WILEY

Revised: 21 April 2023

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Functional and historical drivers of leaf shape evolution in palms (Arecaceae)

Maria Fernanda Torres Jiménez^{1,2,3} | Nicolas Chazot^{1,2} | Thaise Emilio⁴ | Johan Uddling^{1,2} | Alexandre Antonelli^{1,2,5,6} | Søren Faurby^{1,2} | Christine D. Bacon^{1,2}

¹Department of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

²Gothenburg Global Biodiversity Centre, Gothenburg, Sweden

³Institute of Biosciences, Life Sciences Centre, Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania

⁴Programa Nacional de Pós-Doutorado (PNPD), Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ecologia, Instituto de Biologia, Universidade Estadual de Campinas (UNICAMP), Campinas, Brazil

⁵Department of Plant Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

⁶Royal Botanical Gardens Kew, Richmond, UK

Correspondence

Maria Fernanda Torres Jiménez, Department of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Gothenburg, Box 461, SE-405 30 Gothenburg, Sweden. Email: mftorres27@gmail.com; xtorrm@ gu.se; fernanda.torres@gmc.vu.lt

Funding information

Biodiversity and Ecosystems in a Changing Climate, BECC; Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior-Brasil (CAPES), Grant/Award Number: 001; Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew; Swedish Foundation for Strategic Research, Grant/Award Number: FFL15-0196; Vetenskapsrådet, Grant/ Award Number: 2017-03862, 2017-04980 and 2019-05191

Abstract

Aim: Leaves display a remarkable variety of shapes, each with potential ecological advantages in specific climates. While the relations between leaf shape and either climate or height have been relatively well studied in eudicots, the macroecological drivers of shape remain poorly known in monocots. Here, we investigated the associations between climate and plant height with the evolution of leaf shape in a clade with high species and morphological diversity.

Global Ecology and Biogeography

Location: Global.

Time period: Cretaceous to contemporary.

Major taxa studied: Palms (Arecaceae).

Methods: We apply a Bayesian phylogenetic mixed model to test for associations between climate and leaf shape (all – entire-leaved, pinnate-dissected, palmate-dissected and costapalmate). We further reconstruct the ancestral leaf shape using multistate speciation and extinction models and compare the frequency of shapes with global temperatures through time.

Results: We find that plant height associates with dissected leaves and that annual precipitation associates with pinnate shapes. The ancestral leaf shape is unclear, but early diversification was dominated by pinnate-dissected palms, which has remained the most species-rich form of leaves throughout palm history.

Main Conclusions: Palms that are tall and live in humid regions are more likely to have pinnate leaves. Through geological time scales, temperature did not play an obvious role in determining leaf shapes. This study contributes to our understanding of how the diversity of leaf shapes is linked to biological and climatic factors.

KEYWORDS

annual precipitation, Arecaceae, dissection, leaf shape, macroevolution, MuSSE, palms, plant height

Handling Editor: Renske E. Onstein

[Correction added on 3 June 2023, after first online publication: Co-author name has been changed from 'Johan Uddling Fredin' to 'Johan Uddling'.]

Søren Faurby and Christine D. Bacon shared last authorship.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2023 The Authors. Global Ecology and Biogeography published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

WILEY- Global Ecology and Biogeography

1 | INTRODUCTION

Leaves are the engines of most life on land. They capture atmospheric carbon dioxide and convert it into accessible nutrients that sustain ecosystem functioning and health. The basic functions they carry out-photosynthesis, transpiration, and respiration-depend on temperature and water availability. Because they carry out such critical functions, leaves are likely under strong natural selection, resulting in morphological adaptations to environmental conditions (Nicotra et al., 2011). Throughout angiosperm evolution, variation not only in length and width but also in blade dissection, has evolved numerous times independently (Nicotra et al., 2011). Nonetheless, the drivers of leaf shape evolution and its adaptive potential are poorly known, with research being limited to a handful of species or to model eudicots (Chitwood & Sinha, 2016; Leigh et al., 2017; Nicotra et al., 2011), hindering generalizations at a larger taxonomic scale (Conklin et al., 2019). The evolution of leaf shape results from trade-offs between physiological and allometric constraints, phylogenetic history, and natural selection (Givnish, 1987; Nicotra et al., 2011; Tsukaya, 2006). Therefore, understanding the extent to which leaf shapes have evolved in response to climate, allometry, or natural selection and how they have changed over time and across geographies, may shed further light on the evolution of life forms and biological adaptations.

General expectations regarding leaf function can be drawn from examining their adaptations in different environments. Traits like leaf dissection, length, and effective width (diameter of the largest circle drawn within a leaf margin; Leigh et al., 2017) vary across climates, which affects plant temperature regulation and interacts with water availability (Nicotra et al., 2011; Peppe et al., 2011). In environments with extremely hot temperatures, plants tend to have small or dissected leaves to avoid reaching damaging temperatures (Leigh et al., 2017; Wright et al., 2017). Deeply dissected leaves effectively function as a collection of small leaf units, with faster heat loss through convection than entire-leaved ones of the same area (Givnish, 1979; Gurevitch & Schuepp, 1990), and are less likely to reach damaging temperatures when exposed to extreme heat. Thus, shapes that reduce damage at high temperatures are expected to be beneficial in hot and dry environments (Leigh et al., 2017; Nicotra et al., 2007, 2008). Under drought conditions where water for transpiration is limited, stomata close and compounding high temperatures threaten leaf function. In such cases, smaller or deeply dissected shapes reduce overheating and prioritize leaf safety (Nardini & Luglio, 2014). Species with large and wide leaves, optimized for high gas exchange, could benefit from dissection if water transportation to blade areas farthest from the rachis is more effective (Givnish, 1979). In contrast, cool climatic conditions may favour entire-leaved over dissected, where leaf heating is not extreme and photosynthetic surfaces can be wide.

Leaf shape and size may also be optimized to maximize light capture. This is particularly relevant for monopodial plants (nonbranching plants with a single growth axis) or understory species that depend on leaf size, angle, or number to increase the total

photosynthetic area (Givnish, 1988; Renninger & Phillips, 2016; Valladares et al., 2002). However, leaf size is limited by allometry (the proportional change in size of different parts of an organism). Corner's rule states that 'the larger and sturdy an axis is, the larger and more complicated are its appendages' (Corner, 1949; Tomlinson, 2006). Dissecting large leaves enables independent movement of leaflets and reduces wind drag (Niklas, 1992; Vogel, 2009). Similarly, the 'rapid growth hypothesis' links dissection and allometry (Givnish, 1984; Niinemets, 1998), and states that dissection (or compound leaves) enables fast growth in high light by investing in longer rachises, which is energetically cheaper than wood density and branching (Malhado et al., 2010). To maximize light capture in the absence of branching, elongated petioles or rachises can be used as alternative strategies (Givnish, 1988), facilitating optimal tradeoffs between leaflets' angle and self-shade (Valladares et al., 2002). In the absence of branching, alternative strategies for maximizing light capture could be elongated petioles or rachises (Givnish, 1988); particularly rachises for outstretching leaves or leaflets to radii farther from the plant stem and facilitating optimal trade-offs between leaflets' angle and self-shade (Valladares et al., 2002). Moreover, elongating light rachises (and thus the leaf blade) can solve limitations imposed by supporting long and heavy petioles.

