tallant, Resources, Investigation, Methodology, Writing - original draft; Rhianna M Urban, Mark D Urban, Kimberly J Skuster, Camden L Daby, Ying Wang, Hsin-kai Liao, Suzan El-Rass, Yonghe Ding, Weibin Liu, Resources, Investigation; Anthony J Treichel, Resources, Formal analysis, Validation, Investigation, Visualization, Methodology, Writing - original draft, Writing - review and editing; Kyle J Schaefbauer, Mark D Wishman, Investigation, Writing - original draft; Gaurav K Varshney, Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing - original draft, Writing - review and editing; Melissa S McNulty, Resources, Methodology; Jennifer L Anderson, Investigation, Writing - original draft, Writing - review and editing; Ankit Sabharwal, Investigation; Lisa A Schimmenti, Data curation, Supervision, Writing - review and editing; Sridhar Sivasubbu, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Investigation; Darius Balciunas, Resources; Matthias Hammerschmidt, Steven Arthur Farber, Supervision, Investigation, Writing review and editing; Xiao-Yan Wen, Maura McGrail, Jeffrey J Essner, Resources, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Writing - review and editing; Xiaolei Xu, Resources, Supervision, Investigation, Writing - review and editing; Shawn M Burgess, Data curation, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Writing - review and editing; Karl J Clark, Conceptualization, Resources, Data curation, Supervision, Investigation, Visualization, Methodology, Writing - original draft, Project administration, Writing - review and editing; Stephen C Ekker, Conceptualization, Resources, Data curation, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Visualization, Methodology, Writing - original draft, Project administration, Writing - review and editing

Author ORCIDs

Noriko Ichino (i) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7009-8299 Rhianna M Urban (i) https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6399-5015 Mark D Urban (i) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9992-7820 Anthony J Treichel (i) http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4393-7034 Gaurav K Varshney (i) http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0429-1904 Suzan El-Rass (i) http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2075-4275 Ankit Sabharwal (i) https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4355-0355 Darius Balciunas (http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1938-3243 Matthias Hammerschmidt (http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3709-8166 Steven Arthur Farber (http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8037-7312 Xiaolei Xu (http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4928-3422 Maura McGrail (http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9308-6189 Jeffrey J Essner (http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8816-3848 Shawn M Burgess (http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1147-0596 Karl J Clark (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9637-0967 Stephen C Ekker (https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0726-4212

Ethics

Animal experimentation: All zebrafish were maintained according to the guidelines and the standard procedures approved by the Mayo Clinic Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (Mayo IACUC). The Mayo IACUC approved all protocols involving live vertebrate animals (A23107, A21710 and A34513).

Decision letter and Author response

Decision letter https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.54572.sa1 Author response https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.54572.sa2

Additional files

Supplementary files

• Supplementary file 1. Genes disrupted in GBT-confirmed lines. Table lists the tagged genes (or unannotated coding sequence) of GBT-confirmed lines, genomic location and orientation of integrated loci, novel expression at 2 and 4 dpf, their human orthologs, and disease associations of their human orthologs. Blue text: published GBT-confirmed line, Red text: Integration locus in unannotated coding sequence, *: RNA sequencing reads mapping on the unannotated loci in at least one public dataset, †; zebrafish paralogs of GBT-tagged genes with one human ortholog, ‡: sequence of single 5' or 3' RACE product matched to two separate transcripts, *: integration locus mapped to GRCz11, ^γ: line previously published as GBT0136, ^d: denotes replicate genes with distinct integration events.

• Supplementary file 2. Homozygous phenotypes in GBT-confirmed lines. List of GBT-confirmed line number, tagged gene, a summary of their established phenotype, and references where more detailed characterization of each line can be found.

• Supplementary file 3. Publicly available human disease models. Established models of 32 human genetic disorders associated with 24 human orthologs of the GBT-tagged genes are generated by alternative genetic approaches in zebrafish and mice. This table listed the GBT ID of the tagged genes, both zebrafish tagged genes and their human orthologs, disease association of the human orthologs, disease ontology ID, number of models in zebrafish and mice listed in ZFIN (http://zfin. org/)(*Ruzicka et al., 2019*) and MGI (http://www.informatics.jax.org)(*Bult et al., 2019*) databases and references.

