

MDPI

Article

# On Joint Universality in the Selberg-Steuding Class

Roma Kačinskaitė \*,† D, Antanas Laurinčikas † D and Brigita Žemaitienė † D

Institute of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, Vilnius University, Naugarduko Str. 24, LT-03225 Vilnius, Lithuania

- \* Correspondence: roma.kacinskaite@mif.vu.lt
- † These authors contributed equally to this work.

**Abstract:** The famous Selberg class is defined axiomatically and consists of Dirichlet series satisfying four axioms (Ramanujan hypothesis, analytic continuation, functional equation, multiplicativity). The Selberg–Steuding class  $\mathcal{S}$  is a complemented Selberg class by an arithmetic hypothesis related to the distribution of prime numbers. In this paper, a joint universality theorem for the functions L from the class  $\mathcal{S}$  on the approximation of a collection of analytic functions by shifts  $(L(s+ia_1\tau),\ldots,L(s+ia_r\tau))$ , where  $a_1,\ldots,a_r$  are real algebraic numbers linearly independent over the field of rational numbers, is obtained. It is proved that the set of the above approximating shifts is infinite, its lower density and, with some exception, density are positive. For the proof, a probabilistic method based on weak convergence of probability measures in the space of analytic functions is applied together with the Backer theorem on linear forms of logarithms and the Mergelyan theorem on approximation of analytic functions by polynomials.

Keywords: limit theorem; Selberg-Steuding class; universality; weak convergence

MSC: 11M06; 11M41

# 1. Introduction

Let  $\{a_m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a sequence of complex numbers, and  $s = \sigma + it$  be a complex variable. In analytic number theory, Dirichlet series

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{m^s}, \quad \sigma > \sigma_0,$$

are very important analytic objects. The latter series are of ordinary type, general Dirichlet series

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m e^{-\lambda_m s}$$

where  $\{\lambda_m\}$  is an increasing to  $+\infty$  sequence of real numbers, are also studied. The majority of the so-called zeta- and L-functions, including the Riemann zeta-function

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^s}, \quad \sigma > 1,$$

and Dirichlet L-functions

$$L(s,\chi) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(m)}{m^s}, \quad \sigma > 1,$$

where  $\chi(m)$  is a Dirichlet character, whose analytic properties play the crucial role for investigation of prime numbers in the set  $\mathbb{N}$  and arithmetic progressions, respectively, are defined by Dirichlet series.



Citation: Kačinskaitė, R.; Laurinčikas, A.; Žemaitienė, B. On Joint Universality in the Selberg–Steuding Class. *Mathematics* 2023, 11, 737. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/math11030737

Academic Editor: Sitnik Sergey

Received: 28 December 2022 Revised: 30 January 2023 Accepted: 30 January 2023 Published: 1 February 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Mathematics 2023. 11, 737 2 of 15

Without a class of Dirichlet L-functions, there are several classes of Dirichlet series cultivated in analytic number theory. Among them, the classes of Hurwitz-type zeta-functions, Lerch zeta-functions, Matsumoto zeta-functions, Epstein zeta-functions, and others. The famous number theorist A. Selberg in [1] introduced the class  $\mathcal S$  of Dirichlet series including some classical number theoretical zeta- and L-functions, and stated hypotheses on that class. The Selberg class became an object of numerous studies. We recall the hypotheses which satisfy the functions

$$L(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a(m)}{m^s}$$

of the class S. As usual, we denote by  $\Gamma(s)$  the Euler gamma-function.

- 1. For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the estimate  $a(m) \ll m^{\varepsilon}$  is valid.
- 2. There exists an integer  $\alpha$  such that  $(s-1)^{\alpha}L(s)$  is an entire function of finite order.
- 3. The function L(s) satisfies the functional equation

$$\Lambda_L(s) = w\Lambda_L(1-\overline{s}),$$

where

$$\Lambda_L(s) = L(s)q^s \prod_{j=1}^J \Gamma(\lambda_j s + \mu_j)$$

with positive numbers q and  $\lambda_j$ , and complex numbers w and  $\mu_j$  such that  $\Re \mu_j \ge 0$  and |w| = 1, and  $\bar{s}$  denotes the complex conjugate of s.

4. The function L(s) has the representation

$$L(s) = \prod_{p} L_p(s)$$

over the prime numbers with

$$\log L_p(s) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{b(p^{\alpha})}{p^s},$$

and 
$$b(p^l) \ll p^{\theta l}$$
,  $\theta < \frac{1}{2}$ .

Much attention is devoted to the structure of the class S. For results, see Section 6.1 of [2]. In the theory of the class S, the degree of the function  $L \in S$  defined as

$$d_L = 2\sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j$$

occupies an important place. For example, it is known that if  $0 \le d_L < 1$ , then  $L(s) \equiv 1$ , while if  $d_L = 1$ , then L(s) are the Riemann zeta-function, or shifted Dirichlet L-function  $L(s+i\theta,\chi)$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . There exists a conjecture that the class  $\mathcal S$  consists of all automorphic L-functions. For example, L-functions of normalized holomorphic new forms have a degree  $d_L = 2$ .

In this paper, we are interested in the universality of functions of the class  $\mathcal{S}$ , i.e., on the approximation of a whole class of analytic functions by shifts  $L(s+i\tau)$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $L(s) \in \mathcal{S}$ . Recall that the universality property for  $\zeta(s)$  which is a member of  $\mathcal{S}$  was obtained by S.M. Voronin in [3]. For an improved version of the Voronin theorem, we use the following notation. Let  $D = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1\}$ , and let meas $\{A\}$  denote the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that  $K \subset D$  is a compact set with connected complement,

Mathematics 2023, 11, 737 3 of 15

and f(s) is a continuous non-vanishing function on K and analytic in the interior of K. Then, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\liminf_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \operatorname{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0, T] : \sup_{s \in K} |\zeta(s + i\tau) - f(s)| < \epsilon \right\} > 0$$

(see [2,4-6]). A similar assertion also is true for all Dirichlet L-functions.