Here, we use palms (Arecaceae) to understand the macroevolutionary drivers of leaf shape variation. Palms are an ideal group to address this question given their wide distribution and morphological diversity. They are tropical and subtropical, with 80% of the species distributed within a 15–30°C mean annual temperature range (Dransfield et al., 2008), and exhibit wide leaf shape variation (pinnate, palmate and costapalmate, all of which can be entireleaved or dissected; Dransfield et al., 2008; Horn et al., 2009). Palms are primarily monopodial with non-deciduous leaves (Dransfield et al., 2008; Tomlinson, 2006), allowing us to control for the effect of branching strategies over leaf-variable relationships. Understanding the evolution of leaf shape through past and current environmental conditions provides a context for predicting plant responses to changing climates (Chitwood & Sinha, 2016).

In this study, we aim at disentangling the contributions of climatic (extrinsic) and allometric (intrinsic) factors on palm leaf shape by testing four hypotheses:

H1. Climate, and in particular temperature, contributes more to leaf shape than allometry. Dissected species (pinnate- and palmate-leaved) should be found at higher temperatures (or lower precipitation and higher aridity) than entire-leaved species regardless of height.

H2. Allometry, likely plant height, contributes more to leaf shape. We test H1-H2 by comparing (i) extant dissected and non-dissected shaped species and (ii) all shapes in a pairwise manner.

H3. Either plant's height in relation to forest canopy height or elongated rachises contribute to leaf shape by trading light capture maximization and leaf support, particularly when comparing pinnate and palmate species. Longer rachises (in pinnate species) translate into longer blades and more surface for light capture in cases for which petioles and stems are short. We test H3 by comparing all shapes in a pairwise manner.

H4. If climate is a strong contributor to leaf shape evolution through time, this should be reflected in a temporal congruence between leaf shape shifts and major global climatic changes since the Late Cretaceous, when palms are estimated to have originated (Baker & Couvreur, 2013; Couvreur et al., 2011). We test these hypotheses by estimating variable effects and estimating ancestral character states.

2 | METHODS

We conducted generalized linear mixed models (GLMMs) and ancestral trait estimation analyzes at the species level using a timecalibrated maximum clade credibility tree generated from the tree distribution in Faurby et al. (2016) and updated by Hill et al. (2021). The phylogeny included 2550 species for which we annotated leaf shapes, recovered geographic records from The Global Biodiversity Information Facility, 2022 (GBIF), and estimated species medians for the climatic and allometric variables. We standardized the taxonomy across all data sources using Kew's World Checklist of Selected Plant Families (WCSP) for Arecaceae (Govaerts et al., 2020) and removed records that could not be unambiguously assigned to accepted species.

2.1 | Leaf shape in palms

Leaf shape variation in palms can be described by three features: size, plication, and dissection. Since plication is phylogenetically conserved, we focused on dissection and shape, but see supplementary material for a brief description of size and plication. Leaves are either dissected or entire-leaved. Dissected leaves can be pinnate, palmate, or costapalmate depending on rachis length and the presence of a costa (here treated as the equivalent of rachis in pinnate leaves, but it is an extension of the leaf axis; Dransfield et al., 2008). Polymorphism, where intra-specific variation in leaf shape occurs, only involves either pinnate-entire or pinnate-dissected shapes. Genomic analyses (e.g. Loiseau et al., 2019) confirm most intraspecific variation as true polymorphisms within populations, rather than separate taxa grouped under one species name.

We classified species by dissection (dissected vs entire) and shape (pinnate, palmate and costapalmate) based on Genera Palmarum II (Dransfield et al., 2008), additional information on the PalmWeb (https://palmweb.org/, last consulted January 2023), and herbarium specimens (accessed through GBIF). We merged the costapalmate and palmate shapes because the only difference between them is the presence of a costa. We removed the bipinnate category from the GLMM analyzes but merged them to pinnate for ancestral state estimation because bipinnate includes only 14 out of 2550 species. Of the species analyzed, the majority are pinnate (66.18%; Table S1), followed by palmate+costapalmate (21.26%) and entire (5.12%). Only 75 (6.76%) species are polymorphic, and while they were included in the ancestral state estimation, they were excluded from the GLMMs because they cannot be assigned to a unique shape category (Table S2).

2.2 | Palm allometry data

We used Palm_Traits v.1 (Kissling et al., 2019) to calculate plant height by adding the variables 'MaxStemHeight_m', 'Max_Petiole_ length_m' and 'Max_Blade_Length_m', with 'MaxStemHeight_m' set to zero for acaulescent species. We estimated an index that measures plant height controlled by canopy height (height over canopy) as a proxy to wind-drag exposure and the understory/canopy variable in Kissling et al. (2019). The index differentiates between, for example, two tall species, one occurring in a high-canopy and another in a low-canopy forest. Values of height over canopy are higher than 2.70e+10 for tall species in low canopies, close to one for species with the same height as the canopy, and smaller than 2.65e-08 for short species under high canopies (based on the 0.25 and 0.75 guantiles). To calculate it, we divided the palm height species value by the height extracted at the coordinate point from the global vegetation height layer (Simard et al., 2011). Calculations and code are available at doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.21230453. We annotated 61-100% of the species in the phylogeny. Species without annotations had no data in Palm_Traits v.1, were climbing, or had both climbing and no-climbing habits (479 species, Table S3); climbing species were removed from the GLMM analyzes because their life strategies differ from other palms and their stem height is not comparable.

2.3 | Climatic data

We downloaded 994,084 raw occurrences from GBIF (last consulted in April 2022) and excluded fossils and records without coordinates. We kept observations, living and preserved specimens and material samples. We used the R package (R Core Team, 2018) 'CoordinateCleaner' v2.0-20 (Zizka et al., 2019) to remove duplicate coordinates per species and records nearby science institutions, bodies of water and city/country centroids, using a buffer of 5000 and 10,000m for centroids and cites respectively. We used a Python script (doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.21230453) and the World Geographical Scheme for Recording Plant Distributions maps (TDWG; Brummitt, 2001) to remove records falling outside their native botanical countries according to the WCSP. We obtained 124,703 clean records representing 61.56% of the 2550 species in the phylogeny (Table S4), which we used to extract all Chelsa v2.1 bioclim variables (Karger et al., 2017, 2018) with a python script WILEY- Global Ecology

(doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.21230453). Finally, we estimated the species medians for every variable from which we could extract the information (Table S4).