• Supplementary file 4. Subcellular localization of human orthologs tagged in GBT-confirmed lines. Subcellular localizations of 177 human orthologs tagged in GBT-confirmed lines were listed using experimental data from Human Protein Atlas (www.proteinatlas.org) (*Uhlén et al., 2015*) and knowledge base data from the UniProt knowledge base (UniProtKB, https://www.uniprot.org/, *UniProt Consortium, 2018*). *: UniProt annotation data, †: GO – Cellular Component, ‡: sequence of single 5' or 3' RACE product matched to two separate transcripts.

• Supplementary file 5. Oligo names and sequences.

• Supplementary file 6. The R code and output for sample size estimation and statistical analysis. Individual worksheets in *Figure 4—source data 1* and *Figure 4—figure supplement 1—source data 1* represent the individual '.csv' files read into R to perform these analyses and are named accordingly.

• Transparent reporting form

Data availability

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in the manuscript and supporting files. Source data files have been provided for Figure 3, Figure 4, Figure 4-Figure Supplement 1, Figure 5 and Figure 6.

References

- Afgan E, Baker D, Batut B, van den Beek M, Bouvier D, Cech M, Chilton J, Clements D, Coraor N, Grüning BA, Guerler A, Hillman-Jackson J, Hiltemann S, Jalili V, Rasche H, Soranzo N, Goecks J, Taylor J, Nekrutenko A, Blankenberg D. 2018. The galaxy platform for accessible, reproducible and collaborative biomedical analyses: 2018 update. *Nucleic Acids Research* 46:W537–W544. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky379, PMID: 29790 989
- Amberger JS, Bocchini CA, Scott AF, Hamosh A. 2019. Omim.org: leveraging knowledge across phenotypegene relationships. Nucleic Acids Research 47:D1038–D1043. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1151, PMID: 30445645
- Amsterdam A, Hopkins N. 2004. Retroviral-mediated insertional mutagenesis in zebrafish. *Methods in Cell Biology* **77**:3–20. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/s0091-679x(04)77001-6, PMID: 15602903
- Anderson JL, Mulligan TS, Shen MC, Wang H, Scahill CM, Tan FJ, Du SJ, Busch-Nentwich EM, Farber SA. 2017. mRNA processing in mutant zebrafish lines generated by chemical and CRISPR-mediated mutagenesis produces unexpected transcripts that escape nonsense-mediated decay. *PLOS Genetics* **13**:e1007105. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007105, PMID: 29161261
- **Balciunas D**, Wangensteen KJ, Wilber A, Bell J, Geurts A, Sivasubbu S, Wang X, Hackett PB, Largaespada DA, McIvor RS, Ekker SC. 2006. Harnessing a high cargo-capacity transposon for genetic applications in vertebrates. *PLOS Genetics* **2**:e169. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.0020169, PMID: 17096595
- Balciunas D. 2018. Fish mutant, where is thy phenotype? PLOS Genetics 14:e1007197. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pgen.1007197, PMID: 29470494
- Barnett DW, Garrison EK, Quinlan AR, Strömberg MP, Marth GT. 2011. BamTools: a C++ API and toolkit for analyzing and managing BAM files. *Bioinformatics* 27:1691–1692. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/ btr174, PMID: 21493652