The first universality result related to the class S was obtained by J. Steuding in [2]. Let, for  $L \in S$ ,

$$\sigma_L = \max\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1 - \frac{1}{d_L}\right)$$

and  $D_L = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \sigma_L < \sigma < 1\}$ . In addition to the hypothesis 4 of the class S, it was required the existence of a polynomial Euler product

$$L(s) = \prod_{p} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha_j(p)}{p^s} \right)^{-1}, \quad \alpha_j(p) \in \mathbb{C}.$$
 (1)

Moreover, one more arithmetic condition

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \sum_{p < x} |a_j(p)|^2 = \kappa \tag{2}$$

with a certain positive  $\kappa$  and  $\pi(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} 1$  was used. Denote by  $\mathcal{K}_L$  the class of compact subset of the strip  $D_L$  with connected complements, and by  $H_{0L}(K)$ ,  $K \in \mathcal{K}_L$ , the class of continuous non-vanishing functions on K that are analytic in the interior of K. Denote by  $\widehat{S}$  the class satisfying hypotheses of the class S, and (1) and (2). Then, the following theorem is true [2].

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that  $L \in S \cap \widehat{S}$ . Let  $K \in K_L$  and  $f(s) \in H_{0L}$ . Then, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\liminf_{T\to 0}\frac{1}{T}\mathrm{meas}\bigg\{\tau\in[0,T]:\sup_{s\in K}|L(s+i\tau)-f(s)|<\varepsilon\bigg\}>0.$$

We note that the class  $S \cap \widehat{S}$  consists of all functions satisfying axioms 2 and 3 of class S, and (1) and (2).

In [7], Theorem 1 was improved, namely, the condition (1) was not used. More precisely, Theorem 1 is valid for  $L \in S$  satisfying (2).

For zeta- and L-functions, the joint universality also is considered. In this case, a collection of analytic functions is simultaneously approximated by a collection of shifts of zeta- or L-functions. The first result in this direction also belongs to S.M. Voronin. In [8], he obtained the joint universality for Dirichlet L-functions with nonequivalent characters and applied it for a theorem on joint functional independence of L-functions. More general results on joint universality were obtained for the periodic and periodic Hurwitz zeta-functions as well as for Matsumoto zeta-function (see, for example, [9–12]). Joint universality theorems also can be proved using only one zeta- or L-function with different shifts. Our aim is to obtain a joint universality theorem for functions from the Selberg–Steuding class  $\mathcal{S}_1$  of functions belonging to the class  $\mathcal{S}$  and satisfying the condition (2). The main result of the paper is the following theorem.

Mathematics 2023, 11, 737 4 of 15

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that  $L \in S_1$ , and real algebraic numbers  $a_1, \ldots, a_r$  are linearly independent over the field of rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$ . For  $j = 1, \ldots, r$ , let  $K_j \in \mathcal{K}_L$  and  $f_j(s) \in H_{0L}(K_j)$ . Then, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\liminf_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\mathrm{meas}\bigg\{\tau\in[0,T]: \sup_{1\leq j\leq r}\sup_{s\in K_i}|L(s+ia_j\tau)-f_j(s)|<\varepsilon\bigg\}>0.$$

Moreover, "liminf" can be replaced by "lim" for all but at most countably many  $\epsilon > 0$ .

The proof of Theorem 2 is based on weak convergence of probability measures in the space of analytic functions.

#### 2. Limit Lemmas on a Group

We start to consider the weak convergence of probability measures with a case of one compact group. Denote by  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{X})$  the Borel  $\sigma$ -field of a topological space  $\mathbb{X}$ , and define the set

$$\Omega = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \gamma_p$$
 ,

where  $\mathbb{P}$  denotes the set of all prime numbers, and  $\gamma_p = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : |s| = 1\}$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ . By the classical Tikhonov theorem, the infinite-dimensional torus  $\Omega$ , with the product topology and operation of pairwise multiplication, is a compact topological Abelian group. Define one more set

$$\Omega^r = \Omega_1 \times \ldots \times \Omega_r$$
,

where  $\Omega_j = \Omega$  for all j = 1, ..., r. Then, again, by the Tikhonov theorem,  $\Omega^r$  is a compact topological Abelian group. Therefore, on  $(\Omega^r, \mathcal{B}(\Omega^r))$ , the probability Haar measure  $m_H$  can be defined. This gives the probability space  $(\Omega^r, \mathcal{B}(\Omega^r), m_H)$ . For  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , denote by  $\omega_j(p)$  the pth component of an element  $\omega_j \in \Omega$ , j = 1, ..., r, and by  $\omega = (\omega_1, ..., \omega_r)$  the elements of  $\Omega^r$ . Let, for brevity,  $\underline{a} = (a_1, ..., a_r)$ .

Now, we will prove a limit lemma on weak convergence for

$$Q_{T,\underline{a}}(A) = \frac{1}{T} \operatorname{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0,T] : \left( (p^{-a_1 \tau} : p \in \mathbb{P}), \dots, (p^{-a_r \tau} : p \in \mathbb{P}) \right) \in A \right\},$$

 $A \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega^r)$ , as  $T \to \infty$ . For its proof, we apply the following result of A. Baker (see [13]).

**Lemma 1.** Suppose that the logarithm  $\log \lambda_1, \ldots, \log \lambda_r$  of algebraic numbers  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then, for any algebraic numbers  $\beta_0, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_r$  not all simultaneously zero, the inequality

$$|\beta_0 + \beta_1 \log \lambda_1 + \ldots + \beta_r \log \lambda_r| > h^{-C}$$

where h is the maximum of the heights of the numbers  $\beta_0, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_r$ , and C is an effective constant depending on  $r, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r$  and the maximum of the powers of the numbers  $\beta_0, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_r$ , is valid.

**Lemma 2.** Suppose that  $a_1, \ldots, a_r$  are real algebraic numbers linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then,  $Q_{T,a}$  converges weakly to the Haar measure  $m_H$  as  $T \to \infty$ .