We determined correlations between variables and chose those with a Spearman's coefficient $-0.7 < \rho < 0.7$ (Figure S1). We additionally estimated the variance inflation factor for all variables using Python's 'statsmodels' v0.13.5 (Seabold & Perktold, 2010) and kept those with values below 2. We kept three allometric and five climatic variables: mean annual temperature (°C×100), temperature seasonality (standard deviation °C×100), annual precipitation $(kg m^{-2})$, precipitation seasonality (standard deviation kg m⁻²), aridity index (mean annual precipitation/mean annual potential evapotranspiration; kg m^{-2} /time unit), plant height (m), rachis length (m), and height over canopy. Temperature seasonality and mean annual precipitation, and plant height and height over canopy were correlated (Spearman's correlation coefficient = -0.8 and 0.73 respectively; Figure S1); however, we assessed them in separate models to determine if the effect of, for example temperature, is larger than precipitation on leaf shape. All variables used were log₁₀ transformed and standardized to have zero mean and a standard deviation of one (Table S4).

2.4 | Generalized linear mixed models

We fit a series of GLMMs for the first three hypotheses using logistic regressions and pairwise comparisons; entire (0) versus dissected (1), pinnate (0) versus palmate (1), entire (0) versus palmate (1), and entire (0) versus pinnate (1); these were implemented in the R package 'MCMCglmmRAM' v2.24 (Hadfield, 2015). The GLMMs assess shape rather than shape evolution, thus, we treat pinnate-entire and palmate-entire as entire-leaved, pinnate-dissected as pinnate, and palmate-dissected as palmate. For computational constraints, we ran every model on 42 (Adams, 1979) phylogenies randomly selected from the distribution, each as a random effect. For every model, we ran four independent chains of 10,000,000 iterations, a thinning of 5000 and 8000 burn-in. Analyses were run on an HPE Cray OS computer through the Swedish National Infrastructure for Computing. We estimated effective sampling sizes (ESS) and evaluated chain convergence with a Python script (doi.org/10.6084/ m9.figshare.21230453). Chains converged and ESS scored higher than 200. We evaluated the significance of the effects for every predictor based on whether the 2.5% and 97.5% quartiles of the estimated density overlapped zero.

2.5 | Ancestral state estimation

To reconstruct the evolution of leaf shapes we used the Multi-State Speciation and Extinction (MuSSE) model from the R package 'diversitree' v0.9-16 (FitzJohn, 2012). We adjusted the leaf shape dataset to avoid over-parametrization of our models: (1) excluded plication due to high phylogenetic clustering (Figure 1; but see Couturier et al., 2011); (2) used a 'polymorphic' category for species exhibiting more than one shape; (3) excluded all species with missing data for leaf shape. The final dataset included 2543 species. Ancestral state estimation concerns shape and dissection evolution, thus we designed three different model structures—two binary-state structures excluding polymorphic: pinnate versus palmate, entire versus dissected, and a five-state structure (pinnate-entire, pinnatedissected, palmate-entire, palmate-dissected and polymorphic).

For the two binary-state structures, we fitted all possible parameter constraints using maximum likelihood (ML) parameter estimations and compared them from AIC scores. For the five-state structure, we refined the basic model structure by assuming constraints on transition rates. We did not allow simultaneous change of shape and dissection (e.g. from palmate-entire to pinnate-dissected). As polymorphism only includes pinnate leaves, we assumed direct transitions between palmate and polymorphic states to be impossible and constrained the corresponding transition parameters to zero. Given the large number of parameters remaining (22), we selected the best-fitting model using a backward model selection procedure using ML estimation on the maximum clade credibility tree only. Once we obtained the best-fitting model for the two binary-state and the five-state structures, we used it to perform an MCMC analysis to estimate the posterior distribution of parameter estimates. We ran the MCMC for 3,000,000 generations, sampling every 3000 generations and a burn-in of 10%. We used the ML parameter estimation as starting points for the MCMC. In addition, we performed ML estimations of the best-fitting model (1) for 100 trees randomly sampled from the posterior distribution to account for phylogenetic uncertainty and (2) for a range of starting parameters. More details about model selection and parameter constraints are available in Material S1.

For all model structures, we used the best-fitting MuSSE model to estimate ancestral states, and the relative frequency of leaf shape through time by sampling the relative probabilities of shape estimated for each node 100 times. For each iteration, at each branch where a state transition occurred, we sampled a random timing from a uniform distribution for the event along that branch. Finally, for each iteration, we counted the number of transitions within a 5 million-year sliding window and calculated the rate of transitions through time by dividing the number of transitions by the sum of branch lengths within each time interval. We repeated this procedure for 100 trees randomly sampled from the posterior distribution. Finally, we compared the relative shape frequency through time with the global temperature change scale computed for an ice-free ocean (Condamine et al., 2020; Zachos et al., 2001).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Leaf shape and variable distributions

Pinnate and palmate species tended to be larger than entire-leaved species with median plant heights of 7.39 and 7m, respectively, while entire-leaved species had a median height of 3.8m (Table S5),



FIGURE 1 Leaf shape distribution at a global scale and across the palm phylogeny. Left: The maps show the approximate distribution of shapes based on cleaned GBIF records (Section 2). Leaf silhouettes, originally by Marion Ruff Sheahan, were modified from Genera Palmarum (Dransfield et al., 2008). Right: The calibrated maximum clade credibility tree of 2550 palm species (Faurby et al., 2016; Hill et al., 2021). Dark and light greys are used to distinguish between sub-families. The outermost ring shows the distribution of leaf shapes across the phylogeny and follows the same colour scheme in the maps. Species with no leaf shape colours are climbing and were removed from the generalized linear mixed models. (a) palmate + costapalmate, (b) pinnate, (c) polymorphic, (d) entire, and (e) bipinnate. Cer. = Ceroxyloideae; N. = Nypa.

leaf and petiole lengths included in all cases. Dissected shapes together had a median height twice that of non-dissected species. Pinnate-leaved species had a median rachis length of 2.9 m and a median height over canopy of 4e+10, while palmate-leaved species had a median rachis length of 2.4 m and a median height over canopy of 7e+10. Entire-leaved species had a median height over canopy of 1.3e+10. Pinnate and palmate species tended to be equal or taller than the canopy, whereas entire-leaved species were rarely tall enough to approach the canopy and do not occur in open habitats. Palms were widely distributed across climatic gradients except for habitats where soil temperatures fall below -2°C for a long period of time. Pinnate and palmate species were distributed farther from the equator with wider climatic seasonality, while the distribution of entire-leaved species was concentrated in tropical areas near the equator where annual temperature and precipitation are more constant. Pinnate and palmate species had wider median distributions for most climatic variables than entire-leaved species, except for narrower annual mean temperature and temperature seasonality

ranges in palmates. The median of the distribution for mean annual temperatures was highest for palmate species, then entire-leaved species, and lowest for pinnate species (Table S5).