- Baxendale S, Holdsworth CJ, Meza Santoscoy PL, Harrison MR, Fox J, Parkin CA, Ingham PW, Cunliffe VT. 2012. Identification of compounds with anti-convulsant properties in a zebrafish model of epileptic seizures. *Disease Models & Mechanisms* 5:773–784. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dmm.010090, PMID: 22730455
- Bult CJ, Blake JA, Smith CL, Kadin JA, Richardson JE, Mouse Genome Database Group. 2019. Mouse genome database (MGD) 2019. Nucleic Acids Research 47:D801–D806. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1056, PMID: 30407599
- Clark KJ, Balciunas D, Pogoda HM, Ding Y, Westcot SE, Bedell VM, Greenwood TM, Urban MD, Skuster KJ, Petzold AM, Ni J, Nielsen AL, Patowary A, Scaria V, Sivasubbu S, Xu X, Hammerschmidt M, Ekker SC. 2011a. In vivo protein trapping produces a functional expression codex of the vertebrate proteome. *Nature Methods* 8: 506–512. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1606, PMID: 21552255
- Clark KJ, Urban MD, Skuster KJ, Ekker SC. 2011b. Transgenic zebrafish using transposable elements. *Methods in Cell Biology* **104**:137–149. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-374814-0.00008-2, PMID: 21924161
- Clark KJ, Argue DP, Petzold AM, Ekker SC. 2012. Zfishbook: connecting you to a world of zebrafish revertible mutants. *Nucleic Acids Research* **40**:D907–D911. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr957, PMID: 22067444
- Davidson AE, Balciunas D, Mohn D, Shaffer J, Hermanson S, Sivasubbu S, Cliff MP, Hackett PB, Ekker SC. 2003. Efficient gene delivery and gene expression in zebrafish using the sleeping beauty transposon. *Developmental Biology* 263:191–202. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2003.07.013
- Ding Y, Liu W, Deng Y, Jomok B, Yang J, Huang W, Clark KJ, Zhong TP, Lin X, Ekker SC, Xu X. 2013. Trapping cardiac recessive mutants via expression-based insertional mutagenesis screening. *Circulation Research* **112**: 606–617. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.112.300603, PMID: 23283723
- Ding Y, Long PA, Bos JM, Shih Y-H, Ma X, Sundsbak RS, Chen J, Jiang Y, Zhao L, Hu X, Wang J, Shi Y, Ackerman MJ, Lin X, Ekker SC, Redfield MM, Olson TM, Xu X. 2017. A modifier screen identifies DNAJB6 as a cardiomyopathy susceptibility gene. JCI Insight 2:e94086. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.94086
- **Draper BW**, Moens CB. 2009. A High-Throughput method for zebrafish sperm cryopreservation and in vitro fertilization. *Journal of Visualized Experiments* **29**:1395. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3791/1395
- El Khoury LY, Campbell JM, Clark KJ. 2018. The transition of zebrafish functional genetics from random mutagenesis to targeted integration. *Molecular-Genetic and Statistical Techniques for Behavioral and Neural Research* **2018**:401–416. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-804078-2.00017-9
- El-Brolosy MA, Stainier DYR. 2017. Genetic compensation: a phenomenon in search of mechanisms. *PLOS Genetics* **13**:e1006780. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006780, PMID: 28704371
- **El-Rass S**, Eisa-Beygi S, Khong E, Brand-Arzamendi K, Mauro A, Zhang H, Clark KJ, Ekker SC, Wen XY. 2017. Disruption of *pdgfra* alters endocardial and myocardial fusion during zebrafish cardiac assembly. *Biology Open* **6**:348–357. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/bio.021212, PMID: 28167492

