



Von Willebrand Factor Multimeric Assay in Acquired von Willebrand Disease Diagnosis: A Report of Experience from North Estonia Medical Centre

Marika Pikta^{1,2,✉} Valdas Banys³ Timea Szanto⁴ Lotta Joutsu-Korhonen⁵
Ines Vaide⁶ Mirja Varik⁷ Anna-Elina Lehtinen⁸ Paul Giangrande⁹ Edward Laane⁶

¹Department of Health Technologies, Tallinn University of Technology, Tallinn, Estonia

²Department of Laboratory Medicine, North Estonia Medical Centre, Tallinn, Estonia

³Department of Physiology, Biochemistry, Microbiology and Laboratory Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania

⁴Research Program Unit of Systems Oncology, Helsinki University Hospital, Unit of Coagulation Disorders, Helsinki, Finland

⁵Department of Clinical Chemistry, Unit of Coagulation Disorders, HUSLAB Laboratory Services, Helsinki University Hospital and University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

⁶Hemato-Oncology Clinic, Institute of Clinical Medicine, Tartu University, Tartu, Estonia

⁷Hematology Department, North Estonia Medical Centre, Tallinn, Estonia

⁸Department of Hematology, Unit of Coagulation Disorders, Helsinki University Hospital Comprehensive Cancer Center and University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

⁹Green Templeton College, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom

Address for correspondence Marika Pikta, MD, Department of Laboratory Medicine, North Estonia Medical Centre, 19 Sütiste Str, Tallinn, 13419, Estonia (e-mail: marika.pikta@regionaalhaigla.ee).

J Lab Physicians 2021;13:195–201.

Abstract

Objectives Acquired von Willebrand syndrome (AVWS) is a rare and frequently underdiagnosed bleeding disorder with an unknown prevalence. The diagnosis of AVWS is made based on laboratory investigations and the presence of clinical symptoms. Evaluation and management of affected patients are complex due to the need for multiple laboratory assays.

Materials and Methods Here, we describe the clinical and laboratory data of seven patients with a diagnosis of AVWS. All patients met the criteria for AVWS based on laboratory findings, bleeding symptoms, and the absence of any previous history of a bleeding disorder.

Results In all cases, the laboratory findings, lack of bleeding anamnesis, and family history suggested the presence of AVWS. Von Willebrand factor multimeric analysis showed decreased high-molecular weight (HMW) multimers in six cases. Patients with lower HMW multimers experienced more severe bleeding complications.

Conclusions The diagnosis of AVWS is complex and requires extensive laboratory evaluation. Interdisciplinary collaboration and complex laboratory evaluations are of paramount importance for the early recognition of AVWS and optimal AVWS diagnosis as well as successful clinical management.

Keywords

- ▶ acquired von Willebrand syndrome
- ▶ von Willebrand factor
- ▶ von Willebrand factor multimers

Note: The study was performed according to the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Tallinn Medical Research Ethics Committee.

published online
June 28, 2021

DOI <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0041-1730818>
ISSN 0974-2727

© 2021. The Indian Association of Laboratory Physicians.
This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonDerivative-NonCommercial-License, permitting copying and reproduction so long as the original work is given appropriate credit. Contents may not be used for commercial purposes, or adapted, remixed, transformed or built upon. (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).
Thieme Medical and Scientific Publishers Pvt. Ltd. A-12, 2nd Floor, Sector 2, Noida-201301 UP, India

Introduction

Acquired von Willebrand syndrome (AVWS) is a rare and frequently underdiagnosed bleeding disorder, mainly due to the broad spectrum of possible clinical and laboratory features affiliated with this condition.¹ The mechanisms behind von Willebrand factor (VWF) abnormalities depend upon the type of underlying disorder and may include increased clearance; enhanced shear stress and subsequent proteolysis; inhibition of VWF functions; adsorption to the platelet surface; or, rarely, decreased synthesis.¹