3.2 | Generalized linear mixed models

We found that plant height was positively associated with dissected leaves, particularly pinnate shapes. The association was significant when comparing entire-leaved versus pinnate-leaved species (P; proportion of samples above/below zero=0.99; Figure 2, Table S8) and entire-leaved versus dissected species (p=0.99). The pattern was consistent across models regardless of which climatic variables were included. For the model including annual precipitation instead of annual temperature seasonality, annual precipitation showed a positive and almost significant association with pinnate species when compared to entire-leaved (p=0.952), but not when comparing dissected and entire-leaved shapes (p=0.89). Nevertheless, the



-WILEY

FIGURE 2 Estimate distributions from the generalized linear mixed models (GLMMs). Leaf shapes show the pair compared in the models (logistic regression; left=0, right=1). Each row shows the results from the models concerning hypotheses H1-H3. Palmate = (costapalmate + palmate). We tested hypotheses H1 and H2 for each shape separately and for entire versus dissected (palmate + costapalmate + pinnate), H1-H2a, H1-H2b, H1-H2c and H1-H2d correspond to the models including either plant height or height over canopy, or temperature seasonality or precipitation. Hypothesis H3 was tested for each shape separately and H3a and H3b correspond to the models including either temperature seasonality or precipitation. Leaf silhouettes, originally by Marion Ruff Sheahan, were modified from Genera Palmarum (Dransfield et al., 2008).

effect of plant height is an order of magnitude larger than the effect of precipitation (1.58E+05 and 6.68E+04 respectively, Table S8). For models comparing pinnate and entire-leaved shapes in which height over canopy was included instead of plant height (these variables are correlated and were not included in the same model), only height over canopy was almost significantly and positively associated with pinnate shapes (p=0.953). Height over canopy had a similar effect as plant height on leaf shape for pinnate versus entire-leaved models (1.02E+05 and 1.58E+05). However, the significance of height over canopy' effect was lost if the model did not account for annual precipitation. No climatic variables were consistently and significantly associated with leaf shape (0.35 < p < 0.94) and all variables were not significant in models involving palmate shapes (Figure 2, Table S8).

When disentangling the effects of rachis length, height over canopy and climate, we found a significant positive association between rachis length and pinnate shapes when compared to entire-leaved species; plants with longer rachises are more likely to be pinnate. In addition to rachis length, annual precipitation had a significantly positive association with pinnate species and height over canopy was almost significant (p=0.99 and 0.968 respectively). Here, the effect of rachis length was one order of magnitude larger than precipitation and height over canopy, which had similar effects. The models resulted in no association between rachis length and shape when comparing pinnate and palmate species (0.34 ;Figure 2, Table S8).

3.3 | Ancestral state estimation

The best-fitting model for dissection versus entire-leaved state estimation had six parameters (Figure S3). The speciation rate (lineage/unit of time, L⁻¹Myr⁻¹) for entire-leaved lineages was more than twice higher as that of dissected lineages and the extinction rate was simultaneously much lower for entire-leaved lineages; however, transition rates were highly asymmetrical favouring transitions from entire-leaved to dissected than the reverse (Figure S8). For the pinnate versus palmate model structure, we found no difference in speciation or extinction rates between shapes. Transitions from pinnate to palmate leaves were three times higher than the reverse transition, but both were very low (Figure S9). The best-fitting model for the five-state model had 12 parameters (Figure S5). Most notably, the speciation rate of polymorphic species was more than twice higher as that of the others. The extinction rate for the polymorphic and palmatedissected lineages was much lower than that of pinnate-dissected and palmate-entire lineages. The resulting net diversification

was highest for polymorphic lineages, followed by pinnate-entire leaves. Both palmate-entire and pinnate-dissected net diversification rates were close to zero. Transitions were highest from polymorphic leaves to pinnate-dissected, twice higher than transitions from pinnate-entire to polymorphic leaves and nearly 10 times higher than transitions from polymorphic to pinnate-entire leaves. All other types of transition rates were very low (Figure S10). For all three model structures, we obtained identical parameter estimations from varying starting parameters, a posterior distribution of trees, or MCMC analyzes.

Across a posterior distribution of 100 trees, the two-state structures estimated the root to be pinnate and entire-leaved (Figures S6 and S7). According to the five-state structure, the shape at the root was most likely polymorphic or pinnate-entire (Figure 3). However, we found the results to poorly represent the pattern of early diversification of shapes. The relative frequency of shapes through time, calculated from the ancestral state estimations, shows instead that pinnate-dissected leaves dominated through the history of palms, representing between 50% and 80% of all lineages. Despite appearing as likely leaf shape at the root, entire-leaved lineages diversified only during the second half of the Cenozoic. According to our estimations, palmate-dissected palms appeared about 75-85 Mya and represented about 20% of palm lineages throughout history. Polymorphic lineages were poorly represented during the middle Cenozoic, despite being estimated as a probable shape for the root of the tree and increasing in frequency during the last 30 million years (Figure 4).

4 | DISCUSSION

In this study, we aimed at disentangling the contributions of plant allometry and climate on leaf shape by testing whether (H1) temperature contributes more than allometry to leaf shape; or (H2) allometry, as plant height, contributes to shape or (H3) rachis length or height over canopy contribute to shape; and whether (H4) there is temporal congruence between shape frequency and major global temperature changes. We found that taller plants are more likely to have dissected leaves and, regarding climate, only annual precipitation contributes and makes species more likely to have pinnate leaves (Figure 2). We also found that longer rachises make pinnate leaves more likely. In congruence with our results, we observed a lack of correspondence between leaf shape frequency and temperature fluctuations through time. Our models of ancestral trait estimation revealed that the ancestor of all palms likely had entire-leaved and pinnate or polymorphic leaves (Figures 3 and 4c).



FIGURE 3 Ancestral state estimation of leaf shape across palms (Arecaceae) using the calibrated maximum clade credibility tree (Faurby et al., 2016; Hill et al., 2021). The pie charts show the probability of each state at the given node. States are colour coded as follows: blue: palmate (costapalmate + palmate); red: pinnate; green: entire; yellow: polymorphic (where individuals of the same species have either entire or pinnate leaves). Leaf silhouettes, originally by Marion Ruff Sheahan, were modified from Genera Palmarum (Dransfield et al., 2008), N.=Nypa; Cal.=Calamoideae; Cor. = Coryphoideae; Cer. = Ceroxyloideae; Are. = Arecoideae.