**Proof.** For the proofs of weak convergence of probability measures on groups, it is convenient to use the method of Fourier transforms. Thus, denote by  $F_{T,\underline{a}}(\underline{k}_1,\ldots,\underline{k}_r)$ ,  $\underline{k}_j=(k_{jp}:k_{jp}\in\mathbb{Z},p\in\mathbb{P})$ ,  $j=1,\ldots,r$ , the Fourier transform of  $Q_{T,\underline{a}}$ , i.e.,

$$F_{T,\underline{a}}(\underline{k}_1,\ldots,\underline{k}_r) = \int_{\Omega} \left( \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{p\in\mathbb{P}} \omega_j^{k_{jp}}(p) dQ_{T,\underline{a}} \right),$$

Mathematics 2023. 11, 737 5 of 15

where the star \* shows that only a finite number of integers  $k_{jp}$  is distinct from zero. By the definition of  $Q_{T,a}$ , we have

$$F_{T,\underline{a}}(\underline{k}_{1},\ldots,\underline{k}_{r}) = \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{r} \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}}^{*} p^{-a_{j}k_{jp}\tau} \right) d\tau$$
$$= \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \exp\left\{ -i\tau \sum_{j=1}^{r} a_{j} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}}^{*} k_{jp} \log p \right\} d\tau. \tag{3}$$

Obviously,

$$F_{T,a}(\underline{0},\ldots,\underline{0})=1, \tag{4}$$

where  $\underline{0}$  is a collection consisting from zeros. Now, suppose that  $(\underline{k}_1, \dots, \underline{k}_r) \neq (\underline{0}, \dots, \underline{0})$ . Let, for brevity,  $\underline{k} = (\underline{k}_1, \dots, \underline{k}_r)$ ,

$$A_{\underline{a},\underline{k}} \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{j=1}^{r} a_j \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}}^{*} k_{jp} \log p = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}}^{*} c_p \log p,$$

where

$$c_p = \sum_{j=1}^r a_j k_{jp}.$$

In this case, there exists j such that  $\underline{k}_j \neq \underline{0}$ . Therefore,  $k_{jp}$  are not all zero. Since the numbers  $a_j$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , the algebraic numbers  $c_p$  are not all simultaneously zero. It is well known that the set  $\{\log p : p \in \mathbb{P}\}$  is linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Therefore, for  $A_{\underline{a},\underline{k}}$ , Lemma 1 is applicable, and we obtain that  $A_{\underline{a},\underline{k}} \neq 0$ . Hence, integrating in (3), we find

$$F_{T,\underline{a}}(\underline{k}_1,\ldots,\underline{k}_r) = \frac{1 - \exp\left\{-iTA_{\underline{a},\underline{k}}\right\}}{iTA_{\underline{a},\underline{k}}}.$$

This together with (4) shows that

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} F_{T,\underline{a}}(\underline{k}_1,\ldots,\underline{k}_r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } & (\underline{k}_1,\ldots,\underline{k}_r) = (\underline{0},\ldots,\underline{0}), \\ 0 & \text{if } & (\underline{k}_1,\ldots,\underline{k}_r) \neq (\underline{0},\ldots,\underline{0}), \end{cases}$$

and the lemma is proved because the right-hand side of the last equality is the Fourier transform of the Haar measure  $m_H$ .  $\Box$ 

We will apply Lemma 2 to obtain a joint limit lemma in the space of analytic functions for absolutely convergent Dirichlet series. Denote by  $H(D_L)$  the space of analytic on  $D_L$  functions equipped with topology of uniform convergence on compacta, and set

$$H^r(D_L) = \underbrace{H(D_L) \times \ldots \times H(D_L)}_r.$$

Let  $\theta > 0$  be a fixed number,

$$v_n(m) = \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{\theta}\right\}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and

$$L_n(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_L(m)v_n(m)}{m^s}.$$

Mathematics 2023. 11, 737 6 of 15

Since  $a_L(m) \ll m^{\epsilon}$  and  $v_n(m)$  is decreasing exponentially with respect to m, the latter series is absolutely convergent in any half-plane  $\sigma > \sigma_0$ . Extend the functions  $\omega_j(p)$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ ,  $j = 1, \ldots, r$ , to the set  $\mathbb{N}$  of all positive integers by

$$\omega_j(p) = \prod_{p^l \mid m} \omega_j^l(p), \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $p^l || m$  means that  $p^l || m$  but  $p^{l+1} \nmid m$ , and define

$$L_n(s,\omega_j) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_L(m)\omega_j(m)v_n(m)}{m^s},$$
(5)

the series also being absolutely convergent for  $\sigma > \sigma_0$ . Define

$$\underline{L}_n(s,\omega) = (L_n(s,\omega_1), \ldots, L_n(s,\omega_r)),$$

and  $h_n: \Omega^r \to H^r(D_L)$  by  $h_n(\omega) = \underline{L}_n(s,\omega)$ . Since the series  $L_n(s,\omega_j)$ ,  $j=1,\ldots,r$ , are absolutely convergent in any half-plane, the mapping  $h_n$  is continuous. Therefore, every probability measure P on  $(\Omega^r, \mathcal{B}(\Omega^r))$  defines the unique probability measure  $Ph_n^{-1}$  on  $(H^r(D_L), \mathcal{B}(H^r(D_L)))$ , where

$$Ph_n^{-1}(A) = P(h_n^{-1}A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H^r(D_L)).$$

For  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H^r(D_L))$ , define

$$P_{T,n,\underline{a}}(A) = \frac{1}{T} \operatorname{meas} \{ \tau \in [0,T] : \underline{L}_n(s + i\underline{a}\tau) \in A \},$$

where

$$\underline{L}_n(s+i\underline{a}\tau)=(\underline{L}_n(s+ia_1\tau),\ldots,\underline{L}_n(s+ia_r\tau)).$$

Moreover, a property of preservation of weak convergence under continuous mappings (see, for example, Theorem 5.1 of [14]), leads to the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.** Suppose that  $a_1, \ldots, a_r$  are real algebraic numbers linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then,  $P_{T,n,\underline{a}}$  converges weakly to the measure  $V_n \stackrel{def}{=} m_H h_n^{-1}$  as  $T \to \infty$ .

**Proof.** By the definitions of  $P_{T,n,\underline{a}}$  and  $Q_{T,\underline{a}}$ , and the mapping  $h_n$ , for every  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H^r(D_L))$ , we have

$$P_{T,n,\underline{a}}(A) = \frac{1}{T} \operatorname{meas} \{ \tau \in [0,T] : ((p^{-ia_1\tau} : p \in \mathbb{P}), \dots, (p^{-ia_r\tau} : p \in \mathbb{P})) \in h_n^{-1}A \}$$

$$= Q_{T,a}(h_n^{-1}A) = Q_{T,a}h_n^{-1}(A).$$

Thus ,  $P_{T,n,\underline{a}}=Q_{T,\underline{a}}h_n^{-1}$ . Thus, the continuity of  $h_n$ , Lemma 2 and Theorem 5.1 of [14] prove the lemma.  $\Box$ 

Consider one more measure

$$\widehat{P}_{T,n,\underline{a}}(A) = \frac{1}{T} \operatorname{meas} \{ \tau \in [0,T] : \underline{L}_n(s + i\underline{a}\tau,\widehat{\omega}) \in A \}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H^r(D_L)).$$

**Lemma 4.** Suppose that  $a_1, \ldots, a_r$  are real algebraic numbers linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then,  $\widehat{P}_{T,n,a}$  with every  $\widehat{\omega} \in \Omega^r$  also converges weakly to the measure  $V_n$  as  $T \to \infty$ .

