LOWER BOUNDS FOR MAHLER-TYPE MEASURES OF POLYNOMIALS

ARTŪRAS DUBICKAS AND IGOR PRITSKER

(Communicated by Dmitriy Bilyk)

Abstract. In this note we consider various generalizations of the classical Mahler measure $M(P) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |P(e^{i\theta})| d\theta\right)$ for complex polynomials P, and prove sharp lower bounds for them. For example, we show that for any monic polynomial $P \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ satisfying $|P(0)| = 1$ the quantity $M_0(P) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \max(0, \log |P(e^{i\theta})|) d\theta\right)$ is greater than or equal to $M(1 + z_1 + z_2) = 1.381356...$ This inequality is best possible, with equality being attained for all $P(z) = z^n + c$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|c| = 1$.

1. Mahler measure and its relatives

The classical Mahler measure of a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ is defined by

(1.1)
$$
M(P) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |P(e^{i\theta})| d\theta\right).
$$

It is also known as the geometric mean, and an application of Jensen's formula for

$$
P(z) = a_n \prod_{j=1}^{n} (z - z_j) \in \mathbb{C}[z], \ a_n \neq 0,
$$

immediately gives that

(1.2)
$$
M(P) = |a_n| \prod_{j=1}^n \max(1, |z_j|).
$$

This direct connection with the roots of P explains why the Mahler measure plays an important role in various problems of number theory, see, e.g., [\[12\]](#page-6-0) and [\[18\]](#page-6-1). For a polynomial in several variables $P \in \mathbb{C}[z_1,\ldots,z_d]$ its Mahler measure is defined by

$$
M(P) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_0^{2\pi} \cdots \int_0^{2\pi} \log |P(e^{i\theta_1}, \ldots, e^{i\theta_d})| d\theta_1 \ldots d\theta_d\right),
$$

but there is no expression similar to that in (1.2) . See, e.g., [\[7\]](#page-6-2) for the description of some problems and many references related to the multidimensional Mahler measure.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11R06, 12D10, 30C10, 30C15, 31C20.

-c 2023 American Mathematical Society

Received by the editors August 9, 2022, and, in revised form, December 10, 2022.

Key words and phrases. Mahler measure, lower bound, sharp inequality.

The second author was partially supported by NSA grant H98230-21-1-0008, NSF grant DMS2152935, and by the Vaughn Foundation endowed Professorship in Number Theory.

Another useful measure (height) of polynomials is given by

$$
M_0(P) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |P(e^{i\theta})| d\theta\right),
$$

where we use the standard notation $\log^+ |P(e^{i\theta})| = \max(0, \log |P(e^{i\theta})|)$ for $P \in$ $\mathbb{C}[z]$. In fact, $M_0(P)$ is equal to the Mahler measure of the two-variable polynomial $P(z_1) + z_2 \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2]$ (see formula (7) in Smyth's paper [\[17\]](#page-6-3)). The quantity $M_0(P)$ was used by Mignotte [\[13\]](#page-6-4) in estimating a remainder term in the Erdős-Turán theorem about the distribution of the roots of polynomials in sectors of the complex plane [\[11\]](#page-6-5). See also [\[8\]](#page-6-6) and [\[19\]](#page-6-7) for some improvements of Mignotte's result. In [\[1,](#page-6-8) Prop. 3.1] Amoroso has shown that

(1.3)
$$
M_0(P) \ge e^{1/4} = 1.284025...
$$

if $P \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$ is a product of cyclotomic polynomials. Since

$$
M_0(P) \ge M(P),
$$

in connection to Lehmer's question it is natural to ask whether there is an absolute positive constant c_0 such that

$$
(1.4) \t\t M_0(P) \ge 1 + c_0
$$

for every nonconstant polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$ satisfying $P(0) \neq 0$.

We will show below that this is indeed the case and, moreover, that (1.4) holds not only for $P \in \mathbb{Z}[z], P(0) \neq 0$, but also for every monic polynomial $P \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ satisfying $|P(0)| \geq 1$. The inequality [\(1.4\)](#page-1-0) for nonconstant polynomials $P \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$, $P(0) \neq 0$, has been also obtained by Vesselin Dimitrov in 2018 (unpublished). In a correspondence between Dimitrov and the first named author we discussed that this result should also hold for complex polynomials. However, at that time we were unable to arrive at the proof of such a result. In this paper, we will not only show that [\(1.4\)](#page-1-0) holds for each nonconstant monic $P \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ satisfying $|P(0)| \geq 1$ and find the optimal constant c_0 , which turns out to be optimal for nonconstant polynomials $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, $P(0) \neq 0$, too, but also prove a version of this inequality in a more general setting.