- Haffter P, Granato M, Brand M, Mullins MC, Hammerschmidt M, Kane DA, Odenthal J, van Eeden FJ, Jiang YJ, Heisenberg CP, Kelsh RN, Furutani-Seiki M, Vogelsang E, Beuchle D, Schach U, Fabian C, Nüsslein-Volhard C. 1996. The identification of genes with unique and essential functions in the development of the zebrafish, Danio rerio. Development 123:1–36. PMID: 9007226
- Hernández-Ochoa EO, Pratt SJP, Lovering RM, Schneider MF. 2015. Critical role of intracellular RyR1 calcium release channels in skeletal muscle function and disease. *Frontiers in Physiology* **6**:420. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 3389/fphys.2015.00420, PMID: 26793121
- Hirata H, Watanabe T, Hatakeyama J, Sprague SM, Saint-Amant L, Nagashima A, Cui WW, Zhou W, Kuwada JY. 2007. Zebrafish relatively relaxed mutants have a ryanodine receptor defect, show slow swimming and provide a model of multi-minicore disease. *Development* **134**:2771–2781. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.004531, PMID: 17596281
- Hothorn T, Hornik K, van de Wiel MA, Zeileis A. 2006. A lego system for conditional inference. *The American Statistician* **60**:257–263. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1198/000313006X118430
- Hothorn T, Hornik K, van de Wiel MA, Zeileis A. 2008. Implementing a class of permutation tests: the coin package. *Journal of Statistical Software* **28**:23. DOI: https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v028.i08
- Howe K, Clark MD, Torroja CF, Torrance J, Berthelot C, Muffato M, Collins JE, Humphray S, McLaren K, Matthews L, McLaren S, Sealy I, Caccamo M, Churcher C, Scott C, Barrett JC, Koch R, Rauch GJ, White S, Chow W, et al. 2013. The zebrafish reference genome sequence and its relationship to the human genome. Nature 496:498–503. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12111, PMID: 23594743
- Hulsen T, de Vlieg J, Alkema W. 2008. BioVenn a web application for the comparison and visualization of biological lists using area-proportional venn diagrams. BMC Genomics 9:488. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/ 1471-2164-9-488, PMID: 18925949
- Jagannathan S, Bradley RK. 2016. Translational plasticity facilitates the accumulation of nonsense genetic variants in the human population. *Genome Research* **26**:1639–1650. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.205070. 116, PMID: 27646533
- Jungbluth H, Treves S, Zorzato F, Sarkozy A, Ochala J, Sewry C, Phadke R, Gautel M, Muntoni F. 2018. Congenital myopathies: disorders of excitation-contraction coupling and muscle contraction. *Nature Reviews Neurology* 14:151–167. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nrneurol.2017.191, PMID: 29391587
- Kawakami K, Takeda H, Kawakami N, Kobayashi M, Matsuda N, Mishina M. 2004. A transposon-mediated gene trap approach identifies developmentally regulated genes in zebrafish. *Developmental Cell* **7**:133–144. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2004.06.005, PMID: 15239961
- Kettleborough RN, Busch-Nentwich EM, Harvey SA, Dooley CM, de Bruijn E, van Eeden F, Sealy I, White RJ, Herd C, Nijman IJ, Fényes F, Mehroke S, Scahill C, Gibbons R, Wali N, Carruthers S, Hall A, Yen J, Cuppen E, Stemple DL. 2013. A systematic genome-wide analysis of zebrafish protein-coding gene function. *Nature* 496: 494–497. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11992, PMID: 23594742
- Kim D, Pertea G, Trapnell C, Pimentel H, Kelley R, Salzberg SL. 2013. TopHat2: accurate alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene fusions. *Genome Biology* **14**::R36. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2013-14-4-r36
- Kinsella RJ, Kähäri A, Haider S, Zamora J, Proctor G, Spudich G, Almeida-King J, Staines D, Derwent P, Kerhornou A, Kersey P, Flicek P. 2011. Ensembl BioMarts: a hub for data retrieval across taxonomic space. Database 2011:bar030. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/database/bar030, PMID: 21785142
- Lalonde S, Stone OA, Lessard S, Lavertu A, Desjardins J, Beaudoin M, Rivas M, Stainier DYR, Lettre G. 2017. Frameshift indels introduced by genome editing can lead to in-frame exon skipping. *PLOS ONE* **12**:e0178700. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0178700, PMID: 28570605
- Leveque RE, Clark KJ, Ekker SC. 2016. Mayo clinic zebrafish facility overview. Zebrafish **13**:S44–S46. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1089/zeb.2015.1227
- Liao HK, Wang Y, Noack Watt KE, Wen Q, Breitbach J, Kemmet CK, Clark KJ, Ekker SC, Essner JJ, McGrail M. 2012. Tol2 gene trap integrations in the zebrafish amyloid precursor protein genes appa and aplp2 reveal accumulation of secreted APP at the embryonic veins. *Developmental Dynamics* **241**:415–425. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.23725, PMID: 22275008
- Liu TL, Upadhyayula S, Milkie DE, Singh V, Wang K, Swinburne IA, Mosaliganti KR, Collins ZM, Hiscock TW, Shea J, Kohrman AQ, Medwig TN, Dambournet D, Forster R, Cunniff B, Ruan Y, Yashiro H, Scholpp S, Meyerowitz EM, Hockemeyer D, et al. 2018. Observing the cell in its native state: imaging subcellular dynamics in multicellular organisms. *Science* **360**:eaaq1392. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaq1392, PMID: 2 9674564
- Lyons E, Freeling M. 2008. How to usefully compare homologous plant genes and chromosomes as DNA sequences. The Plant Journal **53**:661–673. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03326.x, PMID: 1826 9575
- Ma X, Zhu P, Ding Y, Zhang H, Qiu Q, Dvornikov AV, Wang Z, Kim M, Wang Y, Lowerison M, Yu Y, Norton N, Herrmann J, Ekker SC, Hsiai TK, Lin X, Xu X. 2020. Retinoid X receptor alpha is a spatiotemporally predominant therapeutic target for anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity. *Science Advances* 6:eaay2939. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1126/sciadv.aay2939, PMID: 32064346
- Matthews JL, Murphy JM, Carmichael C, Yang H, Tiersch T, Westerfield M, Varga ZM. 2018. Changes to extender, cryoprotective medium, and in vitro fertilization improve zebrafish sperm cryopreservation. Zebrafish 15:279–290. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1089/zeb.2017.1521, PMID: 29369744
- Meadows JRS, Lindblad-Toh K. 2017. Dissecting evolution and disease using comparative vertebrate genomics. Nature Reviews Genetics **18**:624–636. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg.2017.51, PMID: 28736437