The definition of AVWS was published by the VWF subcommittee in 2000.² A diagnosis of AVWS can be made based on the following criteria: the existence of a lack of previous lifelong bleeding incidents and relevant family history, clinical picture, and laboratory investigation results,³ for example, VWF levels and factor VIII (FVIII) coagulant activity (FVIII:C) are sometimes decreased, a reduced VWF function/antigen ratio can indicate the existence of functional disorders, even if the absolute activity is within the normal limit, a loss or decrease in high-molecular weight (HMW) multimers may also be observable. The prevalence of AVWS remains unknown and⁴ the evaluation and management of affected patients may be complex due to the need for multiple laboratory assays, especially in those in whom the underlying disease (e.g., prosthetic heart valve or essential thrombocytopenia [ET]) necessitates antithrombotic therapy. The initial laboratory tests used to assess AVWS include VWF level, VWF activity, and FVIII activity assays. Further tests include VWF multimer analysis, which is a sensitive tool able to detect the structural abnormalities of VWF even in the context of normal VWF activity levels. The frequency of the detection of inhibitors, that is, antibodies against VWF, is low in AVWS. Before 2016, it was not possible to confirm a suspicion of AVWS in Estonia because of a limitation of available laboratory VWF assays, while, since 2016, all VWF-related screening assays have been available to clinicians⁵ and, recently, a semiautomated VWF multimer assay has been incorporated into routine clinical practice at the North Estonia Medical Centre (NEMC).^{6,7}

Here, we describe the clinical and laboratory data of seven patients diagnosed with AVWS at NEMC.

Materials and Methods

Patients

We included all consequent patients referred to and assessed at NEMC from the January 1, 2016, to December 31, 2017, who met the criteria for an AVWS diagnosis based on laboratory findings and bleeding symptoms together with the absence of any previous history of a bleeding disorder.³

The most common clinical symptoms were easy bruising, epistaxis, menorrhagia, and bleeding complications after tooth extraction. The mean age of the patients was 57.4 years (range: 22–80 years). The study group included five women and two men with various underlying diseases such as non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL), monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS), ET, polycythemia vera

(PV), secondary polycythemia due to cardiovascular diseases, obstructive sleep apnea syndrome, and autoimmune thyroiditis.

All cases were discussed at interdisciplinary meetings between laboratory and clinical staff. This retrospective study was performed as a collaboration between NEMC and Helsinki University Hospital, HUSLAB laboratory services, Coagulation Disorders Unit in partnership with The Twinning Program of the World Federation of Hemophilia (WFH). The study was performed according to the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Tallinn Medical Research Ethics Committee.

Blood Sampling

During this study, peripheral venous blood specimens were collected into K2-EDTA tubes (BD Vacutainer; BD Diagnostics, Plymouth, UK) for a complete blood count, 3.2% sodium citrate tubes (BD Vacutainer; BD Diagnostics) for coagulation assays, and hirudin blood tubes (Roche Diagnostics, Switzerland) for platelet aggregation evaluation.

Laboratory Investigations

Based on the laboratory assays available in Estonia, the diagnostic algorithm for von Willebrand disease (VWD)/ syndrome was adopted in this study.⁸ Initial laboratory evaluations included complete blood count (Sysmex XE-5000; Roche Diagnostics); prothrombin time (PT) (Neoplastine Cl Plus; Diagnostica Stago, Asnières-sur-Seine, France); partial thromboplastin time (APTT) (PTT-A; Diagnostica Stago), VWF antigen (VWF:Ag) (Liatest-VWF:Ag; Diagnostica Stago); FVIII:C determined by a one-stage, clot-based assay (Diagnostica Stago, France); and VWF activity measured as VWF binding to the glycoprotein Ib (GPIb) receptor on the platelet surface (VWF:GPIbM) (Innovance VWF Ac kit; Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Marburg, Germany). All parameters were measured on the STA-R Evolution analyzer (Diagnostica Stago) using commercial kits.

Mixing studies were conducted to determine the etiology of prolonged APTT; the APTT test was repeated on a mixture of the patient's plasma with normal plasma immediately and after incubation for two hours at 37°C. Depending on correction, FVIII, FIX, FXI, FXII, or lupus anticoagulant tests were performed.

Platelet aggregation was measured in whole blood by an impedance multiplate aggregometer (Roche Diagnostics) using the RISTOhigh test (final concentration of ristocetin: 0.77 mg/mL) and RISTOlow test (final concentration of ristocetin: 0.2 mg/mL). For both, the measurements were performed within 180 minutes after venipuncture.

