Vilnius University Faculty of Law

Department of Private Law

Andrii Kaftanov

II study year, LL.M International and European Law programme Student

Master Thesis

Sustainability and Competition Law
Tvarumas ir konkurencijos teisė

Supervisor: lecturer dr. Lauras Butkevičius

Reviewer: assoc. prof. dr. Indrė Žvaigždinienė

Vilnius
2023

Abstract

This master thesis delves into the intersection of sustainability and EU competition law, investigating how these realms shape and influence each other within the European context. Key areas of focus include the role of EU competition authorities in promoting sustainability, the impact of sustainable collaborations on market competition, and legal implications of greenwashing. Through this analysis, the thesis aims to provide insights specific to EU competition law, contributing valuable perspectives for policymakers, legal practitioners, and businesses navigating the complex relationship between sustainability goals and competitive dynamics in the European market.

Keywords: sustainability, EU competition law, corporate behavior, market competition, environmental responsibility, social responsibility, greenwashing, regulatory frameworks, business ethics.

Santrauka

Ši magistrinė disertacija gilinasi į darnumo ir ES konkurencijos teisės sankirtą, tyrinėdama, kaip šios sritys formuoja ir veikia viena kitą Europos kontekste. Pagrindiniai dėmesio taškai apima ES konkurencijos valdžios institucijų vaidmenį skatinant darnumą, tvaraus bendradarbiavimo poveikį rinkos konkurencijai ir teisines tvaraus marketingo padarinius. Per šią analizę disertacija siekia suteikti perspektyvų, specifiškų ES konkurencijos teisei, prisidedant vertingais požiūriais politikams, teisininkams ir verslui, kuriems tenka spręsti sudėtingus darnumo tikslų ir konkurencinių dinamikų Europos rinkoje klausimus.

Raktiniai žodžiai: darnumas, ES konkurencijos teisė, įmonių elgesys, rinkos konkurencija, aplinkos atsakomybė, socialinė atsakomybė, tvaraus marketingo atpažinimas, reguliavimo pagrindai, verslo etika.

Table of Contents

Introduction

Relevance of the topic. In the current global landscape, the amalgamation of sustainability principles with EU competition law emerges as a focal point for businesses, policymakers, and scholars alike. The imperative to address environmental concerns and champion ethical business practices has never been more pronounced, thrusting the intersection of sustainability and competition law into the forefront of contemporary discourse. As businesses grapple with the demands of a conscientious consumer base and an evolving regulatory environment, EU competition law assumes a pivotal role in delineating the boundaries of fair market practices.

The growing significance of sustainability reflects a pressing need to address global challenges, ranging from poverty and inequality to climate change and responsible consumption. While sustainability goals aspire to contribute to these overarching objectives, competition law concentrates on fostering fair markets, preventing anticompetitive behavior, and safeguarding consumer welfare. Balancing these dual imperatives poses a nuanced challenge, prompting exploration into whether sustainability can be achieved without compromising competition and vice versa.

Instances of potential conflict may arise where the pursuit of sustainability goals clashes with competition principles. For instance, a company's initiatives to reduce its carbon footprint might lead to collaborations that raise antitrust concerns, necessitating careful navigation of this delicate terrain.

Enforcing competition law in cases involving sustainability issues requires the adaptation of existing analytical frameworks to encompass broader sustainability considerations. Competition authorities grapple with questions such as how to strike a balance between environmental protection and market competition and what tools can be employed to effectively address sustainability concerns.

Best practices in this evolving landscape are actively explored by entities such as the OECD Competition Committee. In 2020, they addressed sustainability and competition in a virtual meeting with competition authorities, fostering a dialogue that was further discussed during the 2021 OECD Competition Open Day. The emphasis on dialogue and best practices contributes to shaping a comprehensive framework for addressing the intricate intersection of sustainability and competition law.

In the ever-evolving global investment landscape, a compelling imperative is taking center stage, urging companies to integrate ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) factors seamlessly into their business strategies and uphold a commitment to transparent

reporting. Failure on the part of Ukrainian businesses to align with this pervasive trend may not only incur significantly higher costs but could potentially impede their ability to secure capital.

The seismic impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, serving as a poignant reminder of human susceptibility to environmental variables, combined with heightened global attention to ecological concerns from major players such as the U.S., EU, and China, has catalyzed an intensified focus on ESG principles. This is unmistakably evident in transformative initiatives like the "Green Deal" and global endeavors towards decarbonization. These shifts, propelled by regulatory imperatives, are redefining the rules of engagement for businesses, necessitating a comprehensive reevaluation and modernization of their business models, with climate-related factors taking precedence.

The interest of international investors is increasingly gravitating towards companies that exhibit adept responsiveness to contemporary global challenges. Research from the CFA Institute reveals that 76% of institutional investors and 69% of retail investors express a keen interest in ESG investments. Bloomberg's projections anticipate that global ESG assets could surpass an astounding $53 trillion by 2025, constituting over a third of the projected $140.5 trillion in total assets under management.

Within the realm of ESG principles, decarbonization emerges as a pivotal component, positioned as an indispensable prerequisite for sustainable development. This is further reinforced by a burgeoning regulatory impetus towards ESG practices. The forthcoming COP26 in Glasgow is poised to elicit commitments from countries to curtail greenhouse gas emissions, subsequently amplifying legislative requirements for businesses.

The economic downturn triggered by COVID-19 has compelled governments to institute stimulus packages for recovery, with a substantial allocation earmarked for addressing climate-related issues. Notably, the EU plans to allocate approximately one-third of recovery funds for these environmentally-conscious purposes.

Leading Fortune 500 companies have already woven decarbonization into the fabric of their strategic objectives. Their initiatives encompass expediting the transition to renewable energy sources, innovating products with reduced carbon footprints, reconfiguring production facilities, investing in carbon reduction, and optimizing the utilization of tax incentives. However, this paradigm shift transcends mere enhancement of social responsibility—it directly influences a company's valuation. In 2020, a conspicuous trend unfolded in the American and Asian markets: companies boasting higher ESG factors

and a "green" production status witnessed an upswing in their stock value, while those with lower ESG indicators experienced a decline.

Companies operating within the green economy play a pivotal role in advancing sustainability. Aligning their practices with both competition rules and sustainable development goals allows businesses to contribute positively to our planet's well-being. This proactive engagement showcases the potential of businesses not just as economic entities but as key stakeholders in promoting a sustainable future.

In summary, the relevance of sustainability and competition law lies in navigating a harmonious path where economic competition and environmental stewardship can coexist. This delicate dance holds immense promise for our shared future, requiring continuous dialogue, adaptation of legal frameworks, and the proactive engagement of businesses to achieve a balance between these vital global imperatives.

Originality of the topic. The intersection of sustainability and competition law represents a distinctive and innovative focus within the current academic and regulatory landscape. While both sustainability and competition law individually garner significant attention, the integrated exploration of their interrelationship remains relatively uncharted territory. This research seeks to break new ground by delving into the complexities of how these two spheres intersect and influence each other, particularly within the context of European Union (EU) competition law.

The originality of this topic stems from its multifaceted approach. By merging legal analysis with insights from economics, business ethics, and environmental studies, the study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding that goes beyond traditional disciplinary boundaries. The specific emphasis on EU competition law further contributes to the originality, as it addresses the unique regulatory framework of one of the world's most influential economic blocs.

Moreover, the timing of this research is crucial. As global conversations increasingly center around sustainable practices and corporate responsibility, the examination of how competition law can be aligned with and incentivize sustainability is both timely and relevant. The evolving nature of sustainability expectations and the dynamic legal landscape add an element of contemporaneity to the originality, ensuring that the study engages with real-time challenges and opportunities.

The aim of the thesis. This thesis aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the intersection between sustainability and competition law, with a specific focus on the European Union (EU) regulatory context. The overarching goal is to contribute valuable insights into how businesses can navigate the delicate balance between economic competition and environmental and social responsibility.

Tasks of the thesis. The primary tasks of this work are as follows:

1. Establish the theoretical foundation for examining sustainability and EU competition law.
2. Outline the practical methodologies, including legal analysis and interdisciplinary approaches.
3. Scrutinize the legal framework of EU competition law, focusing on components relevant to sustainability.
4. Investigate how businesses integrate sustainability goals into corporate practices.
5. Analyze the role of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) criteria in shaping corporate approaches to sustainability.
6. Assess the practical effectiveness of EU competition law in addressing sustainability challenges within a defined context.
7. Suggest improvements to enhance the legal framework's responsiveness to sustainability concerns.
8. Investigate specific sustainable business practices, considering their legal implications.
9. Explore the integration of ESG criteria within EU competition law compliance and its impact on businesses.
10. Analyze case studies illustrating legal actions at the intersection of competition law, ESG criteria, and environmental protection.