4.1 | Leaf dissection and climate

Contrary to H1, neither mean annual temperature nor temperature seasonality had an effect on leaf shape (Figure 2, Table S8). We expected that plants exposed to extremely high temperatures would have dissected leaves as a strategy to avoid overheating their leaves faster (Leigh et al., 2017; Nicotra et al., 2007, 2008). Similarly, we expected that a high aridity index-a proxy for a lack of water in the environment-would favour pinnate leaves over other shapes. Our results showed no significant associations between climate and leaf shape for most of the models and only the effect of annual precipitation was significant when comparing pinnate and entire-leaved species, thus rejecting H1. Species that experience high annual precipitation are more likely to be pinnate than entire-leaved when plant height is also present in the model. Since plant height and annual precipitation are not correlated, our result suggests that even though the effect of plant height is greater, annual precipitation and plant height both seem to explain independent variation.

Moreover, the association between climate and palmate shapes is not significant (Figure 2); three non-mutually exclusive hypotheses could explain the general lack of associations, of which the first two are more likely. First, the discrete shape categories in our models might not fully capture inter-specific variation in dissection depth in palmate species and could be insufficient for unveiling the climatepalmate associations. Second, leaf traits unrelated to shape could be key adaptations to different environments (Horn et al., 2009). For example, the loss of non-lignified fibre bundles in the leaf mesophyll mostly observed in coryphoid palms or the presence of bridge-like veins connecting the adaxial and abaxial layers of the leaf are traits thought to be advantageous in dry environments (Horn et al., 2009; Kenzo et al., 2007). Third, in the case of palmate and costapalmate species, the correlation between climate and shape is difficult to disentangle from a phylogenetic effect due to the strong geographic structuring of related lineages, particularly in the Coryphoideae subfamily to which the majority of palmate and costapalmate species belong.

4.2 | Leaf shape and plant allometry

We expected plant height to influence leaf shape via allometry so that large plants would have large and more likely dissected leaves to avoid mechanical damage (Chazdon, 1991; Corner, 1949). We found that plant height has a positive effect on dissection and taller plants are more likely to have pinnate leaves (Figure 2, Table S8). Our results were consistent when comparing dissected—pinnate and palmate together—and pinnate species against entire-leaved species, supporting H2. Only when comparing palmate- versus entire-leaved species, no effect estimates were significant (Figure 2). This suggests that the association between plant height and dissection is primarily driven by the number of pinnate species (66.18% vs. 21.26% species with palmate leaves) and that other factors are involved when palmate species are considered (as discussed in the section earlier).



FIGURE 4 Palm leaf shape through time. (a) Top: Relative proportion of lineages with either entire-leaved or dissected shapes compared to global temperature variation through time. Bottom: Relative proportion of lineages with either pinnate or palmate (palmate + costapalmate) shapes compared to global temperature variation through time. (b) Relative proportion of lineages with (from top to bottom) palmate-dissected leaves, pinnate-dissected leaves, pinnate-entire leaves, and polymorphic (intra-specific variation between pinnate-entire and pinnate-dissected). The proportion of palmate-entire lineages is close to zero and not plotted. In (a) and (b), the white lines show the average proportion and the coloured lines show the relative proportion sampled from the 100 ancestral state estimations. (c) Relative probability of the ancestral state at the root of Arecaceae across 100 random trees sampled from the posterior distribution of the MCMC based on the five-state model. The horizontal bars at the bottom show the width of the posterior distribution and triangles show the default starting parameter. Leaf silhouettes, originally by Marion Ruff Sheahan, were modified from Genera Palmarum (Dransfield et al., 2008).

Following the 'rapid growth hypothesis' (Givnish, 1984; Niinemets, 1998), we expected plant height to relate to dissection. Malhado et al. (2010) tested this hypothesis in Amazonian species (except palms and polymorphic eudicots), and found an association between dissection and both low wood density and rapid diameter growth. They argue that dissection is adaptive under favourable light conditions because producing compound or dissected leaves

is physiologically efficient since elongated rachises are cheaper than supporting structures (petioles in the case of palms) and thus promotes rapid vertical growth (Malhado et al., 2010). We found a positive association between height over canopy and pinnate shapes when these are compared to entire-leaved shapes (Figure 2, Table S8), though marginally significant. The significance of this association, however, should be interpreted carefully as height over

1503

WILEY- Global Ecology and Biogeograph

canopy values are derived from, and correlated with, plant height. The lack of association between height over canopy when comparing pinnate- and palmate-leaved species is explained by the large proportion of species occupying similar spaces in the canopy where overall light availability conditions are similar. Malhado et al. (2010) excluded palms from their analyses and testing whether rapid growth or light capture optimization better explains dissection is not possible with our data; a deep look at species-level measurements of growth rates and light capture efficiency could shed light on this question. Nonetheless, our results suggest that allometry is more important than light capture in palms and further exploration of this association is worthwhile in future studies.

Finally, we expected light capture optimization to drive rachis length and contribute to shape when comparing pinnate versus palmate species. Pinnate leaves differ from palmate leaves in that pinnae attach to a long axis and not a centroid. On the one hand, the elongated rachis could be a strategy for maximizing light capture for the pinnate-leaved species living under the canopy. Pinnae distributed along a longer axis can reach farther from the plant's standing point and reduce self-shading in closed habitats. On the other hand, most species with palmate and costapalmate leaves in which the leaflets are arranged radially, are as tall or taller than the canopy they inhabit (Figure S2) where light availability is high. However, we found no association between rachis length and shape for pinnate versus palmate species, thus rejecting H3 (Figure 2, Table S8). The lack of association between height over canopy and shape when comparing pinnate- versus palmate-leaved species is consistent with these results, meaning that neither the plant's position with respect to the canopy nor the rachis length explain leaf shape. The association between rachis length and shape is only significant when comparing pinnate- versus entireleaved species, which can be explained by Corner's rule: large plants have large leaves which necessarily have longer rachises.

4.3 | Leaf shape evolution

We found that dissected lineages appeared frequently during the history of palms and from entire-leaved lineages (Figure 3 and Figures S6 and S7). Additionally, palmate (palmate + costapalmate) lineages appeared at least twice from pinnate lineages, a result consistent with Horn et al. (2009). Using 178 taxa with pinnate or palmate species only, their study concluded that shape is homoplasious and changes between states are frequent. Overall, leaf shape is particularly labile among pinnate-leaved palms and evolutionary changes are frequent between pinnate-entire and pinnate-dissected, involving polymorphism as well.