**Proof.** Define the mapping  $\hat{h}_n : \Omega^r \to H^r(D_L)$  by  $\hat{h}_n(\omega) = \underline{L}_n(s, \omega \widehat{\omega})$ . Then, the mapping  $\hat{h}_n$  remains continuous, and repeating the arguments of the proof of Lemma 3, we obtain that  $\hat{P}_{T,n,\underline{a}}$  converges weakly to the measure  $\hat{V}_n \stackrel{def}{=} m_H \hat{h}_n^{-1}$  as  $T \to \infty$ . By the definitions

Mathematics 2023. 11, 737 7 of 15

of  $\hat{h}_n$  and  $h_n$ , we have  $\hat{h}_n(\omega) = h_n(h(\omega))$  with  $h(\omega) = \omega \hat{\omega}$ . At this moment, we use the invariance of the Haar measure  $m_H$ , i.e., that

$$m_H(\omega A) = m_H(A\omega) = m_H(A)$$

for all  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega^r)$  and  $\omega \in \Omega^r$ . Thus, we find

$$\widehat{V}_n = m_H (h_n h)^{-1} = (m_H h^{-1}) h_n^{-1} = m_H h_n^{-1} = V_n.$$

#### 3. Limit Theorems

In this section, we will prove a joint limit theorem for the function L(s) from class  $S_1$ . More precisely, we will consider the weak convergence for

$$P_{T,\underline{a}}(A) \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{1}{T} \operatorname{meas} \{ \tau \in [0,T] : \underline{L}(s + i\underline{a}\tau) \in A \}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H^r(D_L)),$$

where

$$\underline{L}(s+i\underline{a}\tau)=\big(L(s+ia_1\tau),\ldots,L(s+ia_r\tau)\big),$$

as  $T \to \infty$ . For the proof, we will apply Lemmas 3 and 4, some ergodicity results, and estimates for difference  $|L(s+i\underline{a}\tau)-\underline{L}_n(s+i\underline{a}\tau)|$ . We start with the latter problem.

Recall the metric in the space  $H^r(D_L)$ . For  $g_1, g_2 \in H(D_L)$ , define

$$\rho(g_1, g_2) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} 2^{-l} \frac{\sup_{s \in K_l} |g_1(s) - g_2(s)|}{1 + \sup_{s \in K_l} |g_1(s) - g_2(s)|}.$$

Here,  $\{K_l : l \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset D_L$  is a sequence of compact embedded sets such that

$$\bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} K_l = D_L,$$

and each compact set  $K \subset D_L$  lies in  $K_l$  for some l. Then,  $\rho$  is a metric in  $H(D_L)$  inducing the topology of uniform convergence on compacta. For  $\underline{g}_1 = (g_{11}, \dots, g_{1r})$ ,  $\underline{g}_2 = (g_{21}, \dots, g_{2r}) \in H^r(D_L)$ , taking

$$\underline{\rho}(\underline{g}_1,\underline{g}_2) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq r} \rho(g_{1j},g_{2j}),$$

we have a metric in  $H^r(D_L)$  inducing the product topology.

**Lemma 5.** Suppose that  $a_1, \ldots, a_r$  are arbitrary real numbers. Then,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\limsup_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T\underline{\rho}(\underline{L}(s+i\underline{a}\tau),\underline{L}_n(s+i\underline{a}\tau))d\tau=0.$$

**Proof.** Let the number  $\theta$  come from the definition of  $v_n(m)$ , and

$$l_n(s) = \frac{s}{\theta} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right) n^s.$$

Then, the Mellin formula

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{b-i\infty}^{b+i\infty} \Gamma(s) c^{-s} ds = e^{-c}, \quad b, c > 0,$$

Mathematics 2023, 11, 737 8 of 15

implies the representation (see, for example, [2])

$$L_n(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\theta_1 - i\infty}^{\theta_1 + i\infty} L(s+z) l_n(z) \frac{dz}{z},$$

where  $\theta_1 > \frac{1}{2}$ . Hence, by the residue theorem,

$$L_n(s) - L(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\theta_2 - i\infty}^{-\theta_2 + i\infty} L(s+z) l_n(z) \frac{dz}{z} + R(s), \tag{6}$$

where  $\theta_2 > 0$  and

$$R(s) = \mathop{\rm Res}_{z=1-s} L(s+z) \frac{l_n(z)}{z} = \widehat{a} \cdot \frac{l_n(1-s)}{1-s}, \quad \widehat{a} = \mathop{\rm Res}_{s=1} L(s).$$

Let  $K \subset D_L$  be an arbitrary compact set. We fix  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\sigma_L + 2\epsilon \le \sigma \le 1 - \epsilon$ for all  $s = \sigma + it \in K$ , and put  $\theta_2 = \sigma - \sigma_L - \epsilon$ . Then,  $\theta_2 > 0$  for  $\sigma + it \in K$ . This and equality (2), for  $s = \sigma + it \in K$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , gives  $L_n(s + ia\tau) - L(s + ia\tau)$ 

$$\vec{L}_n(s+ia\tau) - L(s+ia\tau)$$

$$\ll \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| L(\sigma_L + \epsilon - \sigma + \sigma + it + ia\tau + iv) \right| \cdot \left| \frac{l_n(\sigma_L + \epsilon - \sigma + iv)}{\sigma_L + \epsilon - \sigma + iv} \right| dv + |\widehat{a}| \left| \frac{l_n(1 - s - ia\tau)}{1 - s - ia\tau} \right|.$$

Taking v in place of t + v, we have, for  $s \in K$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}_n(s+ia\tau) - L(s+ia\tau) \\ \ll \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |L(\sigma_L + \varepsilon + ia\tau + iv)| \cdot \sup_{s \in K} \left| \frac{l_n(\sigma_L + \varepsilon - s + iv)}{\sigma_L + \varepsilon - s + iv} \right| dv + |\widehat{a}| \sup_{s \in K} \left| \frac{l_n(1-s-ia\tau)}{1-s-ia\tau} \right|.$$