- Mi H, Muruganujan A, Ebert D, Huang X, Thomas PD. 2019. PANTHER version 14: more genomes, a new PANTHER GO-slim and improvements in enrichment analysis tools. *Nucleic Acids Research* **47**:D419–D426. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1038
- Ni TT, Lu J, Zhu M, Maddison LA, Boyd KL, Huskey L, Ju B, Hesselson D, Zhong TP, Page-McCaw PS, Stainier DY, Chen W. 2012. Conditional control of gene function by an invertible gene trap in zebrafish. PNAS **109**:15389–15394. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1206131109, PMID: 22908272
- Ni J, Wangensteen KJ, Nelsen D, Balciunas D, Skuster KJ, Urban MD, Ekker SC. 2016. Active recombinant Tol2 transposase for gene transfer and gene discovery applications. *Mobile DNA* **7**:6. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s13100-016-0062-z, PMID: 27042235
- Parinov S, Kondrichin I, Korzh V, Emelyanov A. 2004. Tol2 transposon-mediated enhancer trap to identify developmentally regulated zebrafish genes in vivo. Developmental Dynamics 231:449–459. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1002/dvdy.20157, PMID: 15366023
- Petzold AM, Balciunas D, Sivasubbu S, Clark KJ, Bedell VM, Westcot SE, Myers SR, Moulder GL, Thomas MJ, Ekker SC. 2009. Nicotine response genetics in the zebrafish. PNAS 106:18662–18667. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1073/pnas.0908247106, PMID: 19858493
- Petzold AM, Bedell VM, Boczek NJ, Essner JJ, Balciunas D, Clark KJ, Ekker SC. 2010. SCORE imaging: specimen in a corrected optical rotational enclosure. Zebrafish 7:149–154. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1089/zeb.2010.0660, PMID: 20528262
- Prykhozhij SV, Steele SL, Razaghi B, Berman JN. 2017. A rapid and effective method for screening, sequencing and reporter verification of engineered frameshift mutations in zebrafish. *Disease Models & Mechanisms* 10: 811–822. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dmm.026765, PMID: 28280001

Robe J. 2005. Rare Diseases: Understanding This Public Health Priority: EURORDIS.