First, we introduce a more general family of measures for a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ that includes the known cases mentioned above, namely,

(1.5)
$$
M_u(P) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \max(u, \log |P(e^{i\theta})|) d\theta\right), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}.
$$

It is clear that

$$
\lim_{u \to -\infty} M_u(P) = M(P)
$$

for any polynomial P. In a particular case, when $P \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ has no roots on the unit circle, we have $\mu(P) := \min_{|z|=1} |P(z)| > 0$. Then, $M_u(P) = M(P)$ for each real number $u \leq \log \mu(P)$.

Moreover, our results hold for even more general class of measures

(1.6)
$$
M(\psi, P) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \psi(\log |P(e^{i\theta})|) d\theta\right),
$$

where $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is an increasing convex function with $\psi(-\infty) := \lim_{x \to -\infty} \psi(x)$. In particular, selecting $\psi(t) = \max(u, t)$ in [\(1.6\)](#page-1-1) we get the measure M_u defined in

[\(1.5\)](#page-1-2). Since $\psi(\log |P(z)|)$ is a subharmonic function in $\mathbb C$ (cf. Theorems 2.2.2 and 2.6.3 of [\[16\]](#page-6-9)), the submean inequality immediately gives the lower bound

$$
M(\psi, P) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \psi(\log |P(e^{i\theta})|) d\theta\right) \ge \exp(\psi(\log |P(0)|)).
$$

Our primary goal is to improve this trivial lower bound for monic polynomials with a prescribed value of $|P(0)|$.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be an increasing convex function. If $P(z)$ is a monic nonconstant polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[z]$ satisfying $|P(0)| = r > 0$, then

(1.7)
$$
M(\psi, P) \ge C(\psi, r) := \exp\left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \psi\left(\log|e^{it} - r|\right) dt\right),
$$

with equality holding in [\(1.7\)](#page-2-0) for all polynomials of the form $P(z) = zⁿ + c$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|c| = r$. Moreover, if ψ is strictly convex, then equality holds in [\(1.7\)](#page-2-0) only for polynomials $P(z) = z^n + c$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|c| = r$.

For the measure M_u introduced in [\(1.5\)](#page-1-2), this result takes a more specific shape. We first observe that for $u > \log 2$, using in [\(1.5\)](#page-1-2) the trivial inequality $\max(u, \log |P(e^{i\theta})|) \geq u$, we immediately obtain

$$
(1.8) \t\t M_u(P) \ge e^u,
$$

which is sharp. Equality in [\(1.8\)](#page-2-1) holds, for instance, for any $P(z) = z^n + a_{n-1}z^{n-1} +$ $\cdots + a_0 \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ satisfying

$$
|a_{n-1}| + \cdots + |a_0| \le e^u - 1,
$$

since then $\max(u, \log |P(e^{i\theta})|) = u$. For $u \leq \log 2$ we obtain the following:

Corollary 1.2. If $P(z)$ is a monic nonconstant polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[z]$ satisfying $|P(0)| = 1$, then for each real number $u \le \log 2$ we have

(1.9)
$$
M_u(P) \ge C_u := \exp\left(2 \int_0^{x_u} \log(2 \cos(\pi t)) dt + u(1 - 2x_u)\right),
$$

where $x_u := \frac{1}{\pi} \arccos\left(\frac{e^u}{2}\right)$. Equality holds in [\(1.9\)](#page-2-2) for all $P(z) = z^n + c$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|c| = 1$.

In Table 1 we give some values of the function C_u defined in [\(1.9\)](#page-2-2).

TABLE 1. Some values of C_u

\boldsymbol{u}						α
\cup_{u}	1.002147	1.044031	1.126914	1.381356	1.733204	

Proposition 1.3. The function C_u defined in [\(1.9\)](#page-2-2) satisfies $C_u > 1$ for each $u \in \mathbb{R}$, and is increasing in the interval $(-\infty, \log 2]$.