Hence,

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \sup_{s \in K} |L(s + ia\tau) - L_{n}(s + ia\tau)| d\tau$$

$$\ll \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} |L(\sigma_{L} + \epsilon + ia\tau + iv)| d\tau \right) \sup_{s \in K} \left| \frac{l_{n}(\sigma_{L} + \epsilon - s + iv)}{\sigma_{L} + \epsilon - s + iv} \right| dv$$

$$+ |\widehat{a}| \cdot \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \sup_{s \in K} \left| \frac{l_{n}(1 - s - ia\tau)}{1 - s - ia\tau} \right| d\tau$$

$$\stackrel{def}{=} I_{T}^{(1)} + I_{T}^{(2)}. \tag{7}$$

It is known [2] that, for fixed  $\sigma_L < \sigma < 1$ ,

$$\int_{-T}^{T} |L(\sigma + it)|^2 dt \ll_{\sigma, L} T.$$

This, for the same  $\sigma$  and  $v \in \mathbb{R}$ , gives

$$\int_{0}^{T} |L(\sigma + ia\tau + iv)|^{2} d\tau = \frac{1}{a} \int_{v}^{aT+v} |L(\sigma + it)|^{2} dt \ll_{\sigma,a} T(1+|v|). \tag{8}$$

Using the well-known estimate

$$\Gamma(\sigma + it) \ll \exp\{-c|t|\}, \quad c > 0, \tag{9}$$

we find that, for all  $s \in K$ ,

$$\frac{l_n(\sigma_L + \epsilon - s + iv)}{\sigma_L + \epsilon - s + iv} \ll_{\theta} n^{\sigma_L + \epsilon - \sigma} \left| \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\theta}(\sigma_L + \epsilon - \sigma + it + iv)\right) \right|$$

$$\ll_{\theta} n^{-\epsilon} \exp\{-c_1|v - t|\}$$

Mathematics 2023, 11, 737 9 of 15

$$\ll_{\theta,K} \exp\{-c_2|v|\}, \quad c_1,c_2>0.$$

This and (8) show that

$$I_T^{(1)} \ll_{\epsilon,L,\theta,a,K} \ll n^{-\epsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1+|v|)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\{-c_2|v|\} dv \ll_{\epsilon,L,\theta,a,K} n^{-\epsilon}. \tag{10}$$

Similarly, by (9), for  $s \in K$ ,

$$\frac{l_n(1-s-ia\tau)}{1-s-ia\tau} \ll_{\theta} n^{1-\sigma} \exp\{-c_3|t+a\tau|\} \ll_{\theta,K,a} n^{1-\sigma_L-2\epsilon} \exp\{-c_4|\tau|\}, \quad c_4 > 0.$$

Thus,

$$I_T^{(2)} \ll_{\theta,K,a} n^{-\epsilon} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \exp\{-c_4|\tau|\} d\tau \ll_{\theta,K,a} \frac{\log T}{T}.$$

The latter estimate, (10) and (7) prove that, for every compact set  $K \subset D_L$ ,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\limsup_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T\sup_{s\in K}|L(s+ia\tau)-L_n(s+ia\tau)|d\tau=0.$$

Therefore, the lemma follows from the definitions of the metrics  $\rho$  and  $\rho$ .  $\square$ 

Now, for  $\omega \in \Omega^r$ , let

$$\underline{L}(s,\omega) = (L(s,\omega_1),\ldots,L(s,\omega_r)),$$

where

$$L(s,\omega_j) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_L(m)\omega_j(m)}{m^s}, \quad j=1,\ldots,r.$$

Then, it is known [2] that the latter series, for almost all  $\omega_j$ , are uniformly convergent on the compact subset of the half-plane  $\sigma > \sigma_L$ . Since the Haar measure  $m_H$  is the product of the Haar measures  $m_{jH}$  on  $(\Omega_j, \mathcal{B}(\Omega_j))$ , we have that  $\underline{L}(s, \omega)$  is the  $H^r(D_L)$ -valued random element. Moreover, an analogue of Lemma 5 is valid.

**Lemma 6.** Suppose that  $a_1, \ldots, a_r$  are arbitrary real numbers. Then, for almost all  $\omega \in \Omega^r$ ,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\limsup_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T\underline{\rho}\big(\underline{L}(s+i\underline{a}\tau,\omega),\underline{L}_n(s+i\underline{a}\tau,\omega)\big)d\tau=0.$$

**Proof.** It is known [2] that, for almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,

$$\int_{-T}^{T} |L(\sigma + it, \omega)|^2 dt \ll_{\sigma, L} T.$$

Therefore, repeating the proof of Lemma 5, we obtain that, for a compact set  $K \in D_L$  and real number a,

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \sup_{s \in K} |L(s + ia\tau, \omega) - L_n(s + ia\tau, \omega)| d\tau \ll_{\epsilon, L, \theta, a, K} n^{-\epsilon}$$
(11)

with certain  $\epsilon > 0$ . In this case, in the analogous of estimate (7), we have not the second term on the right-hand side. Since  $m_H = m_{1H} \times \ldots \times m_{rH}$ , estimate (11) and the definitions of the metrics  $\rho$  and  $\rho$  prove the lemma.  $\square$ 

Now, we are ready to consider the measure  $P_{T,a}$ .

Mathematics 2023. 11, 737 10 of 15

**Theorem 3.** Suppose that real algebraic numbers  $a_1, \ldots, a_r$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then, on  $(H^r(D_L), \mathcal{B}(H^r(D_L)))$ , there exists a probability measure P such that  $P_{T,\underline{a}}$  converges weakly to P as  $T \to \infty$ .

**Proof.** Recall that a family of probability measures  $\{Q\}$  on  $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{X}))$  is called tight if, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a compact set  $K = K(r) \subset \mathbb{X}$  such that

$$Q(K) > 1 - \epsilon$$

for all Q.