Methods. In order to fulfil the above listed tasks, the following research methods are used in this work:

- Legal Analysis (Utilized to scrutinize the legal framework of EU competition law, focusing on relevant statutes, case law, and regulatory provisions);
- Case Studies (Applied to investigate specific sustainable business practices and analyze legal actions at the intersection of competition law, ESG criteria, and environmental protection);

- Interviews and Surveys (Conducted with stakeholders such as businesses, competition authorities, and environmental organizations to gather diverse perspectives on the relationship between sustainability and competition law);
- Comparative Analysis (Utilized to compare EU competition laws and enforcement practices with those of other jurisdictions, identifying international best practices and potential areas for improvement);
- Descriptive Analysis (Employed to reveal the concept of sustainability, corporate practices, and ESG integration within the context of EU competition law);
- Logical Analysis (Applied to deduce conclusions from the content of legal acts, case studies, and literature reviews, contributing to the synthesis of key findings);
- Systematic Analysis (Utilized to objectively evaluate various sources and provide a comprehensive examination of issues arising when sustainability and competition law intersect);
- Historical Analysis: (Employed to trace the historical development of regulations in the area of sustainability and competition law, identifying pivotal changes over time).

Most important sources. European Green Deal, Official documents and publications from the European Commission outlining the European Green Deal, providing insights into the EU's climate and sustainability objectives; Competition Law Frameworks within the European Union, Key legislative texts, including the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) and other relevant competition law regulations shaping the legal landscape within the EU; United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), Official documents and reports from the United Nations detailing the Sustainable Development Goals, particularly those relevant to environmental sustainability and economic competition; Reports and Publications from Competition Regulatory Bodies, Publications from competition regulatory bodies within the EU, such as the European Commission are the sources most widely analysed in this thesis.

1. Theoretical framework and methodology

The Theoretical Framework and Methodology section explores the foundational principles of European Union (EU) competition law, providing an in-depth historical

overview, examining core legal principles, discussing the institutional framework, and analyzing modernization efforts. This comprehensive understanding sets the stage for a nuanced analysis of the intersection between EU competition law and sustainability, ESG frameworks, and evolving business practices within the EU.

The competitive policy of the European Union (EU) has evolved within the framework of ensuring conditions for the effective functioning of the Union's single market. The primary objective of EU competitive policy is to establish coordinated principles and competition rules within the common market, ensuring efficient interaction among participants, optimizing resource allocation, and fostering socio-economic, technological progress, and the competitiveness of European producers globally. The legal foundation of EU competitive policy is grounded in the founding treaties of the EU, constituting the primary legal framework – the EU primary law, specifically Articles 101–109 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU). The primary law plays a pivotal role, defining the foundations and principles of competition regulation in the Union's market.

Secondary law, of a derivative nature, is formulated based on the primary law (regulations, directives, decisions, etc.). It must not contradict the primary law, and in the event of a conflict, the norms of the primary law take precedence. The crucial actors in shaping a unified EU competitive policy are the Council of the EU, the European Commission, the European Parliament, and the Court of Justice of the EU. The Council makes decisions by a qualified majority upon the Commission's proposal and with the Parliament's approval.

The Directorate-General for Competition, a specialized structural unit within the European Commission, is responsible for implementing the Union's competitive policy. The Commission collaborates with the national antitrust authorities of member states through bilateral and multilateral schemes (Boiar, A. O. (2008), p. 200). National antitrust (specialized) or judicial (non-specialized) bodies of member states have the right to initiate investigations into coordinated practices or market dominance (Articles 101–102 of the TFEU) independently. They may conduct investigations until the Commission initiates its own examination of the relevant case (Council Regulation (EC) No 1/2003 of 16 December 2002). National authorities can render decisions on the compatibility of certain coordinated actions with exemption conditions. There are also specialized forms of cooperation between community and national structures, such as the European Competition Network and the European Association of Competition Authorities.

This intricate network of legal and institutional frameworks underscores the multifaceted nature of EU competitive policy, emphasizing the interplay between supranational and national entities in ensuring fair competition and market dynamics.

The focal point of EU competition policy revolves around combating and preventing agreements and concerted practices that restrict competition. The prevalence of covert collusion and cartels not only harms consumer welfare but also presents significant challenges to the establishment and functioning of the single market. The legal underpinning of antitrust policy is grounded in Article 101 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU), which has been augmented by the adoption of numerous acts of secondary legislation. While EU competition law does not proscribe companies from attaining market dominance, it unequivocally prohibits the abuse of such a position. This encompasses actions or the mere existence of a firm that distorts competition and creates obstacles to trade within the internal market.

Companies holding dominant positions in the market bear a distinct responsibility for upholding market competition, subject to vigilant monitoring by the Commission. Pivotal legal instruments in this domain include Article 102 of the TFEU, Council Regulation 17/62, and established judicial precedents. The primary objective of merger and acquisition control is not to impose mass prohibitions but to identify compromise solutions that avert potential adverse consequences of such actions.

In instances where threats to free and effective competition in the pan-European market are identified, the Commission imposes specific requirements on applicants. Compliance with these requirements serves to eliminate negative consequences. Merger agreements typically yield substantial positive effects on overall economic growth, a crucial consideration for the contemporary development of the EU (Boiar, A. O. (2008), p. 202).

The regulation of state aid holds exceptional importance for the EU's single market, as national support for certain productions or producers in individual member countries can confer a competitive advantage over producers from other member countries, thereby contravening fair competition rules. State aid is governed by Articles 107–109 of the TFEU, with a key criterion being the de minimis rule, necessitating the achievement of a minimum level of impact while incorporating mechanisms to safeguard competition. State aid may be considered compatible with the common market if it exhibits a social character, seeks to compensate for losses from exceptional situations, or addresses economic backwardness in specific regions, among other objectives.

Analyzing EU policy output poses two interconnected challenges that require careful consideration. Firstly, relying on composite measures that encompass diverse legal instruments to discern overall patterns in EU policy output may be a potential pitfall. Secondly, attributing the growth of a specific type of legal instrument to the entirety of EU policy output presents another challenge. A critical interpretation of Alesina et al.'s findings suggests a stabilization in EU policy output, particularly concerning directives and regulations, which deviates from public opinion perceptions. Notably, König et al. go further to assert an absolute decline in the adoption of secondary legislation since 1993. During this period, there seems to be a discrepancy between public perception and policy output trends. However, the discussion lacks a nuanced distinction between different legal instruments, and the authors provide limited explanation for this counterintuitive observation in EU policy output.

Drawing upon the CELEX database, similar to Alesina et al., König et al.'s smaller sample size is acknowledged by the authors themselves. They highlight the wide range of numbers cited by researchers using the same data source and acknowledge the potential impact of sampling criteria on outcomes (Ariel Ezrachi, 2017, p.49-75). This underscores the significance of careful consideration in selecting criteria and sources, as even the same database can yield varied outcomes based on different parameters. The complexity deepens when researchers employ different data sources, as illustrated by Pollack, who uses the Directory of Community Legislation in Force for his analysis of EU policy output.

Pollack's examination of the count of annually adopted directives, regulations, and decisions between 1958 and 1998 challenges conventional expectations. Despite the prevailing notion of a retrenchment in EU policy-making during the 1990s due to various geopolitical and economic factors, Pollack's evidence counters this expectation. He argues that when regulations are considered alongside directives, the pace of EU regulation in the latter half of the 1990s surpassed the period between the adoption of the Single Market Act and Maastricht. This unexpected finding prompts a reevaluation of the assumed correlation between political and economic factors and EU policy output during this critical period (Kovacic, 2001, p.7).

In navigating these challenges and discrepancies, scholars and analysts must adopt a more nuanced approach, considering the intricacies of different legal instruments, sample sizes, and potential biases introduced by varied data sources. This nuanced understanding is essential for a more accurate and comprehensive assessment of EU policy output dynamics.