- Ronzitti G. 2019. Transcriptional adaptation: another reason why your disease model fails you. *Science Translational Medicine* **11**:eaax1731. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/scitransImed.aax1731
- Ruzicka L, Howe DG, Ramachandran S, Toro S, Van Slyke CE, Bradford YM, Eagle A, Fashena D, Frazer K, Kalita P, Mani P, Martin R, Moxon ST, Paddock H, Pich C, Schaper K, Shao X, Singer A, Westerfield M. 2019. The zebrafish information network: new support for non-coding genes, richer gene ontology annotations and the alliance of genome resources. *Nucleic Acids Research* **47**:D867–D873. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1090, PMID: 30407545
- Sivasubbu S, Balciunas D, Davidson AE, Pickart MA, Hermanson SB, Wangensteen KJ, Wolbrink DC, Ekker SC. 2006. Gene-breaking transposon mutagenesis reveals an essential role for histone H2afza in zebrafish larval development. *Mechanisms of Development* **123**:513–529. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mod.2006.06.002, PMID: 16859902
- Smedley D, Haider S, Durinck S, Pandini L, Provero P, Allen J, Arnaiz O, Awedh MH, Baldock R, Barbiera G, Bardou P, Beck T, Blake A, Bonierbale M, Brookes AJ, Bucci G, Buetti I, Burge S, Cabau C, Carlson JW, et al. 2015. The BioMart community portal: an innovative alternative to large, centralized data repositories. *Nucleic Acids Research* 43:W589–W598. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv350, PMID: 25897122
- Sonnhammer EL, Östlund G. 2015. InParanoid 8: orthology analysis between 273 proteomes, mostly eukaryotic. Nucleic Acids Research 43:D234–D239. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku1203, PMID: 25429972
- Stoeger T, Gerlach M, Morimoto RI, Nunes Amaral LA. 2018. Large-scale investigation of the reasons why potentially important genes are ignored. *PLOS Biology* 16:e2006643. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pbio.2006643, PMID: 30226837
- Thorvaldsdóttir H, Robinson JT, Mesirov JP. 2013. Integrative genomics viewer (IGV): high-performance genomics data visualization and exploration. *Briefings in Bioinformatics* 14:178–192. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1093/bib/bbs017, PMID: 22517427
- Trinh IeA, Hochgreb T, Graham M, Wu D, Ruf-Zamojski F, Jayasena CS, Saxena A, Hawk R, Gonzalez-Serricchio A, Dixson A, Chow E, Gonzales C, Leung HY, Solomon I, Bronner-Fraser M, Megason SG, Fraser SE. 2011. A versatile gene trap to visualize and interrogate the function of the vertebrate proteome. *Genes & Development* 25:2306–2320. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.174037.111, PMID: 22056673
- Trinh IeA, Fraser SE. 2013. Enhancer and gene traps for molecular imaging and genetic analysis in zebrafish. Development, Growth & Differentiation 55:434–445. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/dgd.12055, PMID: 23565993
- Uhlén M, Fagerberg L, Hallström BM, Lindskog C, Oksvold P, Mardinoglu A, Sivertsson Å, Kampf C, Sjöstedt E, Asplund A, Olsson I, Edlund K, Lundberg E, Navani S, Szigyarto CA, Odeberg J, Djureinovic D, Takanen JO, Hober S, Alm T, et al. 2015. Proteomics Tissue-based map of the human proteome. *Science* **347**:1260419. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1260419, PMID: 25613900
- UniProt Consortium. 2018. UniProt: a worldwide hub of protein knowledge. *Nucleic Acids Research* **47**:D506–D515. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1049
- Urasaki A, Morvan G, Kawakami K. 2006. Functional dissection of the Tol2 transposable element identified the minimal cis-sequence and a highly repetitive sequence in the subterminal region essential for transposition. *Genetics* 174:639–649. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.106.060244, PMID: 16959904
- Varga M, Ralbovszki D, Balogh E, Hamar R, Keszthelyi M, Tory K. 2018. Zebrafish models of rare hereditary pediatric diseases. *Diseases* **6**:43. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/diseases6020043
- Varshney GK, Huang H, Zhang S, Lu J, Gildea DE, Yang Z, Wolfsberg TG, Lin S, Burgess SM. 2013a. The zebrafish insertion collection (ZInC): a web based, searchable collection of zebrafish mutations generated by DNA insertion. *Nucleic Acids Research* 41:D861–D864. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks946, PMID: 231 80778