Denote by  $V_{nj}$  marginal measures of the measure  $V_n$ ,  $j=1,\ldots,r$ . Since the series for  $L_n(s)$  is absolutely convergent, we obtain by a standard way that the sequence  $\{V_{nj}:n\in\mathbb{N}\}$  is tight,  $j=1,\ldots,r$ . Then, for every  $\epsilon>0$ , there exists a compact set  $K_j\subset H(D_L)$  such that, for all  $n\in\mathbb{N}$ ,

$$V_{nj}(K_j) > 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{r}, \quad j = 1, \dots, r.$$
(12)

Let  $K = K_1 \times ... \times K_r$ . Then, K is a compact set in  $H^r(D_L)$ . Moreover, by (12), for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$V_n(H^r(D_L)\setminus K)\leq \sum_{j=1}^r V_{nj}(H(D_L)\setminus K_j)<\epsilon.$$

Thus,

$$V_n(K) > 1 - \epsilon$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence, the sequence  $\{V_n\}$  is tight. Therefore, by the Prokhorov theorem, see [14], the sequence  $\{V_n\}$  is relatively compact. This means that every sequence of  $\{V_n\}$  contains a subsequence  $\{V_{n_k}\}$  weakly convergent to a certain probability measure P on  $(H^r(D_L), \mathcal{B}(H^r(D_L)))$  as  $k \to \infty$ .

Denote by  $X_n$  the  $H^r(D_L)$ -valued random element having the distribution  $V_n$ , and by  $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}$  the convergence in distribution. Then, we have

$$X_{n_k} \xrightarrow[k \to \infty]{\mathcal{D}} P.$$
 (13)

On the certain probability space with measure  $\mu$ , define the random variable  $\xi_T$  which is uniformly distributed on [0, T]. Moreover, let

$$X_{T,n,a} = X_{T,n,a}(s) = \underline{L}_n(s + i\underline{a}\xi_T)$$

and

$$Y_{T,a} = Y_{T,a}(s) = \underline{L}(s + i\underline{a}\xi_T).$$

By Lemma 3,

$$X_{T,n,\underline{a}} \xrightarrow[T \to \infty]{\mathcal{D}} X_n,$$
 (14)

and Lemma 5 implies, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{n\to\infty}\limsup_{T\to\infty}\mu\big\{\underline{\rho}(Y_{T,\underline{a}},X_{T,n,\underline{a}})\geq\varepsilon\big\}\\ &\leq\lim_{n\to\infty}\limsup_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{\epsilon T}\int_0^T\underline{\rho}\big(\underline{L}(s+i\underline{a}\tau),\underline{L}_n(s+i\underline{a}\tau)\big)d\tau=0. \end{split}$$

Mathematics 2023, 11, 737 11 of 15

This together with relations (13) and (14) shows that all hypotheses of Theorem 4.2 from [2] are satisfied. Therefore,

$$Y_{T,\underline{a}} \xrightarrow[T \to \infty]{\mathcal{D}} P,$$
 (15)

and this proves the theorem.  $\Box$ 

By (15), the measure P is independent on the sequence  $\{X_{n_k}\}$ . Since the sequence  $\{X_n\}$  is relatively compact, it follows that

$$X_n \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{\mathcal{D}} P.$$
 (16)

On  $(H^r(D_L), \mathcal{B}(H^r(D_L)))$ , define one more measure

$$\widehat{P}_{T,\underline{a}}(A) = \frac{1}{T} \text{meas}\{\tau \in [0,T] : \underline{L}(s + i\underline{a}\tau,\omega) \in A\}$$

for almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Then, by (15), Lemmas 4 and 6, similarly as above, we obtain the analogue of Theorem 3.

**Theorem 4.** Suppose that real algebraic numbers  $a_1, \ldots, a_r$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then,  $\widehat{P}_{T,a}$  also converges weakly to the measure P as  $T \to \infty$ .

## 4. Identification of the Measure P

For the proof of Theorem 2, the explicit form of the limit measure in Theorems 3 and 4 is needed. For this, some elements of ergodic theory can be applied.

For brevity, we set

$$\underline{a}(\tau) = \left( \left( p^{-ia_1\tau} : p \in \mathbb{P} \right), \ldots, \left( p^{-ia_r\tau} : p \in \mathbb{P} \right) \right), \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R},$$

and define

$$E(\tau,\omega) = \underline{a}(\tau)\omega, \quad \omega \in \Omega^r.$$

Then,  $E(\tau,\omega)$  is a measurable measure preserving transformation of the group  $\Omega^r$ , and  $\{E(\tau,\omega): \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$  form a group of these transformations. For  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega^r)$ , let  $A(\tau) = E(\tau,A)$ . If the sets A and  $A(\tau)$  differ one from another at most by a set of  $m_H$ -measure zero, then the set A is called invariant. All invariant sets form a  $\sigma$ -field. If this field consists only of sets of  $m_H$ -measure 1 or 0, then the group  $\{E(\tau,\omega)\}$  is called ergodic.

**Lemma 7.** Suppose that real algebraic numbers  $a_1, \ldots, a_r$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then, the group  $\{E(\tau, \omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is ergodic.

**Proof.** The characters  $\chi$  of the group  $\Omega^r$  are of the form

$$\chi(\omega) = \prod_{j=1}^{r} \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} {^*\omega_j^{k_{jp}}(p)},\tag{17}$$

where the sign \* means that only a finite number of integers  $k_{jp}$  is not zero. This already was used in the proof of Lemma 2 for the definition of the Fourier transform of the measure  $Q_{T,\underline{a}}$ . Suppose that A is an invariant set with respect to  $\{E(\tau,\omega)\}$ , and  $\chi$  is a nontrivial character of  $\Omega^r$ , i.e.,  $\chi(m) \not\equiv 1$ . Then, by (17),  $(\underline{k}_1,\ldots,\underline{k}_r) \not= (\underline{0},\ldots,\underline{0})$ , and thus  $A_{\underline{a},\underline{k}} \not= 0$  in the notation used in the proof of Lemma 2. Therefore, there exists a real number  $\tau_0$  such that

$$\chi(\underline{a}(\tau_0)) = \exp\{-i\tau_0 A_{\underline{a},\underline{k}}\} \neq 1. \tag{18}$$

Mathematics 2023. 11, 737 12 of 15

Take the indicator function  $\mathbb{I}_A$  of the set A. In virtue of invariance of the set A, we have

$$\mathbb{I}_A(\underline{a}(\tau_0)\omega) = \mathbb{I}_A(\omega)$$

for almost all  $\omega \in \Omega^r$ . Hence, denoting by  $\widehat{g}$  the Fourier transform of a function g, we find

$$\widehat{\mathbb{I}}_{A}(\chi) = \chi(\underline{a}(\tau_{0})) \int_{\Omega^{r}} \mathbb{I}_{A}(\underline{a}(\tau_{0})\omega)\chi(\omega)dm_{H} 
= \chi(\underline{a}(\tau_{0})) \int_{\Omega^{r}} \mathbb{I}_{A}(\omega)\chi(\omega)dm_{H} = \chi(\underline{a}(\tau_{0}))\widehat{\mathbb{I}}_{A}(\chi).$$