Similarly, the section on sustainability principles delves into the multifaceted nature of sustainability, covering its environmental, social, and economic dimensions. It explores global sustainability goals, environmental frameworks, social sustainability principles, economic sustainability considerations, and the integration of sustainability principles into corporate strategies. The methodology involves a mixed-methods approach, incorporating a comprehensive review of documents, reports, international agreements, case studies, and interviews with experts and business leaders.

The exploration of ESG frameworks investigates the significant frameworks guiding Environmental, Social, and Governance considerations in the business and investment landscape. The section covers the interconnected components of ESG, global reporting initiatives, sustainability accounting standards, climate-related financial disclosures, and responsible investment principles. The methodology employs a systematic review of literature, official documentation, case studies, and interviews to offer a comprehensive understanding of ESG frameworks, their applicability, and their role in shaping sustainable business practices (Starostenko, 2009, p.46).

This integrated approach aims to illuminate the intricate connections between EU competition law, sustainability principles, and ESG frameworks. By blending theoretical insights with practical examples and diverse perspectives, the study seeks to provide a nuanced understanding of how these elements intersect and influence the dynamics of competition law within the EU.

This holistic approach acknowledges the evolving landscape where legal frameworks, sustainability goals, and responsible business practices converge. As the study progresses, it aims to unravel the implications of this intersection and shed light on how businesses navigate the intricate balance between competition law requirements and the imperative for sustainable, socially responsible conduct.

Examining historical evolutions in EU competition law reveals its adaptive nature, responding to the challenges posed by globalization and the digital economy. Concurrently, the study dives into sustainability principles, recognizing their multidimensional nature and the global commitment embodied in frameworks like the UN SDGs and the Paris Agreement (Buxbaum, 2005).

The exploration extends to ESG frameworks, recognizing their pivotal role in guiding businesses toward responsible practices. As the study unfolds, it seeks to understand how businesses integrate these frameworks into their strategies, highlighting responsible business practices and the evolving models of Corporate Social Responsibility.

The methodology, a blend of comprehensive reviews, case studies, and interviews, aims to capture the dynamic nuances of these intersections. By triangulating theoretical insights with real-world examples and diverse perspectives, the study aspires to contribute not only to academic understanding but also to practical insights for policymakers, businesses, and stakeholders.

In essence, this study endeavors to navigate the intricate terrain where legal, environmental, social, and economic considerations converge. As it advances, the goal is to provide a nuanced understanding of the challenges and opportunities inherent in harmonizing competition law with sustainability principles and responsible business practices. Ultimately, the study seeks to contribute valuable insights to the ongoing discourse surrounding the coexistence of legal frameworks and sustainable, ethical conduct in the ever-evolving global business landscape (Scherer, 2001, p.7).

This comprehensive exploration extends beyond traditional research methodologies, incorporating an array of methodological approaches to provide a nuanced and holistic understanding of the intricate intersections between EU competition law, sustainability principles, and ESG frameworks.

In addition to the systematic review of literature, the study embraces a qualitative analysis of diverse case studies spanning various industries. These real-world scenarios aim to illuminate the practical implications of the theoretical frameworks, offering valuable insights into how businesses navigate the complex terrain of legal and sustainable considerations.

Furthermore, interviews with sustainability experts, business leaders, and representatives from non-governmental organizations constitute a qualitative research component. These interviews serve to capture nuanced perspectives, providing qualitative data on challenges, successes, and emerging trends in aligning business practices with both legal requirements and sustainability goals (Nowag, 2022, p.35).

A quantitative dimension is introduced through surveys distributed among businesses operating within the EU. These surveys seek to gather data on the extent to which businesses integrate sustainability practices, the challenges faced, and the perceived impact on their competitive positioning. Quantitative data analysis adds statistical robustness, complementing the qualitative insights garnered from interviews and case studies.

The European Union has played a pivotal role in shaping an efficient system of competition regulation in Ukraine, aligning it with the competitive policy of the EU community. The TACIS program's implementation stands out as a crucial mechanism

promoting convergence. TACIS, with its focus on nuclear safety, environmental protection, state enterprise restructuring, private sector development, public administration reform, social services, education, agriculture, energy, transport, economic policy consultations, and telecommunications, has significantly influenced the evolution of Ukraine's regulatory framework (Starostenko et al., 2009, p. 52).

The partnership between the EU and Ukraine in competition regulation has seen notable expansion with the adoption of the European Commission's Communication "Wider Europe - Neighbourhood: A New Framework for Relations with our Eastern and Southern Neighbours" in 2003. This landmark communication articulates the European Commission's support for the "creation of a pan-European level of an open and integrated market that operates under coordinated or harmonized rules..." (Andrii Boyar, "The European Union Impact on the Formation of the Competition Regulation System in Ukraine," DOI 10.29038/2524-2679-2021-01-345-358).

The principles outlined in this communication pave fundamentally new ways for collaboration between the EU and Ukraine in competition regulation. The vision is to establish a pan-European framework that facilitates an open and integrated market, functioning seamlessly under coordinated rules. This framework not only signifies a deeper level of cooperation but also envisions the harmonization of regulatory standards.

The EU's commitment to supporting Ukraine in building a robust competition regulation system underscores the shared goal of fostering economic development, sustainability, and adherence to common regulatory principles. As Ukraine continues on its path of convergence with European norms, the collaboration in competition regulation stands as evidence of the transformative impact of EU-Ukraine relations. This dynamic partnership not only facilitates the exchange of best practices but also contributes to the broader objectives of regional economic integration and stability.

The study's mixed-methods approach also integrates legal analysis, dissecting pertinent legal documents, cases, and regulatory frameworks. This legal lens aims to unravel the intricacies of EU competition law and discern how it aligns or conflicts with evolving sustainability considerations (Blair, 2015).

By employing this multifaceted methodological strategy, the study aspires to offer a comprehensive and well-rounded examination of the complex interplay between legal, environmental, social, and economic dimensions. It seeks to bridge the gap between theoretical frameworks and practical realities, providing a roadmap for policymakers, businesses, and stakeholders navigating the dynamic landscape of competition law and sustainability.

2. Legal framework of EU Competition Law

The legal framework of EU competition law, deeply rooted in historical treaties and continuously evolving, embodies a commitment to ensuring fair and effective competition throughout the European Union. Initiated with the Treaty of Rome in 1957, subsequent treaties have contributed to shaping the legal principles that govern competition within the EU.

At its core, Article 101 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) serves as a linchpin, addressing anti-competitive agreements and concerted practices. This provision operates as a vigilant guardian against distortions in the competitive landscape, fostering an environment conducive to healthy market competition. Complementing Article 101, Article 102 TFEU tackles the abuse of dominant market positions, aiming to curb conduct that may undermine fair competition and harm consumers. Essential to Article 102 is the scrutiny of dominance criteria and identification of abusive practices.

Article 101(1) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) may be applicable if an agreement satisfies four cumulative conditions. Firstly, it must be an agreement between "undertakings." Although the EU Treaty does not explicitly define the term "undertaking," the Court of Justice of the EU has clarified its meaning in various cases. In the case of Höfner and Elser v Macrotron GmbH, the Court stated that "the concept of undertaking encompasses every entity engaged in an economic activity regardless of the legal status of the entity and the way in which it is financed." Additionally, in the case of Pavlov, the Court articulated that an economic activity is "any activity consisting of offering goods or services on a given market." The Court, in the case of Wouters v Algemene Raad van de Nederlandsche Orde van Advocaten, reiterated that EU competition law does not apply to non-economic activities or activities conducted on behalf of a public authority.

These statements provide clarity on the scope of what an undertaking entails. The second criterion is that the agreement must have as its object or effect the restriction of competition. EU competition law identifies only a few agreements as having the restriction of competition as their object. This occurs when the agreement is inherently harmful to normal competitive conditions.

The confluence of innovation and competition law has emerged as a focal point in the contemporary dynamic business milieu. With rapid technological advancements and

evolving market dynamics, competition authorities grapple with novel challenges in evaluating the ramifications of innovation on market structures. This essay undertakes an in-depth exploration of the intricate relationship between innovation and competition law, elucidating key debates, legal considerations, and potential avenues for refinement (Costa-Cabral, 2018, p. 307).

The Traditional Approach

Historically, competition law predominantly centered on market structure and power dynamics. The underlying assumption was that market concentration played a decisive role in either fostering or impeding innovation. However, this traditional structural approach exhibits limitations, particularly in addressing disruptive innovations that defy conventional analytical frameworks.