- Varshney GK, Lu J, Gildea DE, Huang H, Pei W, Yang Z, Huang SC, Schoenfeld D, Pho NH, Casero D, Hirase T, Mosbrook-Davis D, Zhang S, Jao LE, Zhang B, Woods IG, Zimmerman S, Schier AF, Wolfsberg TG, Pellegrini M, et al. 2013b. A large-scale zebrafish gene knockout resource for the genome-wide study of gene function. *Genome Research* 23:727–735. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.151464.112, PMID: 23382537
- Wangler MF, Yamamoto S, Chao HT, Posey JE, Westerfield M, Postlethwait J, Hieter P, Boycott KM, Campeau PM, Bellen HJ, Members of the Undiagnosed Diseases Network (UDN). 2017. Model organisms facilitate rare disease diagnosis and therapeutic research. *Genetics* 207:9–27. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.117. 203067, PMID: 28874452
- Westcot SE, Hatzold J, Urban MD, Richetti SK, Skuster KJ, Harm RM, Lopez Cervera R, Umemoto N, McNulty MS, Clark KJ, Hammerschmidt M, Ekker SC. 2015. Protein-Trap insertional mutagenesis uncovers new genes involved in zebrafish skin development, including a neuregulin 2a-Based ErbB signaling pathway required during median fin fold morphogenesis. *PLOS ONE* **10**:e0130688. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0130688, PMID: 26110643
- White RJ, Collins JE, Sealy IM, Wali N, Dooley CM, Digby Z, Stemple DL, Murphy DN, Billis K, Hourlier T, Füllgrabe A, Davis MP, Enright AJ, Busch-Nentwich EM. 2017. A high-resolution mRNA expression time course of embryonic development in zebrafish. *eLife* 6:e30860. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30860, PMID: 2 9144233
- Wierson WA, Welker JM, Almeida MP, Mann CM, Webster DA, Torrie ME, Weiss TJ, Kambakam S, Vollbrecht MK, Lan M, McKeighan KC, Levey J, Ming Z, Wehmeier A, Mikelson CS, Haltom JA, Kwan KM, Chien CB, Balciunas D, Ekker SC, et al. 2020. Efficient targeted integration directed by short homology in zebrafish and mammalian cells. *eLife* **9**:e53968. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.53968, PMID: 32412410
- Winter J, Luu A, Gapinske M, Manandhar S, Shirguppe S, Woods WS, Song JS, Perez-Pinera P. 2019. Targeted exon skipping with AAV-mediated split Adenine base editors. *Cell Discovery* **5**:41. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1038/s41421-019-0109-7, PMID: 31636954
- Xu J, Gao J, Li J, Xue L, Clark KJ, Ekker SC, Du SJ. 2012. Functional analysis of slow myosin heavy chain 1 and myomesin-3 in sarcomere organization in zebrafish embryonic slow muscles. *Journal of Genetics and Genomics* 39:69–80. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgg.2012.01.005, PMID: 22361506
- Zerbino DR, Achuthan P, Akanni W, Amode MR, Barrell D, Bhai J, Billis K, Cummins C, Gall A, Girón CG, Gil L, Gordon L, Haggerty L, Haskell E, Hourlier T, Izuogu OG, Janacek SH, Juettemann T, To JK, Laird MR, et al. 2018. Ensembl 2018. Nucleic Acids Research 46:D754–D761. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx1098, PMID: 29155950