Therefore, in view of (18),

$$\widehat{\mathbb{I}}_A(\chi) = 0. \tag{19}$$

Now, suppose that  $\chi_0$  denotes the trivial character of  $\Omega^r$ , and  $\widehat{\mathbb{I}}_A(\chi_0) = c$ . Then, taking into account (19), we have

$$\widehat{\mathbb{I}}_A(\chi) = c \int_{\Omega^r} \chi(m) dm_h = \widehat{c}(\chi)$$

for an arbitrary character  $\chi$  of  $\Omega^r$ . This shows that  $\mathbb{I}_A(\omega) = c$  for almost all  $\omega \in \Omega^r$ . However,  $\mathbb{I}_A$  is the indicator function of the A, thus,  $\mathbb{I}_A(\omega) = 1$  or  $\mathbb{I}_A(\omega) = 0$  for almost all  $\omega \in \Omega^r$ . In other words,  $m_H(A) = 1$  or  $m_H(A) = 0$ , and the lemma is proved.  $\square$ 

Denote by  $P_L$  the distribution of the  $H^r(D_L)$ -valued random element  $\underline{L}(s,\omega)$ , i.e.,

$$P_L(A) = m_H \{ \omega \in \Omega^r : \underline{L}(s, \omega) \in A \}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H^r(D_L)).$$

**Lemma 8.** The measure P in Theorems 3 and 4 coincide with  $P_L$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that A is a continuity set of the measure P, i.e.,  $P(\partial A) = 0$ , where  $\partial A$  denotes the boundary of A. Then, Theorem 4 together with the equivalent of weak convergence of probability measure in terms of continuity sets (see, for example, Theorem 2.1 of [14]) yields

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \widehat{P}_{T,\underline{a}}(A) = P(A). \tag{20}$$

On  $(\Omega^r, \mathcal{B}(\Omega^r), m_H)$ , define the random variable

$$\theta(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \underline{L}(s, \omega) \in A, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 7 implies the ergodicity of the random process  $\theta(E(\tau,\omega))$ . Therefore, by the Birkhoff–Khintchine ergodic theorem (see, for example, [15]), we obtain

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \theta (E(\tau, \omega)) d\tau = \mathbb{E}\theta = P_{\underline{L}}(A), \tag{21}$$

where  $\mathbb{E}\theta$  is the expectation of  $\theta$ . However, by the definitions of  $E(\tau,\omega)$  and  $\theta$ ,

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \theta \big( E(\tau, \omega) \big) d\tau = \frac{1}{T} \operatorname{meas} \big\{ \tau \in [0, T] : \underline{L}(s + i\underline{a}\tau, \omega) \in A \big\} = \widehat{P}_{T,\underline{a}}(A).$$

This and (21) show that

$$\lim_{T\to\infty}\widehat{P}_{T,\underline{a}}(A)=P_{\underline{L}}(A).$$

Thus, in view of (20),  $P(A) = P_{\underline{L}}(A)$  for all continuity sets A of P. It is well known that continuity sets constitute the defining class. Thus,  $P = P_L$ .  $\square$ 

Mathematics 2023. 11, 737 13 of 15

It remains to find a support of the measure  $P_{\underline{L}}$ . We recall that the support of  $P_{\underline{L}}$  is a minimal closed set  $S_L$  such that  $P_L(S_L) = 1$ .

Let

$$S_L = \{ g \in H(D_L) : g(s) = 0 \text{ or } g(s) \equiv 0 \}.$$

**Lemma 9.** The support of the measure  $P_{\underline{L}}$  is the set  $S_L^r$ .

**Proof.** It is known that the support of the measure

$$P_L(A) \stackrel{def}{=} m_{jH} \{ \omega_j \in \Omega_j : L(s, \omega_j) \in A \}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D_L)), \quad j = 1, \dots, r,$$

is the set  $S_L$  (see [2] or [7]). Since the space  $H(D_L)$  is separable, we have

$$\mathcal{B}(H^r(D_L)) = \underbrace{\mathcal{B}(H(D_L)) \times \ldots \times \mathcal{B}(H(D_L))}_r.$$

Therefore, it suffices to consider the measure  $P_L$  on rectangular sets

$$A = A_1 \times ... \times A_r$$
,  $A_j \in \mathcal{B}(H(D_L))$ ,  $j = 1,...,r$ .

Moreover,  $m_H = m_{1H} \times ... \times m_{rH}$ . These remarks show that

$$m_H\{\omega\in\Omega^r:\underline{L}(s,\omega)\in A\}=\prod_{j=1}^r m_{jH}\{\omega_j\in\Omega_j:L(s,\omega_j)\in A_j\}.$$

This and the minimality of the support prove the lemma.  $\Box$ 

#### 5. Proof of Theorem 2

Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 3, Lemmas 8 and 9, and the Mergelyan's theorem on the approximation of analytic functions by polynomials (see [16]).

**Proof of Theorem 2.** *Case of lower density:* 

By the Mergelyan theorem, there exist polynomials  $p_1(s), \ldots, p_r(s)$  such that

$$\sup_{1 \le j \le r} \sup_{s \in K_j} |f_j(s) - e^{p_j(s)}| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$
 (22)

Let

$$G_{\epsilon} = \left\{ (g_1, \dots, g_r) \in H^r(D_L) : \sup_{1 \leq j \leq r} \sup_{s \in K_j} |g_j(s) - e^{p_j(s)}| < \epsilon \right\}.$$

Then, in view of Lemma 9,  $G_{\epsilon}$  is an open neighborhood of an element  $(e^{p_1(s)}, \dots, e^{p_r(s)})$  of the support  $S_L^r$  of the measure  $P_L$ . Therefore, by a property of the support,

$$P_L(G_{\epsilon}) > 0.$$
 (23)

Hence, by Theorem 3, Lemma 8, and the equivalent of weak convergence in terms of open sets (see, for example, Theorem 2.1 of [14]),

$$\liminf_{T\to\infty} P_{T,\underline{a}}(G_{\epsilon}) \geq P_{\underline{L}}(G_{\epsilon}) > 0.$$