Resource-Based View and Innovation Capabilities

To surmount these limitations, insights from strategic management studies, specifically the **resource-based view**, offer a valuable perspective by linking competitive advantage to firm heterogeneity. Acknowledging that not all enterprises possess identical capabilities, this view emphasizes the significance of "innovation capabilities" as pivotal assets, encompassing intellectual property and pipeline products. Cases involving abusive refusal to license and mergers in parallel research underscore the necessity of considering disparities in innovation capabilities (Colomo, 2018, p. 561).

Disruptive Innovation and Market Dynamics

The theory of **disruptive innovation** provides insights into significant shifts in consumer preferences and production methods. In contrast to traditional competition analysis favoring efficient competitors, disruptive innovation often originates from initially inefficient processes. Disruptors tend to be disregarded until their efficiency evolves to reshape the market. Competition law must adapt to strategies aiming to impede disruptive innovation, even when the impact does not directly manifest in market structure or prices (Costa-Cabral, 2018, p.305).

Lost in Translation: Legal vs. Economic Debates

The interface between legal and economic perspectives occasionally encounters disparities due to differences in language and focus. While economists engage in intricate economic debates surrounding market concentration and innovation, legal dimensions are at times overshadowed. Notably, the European Commission need not conclusively demonstrate direct harm to innovation when scrutinizing a merger. Legal precedent establishes that a substantial impediment to effective competition can be established indirectly.

1. **Balancing Act**: Achieving equilibrium between promoting innovation and averting anticompetitive behavior remains a formidable challenge. Competition authorities must navigate the delicate balance between short-term consumer welfare and the enduring benefits of innovation.
2. **Dynamic Markets**: Recognizing that innovation flourishes in dynamic markets, competition law should adapt to evolving realities. Evaluation of research and development capabilities, as opposed to rigid product markets, emerges as a crucial aspect.
3. **Collaboration and Innovation**: The encouragement of pro-competitive collaborations while safeguarding innovation is paramount. Clear guidelines delineating cooperation among competitors for research and development endeavors can serve as catalysts for innovation (Colomo, 2018, p. 562).
4. **Antitrust Remedies**: Remedies in merger cases should intricately consider innovation-related concerns. Divestitures, licensing conditions, and monitoring mechanisms are essential tools for preserving incentives for innovation.

In line with the goals of EU competition law, such agreements are presumed to have negative effects on competition. The Commission is only required to demonstrate that the conduct has the potential to negatively impact competition; it is not necessary to prove a definite anti-competitive effect. Examples of such conduct include price-fixing, market or customer allocation, and the prohibition of sales into other territories (Peepekorn, 2020).

If the conduct lacks a clear anti-competitive object, the Commission can still establish that the conduct is restrictive by effect. To prove this, a comprehensive assessment of the factual and legal context is necessary. Anti-competitive effects are likely when the parties to an agreement possess or acquire market power, and the agreement encourages the creation, maintenance, or strengthening of that power, or enables the parties to exploit it.

Determining if an agreement has a restrictive effect on competition requires evaluating what the degree of competition would have been in the absence of the agreement. Therefore, a comparison between the "counterfactual" and the new situation is essential.

The Merger Regulation (Regulation (EC) No 139/2004) introduces a distinct facet to the legal framework, regulating mergers and acquisitions to prevent significant impediments to competition. The European Commission plays a pivotal role in overseeing these transactions, ensuring that they align with the principles of fair competition.

In terms of enforcement, the institutional framework is a collaboration between the European Commission and national competition authorities. While the European Commission holds sway over cross-border cases, national authorities focus on matters within their jurisdictions. This cooperative enforcement mechanism is facilitated through the European Competition Network (ECN), fostering a collective approach to maintaining fair competition at both EU and national levels (van der Velden, 2021).

The European Commission, as the custodian of EU competition rules, wields considerable authority. It conducts investigations, issues decisions, and, when necessary, applies fines to deter anti-competitive behavior. Beyond enforcement, the Commission contributes to the broader policy framework, advocating for fair competition principles.

The 21st century introduces new challenges, notably in the context of the digital economy. Adapting traditional competition principles to digital markets becomes imperative, and ongoing reforms and modernization initiatives reflect a commitment to ensuring the continued relevance and effectiveness of the legal framework.

In essence, this legal framework is a dynamic entity, adapting to the changing economic landscape and technological advancements. As EU competition law evolves, it remains a foundational element in fostering fair, competitive markets and upholding the principles of the European Union.

The recent globalization of competition law enforcement and increased alignment between competition regimes allow us to discuss the common 'DNA' of competition law – the values and characteristics that shape and influence competition law worldwide. While competition laws globally may differ in language, provisions, and interpretation, they demonstrate substantial consensus on the objectives of competition law. However, the shared analytical framework of competition laws, drawing from the same source and using a similar language, does not imply a tension-free international landscape of competition enforcement (Horton, 2014, p.34).

Initially, it's important to recognize that competition law, like other legal disciplines, is a social construct and originates from the domestic foundations and values of each jurisdiction. It adapts to social reality, experience, and logic and evolves over time. The validity of a legal system is rooted in society's evolving norms of justice, morality, and fairness rather than in external presupposed norms. As a political creation, competition law is inherently susceptible to a wide range of domestic societal variants.

EU competition law, for example, was not formulated as a hermetically sealed discipline. The European market integration has significantly influenced EU competition law, advancing political and economic goals and impacting the level and nature of

competition enforcement. While the European Commission acknowledged the economic nature of market integration, the protection of the internal market may not always align with the goal of furthering consumer welfare. This political goal has resulted in a focus on territorial restrictions that may undermine the creation of the Single Market and advocate a restrictive view of vertical agreements and exclusivity arrangements (Piscitelli, 2018, p.3). Moreover, EU competition law may be applied and developed in consideration of other policy concerns such as public health, social protection, consumer protection, environmental concerns, investment, transportation, and regional development. One might argue that by its constitutional nature, EU competition law is endowed with adaptable attributes.

Significantly, the modern application of US antitrust law has aimed to reduce susceptibility to non-economic considerations (Frenz, 2016, p. 419). This approach has dominated the practice of the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) and Department of Justice (DOJ) as they seek to foster transparency and predictability based on economic principles. An intriguing suggestion of openness to broader values can be found in comments made by Attorney General Lynch at the 2016 ABA Antitrust Law Spring Meeting. The Attorney General noted that the DOJ is 'committed to fair, open, and competitive markets' and acknowledged the significant role of 'economic justice' (Zureick, 2015, p.101). This hint at an expanded jurisdiction did not go unnoticed and led to some criticism.

3. Sustainability, corporate practices, and ESG integration.

ESG, an acronym for Environmental, Social, and Governance, serves as a multifaceted evaluation framework for businesses. Each component contributes to the holistic assessment of a company's responsible conduct:

1. **Environmental (E)**: This dimension scrutinizes a company's environmental impact, employing metrics such as greenhouse gas emissions, waste reduction, and resource conservation. Companies embracing ESG integrate eco-friendly practices, aligning with global sustainability goals.
2. **Social (S)**: The social facet of ESG accentuates fair labor practices, diversity, and community engagement. It necessitates businesses to prioritize the well-being, health, and safety of their stakeholders, fostering a socially responsible operational ethos.

3. **Governance (G)**: Governance, within the ESG framework, pertains to the management and governance of a company. Transparency in decision-making, ethical leadership, and accountability are integral components. Robust governance ensures the adherence to responsible business conduct.

While ESG and sustainability share common ground, distinctions in scope delineate their realms:

ESG constitutes a quantifiable assessment of sustainability through benchmarks and metrics, particularly relevant in the context of ESG investing and asset management decisions.

Sustainability pivots on the cultivation of enduring practices that strike a balance among economic, social, and environmental imperatives. It is fundamentally concerned with creating a legacy that transcends immediate gains, catering to the needs of future generations (McCoy, 2022).

The relevance of ESG in contemporary business landscapes is underscored by several compelling factors:

1. **Investor Demand**: ESG considerations significantly influence investment decisions. Investors with a social conscience actively seek companies aligned with ESG principles, recognizing the long-term value in responsible business practices.
2. **Risk Mitigation**: ESG serves as a proactive tool for companies to identify and manage risks associated with climate change, social issues, and governance lapses. By addressing these challenges, businesses can fortify themselves against potential disruptions.
3. **Long-Term Resilience**: Embracing sustainable practices contributes to a company's resilience, adaptability, and reputation. Beyond immediate gains, it fosters an environment conducive to enduring success.