In particular, for $u = 0$ we obtain a sharp result for any monic $P \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ satisfying $|P(0)| = 1$, which improves [\(1.3\)](#page-1-3). (Recall that (1.3) in [\[1\]](#page-6-8) was proved only in a particular case when $P \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$ is a product of cyclotomic polynomials.) Moreover, our result establishes the optimal value of c_0 in [\(1.4\)](#page-1-0). As in Boyd's paper [\[5\]](#page-6-10) we set

(1.10)
$$
I(\nu) := \int_0^{\nu} \log\left(2\cos\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right) dt.
$$

(See also [\[6\]](#page-6-11) and [\[10\]](#page-6-12), where this integral appears in connection with the heights of polynomials.) Then, Corollary [1.2](#page-2-3) with $u = 0$ implies the following:

Corollary 1.4. If $P(z)$ is a monic nonconstant polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[z]$ satisfying $|P(0)| = 1$, then

(1.11)
$$
M_0(P) \ge \exp\left(\frac{1}{\pi}I\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right)\right) = M(1+z_1+z_2) = 1.381356\ldots.
$$

Equality holds in [\(1.11\)](#page-3-0) for all $P(z) = z^n + c$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|c| = 1$.

Of course, by (1.7) , the inequality (1.11) is true for any monic polynomial P in $\mathbb{C}[z]$ satisfying $|P(0)| \geq 1$, since

$$
\max(0, \log |e^{it} - r|) \ge \max(0, \log |e^{it} - 1|)
$$

for any $t \in [0, \pi]$ and $r = |P(0)| \ge 1$. Thus [\(1.4\)](#page-1-0) holds for any monic polynomial $P \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ satisfying $|P(0)| \geq 1$ with

$$
c_0 = C_0 - 1 = M(1 + z_1 + z_2) - 1 = 0.381356...
$$

The result for $u = \frac{\log 2}{2}$ also gives some interesting constants:

Corollary 1.5. If $P(z)$ is a monic nonconstant polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[z]$ satisfying $|P(0)| = 1$, then

(1.12)
$$
M_{\frac{\log 2}{2}}(P) \ge \exp\left(\frac{G}{\pi} + \frac{\log 2}{4}\right) = 1.591771\dots,
$$

where

$$
G = 1 - \frac{1}{3^2} + \frac{1}{5^2} - \frac{1}{7^2} + \dots = 0.915965\dots
$$

is Catalan's constant. Equality holds in [\(1.12\)](#page-3-1) for all $P(z) = z^n + c$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|c| = 1$.

2. Proofs

Our key tool is the Schur-Szeg˝o composition (or convolution) of polynomials, which played a prominent role in the development of various polynomial inequalities. For a polynomial

(2.1)
$$
\Lambda_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_k {n \choose k} z^k \in \mathbb{C}[z],
$$

we define the *Schur-Szegő composition* with another polynomial $P_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k$ $\in \mathbb{C}[z]$ by

(2.2)
$$
\Lambda P_n(z) := \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_k a_k z^k.
$$

If Λ_n is a fixed polynomial, then ΛP_n is a multiplier (or convolution) operator acting on a subspace of polynomials P_n . More information on the history and applications of this composition may be found in [\[2\]](#page-6-13), [\[3\]](#page-6-14), [\[9\]](#page-6-15) and [\[15\]](#page-6-16). In particular, de Bruijn and Springer [\[9\]](#page-6-15) proved a remarkable inequality that contains several well known inequalities about Mahler measure, see also a survey [\[14\]](#page-6-17). The method of de Bruijn and Springer was substantially developed by Arestov [\[2\]](#page-6-13), who generalized it to a wide class of integral inequalities for polynomials.

We use the following result from [\[2\]](#page-6-13), which is condensed from Theorems 4 and 5 there, and is adapted to our notation. We define a class of degree n complex polynomials $Z_n(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ consisting of polynomials Λ_n as in [\(2.1\)](#page-3-2) such that for any polynomial $P_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k \in \mathbb{C}[z]$, $a_n \neq 0$, with all roots in the closed unit disk $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, the polynomial ΛP_n as in [\(2.2\)](#page-3-3) again has all its roots in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$.