Based on our ancestral state estimation, the origin of palm leaves is not entirely resolved. We found clear support for an ancestral pinnate leaf, but conflicting results for dissection. On the one hand, entire-leaved states are repeatedly inferred at the root across our models. However, pinnate-entire leaves are almost entirely absent from early diversification of palms, instead dominated by pinnate-dissected leaves (Figure 4a,b). Palmate

and costapalmate leaf shapes appeared between 75 and 85 Mya, which corresponds to the stem of Coryphoideae. The appearance of palmate shapes roughly coincides with the age of the costapalmate fossil Sabalites carolinensis (Santonian, 86.3-83.6 Mya; Berry, 1914), commonly cited as the earliest palm leaf fossil. However, uncertainty as to the stratigraphic assessment of the formation from which S. carolinensis was reported calls for the reassessment of the fossil's age to be Campanian (83.6-72.1 Mya; Greenwood et al., 2022). If that is the case, the oldest costapalmate (here merged with palmate) fossil would postdate the older Coniacian-early Campanian 'pinnate-entire' Phoenicites imperialis (Dawson) emend. Greenwood et Conran comb. nov. (89.8 to approximately 80 Mya; Greenwood et al., 2022) and be contemporaneous to the mid-Campanian 'undivided' Plicatophyllum (81-76 Mya; Crabtree, 1987; Greenwood et al., 2022). Macrofossils remain rare and provide at best a minimum age for any taxon or morphological character; the absence of older fossils is likely explained by the incomplete nature of the fossil record and its identification. Nevertheless, results on root state estimations should be interpreted with caution since validating them would require a comprehensive taxonomic sampling beyond Arecaceae and our analyses do not consider potential correlations between leaf shape and other morphological characters.

One interesting outcome of our five-state model is the role played by polymorphic lineages. Polymorphism-pinnatedissected and pinnate-entire-appears as a transitional state between these shapes forming an evolutionary bridge between lineages with non-polymorphic leaf shapes (Figure 3). ML estimates of transition parameters also suggest a strong directionality; the highest transition rates being from polymorphic towards pinnate shapes and the second highest transitions being from entireleaved shapes towards polymorphism (Figure 4a and Figure S3). As a result, polymorphic lineages are maintained in our ancestral state estimation throughout time despite that only 3% of extant species are polymorphic. Dissected and entire-leaved lineages of pinnate and palmate shapes do not have significantly different speciation rates but the speciation rate for polymorphic lineages is more than twice higher, which also translated into a higher net diversification rate. Thus, according to our best model, the high number of extant pinnate-dissected lineages (1363 species) does not result from its high diversification rates. It could instead be a result of either a high speciation rate of polymorphic lineages with frequent independent transitions to pinnate-dissected leaves or an early success during diversification that facilitated the accumulation of pinnatedissected lineages throughout the Cenozoic. In either case, the prevalence of pinnate-dissected leaves is an ancient and deeply rooted pattern in the group's history.

4.4 | Leaf shape through time

We found no clear relationship between temperature variations and changes in the relative frequency of leaf shapes, thus we reject H4

(Figure 4c). The late Cretaceous and early Eocene were periods of high global temperatures, with ever-wet tropical areas distributed towards high latitudes. Palms thrived during these periods forming the Palmae Province, and becoming ecologically dominant in South America, Africa and India (Pan et al., 2006). During the Eocene, global temperatures decreased at a relatively fast pace (Zachos et al., 2001) and kept declining towards the present, except during the mid-Miocene climatic optimum. But there is also evidence of aridification across continents over the past 40–50 Myr alongside temperature variation, leading to the contraction of tropical-like forests towards the equatorial latitudes. The pollen record has revealed patterns of palm extinctions including groups such as Mauritiinae and Eugeissoneae, typical rainforest groups and pinnate-dissected (Bacon et al., 2022; Lim et al., 2022). From our results, this period corresponds to the diversification of entire-leaved lineages, increasing in relative frequency at the expense of pinnatedissected palms mainly (Figure 4a,b). Global temperature change did not translate into a clear continuous shift in relative leaf shape frequency, but increasing aridity since the Eocene may have promoted the diversification of entire-leaved palms, considering the positive association between precipitation and pinnate-dissected shapes we found in modern palms.

5 | CONCLUSION

We explore the drivers of leaf shape evolution in palms by disentangling the associations between shape, climate and allometric variables related to plant height, and by reconstructing the evolution of shape throughout their evolutionary history. We highlight the importance of considering biotic (intrinsic) and abiotic (extrinsic) factors when studying the evolution of traits. Regarding palms, tall plants are more likely to be dissected and this tendency is mostly driven by pinnate species but not palmate species. High annual precipitation increases the likelihood of having pinnate leaves when controlling for plant height and temperature was not significantly associated with shape. Palms are important representative taxa of tropical forests with more than 2500 species distributed globally. Exploring how their leaf shape diversity emerged contributes to our understanding of shape and its adaptive potential to future climate conditions.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

M.F.T.J. conducted data curation, trait annotations at the species level, formal analyses, visualization, and writing of the original draft as well as reviewing and editing. N.C. conducted data curation, formal analyses, visualization, and contributed with writing, critical review and commentary. T.E. and J.U.F. contributed to the conceptualization and with critical review and commentary of the manuscript. A.A. contributed to the critical review and commentary of the manuscript. S.F. contributed to the conceptualization and methodology and with critical review and commentary of the manuscript. C.D.B. contributed to the conceptualization, trait annotations at the species level, and critical review and commentary of the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the reviewers for their suggestions, which significantly contributed to improving the manuscript. We acknowledge the logistic and computational support provided by the Swedish National Infrastructure for Computing via the allocated projects SNIC2020-7-61 and 2020-8-85. M.F.T.J. and C.D.B. were funded by the Swedish Research Council (2017-04980). T.E. was partially funded by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior–Brasil (CAPES)–Finance Code 001. A.A. was funded by the Swedish Research Council (2019-05191), the Swedish Foundation for Strategic Research (FFL15-0196) and the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew. S.F. was funded by the Swedish Research Council (2017-03862). The research presented in this article is a contribution to the strategic research area of the Biodiversity and Ecosystems in a Changing Climate, BECC.