Exploring the intersection of sustainability, corporate practices, and Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) integration reveals a complex interplay that shapes the ethical and responsible conduct of businesses. Sustainability, with its multidimensional facets encompassing environmental, social, and economic considerations, is increasingly recognized as a critical factor in shaping corporate behavior (McCoy, 2022).

The multifaceted nature of sustainability is evident in its environmental dimension, where concerns about climate change, resource depletion, and pollution drive the adoption of responsible practices. The social dimension emphasizes the importance of human rights, fair labor practices, and community engagement. Economic sustainability, encapsulated in

concepts like Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), underlines the role of businesses in contributing positively to economic development.

Global frameworks, exemplified by the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), provide a comprehensive roadmap for businesses to align their practices with broader societal and environmental objectives. These frameworks serve as guiding principles, encouraging businesses to go beyond profit considerations and contribute to the well-being of the planet and its inhabitants (Witteloostuijn, 2012).

Embracing an economic approach influenced by the Chicago School presents challenges in integrating sustainability concerns into competition law, primarily due to measurement complexities. Quantifying and assigning economic value to the full extent of sustainability benefits proves to be a difficult task. Challenges arise in accounting for benefits that may accrue elsewhere rather than directly to those paying the higher price. Advocates of this perspective often argue that the government should ensure public interest through democratically legitimized legislation. For instance, Charlotte Jansen and Eric Kloosterhuis posit that an objective is deemed legitimate only if it can be linked back to a legislative instrument. It could be contended that if non-efficiency objectives, such as environmental protection, hold significant importance for EU citizens, they should be pursued through democratic and political channels.

Given the limited competences of the EU, implementing environmental concerns through Article 101 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) would imply using EU competition law to achieve goals not explicitly specified in the competition rules. Additionally, concerns are raised that companies may use sustainability as a cover for anti-competitive behavior. Therefore, proponents argue that competition law should exclusively focus on market efficiency and consumer welfare. Okeoghene Odudu, for instance, suggests that the so-called policy linking clauses of Article 11 TFEU and Article 3 TEU might lack horizontal direct effect (Gerbrandy, 2019, p.12).

The Paris Agreement stands out as a cornerstone of global efforts to combat climate change. Its principles influence corporate strategies, urging businesses to adopt environmentally sustainable practices to mitigate their carbon footprint and contribute to the broader goals of environmental sustainability (Kuhlman, 2010, p.11).

The Circular Economy concept represents a paradigm shift, emphasizing sustainable resource management. Businesses are encouraged to minimize waste, adopt recycling practices, and design products with a lifecycle approach, aligning economic activities with principles of environmental sustainability.

In the realm of social sustainability, the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights provide a framework for businesses to ensure they respect human rights across their operations. This underscores the growing recognition that businesses bear a responsibility beyond profit-making, extending to ethical and humane treatment of individuals affected by their activities.

Economic sustainability considerations manifest through Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), where businesses voluntarily integrate social and environmental concerns into their strategies. Fair trade and ethical finance further emphasize the economic dimension, highlighting the positive impact businesses can have on global trade and financial practices.

Integration of sustainability principles into corporate strategies is pivotal. Concepts like Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) criteria serve as benchmarks for evaluating a company's ethical and responsible conduct. This integration goes beyond compliance with regulations, encouraging businesses to proactively embrace sustainable practices in their operations (Ščasný, 2012, p.58).

The methodology for exploring sustainability principles involves a comprehensive review of key documents, reports, and international agreements related to sustainability. Case studies illustrating successful sustainability practices in various industries will be analyzed. Interviews with sustainability experts, business leaders, and representatives from non-governmental organizations will provide practical insights and diverse perspectives.

This mixed-methods approach aims to offer a comprehensive understanding of sustainability principles, their relevance in diverse contexts, and their role in shaping businesses' approaches to sustainability within competitive markets. As businesses navigate the delicate balance between profit motives and societal and environmental responsibilities, understanding the intricate dynamics of sustainability, corporate practices, and ESG integration becomes imperative (Gerbrandy, 2019, p.12).

Nevertheless, a growing number of scholars recognize the significance of sustainability in the evaluation under Article 101 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU). This approach is characterized by its ordoliberal and teleological nature, asserting that the EU Treaties and their underlying principles provide space for various public concerns. Chris Townley contends that a mere textual analysis of Article 101 TFEU is insufficient to determine the relevance of environmental concerns to the provision. The Treaties establish diverse goals for the EU, as outlined in Article 11 TFEU and Article 3 TEU, among others. Therefore, placing EU competition law within its broader EU law context becomes crucial. Suzanne Kingston emphasizes that the interpretation of

competition rules should align with and support the EU's overarching policy objectives. In instances of an insurmountable conflict between two objectives, the principle of proportionality should be applied.

4. The effectiveness of competition law in addressing challenges in a specific context.

It is increasingly accepted that we face a ‘climate emergency’ and that ‘business as usual’ is not an option. I am not going to go into the science and evidence for this but simply take this as a fact and the starting point for my analysis of its implications for competition law.1 What has this got to do with competition law? Well, very little and a lot. A little in the sense that competition law is a small part of a very big picture (Vestager, 2021). When I put off a light, cycle rather than drive, or eat chicken rather than beef, I can only make a minute contribution to the challenges we face. When we focus on energy in France some will say, what about China? When we look at transport issues, some will say agriculture is a bigger issue. And so on, and so on. And so too when we look at competition law many say it is not a panacea for all the ills of the world and that we have other tools—most obviously regulation. And all these people are right (van Dijk, 2001).

But just because competition law cannot do everything, it does not mean that it cannot do anything. Not only do we have to start somewhere, I argue that we have amoral imperative to do so in the case of climate change and to take action whenever and wherever we can—and that includes competition law (our own particular niche). Things need to change and, as Commissioner Vestager helpfully put it at a conference in Brussels on competition law and sustainability in October 2019 (the ‘Brussels Sustainability Conference’), ‘every one of us-including competition enforcers-will be called on to make a contribution to that change’.

The effectiveness of competition law is a dynamic inquiry that requires nuanced examination, particularly when contextualized within specific challenges. This chapter delves into the intricate dynamics of how competition law operates and responds to challenges within a defined context.

Regarding the integration of environmental and social considerations into business practices, traditional economic textbooks have consistently upheld the notion that the primary concern is the value of stocks, as corporations fundamentally exist to generate cash flows for their shareholders. This perspective is rooted in two foundational pillars of

economic thought: advocating for one's interests from both clients and corporations contributes to economic efficiency [Smith A., 1776], and the government is responsible for addressing market failures, mitigating external influences, and tackling inequality (Pigou A., 1920). The idea that firms should not adhere to Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) requirements was succinctly expressed in 1970 in Milton Friedman's article in the New York Times Magazine:

"In a private enterprise system, a corporate governance manager is an employee of the business owners. He is directly accountable to his employers. This responsibility involves conducting business in accordance with their desires, typically meaning generating maximum income while adhering to the basic rules of society—both those prescribed by current legislation and those embedded in ethical traditions."

For example, excluding tax obligations, funds allocated to corporate philanthropy should be contributed by shareholders through dividends distributed among charitable organizations at their discretion, rather than being directly determined by corporate managers. According to this viewpoint, CSR reflects an agency problem, considering the conflicting interests between managers and shareholders who are compelled to contribute to charitable organizations they may not align with. Nevertheless, numerous management studies argue that a company is accountable not only to its shareholders but also to its community, employees, and clients.

This theory, introduced by R. Edward Freeman (Freeman R. E., 1984), asserts that a company maintains relationships with various stakeholders, not limited to shareholders, that both influence and are influenced by the company. Abigail McWilliams and Donald Siegel (McWilliams A., Siegel D., 2001, p. 117) define CSR principles as "actions that contribute to the advancement of certain social goods beyond what is mandated by the firm's interests and requires legislation." Roland Benabou and Jean Tirole (Benabou R., Tirole J., 2010) provide a contemporary economic perspective on CSR principles. One motivation for endorsing CSR principles is a response to the government's (or politicians') inability to correct market failures and address the negative impact of external factors due to government inefficiency, lobbying, or territorial jurisdiction specifics.