Theorem 2.1 (Arestov [\[2\]](#page-6-13)). Let $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be an increasing convex function. If $P_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k \in \mathbb{C}[z], a_n \neq 0, \Lambda_n \in Z_n(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ and $|\lambda_n| = |\lambda_0| = 1$, then

(2.3)
$$
\int_0^{2\pi} \psi(\log|\Lambda P_n(e^{i\theta})|) d\theta \le \int_0^{2\pi} \psi(\log|P_n(e^{i\theta})|) d\theta,
$$

with equality in [\(2.3\)](#page-4-0) for $P_n(z) = a_n z^n + a_0$. Moreover, if ψ is strictly convex, then equality holds in [\(2.3\)](#page-4-0) only for polynomials $P_n(z) = a_n z^n + a_0$.

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem [1.1.](#page-2-4)

Proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-2-4). We apply Theorem [2.1](#page-4-1) by using the Schur-Szeg \ddot{o} composition with $\Lambda_n(z) = z^n + 1$, so that $\lambda_n = \lambda_0 = 1$ and $\lambda_k = 0$ for $k = 1, \ldots, n-1$. We need to check that $\Lambda_n \in Z_n(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$. Consider any polynomial

$$
P_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k = a_n \prod_{k=1}^n (z - z_k) \in \mathbb{C}[z], \ a_n \neq 0,
$$

with all roots in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. By [\(2.2\)](#page-3-3), the corresponding polynomial is $\Lambda P_n(z) = a_n z^n + a_0$. Since $|a_0|/|a_n| = \prod_{k=1}^n |z_k| \le 1$, we conclude that ΛP_n has all its roots in \overline{D} too, as required, so $\Lambda_n(z) = z^n + 1$ is indeed in $Z_n(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$.

Therefore, for any polynomial $P(z) = z^n + a_{n-1}z^{n-1} \dots + a_0 \in \mathbb{C}[z]$, from [\(1.6\)](#page-1-1) and Theorem [2.1](#page-4-1) we obtain

$$
2\pi \log M(\psi, P) = \int_0^{2\pi} \psi(\log |P(e^{i\theta})|) d\theta \ge \int_0^{2\pi} \psi(\log |e^{in\theta} + a_0|) d\theta.
$$

Recall that $|a_0| = r$ by our assumption, so we can write $a_0 = -re^{i\varphi}$ with some $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$. Using periodicity and symmetry of the integral on the right hand side, by changing the variable $\theta = t/n$, we arrive at

$$
\int_0^{2\pi} \psi(\log |e^{in\theta} + a_0|) d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} \psi(\log |e^{in\theta} - re^{i\varphi}|) d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} \psi(\log |e^{in\theta} - r|) d\theta
$$

$$
= 2n \int_0^{\pi/n} \psi(\log |e^{in\theta} - r|) d\theta = 2 \int_0^{\pi} \psi(\log |e^{it} - r|) dt,
$$

which implies (1.7) . The case of equality in (1.7) is completely described by Theo-rem [2.1.](#page-4-1) \Box

We note that Theorem 2 of [\[4\]](#page-6-18) is another result that could also be used in the proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-2-4)

Proof of Corollary [1.2](#page-2-3). We will apply Theorem [1.1](#page-2-4) to the function $\psi(t) = \max(u, t)$ and to $r = 1$. By [\(1.5\)](#page-1-2), [\(1.6\)](#page-1-1), [\(1.7\)](#page-2-0) and [\(1.9\)](#page-2-2) we find that

$$
\log M_u(P) \ge \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \max\left(u, \log|e^{it} - 1|\right) dt = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \max\left(u, \log\left(2\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right)\right) dt
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \max\left(u, \log\left(2\cos\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right)\right) dt = 2 \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \max(u, \log(2\cos(\pi t))) dt.
$$

Now, we will show that

(2.4)
$$
2\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \max(u, \log(2\cos(\pi t))) dt = 2\int_0^{x_u} \log(2\cos(\pi t)) dt + u(1 - 2x_u).
$$