The multimeric pattern of VWF was evaluated using the new Hydrigel 5 von Willebrand multimers assay (Sebia, Lisses, France).^{6,9-11} The detailed protocol has previously been described.¹² In May 2019, the VWF multimer analysis with 5VWF was accredited in the NEMC laboratory according to the ISO15189:2012 standard. Both the visual evaluation of the gels and densitometric analysis were performed. VWF multimers were classified as low-molecular weight, intermediate-molecular weight, or HMW multimers with densitometry.

Case Series

The main characteristics of the study participants are shown in ►Table 1. All patients had other bleeding episodes and no family history for bleeding disorders. The International Society on Thrombosis and Hemostasis–Bleeding Assessment Tool was used to score the risk of bleeding (data not presented).

Case 1. A 67-year-old female patient with a diagnosis of NHL from 2012 onward was referred for consultation with a suspected bleeding disorder. Three bleeding episodes were noted during a period of 6 months before a definite AVWS diagnosis was made. First, a severe bleeding episode had occurred related to puncture of the right maxillary sinus; then, 3 months later, she was admitted to the emergency

Table 1 Demographic and laboratory characteristics of the study participants

	Reference ranges	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4	Case 5	Case 6	Case 7
Diagnosis		Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma	ET, JAK2 (V617F)	ET, JAK2 (V617F)	PV	MGUS	Secondary erythrocytosis due to cardiovascular diseases and obstructive sleep apnea syndrome	Autoimmune thyroiditis
Clinical symptoms		Epistaxis, bleeding complications after tooth extraction	Menorrhagia	Bleeding complications after tooth extraction	Bleeding complications after tooth extraction	Epistaxis	Epistaxis	Spontaneous hematoma
Age, gender		67 F	33 F	61 F	60 F	78 M	80 M	22 F
PT (sec)	11.5–14.5	13.3	13.2	13.0	12.6	13.0	12.9	12.6
APTT (sec)	29–38	44	48	41	48	46	34.4	33.6
APTTmix1:1 (0', 120')		Correction	Correction	Correction	Correction	Correction	NA	NA
VWF:Ag (%)	50–160	25	61	83	102	29	269	35
VWFGPIbM (%)	46–146 (0 group) 61–179 (non-0)	14	34	29	62	11	174	41
VWFGPIbM/Ag ratio	> 0.7	0.56	0.55	0.35	0.61	0.38	0.65	1.25
FVIII:C %	60–150	42	37	48	118	21	253	65
RISTOhigh (U)	98–180	12	ND	ND	151	38	ND	112
WBC count 10 ⁹ /L	4–10	5.6	14.9	12.5	15.2	4.2	8.1	7.7
RBC count 10 ¹² /L	M 4.5–6.0; N 4.0–5.5	4.6	5.4	8.5	5.7	5.0	6.2	4.1
Hematocrit (%)	M 40–52; N 36–47	40	46	50	47	46	57	38
Platelet count 10 ⁹ /L	150–400	245	1391	1120	785	224	142	326
VWF multimers	Persons without VWD (21): Normal distribution	Loss of HMWM	Decrease of HMWM	Loss of HMWM	Decrease of HMWM	Decrease of HMWM	Decrease of HMWM	Normal distribution
LMWM (%)	15.3 (11–23)	50.9	33.1	58.3	32.5	49.1	35.1	13.8
IMWM (%)	30.2 (23.1–35.8)	38.3	39.4	33.5	39.1	19.1	35.9	25.0
HMWM (%)	54.8 (45.1–65.9)	10.8	27.5	8.3	28.4	31.8	29.0	61.2

Abbreviations: HMWM, high-molecular-weight multimers; IMWM, intermediate-molecular-weight multimers; LMWM, low-molecular-weight multimers; NA, nonapplicable; ND, not determined; VWF: Ag, von Willebrand factor antigen; VWF: GPIbM, VWF activity assays using recombinant gain-of-function mutant GPIb fragments allowing for the spontaneous binding of VWF to the mutant GPIb without ristocetin.

department due to recurrent bleeding after tooth extraction requiring tamponade and bleeding from the right nasal cavity requiring electrocauterization. The patient was treated with tranexamic acid during all bleeding events and continues to be followed-up in the hematology clinic.