Looking at CSR practices from another perspective, companies can endorse values that may not necessarily align with policies. The potential impacts of CSR on shareholder value include companies "doing well by doing good" if they overcome short-term managerial focus and reduce ESG risks, "delegated philanthropy" maximizing value for shareholders when adhering to positive social behavior, and companies potentially decreasing in value when drawn into "corporate philanthropy initiated by insiders."

The urgency of the climate change threat necessitates a reevaluation of our approach to everything. A 2010 paper by the UK competition authority concluded that 'the advantages and disadvantages of taking into account wider environmental benefits are finely balanced'.7 In 2010, I would probably have agreed, but, whatever the rights and wrongs of that conclusion in 2010, our current understanding of climate change means that the 'balance' has changed significantly: the scales have tilted. We must put more weight on environmental factors and shift the dial radically toward permitting arrangements that contribute to combating climate change, particularly, and protecting the environment and sustainable production in general. This is discussed in Section V. Other areas where competition law may be relevant to sustainability issues include the approach to 'abuse' in Article 102 cases and the analysis of mergers. These will be discussed in Sections VI and VII below. For reasons of space, this article does not cover the relationship between sustainability issues/climate change and either state aid and/or public procurement (although these are important issues that would merit separate papers in their own right). At the Brussels Sustainability Conference, Commissioner Vestager reiterated the Commission's 'commitment to sustainability' but acknowledged that 'we're still working out exactly what has to change, to make that promise a reality'. This article is intended to help us work out what has to change and, even more importantly, what can be done without any change to the law itself but to our approach to it. Although this article is based firmly on EU law and the constitutional requirement to take the environment and sustainability into account in competition policy, it is hoped that many of the ideas discussed here can help inspire changes to the approach in other jurisdictions (particularly, but not exclusively, those modeled on EU law).

Competition law, at its core, is designed to foster fair and competitive markets, prevent anti-competitive practices, and safeguard consumer welfare. However, its application and effectiveness can vary based on the specific challenges posed by the context in which it operates.

One prominent aspect is the digital economy, where traditional competition principles encounter novel complexities. The rise of digital platforms and tech giants has prompted a reassessment of how competition law addresses issues such as market concentration, abuse of dominance, and the impact on consumer choice. The challenge lies in adapting established legal frameworks to the rapidly evolving landscape of digital markets.

Globalization is another contextual factor that influences the effectiveness of competition law. Cross-border transactions and the interconnectedness of markets

necessitate cooperation between competition authorities. Harmonizing enforcement practices and addressing challenges arising from divergent regulatory approaches become imperative to ensure a cohesive and effective application of competition law in a globalized context.

In sectors with high levels of innovation, such as pharmaceuticals or technology, the tension between encouraging innovation and preventing anti-competitive behavior adds a layer of complexity. Striking the right balance is crucial to foster innovation while preventing practices that could stifle competition and harm consumers (Lundqvist, 2001, p.11).

The effectiveness of competition law is also tested in markets characterized by unique structural features. Industries with few dominant players or those with complex supply chains may present challenges that require tailored approaches. Adapting legal frameworks to address these structural nuances is essential to maintain fair competition.

In the context of sustainability, the interaction between competition law and environmental or social goals introduces a delicate balance. Questions arise about how competition law can accommodate collaboration among businesses to achieve sustainability objectives without compromising fair competition. This intersection necessitates a reevaluation of enforcement strategies and analytical frameworks.

The effectiveness of competition law is inherently tied to the adaptability of legal frameworks to diverse and evolving challenges. Ongoing reforms and modernization initiatives reflect a commitment to refining competition law to ensure its relevance and efficacy in addressing the intricacies of specific contexts. As we delve into the effectiveness of competition law within a specific context, it becomes evident that a nuanced and context-aware approach is essential for navigating the complexities of modern markets and regulatory landscapes (Ritholtz, 2019).

5. The impact of competition law on sustainable business practices and development.

The impact of competition law on sustainable business practices and development is a multifaceted exploration that unveils the intricate interplay between legal frameworks and the pursuit of environmental, social, and economic sustainability. This chapter delves

into the ways in which competition law influences and shapes businesses' commitment to sustainability and their role in broader societal and environmental development.

Competition law, designed to ensure fair market competition and prevent anti-competitive behavior, wields a significant influence on how businesses operate within the realms of sustainability. As companies increasingly recognize the importance of aligning their practices with sustainable principles, competition law becomes a critical factor in shaping the landscape of responsible business conduct.

Examining sustainable business practices within the framework of competition law raises questions about how collaborative efforts among businesses to achieve sustainability objectives might intersect with antitrust principles. While competition law traditionally discourages collusion and anti-competitive agreements, there is a growing acknowledgment of the need for businesses to collaborate in addressing global challenges such as climate change, resource depletion, and social inequality.

The concept of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), a key component of economic sustainability, is intricately tied to how competition law influences business behavior. The legal framework, through its enforcement mechanisms and regulatory oversight, plays a role in encouraging businesses to integrate CSR principles into their core strategies. This integration extends beyond mere compliance, fostering a proactive approach to addressing social and environmental concerns.

Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) criteria, emerging as benchmarks for evaluating a company's ethical conduct, are influenced by the principles of competition law. As businesses incorporate ESG considerations into their decision-making processes, competition law serves as a guiding framework that shapes the parameters within which sustainable practices are encouraged and regulated (Matos, 2020, p.18).

The expanding realm of theoretical research highlights a compelling connection: companies engaging in Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) experience an increase in profitability and firm value—a phenomenon encapsulated in the essence of the "doing well by doing good" approach. The discussed theoretical foundations assert that more responsible companies might yield lower returns to investors. Consequently, when a company is perceived as responsible, and this information becomes public knowledge, the market price reflects this fact, often exceeding what it would be otherwise. Thus, investors are essentially compensating for what they receive. As a result, ESG-oriented companies enjoy the advantages of reduced capital costs, indicating diminished expected returns for investors engaging in ESG projects. In contrast, "sinful actions" incur higher capital costs, instilling expectations of greater returns in investors.

To some extent, shareholder acceptance of lower expected returns in the pursuit of "doing good" becomes a mechanism for influencing real investment choices through ESG investments. Companies, acknowledged for their "good" behavior, receive rewards in the form of lower capital costs (Holmes, 2020, p.357). Alternatively, investors themselves can also "do well by doing good" under two conditions: firstly, companies with more resilient business models generate greater cash flows than the market anticipates; and secondly, as investor preferences gradually shift towards ESG-friendly companies for non-financial reasons, this shift manifests in these companies delivering higher returns over transitional periods.

In navigating this intricate interplay of responsible investment and financial outcomes, the alignment of market expectations, corporate behavior, and investor preferences assumes paramount significance. The evolving landscape envisions a scenario where responsible actions not only contribute to societal and environmental welfare but also yield tangible financial benefits for both companies and investors. This multifaceted relationship underscores the transformative potential of ESG considerations in reshaping investment dynamics and fostering a sustainable and lucrative financial ecosystem.

Case studies examining legal actions at the intersection of competition law, ESG, and environmental protection provide valuable insights into the practical implications of these interactions. Analyzing these cases offers a deeper understanding of how competition law can both support and potentially hinder businesses' endeavors to adopt sustainable practices.

The impact of competition law on sustainable business practices is not limited to legal enforcement but extends to broader policy initiatives and institutional frameworks. The active exploration of sustainability within competition law is reflective of a broader societal shift toward recognizing the interconnectedness of economic activities, environmental stewardship, and social responsibility (Salop, 2010).

In summary, the impact of competition law on sustainable business practices and development is a dynamic and evolving landscape. It involves navigating the delicate balance between fostering fair competition and encouraging businesses to actively contribute to global sustainability goals. As businesses and legal frameworks continue to adapt, the intersection of competition law and sustainable development holds the potential to shape a more responsible and resilient economic future.

5.1. Examining a narrow set of sustainable business practices

Examining a narrow set of sustainable business practices within the broader context of competition law provides a focused lens through which to analyze specific strategies and approaches adopted by businesses in their pursuit of sustainability. This exploration aims to shed light on the nuanced interactions between competition law and select sustainable practices, offering insights into the challenges and opportunities faced by companies operating within this domain.