To see that this is indeed the case we observe that the function $\phi(t)=\log(2\cos(\pi t))$ is decreasing in the interval $t \in [0, 1/2)$. For $x_u = \frac{1}{\pi} \arccos\left(\frac{e^u}{2}\right)$ and $u \le \log 2$ we clearly have

$$
\phi(x_u) = \phi\left(\frac{1}{\pi}\arccos\left(\frac{e^u}{2}\right)\right) = \log\left(2 \cdot \frac{e^u}{2}\right) = u.
$$

Hence, $\max(u, \phi(t)) = \phi(t)$ for $t \in [0, x_u]$ and $\max(u, \phi(t)) = u$ for $t \in [x_u, \frac{1}{2}]$. This implies that the left hand side of [\(2.4\)](#page-5-0) equals

$$
2\int_0^{x_u} \log(2\cos(\pi t)) dt + 2\int_{x_u}^{\frac{1}{2}} u dt = 2\int_0^{x_u} \log(2\cos(\pi t)) dt + 2u\left(\frac{1}{2} - x_u\right),
$$

which is log C_u by [\(1.9\)](#page-2-2). This completes the proof of [\(2.4\)](#page-5-0) and yields log $M_u(P) \ge \log C_u$. $\log C_u$.

Proof of Proposition [1.3](#page-2-5). In the proof of Corollary [1.2](#page-2-3) we have shown that

(2.5)
$$
\log C_u = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \max (u, \log |e^{it} - 1|) dt.
$$

Letting $u \to -\infty$ and using [\(1.1\)](#page-0-1) with $P(z) = z - 1$ we obtain

$$
\log(C_{-\infty}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \log |e^{it} - 1| \, dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |e^{it} - 1| \, dt = \log M(z - 1) = 0,
$$

so $C_{-\infty} = 1$. Furthermore, by [\(2.5\)](#page-5-1), we see that $\log C_u$ is increasing in u. In particular, this implies $C_u > C_{-\infty} = 1$ for each $u \in \mathbb{R}$, and completes the proof of the proposition.

Proof of Corollary [1.4](#page-3-4). By Corollary [1.2](#page-2-3) with $u = 0$ and [\(1.10\)](#page-2-6), we find that $x_0 = \frac{1}{3}$ and

$$
\log M_0(P) \ge \log C_0 = 2 \int_0^{\frac{1}{3}} \log(2 \cos(\pi t)) dt
$$

= $\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{3}} \log\left(2 \cos\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right) dt = \frac{1}{\pi} I\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right).$

By [\[5\]](#page-6-10) (see several expressions for the number β in [5]), the right hand side is also equal to the logarithm of the Mahler measure of the polynomial $1 + z_1 + z_2$ in two variables. The numerical value $M(1 + z_1 + z_2) = 1.381356444518...$ was also calculated by Boyd [\[5\]](#page-6-10). \Box

Proof of Corollary [1.5](#page-3-5). By Corollary [1.2](#page-2-3) with $u = \frac{\log 2}{2}$ and [\(1.10\)](#page-2-6), we now have $x_{\frac{\log 2}{2}} = \frac{1}{4}$ and

$$
\log M_{\frac{\log 2}{2}}(P) \ge \log C_{\frac{\log 2}{2}} = 2 \int_0^{\frac{1}{4}} \log(2 \cos(\pi t)) dt + \frac{\log 2}{4} = \frac{1}{\pi} I\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) + \frac{\log 2}{2}.
$$

This implies the corollary, due to $I\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = G$ (see [\[5\]](#page-6-10)).

$$
\Box
$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This paper was initiated at the conference "Equidistribution and Arithmetic Dynamics" held at Oklahoma State University during June 20-24, 2022.

