



17th European Congress of Psychology

Psychology as the Hub Science: Opportunities & Responsibility

5-8 July 2022, Ljubljana, Slovenia

Book of Abstracts

Congress organiser

Slovenian Psychologists' Association
Under the Auspices of the European Federation of Psychologists' Associations

Scientific Programme Committee

Mojca Juriševič, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia (Chair)
Karin Bakračevič, University of Maribor, Slovenia
Paul A. Bartolo, University of Malta, Malta
Sheyla Blumen, Pontifical Catholic University of Peru, Peru
Gašper Cankar, National Examinations Centre, Slovenia
Amanda Clinton, American Psychological Association, USA
Márta Fülöp, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Hungary
David Gosar, University Children's Hospital, Ljubljana, Slovenia
Peter Janjuševič, The Counselling Centre for Children, Adolescents and Parents, Ljubljana, Slovenia
Boris Jokić, Institute for Social Research, Zagreb, Croatia
Tanja Kajtna, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia
Maria Karekla, University of Cyprus, Cyprus
Gennady G. Knyazev, Scientific Research Institute of Neuroscience and Medicine, Novosibirsk, Russia
Christian Korunka, University of Vienna, Austria
Katja Košir, University of Maribor, Slovenia
Ana Kozina, Educational Research Institute, Ljubljana, Slovenia
Gordana Kuterovac Jagodić, University of Zagreb, Croatia
Beata Krzywosz-Rynkiewicz, University of Warmia and Mazury, Olsztyn, Poland
Margherita Lanz, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Milan, Italy
Bojan Musil, University of Maribor, Slovenia
Vlasta Novak Zabukovec, University of Ljubljana and University of Primorska, Slovenia
Anja Podlesek, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia
Saška Roškar, University of Ljubljana and National Institute of Public Health, Ljubljana, Slovenia
Polona Selič - Zupančič, University of Maribor, Slovenia
Sidónio Serpa, Lusofona University, Lisbon, Portugal
Sara Tement, University of Maribor, Slovenia
Maja Zupančič, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia
Neža Podlogar, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia (Assistant to the Chair)

Edited by

Mojca Juriševič

and available instrument for the thorough clinical assessment of a new diagnostic category of complex PTSD.

[This project has received funding from the European Social Fund (project No 09.3.3-LMT-K-712-19-0048 under a grant agreement with the Research Council of Lithuania (LMTLT)).]

Cyberpsychology: Impact of the Internet on Attitudes, Social Perception and Relationships

Fake News: More Time to Read, Less Possibilities to Believe?

Vita Mikuličiūtė, Vytautas Jurkuvėnas, Vilmantė Pakalniškienė, Viktorija Ivleva
Vilnius University, Lithuania
vita.mikuliciute@fsf.vu.lt

IP3-8-E2

Dual process theory states that the less time a person has for a decision, the poorer their choices will be. Besides, people are truth biased - meaning they tend to see new information as accurate. In the context of fake news, time constraints make people more susceptible to false information. Therefore, the study's main aim was to analyze relationships between overall belief in fake news and discernment of fake news under different time constraints. In total, 504 pre-retirees and retirees of Lithuania participated in the research (69.7 percent were females). The mean age was 64.3 (range from 50 to 90 years). Participants read true and false news headlines taken from social media and were randomly divided into four groups: had 7 s, 10 s, 15 s, and no time restrictions for reading them. Two measures were calculated: how much the participant believed that the headline was accurate (overall belief) and how much he/she could distinguish between true and fake headlines (discernment). The study revealed that overall belief in news is higher and discernment of fake news is lower with higher time constraints. Results suggest that when 7 seconds were given to read a news headline, subjects indicated to believe them more and were worse at discerning true from fake headlines compared to conditions where 10 or 15 seconds were given or when no time constraints were assigned to read a news headline. These results seem to support dual process theory as an increase in fake news discernment and a decrease in overall belief in news seems not to be gradual. However, further work is necessary to understand better how people discern fake and true news under short time constraints. Also, it is important to investigate different other factors affecting overall belief in news and discernment of fake news.

Motivations Underlying Sexting Attitudes: Qualitative Approach

Krešimir Prijatelj¹, Arta Dodaj¹, Kristina Sesar², Mónica Ojeda Pérez³, Rosario del Rey Alamillo³, Dominika Howard⁴, Ashton Gerding Speno⁵

¹University of Zadar, Croatia

²University of Mostar, Bosnia & Herzegovina

³University of Sevilla, Spain

⁴Deakin University, Australia

⁵Southern Illinois University, United States

kresimir.prijatelj@gmail.com

IP3-8-E2

Qualitative research on sexting is not at all rare internationally (for an overview, see Doyle et al., 2021). Despite this steady