The current regulatory landscape within the European Union (EU) is witnessing a profound impact on major corporations listed on stock exchanges, particularly those employing over 500 individuals, through the framework of the EU Taxonomy. These entities are compelled to furnish comprehensive reports detailing the alignment of their economic activities with the EU Taxonomy and the extent to which they conform to the stringent criteria of sustainability. The ongoing Directive on Non-Financial Reporting (Directive 2013/43/EU), currently undergoing revision, is slated to transform into the Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD).

Once the CSRD comes into effect, reporting obligations related to sustainable development will gradually expand to cover all significant companies, both listed and unlisted. Consequently, this obligation will extend to companies listed on stock exchanges, irrespective of their size, thus encompassing Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (SMEs) as well (Frenz, 2016, p.420). It's crucial to note that companies of any size, including SMEs, have the option to strategically leverage the EU Taxonomy. This allows them to provide clarity to investors and stakeholders regarding their involvement in or plans for sustainable activities, in line with the taxonomy's stipulations. The mandatory disclosure of such information explicitly applies to large companies under the jurisdiction of the CSRD.

Banks currently find themselves subject to rigorous reporting obligations, necessitating the public disclosure of their investment activities. Indirectly, this regulatory imposition reverberates across industries, affecting all enterprises reliant on banking institutions for financial sustenance. Furthermore, the evolving landscape anticipates an increased insistence from banks, necessitating a diverse array of data from their clientele to meet their reporting obligations (Amel-Zadehm, 2018, p.91).

This transformative regulatory milieu underscores the burgeoning importance of harmonizing business practices with sustainable paradigms. This holds true for financial institutions as well as enterprises spanning diverse sectors. As reporting requirements

burgeon and intensify, it becomes imperative for businesses to adopt a proactive stance, systematically integrating sustainability into their fundamental strategies. This foresight is especially critical in light of the impending expansion of reporting obligations to encompass a more extensive spectrum of companies. The dynamic interplay between environmental, social, and governance considerations, particularly within the financial sector, will indisputably wield a pivotal influence in shaping the future trajectory of businesses operating within the EU.

One notable sustainable business practice is the implementation of eco-friendly production processes. Companies striving for environmental sustainability often invest in technologies and methodologies that minimize their ecological footprint. However, the application of competition law in this context prompts questions about whether collaborative efforts among companies to adopt eco-friendly practices could inadvertently raise antitrust concerns. Understanding the legal parameters that define acceptable collaboration without undermining fair competition becomes pivotal in encouraging environmentally responsible practices.

Another aspect of sustainable business practices involves the integration of social responsibility within corporate strategies. This includes initiatives such as community engagement, fair labor practices, and philanthropy. As businesses align their operations with social sustainability goals, competition law plays a role in shaping the boundaries of permissible collaboration. Analyzing the legal implications of collective actions within the realm of social responsibility provides a deeper understanding of how competition law navigates the delicate balance between encouraging positive societal contributions and preventing anti-competitive behavior.

The use of sustainability certifications and labels is a prevalent strategy adopted by businesses to communicate their commitment to environmental and social standards. However, competition law scrutiny arises when such certifications potentially create barriers to entry or competition. Evaluating how competition law addresses issues related to the misuse or misrepresentation of sustainability certifications offers insights into the legal considerations surrounding the communication of sustainable practices in the market.

In the realm of sustainable supply chain management, businesses are increasingly seeking to ensure that their suppliers adhere to ethical and environmental standards. This collaborative effort to create sustainable supply chains may, in certain instances, trigger competition law concerns. Examining how competition law navigates the delicate balance between promoting responsible sourcing practices and preventing anti-competitive

collaborations within supply chains provides valuable insights into the legal dynamics of sustainable business practices (Gerbrandy, 2017, p.541).

The legal scrutiny of green marketing practices is another facet within this narrow set of sustainable business practices. As companies employ environmental messaging and branding to appeal to environmentally conscious consumers, competition law comes into play to prevent deceptive marketing practices that could mislead consumers or create an unfair advantage in the market. Understanding the legal framework surrounding green marketing sheds light on the boundaries within which companies can communicate their sustainability efforts without engaging in anti-competitive behavior.

Examining a narrow set of sustainable business practices within the purview of competition law offers a focused analysis of the legal challenges and considerations encountered by businesses striving for sustainability. It provides a nuanced understanding of how competition law navigates the complexities of collaborative efforts, certifications, supply chain practices, and marketing strategies within the evolving landscape of sustainable business practices.

During the Brussels Sustainability Conference, Commissioner Vestager reiterated the Commission's dedication to sustainability but acknowledged that the specifics of the necessary changes to fulfill this commitment are still being worked out. This article aims to assist in identifying the required changes and, more importantly, exploring actions that can be taken without altering the law itself but by modifying our approach to it. While rooted in EU law and the constitutional obligation to consider the environment and sustainability in competition policy, the hope is that the concepts discussed here can inspire adjustments in other jurisdictions, especially those modeled on EU law.

Target enforcement along the more traditional theories of harm to address anticompetitive activity related to innovation is an important element of fostering sustainability via competition because R&D and eco-innovation are important for sustainable development. Thus, competition agencies could for example focus on exclusionary behaviour regarding access to technology or cartels that are also harmful from a sustainability perspective. Another example of the latter is the recent action by the European Commission against BMW, Daimler and VW. The Commission, after its preliminary investigation, sent a statement of objection (EC, 2019). The Commission alleged that the companies had restricted competition on innovation for selective catalytic reduction systems of diesel passenger cars and ‘Otto’ particle filters of petrol passenger cars. These are two emission-cleaning systems. In restricting such innovation, the

Commission finds that the companies denied consumers the opportunity to buy less polluting cars, despite the technology being available to the manufacturers.. Thus, suggesting to consider effects on innovation where sustainability innovation is concerned (Lianos, 2018) seems not far-fetched. While these cases seem to fall rather within the traditional theories of harm, it has also been suggested that the current theories.

Concept of harm used in competition law can be extended with regard to innovation and its relationship with sustainability, because innovation effects in general can be found for example in US (DOJ & FTC, 2010) and EU merger guidelines (EU Horizontal Merger Guidelines: para 8, 20, 38, 81; EU Non-Horizontal Merger Guidelines). For instance, it has been argued that the Bayer/Monsanto merger is also negatively effecting sustainability (Lianos and Katalevsky, 2017). The merger would not only increase industry concentration, entrench market power leading to higher prices for farmers and locking them in, but it would similarly affect the availability of seed diversity and overall could lead to increased use of fossil fuel based herbicides and pesticides thereby negatively affecting sustainability (Lianos and Katalevsky, 2017). The Commission was able to examine some60 of these concerns in the context of its assessment of possible innovation harms in particular with regard to innovation efforts and innovation outputs. Yet, it seems possible to extent this theory of innovation harm further, so as to capture not only innovation efforts and outputs but equally innovation diversity thereby capturing even more of such concerns. There are other ways in which the current boundaries of the theories of harms could possibly be further pushed. For example, one might imagine a focus on exploitative practice to address sustainability concerns with regard poverty and prices for farmers (Holmes, 2020), (Fair Trade Advocacy Office, 2019), pp. 45, 54; (HCC, 2020);

5.2. ESG criteria in competition law compliance

ESG criteria, encompassing Environmental, Social, and Governance considerations, have become integral in evaluating corporate conduct and performance. In the context of competition law, understanding how ESG criteria intersect with compliance becomes imperative. This chapter explores the nuanced dynamics between ESG principles and competition law, shedding light on how businesses navigate the integration of ethical, social, and governance considerations within the framework of fair competition.

Environmental considerations within ESG criteria often involve a company's commitment to sustainable practices, energy efficiency, and environmental conservation.

Competition law, while primarily focused on preventing anti-competitive behavior, is increasingly mindful of the environmental impact of business activities. Evaluating how competition law addresses collaborations aimed at achieving environmental sustainability goals and how it balances these initiatives with fair market competition provides insights into the evolving landscape of ESG-informed compliance(Iacovides and Vrettos, 2020).

The social dimension of ESG encompasses factors such as human rights, labor practices, and community engagement. In the realm of competition law, understanding the legal implications of collaborative efforts among businesses to uphold social responsibility standards becomes essential. Examining cases where ESG criteria intersect with competition law compliance offers a glimpse into the complexities of fostering fair competition while encouraging socially responsible conduct.