REFERENCES

- [1] Francesco Amoroso, Algebraic numbers close to 1 and variants of Mahler's measure, J. Number Theory **60** (1996), no. 1, 80–96, DOI 10.1006/jnth.1996.0114. M[R1405727](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1405727)
- [2] V. V. Arestov, Integral inequalities for trigonometric polynomials and their derivatives (Russian), Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **45** (1981), no. 1, 3–22, 239. M[R607574](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=607574)
- [3] V. V. Arestov, *Integral inequalities for algebraic polynomials on the unit circle* (Russian), Mat. Zametki **48** (1990), no. 4, 7–18, 158, DOI 10.1007/BF01139596; English transl., Math. Notes **48** (1990), no. 3-4, 977–984 (1991). M[R1093133](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1093133)
- [4] Albert Baernstein II, An extremal property of meromorphic functions with n-fold symmetry, Complex Variables Theory Appl. **21** (1993), no. 3-4, 137–148, DOI 10.1080/17476939308814623. M[R1276570](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1276570)
- [5] David W. Boyd, Two sharp inequalities for the norm of a factor of a polynomial, Mathematika **39** (1992), no. 2, 341–349, DOI 10.1112/S0025579300015072. M[R1203290](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1203290)
- [6] David W. Boyd, Sharp inequalities for the product of polynomials, Bull. London Math. Soc. **26** (1994), no. 5, 449–454, DOI 10.1112/blms/26.5.449. M[R1308361](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1308361)
- [7] François Brunault and Wadim Zudilin, Many variations of Mahler measures—a lasting symphony, Australian Mathematical Society Lecture Series, vol. 28, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2020. M[R4382435](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4382435)
- [8] Emanuel Carneiro, Mithun Kumar Das, Alexandra Florea, Angel V. Kumchev, Amita Malik, Micah B. Milinovich, Caroline Turnage-Butterbaugh, and Jiuya Wang, Hilbert transforms and the equidistribution of zeros of polynomials, J. Funct. Anal. **281** (2021), no. 9, Paper No. 109199, 25, DOI 10.1016/j.jfa.2021.109199. M[R4295973](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4295973)
- [9] N. G. de Bruijn and T. A. Springer, On the zeros of composition-polynomials, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch., Proc. **50** (1947), 895–903 = Indagationes Math. 9, 406–414 (1947). M[R22270](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=22270)
- [10] Artūras Dubickas, On Mahler's inequality for the sum of polynomials, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. **18** (2007), no. 5-6, 313–319, DOI 10.1080/10652460701318046. M[R2326069](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2326069)
- [11] P. Erdös and P. Turán, On the distribution of roots of polynomials, Ann. of Math. (2) 51 (1950), 105–119, DOI 10.2307/1969500. M[R33372](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=33372)
- [12] James McKee and Chris Smyth, Around the unit circle—Mahler measure, integer matrices and roots of unity, Universitext, Springer, Cham, $[2021]$ \odot 2021, DOI 10.1007/978-3-030-80031-4. M[R4393584](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4393584)
- [13] Maurice Mignotte, Remarque sur une question relative à des fonctions conjuguées (French, with English and French summaries), C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **315** (1992), no. 8, 907–911. M[R1187133](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1187133)
- [14] Igor E. Pritsker, Polynomial inequalities, Mahler's measure, and multipliers, Number theory and polynomials, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 352, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2008, pp. 255–276, DOI 10.1017/CBO9780511721274.017. M[R2428526](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2428526)
- [15] Q. I. Rahman and G. Schmeisser, Analytic theory of polynomials, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, vol. 26, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002. M[R1954841](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1954841)
- [16] Thomas Ransford, Potential theory in the complex plane, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, vol. 28, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995, DOI 10.1017/CBO9780511623776. M[R1334766](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1334766)
- [17] C. J. Smyth, On measures of polynomials in several variables, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **23** (1981), no. 1, 49–63, DOI 10.1017/S0004972700006894. M[R615132](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=615132)
- [18] Chris Smyth, The Mahler measure of algebraic numbers: a survey, Number theory and polynomials, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 352, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2008, pp. 322–349, DOI 10.1017/CBO9780511721274.021. M[R2428530](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2428530)
- [19] K. Soundararajan, Equidistribution of zeros of polynomials, Amer. Math. Monthly **126** (2019), no. 3, 226–236, DOI 10.1080/00029890.2019.1546078. M[R3920530](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3920530)

$\begin{array}{c} \text{ARTURAS DUBICKAS AND IGOR PRITSKER} \end{array}$

Institute of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, Vilnius University, Naugarduko 24, LT-03225 Vilnius, Lithuania $\emph{Email address:}$ arturas.dubickas@mif.vu.lt

Department of Mathematics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma 74078 Email address: igor@math.okstate.edu

Licensed to Vilnius University. Prepared on Mon Oct 16 05:18:13 EDT 2023 for download from IP 193.219.42.50. License or copyright restrictions may apply to redistribution; see https://www.ams.org/journal-terms-of-use