FUNDING INFORMATION

M.F.T.J. and C.D.B. were funded by the Swedish Research Council (2017-04980). T.E. was partially funded by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior—Brasil (CAPES)— Finance Code 001. A.A. was funded by the Swedish Research Council (2019-05191), the Swedish Foundation for Strategic Research (FFL15-0196) and the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew. S.F. was funded by the Swedish Research Council (2017-03862).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors do not have a conflict of interest regarding this publication.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All data generated during this study and the coding scripts used to carry out analyses are available as Supplementary Material in the following repository: doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.21230453. Chelsa Bioclimate data are available at https://chelsa-climate.org/downloads/ and can be downloaded using the wget command. PalmTraits v1.0 containing morphological traits for all palm species is available from Kissling et al. (2019), Scientific Data. The Global Vegetation Height raster from Simard et al. (2011) is available at https://www.sciencebase.gov/catalog/item/50f59de2e4b0114312ab0280. Scripts for data curation are available at https://github.com/mftorres/palm_leaf.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE MATERIAL FROM OTHER SOURCES

We received permission from John Dransfield, first author of Genera Palmarum, to use the silhouettes from their book in our figures. Silhouettes were originally made by Marion Ruff Sheahan and published in the book.

ORCID

Maria Fernanda Torres Jiménez D https://orcid. org/0000-0002-7177-4164 Nicolas Chazot D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5237-8043 Søren Faurby D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2974-2628

4668238, 2023, 9, Downloaded from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/geb.13708 by Vilnius University, Wiley Online Library on [1502/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

REFERENCES

- Adams, D. (1979). The Hitchhiker's guide to the galaxy. Pan Books.
- Bacon, C. D., Silvestro, D., Hoorn, C., Bogotá-Ángel, G., Antonelli, A., & Chazot, N. (2022). The origin of modern patterns of continental diversity in Mauritiinae palms: The Neotropical museum and the Afrotropical graveyard. *Biology Letters*, 18(11), 20220214.
- Baker, W. J., & Couvreur, T. L. (2013). Global biogeography and diversification of palms sheds light on the evolution of tropical lineages I. Historical Biogeography. *Journal of Biogeography*, 40(2), 274-285.
- Berry, E. W. (1914). The upper cretaceous and Eocene floras of South Carolina and Georgia (No. 84). US Government Printing Office.
- Brummitt, R. K. (2001). World geographical scheme for recording plant distributions (Edition 2 ed.). Biodiversity Information Standards (TDWG). http://www.tdwg.org/standards/109
- Chazdon, R. L. (1991). Plant size and form in the understory palm genus Geonoma: Are species variations on a theme? American Journal of Botany, 78(5), 680–694.
- Chitwood, D. H., & Sinha, N. R. (2016). Evolutionary and environmental forces sculpting leaf development. *Current Biology*, 26(7), R297–R306.
- Condamine, F. L., Silvestro, D., Koppelhus, E. B., & Antonelli, A. (2020). The rise of angiosperms pushed conifers to decline during global cooling. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 117(46), 28867–28875.
- Conklin, P. A., Strable, J., Li, S., & Scanlon, M. J. (2019). On the mechanisms of development in monocot and eudicot leaves. *New Phytologist*, 221(2), 706–724.
- Corner, E. J. H. (1949). The durian theory or the origin of the modern tree. Annals of Botany, 13(52), 367–414.
- Couturier, E., Du Pont, S. C., & Douady, S. (2011). The filling law: A general framework for leaf folding and its consequences on leaf shape diversity. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 289, 47–64.
- Couvreur, T. L., Forest, F., & Baker, W. J. (2011). Origin and global diversification patterns of tropical rain forests: Inferences from a complete genus-level phylogeny of palms. *BMC Biology*, *9*, 1–12.
- Crabtree, D. R. (1987). The early Campanian flora of the two medicine formation, northcentral Montana. University of Montana.
- Dransfield, J., Uhl, N. W., Asmussen, C. B., Baker, W. J., Harley, M. M., & Lewis, C. E. (2008). Genera Palmarum–The evolution and classification of palms (p. 732). Royal Botanic Gardens.
- Faurby, S., Eiserhardt, W. L., Baker, W. J., & Svenning, J. C. (2016). An all-evidence species-level supertree for the palms (Arecaceae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 100, 57–69.
- FitzJohn, R. G. (2012). Diversitree: Comparative phylogenetic analyses of diversification in R. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 3(6), 1084–1092.
- Givnish, T. J. (1979). On the adaptive significance of leaf form. Topics. In O. T. Solbrig, G. B. Jain, G. B. Johnson, & P. H. Raven (Eds.), *Plant population biology* (pp. 375–407). Columbia University Press.
- Givnish, T. J. (1984). Leaf and canopy adaptations in tropical forests. In E. Medina, H. A. Mooney, & C. Vásquez-Yánes (Eds.), *Physiological* ecology of plants in the wet tropics, Tasks for vegetation Science (Vol. 12, pp. 51–84). Springer.
- Givnish, T. J. (1987). Comparative studies of leaf form: Assessing the relative roles of selective pressures and phylogenetic constraints. *New Phytologist*, 106, 131–160.
- Givnish, T. J. (1988). Adaptation to sun and shade: A whole-plant perspective. Functional Plant Biology, 15(2), 63–92.
- Govaerts, R., Dransfield, J., Zona, S., Hodel, D. R., & Henderson, A. (2020). World checklist of Arecaceae. Facilitated by the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew. Published on the Internet. Retrieved 25 February 2020, from http://wcsp.science.kew.org/
- Greenwood, D. R., Conran, J. G., & West, C. K. (2022). Palm fronds from western Canada are the northernmost palms from the

Late Cretaceous of North America and may include the oldest Arecaceae. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology*, 301, 104641.

TORRES JIMÉNEZ ET AL.