Case 2. A 33-year-old female patient with heavy menorrhagia and high platelet count was investigated. She had no antithrombotic treatment. A diagnosis of ET with a positive finding for a *JAK2* (V617F) mutation was made. Menorrhagia was caused by secondary von Willebrand syndrome, and treatment with tranexamic acid was prescribed for use during menstrual bleeding.

Case 3. A 61-year-old female patient was investigated after experiencing bleeding after tooth extraction lasting 2 days. A high blood platelet count suggested the possibility of chronic myeloproliferative disease together with secondary von Willebrand syndrome. Further investigations confirmed *JAK2* (V617F)-positive ET. Cessation of bleeding symptoms was achieved after platelet count normalization with hydroxyurea treatment.

Case 4. A 60-year-old female patient with PV from 2000 onward was referred for additional examination and consultation before planned tooth extraction. She experienced bleeding complications 2 year earlier after the tooth extraction. She was treated with hydroxyurea, blood transfusion, and low-dose aspirin. She was advised to stop aspirin 5 days before her next planned tooth extraction. Prophylactic treatment with 10 mg/kg of tranexamic acid given intravenously (IV) was prescribed three times daily on the procedure day and also one day before and after the procedure.

Case 5. A 78-year-old male patient was consulted because of recurrent epistaxis, with a need for cauterization throughout 2 previous years. His complete blood count was normal. Biochemical investigation showed a monoclonal peak (3.1 g/L) in the γ -globulin region. Immunoglobulin G kappa monoclonal protein was confirmed by immunofixation. The kappa/lambda free light-chain ratio was 5.2 (reference range: 0.26–1.65), compatible with a diagnosis of MGUS. Tranexamic acid was prescribed in the case of a bleeding episode and the patient remains under close follow-up observation by the hematology clinic.

Case 6. An 81-year-old male patient with cardiovascular disease and obstructive sleep apnea syndrome was referred to a hematologist by his general practitioner due to frequent epistaxis (nosebleeds) occurring in the 2 previous years, with the need for nasal tamponade at the emergency department. The complete blood count revealed an increased red blood cell count ($6.10^{12}/L$), increased hemoglobin level (176 g/L), and increased hematocrit concentration (54.9%), which raised the suspicion for PV. However, further studies on *BCR/ABL* p210 and *JAK2* V617F mutations were normal, supporting the diagnosis of secondary erythrocytosis due to cardiovascular disease, which is one condition that can cause AVWS. The patient was counseled, and instructions were given for handling future bleeding episodes. Tranexamic acid was also prescribed to treat further bleeding episodes.

Case 7. A 22-year-old female patient was referred to the hematologist for bleeding evaluation. She reported

the development of apparently spontaneous subcutaneous hematomas, unrelated to trauma or physical activity, during the last 3 years. Additional examination showed increased thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH) and thyroid peroxidase (> 1000 U/mL) levels, consistent with a diagnosis of autoimmune thyroiditis, and the patient was referred to the endocrinologist. Her hypothyroidism was treated and, 1 year later, normal TSH values were recorded together with normalization of coagulation test findings for VWF:Ag (69%), VWF:GPIbM (86%), fibrinogen (2.58 g/L), and CRV (< 1 mg/L).

Results

Coagulation Workup for AVWD Diagnosis

In this case series, coagulation studies showed normal PT and prolonged APTT (Cases 1–5). Mixing study revealed corrections for both immediate and incubated APTT tests, indicating a mild deficiency of FVIII in Cases 1, 2, 3, and 5. FIX, FXI, and FXII levels were normal. Follow-up assessments demonstrated severely decreased ($< 35\%$) VWF activity in four of seven patients (► **Table 1**), fulfilling the criteria for VWD diagnosis. Both decreased VWF:Ag and VWF:GPIbM levels in Cases 1 and 5 and normal VWF:Ag levels with low VWF:GPIbM levels in Cases 2 and 3 were observed. In patient 6, the levels of VWF:Ag, VWF:GPIbM, and FVIII:C were increased, while a decreased VWF function/antigen ratio (VWF:GPIbM/VWF:Ag) was recorded. High-dose ristocetin-induced platelet aggregation was decreased in two patients (cases 1 and 5), while low-dose ristocetin-induced platelet aggregation was normal. In Case 7, the levels of VWF:Ag and VWF:GPIbM were both decreased with a normal VWF function/antigen ratio. Complete blood count and platelet aggregation studies were normal.