Thus, by the definitions of  $P_{T,\underline{\epsilon}}$  and  $G_{\epsilon}$ ,

$$\liminf_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \operatorname{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0, T] : \sup_{1 < j < r} \sup_{s \in K_i} |L(s + ia_j \tau) - e^{p_j(s)}| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right\} > 0.$$
(24)

Mathematics 2023, 11, 737 14 of 15

Define one more set

$$A_{\epsilon} = \left\{ (g_1, \dots, g_r) \in H^r(D_L) : \sup_{1 \le j \le r} \sup_{s \in K_j} |g_j(s) - f_j(s)| < \epsilon \right\}.$$

Then, in view of (22), we have  $G_{\epsilon} \subset A_{\epsilon}$ . This and (24) show that

$$\liminf_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \mathrm{meas} \bigg\{ \tau \in [0,T] : \sup_{1 \le j \le r} \sup_{s \in K_j} |L(s+ia_j\tau) - f_j(s)| < \varepsilon \bigg\} > 0.$$

Case of density: The boundaries  $\partial A_{\epsilon}$  of the set  $A_{\epsilon}$  do not intersect for different values of  $\epsilon$ . Therefore,  $P_{\underline{L}}(\partial A_{\epsilon}) > 0$  at most for countable many  $\epsilon > 0$ , i.e.,  $A_{\epsilon}$  is a continuity set of  $P_{\underline{L}}$  for all but at most countable many  $\epsilon > 0$ . Moreover, since  $G_{\epsilon} \subset A_{\epsilon}$ , we have  $P_{\underline{L}}(A_{\epsilon}) > 0$  by (23). Therefore, Theorem 3, Lemma 8, and the equivalent of weak convergence in terms of continuity sets (see Theorem 2.1 of [14]) yield

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} P_{T,\underline{a}}(A_{\epsilon}) = P_{\underline{L}}(A_{\epsilon}) > 0$$

for all but at most countably many  $\epsilon > 0$ . This and the definitions of  $P_{T,\underline{a}}$  and  $A_{\epsilon}$  complete the proof of the theorem.  $\square$ 

### 6. Concluding Remarks

Let L(s) be a function from the Selberg–Steuding class. Combining algebraic, analytic, and probabilistic methods, we obtain a theorem on simultaneous approximations of a collection of analytic functions  $(f_1(s),\ldots,f_r(s))$  in the strip  $D_L=\{s\in\mathbb{C}:\sigma_L<\sigma<1\}$  by a collection of shifts  $(L(s+ia_1\tau),\ldots,L(s+ia_r\tau))$ , where  $a_1,\ldots,a_r$  are real algebraic numbers linearly independent over the field of rational numbers, and  $\sigma_L>\frac{1}{2}$  is a certain number depending on L. More precisely, we proved that the set of the above shifts has a positive lower density, or even positive lower density for all but not most countable many accuracies of approximation. Thus, the set of approximating shifts is infinite.

It is important that the measure  $V_n$  is independent of the used shift and converges weakly to the measure  $P_{\underline{L}}$ . This result can be used for the proof of other joint theorems on joint approximation of analytic functions by more complicated shifts including discrete shifts.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, R.K., A.L. and B.Ž.; methodology, R.K., A.L. and B.Ž.; investigation, R.K., A.L. and B.Ž.; writing—original draft preparation, R.K., A.L. and B.Ž.; writing—review and editing, R.K., A.L. and B.Ž. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank the referees for useful remarks and comments.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### References

- 1. Selberg, A. Old and new conjectures and results about a class of Dirichlet series. In *Proceedings of the Amalfi Conference on Analytic Number Theory, Maiori, Amalfi, Italy, 25–29 September 1989*; Bombieri, E., Ed.; Universitá di Salerno: Salerno, Italy, 1992; pp. 367–385.
- 2. Steuding, J. *Value Distribution of L-Functions*; Lecture Notes Math 1877; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany; New York, NY, USA, 2007.
- 3. Voronin, S.M. Theorem on the "universality" of the Riemann zeta-function. Math. USSR-Izv. 1975, 9, 443–453. [CrossRef]

Mathematics 2023, 11, 737 15 of 15

4. Bagchi, B. The Statistical Behaviour and Universality Properties of the Riemann Zeta-Function and Other Allied Dirichlet Series. Ph.D. Thesis, Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta, India, 1981.

- 5. Gonek, S.M. Analytic Properties of Zeta and L-Functions. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA, 1979.
- 6. Laurinčikas, A. *Limit Theorems for the Riemann Zeta-Function*; Kluwer Academic Publishers: Dordrecht, The Netherlands; Boston, MA, USA; London, UK, 1996.
- 7. Nagoshi, H.; Steuding, J. Universality for L-functions in the Selberg class. Lith. Math. J. 2010, 50, 293–311. [CrossRef]
- 8. Voronin, S.M. On the functional independence of Dirichlet *L*-functions. *Acta Arith.* **1975**, *27*, 493–503. (In Russian)
- 9. Laurinčikas, A. Joint universality of zeta-functions with periodic coefficients. Izv. Math. 2010, 74, 515–539. (In Russian) [CrossRef]
- 10. Kačinskaitė, R.; Kazlauskaitė, B. Two results related to the universality of zeta-functions with periodic coefficients. *Result. Math.* **2018**, 73, 1–19. [CrossRef]
- 11. Kačinskaitė, R.; Matsumoto, K. The mixed joint universality for a class of zeta-functions. *Math. Nachr.* **2015**, 288, 1900–1909. [CrossRef]
- 12. Kačinskaitė, R.; Matsumoto, K. Remarks on the mixed joint universality for a class of zeta functions. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **2017**, 95, 187–198. [CrossRef]
- 13. Baker, A. The theory of linear forms in logarithms. In *Transcendence Theory: Advances and Applications*; Academic Press: Boston, MA, USA, 1977; pp. 1–27.
- 14. Billingsley, P. Convergence of Probability Measures, 2nd ed.; Willey: Chichester, UK, 1999.
- 15. Cramér, H.; Leadbetter, M.R. Stationary and Related Stochastic Processes; Wiley: New York, USA, 1967.
- 16. Mergelyan, S.N. Uniform approximations to functions of a complex variable. Usp. Mat. Nauk. Ser. 1952, 7, 31–122. (In Russian)

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.