- Gurevitch, J., & Schuepp, P. H. (1990). Boundary layer properties of highly dissected leaves: An investigation using an electrochemical fluid tunnel. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 13(8), 783–792.
- Hadfield, J. D. (2015). Increasing the efficiency of MCMC for hierarchical phylogenetic models of categorical traits using reduced mixed models. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 6(6), 706–714.
- Hill, A., Torres Jimenez, M. F., Chazot, N., Cássia-Silva, C., Faurby, S., & Bacon, C. D. (2021). Fruit colour and range size interact to influence diversification. *bioRxiv*, e465383. https://doi. org/10.1101/2021.10.26.465838
- Horn, J. W., Fisher, J. B., Tomlinson, P. B., Lewis, C. E., & Laubengayer, K. (2009). Evolution of lamina anatomy in the palm family (Arecaceae). *American Journal of Botany*, 96(8), 1462–1486.
- Karger D.N., Conrad, O., Böhner, J., Kawohl, T., Kreft, H., Soria-Auza, R.W., Zimmermann, N.E, Linder, H.P., & Kessler, M. (2018). Data from: Climatologies at high resolution for the earth's land surface areas. EnviDat. https://doi.org/10.16904/envidat.228.v2.1
- Karger, D. N., Conrad, O., Böhner, J., Kawohl, T., Kreft, H., Soria-Auza, R. W., Zimmermann, N. E., Linder, P., & Kessler, M. (2017). Climatologies at high resolution for the earth land surface areas. *Scientific Data*, 4, 4–170122.
- Kenzo, T., Ichie, T., Watanabe, Y., & Hiromi, T. (2007). Ecological distribution of homobaric and heterobaric leaves in tree species of Malaysian lowland tropical rainforest. American Journal of Botany, 94(5), 764–775.
- Kissling, W. D., Balslev, H., Baker, W. J., Dransfield, J., Göldel, B., Lim, J. Y., Onstein, R. E., & Svenning, J. C. (2019). PalmTraits 1.0, a specieslevel functional trait database of palms worldwide. *Scientific Data*, 6(1), 1–13.
- Leigh, A., Sevanto, S., Close, J. D., & Nicotra, A. B. (2017). The influence of leaf size and shape on leaf thermal dynamics: Does theory hold up under natural conditions? *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 40(2), 237–248.
- Lim, J. Y., Huang, H., Farnsworth, A., Lunt, D. J., Baker, W. J., Morley, R. J., Kissling, W. D., & Hoorn, C. (2022). The Cenozoic history of palms: Global diversification, biogeography and the decline of megathermal forests. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 31(3), 425-439.
- Loiseau, O., Olivares, I., Paris, M., de La Harpe, M., Weigand, A., Koubínová, D., Rolland, J., Bacon, C. D., Balslev, H., Borchsenius, F., & Cano, A. (2019). Targeted capture of hundreds of nuclear genes unravels phylogenetic relationships of the diverse neotropical palm tribe Geonomateae. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 10, 864.
- Malhado, A. C., Whittaker, R. J., Malhi, Y., Ladle, R. J., ter Steege, H., Phillips, O., Aragão, L. E. O. C., Baker, T. R., Arroyo, L., Almeida, S., & Higuchi, N. (2010). Are compound leaves an adaptation to seasonal drought or to rapid growth? Evidence from the Amazon rain forest. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 19(6), 852–862.
- Nardini, A., & Luglio, J. (2014). Leaf hydraulic capacity and drought vulnerability: Possible trade-offs and correlations with climate across three major biomes. *Functional Ecology*, *28*(4), 810–818.
- Nicotra, A. B., Cosgrove, M. J., Cowling, A., Schlichting, C. D., & Jones, C. S. (2008). Leaf shape linked to photosynthetic rates and temperature optima in South African *Pelargonium* species. *Oecologia*, 154(4), 625–635.
- Nicotra, A. B., Hermes, J. P., Jones, C. S., & Schlichting, C. D. (2007). Geographic variation and plasticity to water and nutrients in *Pelargonium australe*. *New Phytologist*, 176(1), 136–149.
- Nicotra, A. B., Leigh, A., Boyce, C. K., Jones, C. S., Niklas, K. J., Royer, D. L., & Tsukaya, H. (2011). The evolution and functional significance of leaf shape in the angiosperms. *Functional Plant Biology*, 38(7), 535–552.
- Niinemets, Ü. (1998). Are compound-leaved woody species inherently shade-intolerant? An analysis of species ecological requirements and foliar support costs. *Plant Ecology*, 134(1), 1–11.

- Niklas, K. J. (1992). Petiole mechanics, light interception by lamina, and "economy in design". *Oecologia*, 90(4), 518–526.
- Pan, A. D., Jacobs, B. F., Dransfield, J., & Baker, W. J. (2006). The fossil history of palms (Arecaceae) in Africa and new records from the Late Oligocene (28-27 Mya) of North-Western Ethiopia. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society*, 151(1), 69-81.
- Peppe, D. J., Royer, D. L., Cariglino, B., Oliver, S. Y., Newman, S., Leight, E., Enikolopov, G., Fernandez-Burgos, M., Herrera, F., Adams, J. M., & Correa, E. (2011). Sensitivity of leaf size and shape to climate: Global patterns and paleoclimatic applications. *New Phytologist*, 190(3), 724–739.
- R Core Team. (2018). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-proje ct.org/
- Renninger, H. J., & Phillips, N. G. (2016). Palm physiology and distribution in response to global environmental change. In G. Goldstein & L. Santiago (Eds.), *Tropical tree physiology* (pp. 67–101). Springer.
- Seabold, S. & Perktold, J., (2010). Statsmodels: Econometric and statistical modelling with python. In Proceedings of the 9th Python in Science Conference 57(61): 10–25080.
- Simard, M., Pinto, N., Fisher, J. B., & Baccini, A. (2011). Mapping forest canopy height globally with spaceborne LiDAR. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 116(G4), 1–12.
- The Global Biodiversity Information Facility. (2022). GBIF occurrence download. Retrieved 26 April 2022, from https://doi.org/10.15468/ dl.w8djyx
- Tomlinson, P. B. (2006). The uniqueness of palms. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society*, 151(1), 5–14.
- Tsukaya, H. (2006). Mechanism of leaf-shape determination. Annual Review of Plant Biology, 57, 477–496.
- Valladares, F., Skillman, J. B., & Pearcy, R. W. (2002). Convergence in light capture efficiencies among tropical forest understory plants with contrasting crown architectures: A case of morphological compensation. American Journal of Botany, 89(8), 1275–1284.
- Vogel, S. (2009). Leaves in the lowest and highest winds: Temperature, force and shape. *New Phytologist*, 183(1), 13–26.

- Zachos, J., Pagani, M., Sloan, L., Thomas, E., & Billups, K. (2001). Trends, rhythms, and aberrations in global climate 65 Ma to present. *Science*, 292(5517), 686–693.
- Zizka, A., Silvestro, D., Andermann, T., Azevedo, J., Duarte Ritter, C., Edler, D., Farooq, H., Herdean, A., Ariza, M., Scharn, R., & Svantesson, S. (2019). CoordinateCleaner: Standardized cleaning of occurrence records from biological collection databases. *Methods in Ecology* and Evolution, 10(5), 744–751.

BIOSKETCH

M. F. Torres Jimenez is an evolutionary biologist studying diversification and biodiversity at all geographic scales, using geospatial and genomic data and within a phylogenetic framework. M.F.T.J. specializes in plants and insects mainly, but not exclusively.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Global Ecology

d Biogeography

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Torres Jiménez, M. F., Chazot, N., Emilio, T., Uddling, J., Antonelli, A., Faurby, S., & Bacon, C. D. (2023). Functional and historical drivers of leaf shape evolution in palms (Arecaceae). *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, *32*, 1495–1507. https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.13708

1507

-WILEY