VWF multimeric analysis (► **Figs. 1 B–F**) revealed decreased HMW multimers, supporting AVWS in all instances (Cases 1–6). In Case 6, during the visual investigation of gel, we did not detect any abnormalities in the VWF pattern, yet densitometric data provided additional information about the VWF multimeric structure. Multimeric analysis (► **Fig. 1 H**) showed a normal distribution pattern, suggesting type 1 AVWS. We noted that patients with lower HMW multimers by densitometric evaluation presented with more severe bleeding complications.

Discussion

We herein describe the clinical and laboratory data of seven patients with AVWS. All cases were discussed in a multidisciplinary meeting involving both clinical and laboratory experts. In all cases, the laboratory findings and lack of previous lifelong bleeding episodes and family history suggested AVWS.

Earlier studies have documented that MGUS,^{13–15} NHL,¹⁶ ET,^{17,18} and autoimmune hypothyroidism¹⁹ are associated with AVWS. The pathogenesis of AVWS is variable but may have an overlapping mechanism among patients with different underlying disorders.^{4,20,21}




Fig. 1 Electrophoresis gels and densitograms: A—healthy person, B—Case 1, C—Case 2, D—Case 3, E—Case 4, F—Case 5, G—Case 6, H—Case 7. LMWM, low-molecular-weight multimers; IMWM, intermediate-molecular-weight multimers; HMWM, high-molecular-weight multimers; PNP, pool normal plasma.

In our series, six patients showed a type 2-like phenotype with decreased VWF activity to the Ag ratio and a loss/decrease of HMW multimers. One patient had a type

1 VWD phenotype. Recently developed diagnostic algorithms, based on standard laboratory assays, may assist clinicians in the diagnostic workup and help differentiate between AVWS

and VWD types 1 and 2. As reported by Federici et al, using data from the AVWS 2004 International Registry,²² AVWS is often correlated with a reduced ratio of VWF:RCO/VWF:Ag. The same findings were observed in our study in all cases except in Case 7, where the patient's clinical presentation was caused by the decreased synthesis of VWF.

Notably, our study demonstrated that VWF multimer analysis aids in the diagnosis of AVWS as an important, valuable tool. We further observed in our study that decreases in the level of VWF:GPIbM and ratio of VWF:GPIbM /VWF:Ag were associated with the selective loss or decrease of HMW multimers. Our study is in agreement with the report by Tiede et al,²³ which suggested that a reduced VWF:RCO/Ag ratio in AVWS indicates inhibitory antibodies or a selective loss or decrease in HMW multimers. Separately, research conducted in Germany^{24,25} reported that, in 207 patients with cardiovascular disorders associated with AVWS and a loss of the HMW multimers, only 44% showed a ratio below 0.7 and noted that those patients would have likely been misdiagnosed without multimer analysis. In addition, VWF multimer analysis has been reported by Chen and Nichols as the most sensitive and specific method available for detecting such AVWS or acquired VWF abnormality without definite bleeding symptoms.²⁶ In line with a previous study,²⁷ our results revealed that AVWS is also associated with a decreased response to the higher ristocetin concentration (Cases 1 and 5).

The incidence of AVWS is possibly underestimated in the clinic. For example, as seen in the retrospective report by Mital et al on ET patients, AVWS may develop as frequently as in every fifth patient with ET.²⁸ Furthermore, AVWS should be considered in all patients with new-onset bleeding whenever the laboratory findings suggest VWD, particularly in the presence of an AVWS-associated disorder. AVWS testing is also recommended prior to surgery or an intervention characterized by a high risk of bleeding in any individual with an AVWS-associated disorder. Treatment of the patient's underlying condition can lead to remission of AVWS. Strategies to prevent and/or treat bleeding episodes should also be put into place, including the use of VWF-containing FVIII concentrates, desmopressin, and tranexamic acid. Treatment success will depend largely upon the underlying pathogenesis of the disorder. Therefore, investigation of the VWF multimers presents profound clinical significance in suspected AVWS.

The gold standard for the detection of structural abnormalities of VWF is the multimeric assay.²⁹ We assessed the VWF multimeric pattern in gels and quantified multimeric fractions using Sebia analysis software program. This method is easy to use and could prove very useful in future laboratory workup required for the diagnosis of AVWS. Interestingly, the densitometric evaluation of VWF multimers showed that patients with lower HMW multimer values presented with more severe bleeding complications. However, most AVWS patients do not bleed until they experience additional triggers like invasive procedures or trauma.⁴ Therefore, correct identification of patients with AVWS is a prerequisite for determining the applicable guidance on clinical management.³⁰

Conclusions

Our data also demonstrate that the diagnosis of AVWS is complex and requires extensive laboratory evaluation.³¹ Our data support that VWF multimer analysis should be included in the AVWS diagnostic algorithm. Interdisciplinary collaboration and complex laboratory evaluations are of paramount importance for the early recognition of AVWS and the selection of appropriate clinical management protocols.

Research Funding

Sebia (Lisses, France) has donated the Hydragel 5 von Willebrand multimers kits.

Conflicts of Interests

None declared.

Acknowledgments

Research funding: Sebia (Lisses, France) donated the Hydragel 5 von Willebrand multimers kits. The authors would like to express gratitude to laboratory technicians Galina Trofimova and Tatjana Tverskaja for excellent technical assistance related to sample testing.

References

- 1 Michiels JJ, Budde U, van der Planken M, van Vliet HH, Schroyens W, Berneman Z. Acquired von Willebrand syndromes: clinical features, aetiology, pathophysiology, classification and management. *Best Pract Res Clin Haematol* 2001;14(2):401–436
- 2 Federici AB, Rand JH, Bucciarelli P, et al. Subcommittee on von Willebrand Factor. Acquired von Willebrand syndrome: data from an international registry. *Thromb Haemost* 2000;84(2):345–349
- 3 James AH, Eikenboom J, Federici AB. State of the art: von Willebrand disease. *Haemophilia* 2016;22(Suppl 5):54–59
- 4 Collins P, Budde U, Rand JH, Federici AB, Kessler CM. Epidemiology and general guidelines of the management of acquired haemophilia and von Willebrand syndrome. *Haemophilia* 2008;14(Suppl 3):49–55
- 5 Pikta M, Zolotareva V, Tönne J, Viigimaa M, Banys V. Implementation and verification of new VWF: Ac assay system with components from different manufacturers. *Laboratorie Medicina* 2016;72:185–188
- 6 Pikta M, Zemtsovskaja G, Bautista H, et al. Preclinical evaluation of a semi-automated and rapid commercial electrophoresis assay for von Willebrand factor multimers. *J Clin Lab Anal* 2018;32(6):e22416
- 7 Pikta M, Szanto T, Viigimaa M, et al. Evaluation of a new semi-automated hydragel 11 von Willebrand factor multimers assay kit for routine use. *J Med Biochem* 2021;40(2):167–172
- 8 Pikta M, Banys V, Vaide I, et al. Development of Diagnostic Algorithm for von Willebrand Disease within WFH the Twinning Tallinn-Helsinki program. Available at: https://www.postersessiononline.eu/173580348_eu/congresos/WFH2018/aula/-M-P_139_WFH2018.pdf. Accessed December 9, 2020
- 9 Bowyer AE, Goodfellow KJ, Seidel H, et al. Evaluation of a semi-automated von Willebrand factor multimer assay, the Hydragel 5 von Willebrand multimer, by two European Centers. *Res Pract Thromb Haemost* 2018;2(4):790–799
- 10 Crist RA, Heikal NM, Rodgers GM, Grenache DG, Smock KJ. Evaluation of a new commercial method for von Willebrand factor multimeric analysis. *Int J Lab Hematol* 2018;40:586–591

- 11 Favalaro EJ, Oliver S. Evaluation of a new commercial von Willebrand factor multimer assay. *Haemophilia* 2017;23(4):e373–e377
- 12 Oliver S, Lau KK, Chapman K, Favalaro EJ. Laboratory testing for von Willebrand factor multimers. *Methods Mol Biol* 2017;1646:495–511
- 13 Mannucci PM, Lombardi R, Bader R, et al. Studies of the pathophysiology of acquired von Willebrand's disease in seven patients with lymphoproliferative disorders or benign monoclonal gammopathies. *Blood* 1984;64(3):614–621
- 14 Federici AB, Stabile F, Castaman G, Canciani MT, Mannucci PM. Treatment of acquired von Willebrand syndrome in patients with monoclonal gammopathy of uncertain significance: comparison of three different therapeutic approaches. *Blood* 1998;92(8):2707–2711
- 15 Nitu-Whalley IC, Lee CA. Acquired von Willebrand syndrome—report of 10 cases and review of the literature. *Haemophilia* 1999;5(5):318–326
- 16 Tran-Thang C, Mannucci PM, Schneider P, Federici A, Bachmann F. Profound alterations of the multimeric structure of von Willebrand factor in a patient with malignant lymphoma. *Br J Haematol* 1985;61(2):307–314
- 17 Raman BKS, Sawdyk M, Saeed SM. Essential thrombocytopenia with acquired von Willebrand's disease. *Am J Clin Pathol* 1987;88(1):102–106
- 18 Budde U, van Genderen PJ. Acquired von Willebrand disease in patients with high platelet counts. *Semin Thromb Hemost* 1997;23(5):425–431
- 19 Thorton JG, Parpia LA, Minford AMB. Hypothyroidism and von Willebrand's disease. *Lancet* 1987;1(8545):1314–1315
- 20 Mital A. Acquired von Willebrand Syndrome. *Adv Clin Exp Med* 2016;25(6):1337–1344
- 21 Franchini M, Lippi G. Acquired von Willebrand syndrome: an update. *Am J Hematol* 2007;82(5):368–375
- 22 Federici AB, Budde U, Rand JH. Acquired von Willebrand syndrome 2004: International Registry—diagnosis and management from online to bedside. *Hamostaseologie* 2004;24(1):50–55
- 23 Tiede A, Priesack J, Werwitzke S, et al. Diagnostic workup of patients with acquired von Willebrand syndrome: a retrospective single-centre cohort study. *J Thromb Haemost* 2008;6(4):569–576
- 24 Budde U, Schneppenheim S, Dittmer R, Schneppenheim R. Classification of a large cohort of patients with von Willebrand disease between 2004 and 2010. *Abstr. J Thromb Haemost* 2011;9(suppl.2):56
- 25 Budde U. The multimer analysis. *The clotting times. Spec Issue ECAT Found* 2012;2:22–26
- 26 Chen D, Nichols WL. Von Willebrand disease testing repertoire: the past, present and future. *The Clotting Times. Spec Issue ECAT Found* 2012;2:16–21
- 27 Valarche V, Desconclois C, Boutekedjiret T, Dreyfus M, Proulle V. Multiplate whole blood impedance aggregometry: a new tool for von Willebrand disease. *J Thromb Haemost* 2011;9(8):1645–1647
- 28 Mital A, Prejzner W, Bieniaszewska M, Hellmann A. Prevalence of acquired von Willebrand syndrome during essential thrombocytopenia: a retrospective analysis of 170 consecutive patients. *Pol Arch Med Wewn* 2015;125(12):914–920
- 29 Budde U, Pieconka A, Will K, Schneppenheim R. Laboratory testing for von Willebrand disease: contribution of multimer analysis to diagnosis and classification. *Semin Thromb Hemost* 2006;32(5):514–521
- 30 Tiede A, Rand JH, Budde U, Ganser A, Federici AB. How I treat the acquired von Willebrand syndrome. *Blood* 2011;117(25):6777–6785
- 31 Shetty S, Kasatkar P, Ghosh K. Pathophysiology of acquired von Willebrand disease: a concise review. *Eur J Haematol* 2011;87(2):99–106