Governance considerations within ESG criteria delve into a company's internal structures, transparency, and adherence to ethical business practices. Competition law, in its quest for fair market competition, intersects with governance principles to ensure that corporate structures and practices align with legal standards. Analyzing how competition law addresses issues of corporate governance and ethical business conduct provides insights into the regulatory landscape that businesses must navigate.

ESG criteria in competition law compliance also touch upon disclosure requirements. As investors and consumers increasingly demand transparency regarding a company's environmental and social impact, competition law may come into play to regulate the veracity of such disclosures. Understanding the legal framework surrounding the disclosure of ESG-related information offers a comprehensive view of how competition law aligns with the growing demand for corporate transparency (Matos, 2020, p.15).

The integration of ESG criteria into competition law compliance reflects a broader societal shift towards responsible and ethical business practices. It requires businesses to strike a delicate balance between pursuing sustainability goals and adhering to fair competition principles. As ESG considerations continue to shape corporate behavior, the legal landscape surrounding their intersection with competition law evolves, emphasizing the need for a nuanced and adaptive regulatory framework. In this context, businesses operating at the intersection of ESG and competition law compliance must navigate a complex terrain that demands a harmonious integration of ethical, social, and governance principles within the dynamics of fair market competition.

5.3. Case studies on legal actions in the intersection of competition law, ESG, and environmental protection

The Commission assumes a pivotal role in EU competition law; nevertheless, the ultimate authority for the accurate interpretation of competition rules rests with the Court. Within the realm of internal market law, the Court has already acknowledged environmental protection as a valid justification for limiting free movement. In the PreussenElektra case, the court asserted that the environment must be considered in shaping and executing other EU policies, designating it as a priority objective. Despite this, in the domain of competition law, the Court generally exhibits reluctance in weighing the drawbacks against the benefits of voluntary sustainability agreements. Nonetheless, the Court has responded to instances where EU competition law may conflict with objectives deemed of overriding importance on a few occasions.

Case studies on legal actions at the intersection of competition law, Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) principles, and environmental protection provide valuable insights into the practical implications and challenges arising from the complex interplay of these domains. This chapter examines select cases where businesses' activities in pursuit of sustainability goals intersect with competition law, shedding light on the legal considerations, outcomes, and broader implications.

As companies increasingly recognize their role in addressing global challenges, legal actions at this intersection are gaining prominence. In this essay, we explore several case studies that highlight the complexities and implications of such legal actions (Kuhlman, 2010, p.34).

In isolation, divorced from considerations of the legal, economic, political, and social context, the Commission has, in various instances, embraced environmental objectives. For instance, in the CEDED case, the Commission endorsed an agreement among businesses to cease the production or importation of energy-inefficient washing machines, establishing a standard for energy efficiency. In this scenario, the Commission acknowledged the societal benefit of a healthier environment, asserting that such benefits extend to consumers, even if individual purchasers of washing machines do not receive specific advantages. Additionally, the Commission recognized the potential contributions to technical and economic progress. Another case, the PhilipsOsram matter, saw the Commission accepting the idea that reduced air pollution would bring benefits to consumers through the mitigation of negative externalities. This case involved an

agreement between Philips and Osram to centralize their development and production of lead glass at a Philips facility in Belgium. The Commission contended that positive effects would amplify with the advancement of lead-free materials in Research & Development within the field. Interestingly, the Commission explicitly acknowledged that environmental quality constitutes a consumer benefit (Robertson, 2022, p. 428). A noteworthy case centered around the Service Agreement between DSD and collectors, mandating the establishment of systems for the collection and sorting of used sales packaging. According to the Commission, this agreement was justifiable as it directly translated into environmental objectives.

The Volkswagen Emissions Scandal (Dieselgate):

In 2015, Volkswagen (VW) grappled with serious accusations related to manipulating emissions data for its diesel vehicles. This scandal unfolded as a result of installing software that strategically allowed cars to pass emissions tests while emitting higher levels of pollutants during real-world driving. The subsequent legal scrutiny not only involved issues of competition law but also extended to considerations of environmental protection (Ford/Volkswagen (Case IV/33.814)).

Legal Proceedings:

Competition Law Aspect: The European Commission imposed substantial fines on VW for violating antitrust regulations, citing collusion with other automotive manufacturers on emission-reducing technologies. The identified collusion was seen as a hindrance to innovation and a detriment to consumer welfare.

Environmental Aspect: VW faced legal actions from environmental advocacy groups and governmental entities, primarily focused on environmental damage caused by excessive emissions. Resolutions were reached through settlements, involving significant fines and commitments to adopting cleaner technologies.

Implications: This case highlighted the complex interaction between the adverse effects of collusion on both competitive landscapes and environmental well-being. It emphasized the need for vigilant enforcement of competition law and the implementation of stringent regulatory frameworks for environmental conservation.

Apple vs. Qualcomm: Standard-Essential Patents (SEPs):

The legal dispute between Apple and Qualcomm, centered on Standard-Essential Patents (SEPs) related to 5G technology, revealed a complex legal landscape. SEPs, crucial for interoperability and innovation, became focal points of contention with strategic implications (Qualcomm Inc v. Apple Inc, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, Nos. 20-1558 and 20-1559).

Legal Proceedings:

Competition Law Dimension: Apple's accusations against Qualcomm extended to anticompetitive practices, particularly highlighting concerns about excessively high licensing fees. The European Commission intervened, imposing fines on Qualcomm for abusing its dominant market position.

ESG Aspect: Qualcomm's identified practices were recognized as having tangible impacts on innovation dynamics and consumer welfare. Balancing the delicate equilibrium between Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) goals and competitive considerations assumed paramount significance.

Implications: The case illuminated the intricate balance required to promote innovation through SEPs while simultaneously curbing anticompetitive tendencies. It underscored the need for transparent licensing practices to align technological advancement with fair competition.

Amazon and the EU Antitrust Investigation:

The European Commission's inquiry into Amazon's dual role as both a marketplace facilitator and a product seller unfolded amid allegations of unfair competition practices and preferential treatment towards Amazon's proprietary products (https://ec.europa.eu/commission/presscorner/detail/en/ip_20_2077).

Legal Proceedings:

- Competition Law Dimension: The investigation primarily focused on potential abuses of dominance within the digital marketplace. Intensive scrutiny was directed towards Amazon's use of data from third-party sellers to gain competitive advantages.
- ESG Dimension: Striking a delicate balance between fostering competition and ensuring fair treatment of third-party sellers aligned with overarching Environmental,

Social, and Governance (ESG) principles. The investigation highlighted the importance of maintaining an equitable playing field in digital markets, echoing ESG-centric perspectives.

Implications: The case emphasized the need for well-defined regulatory frameworks in digital markets, particularly in the intersection of competition law and ESG principles. It underscored the pivotal role of competition law in fostering fair competition while concurrently reinforcing commitments to ESG objectives.

Google and Online Advertising Dominance

Transformations in Digital Advertising Landscape:

The rise of programmatic advertising technologies marks a significant shift in the digital advertising realm. Automated, data-driven ad buying processes are challenging the longstanding dominance of Google and Meta. Programmatic advertising's efficiency and precision appeal to advertisers aiming for targeted and measurable campaigns (https://www.france24.com/en/europe/20230614-eu-says-google-has-abused-dominance-in-online-ad-market).

Privacy Concerns and Regulatory Influence:

Growing awareness of privacy issues has led to increased scrutiny of data practices by digital advertising giants. Regulatory measures, including the implementation of the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and ongoing discussions on comprehensive privacy legislation, add complexity, requiring strategic adaptation from industry players.

Niche Platforms and Fragmented Audiences:

The emergence of niche platforms catering to specific demographics contributes to audience fragmentation. Advertisers now face a more diversified landscape, demanding tailored strategies to effectively reach distinct consumer segments. Navigating these niche ecosystems presents both challenges and opportunities for marketers. Artificial Intelligence in Ad Targeting:

The incorporation of artificial intelligence (AI) in ad targeting is revolutionizing how ads are delivered and personalized. Google and Meta face competition from platforms using advanced AI algorithms to analyze user behavior and preferences, providing advertisers innovative ways to connect with their target audience.

Data Monetization Strategies:

Beyond traditional advertising revenue streams, the shift towards data monetization is reshaping the industry. Companies explore inventive models to responsibly leverage user data, offering insights and analytics services to advertisers. This diversification underscores the adaptability required amidst changing market dynamics.

Global Expansion and Market Entry Strategies:

The globalization of digital advertising introduces new players from different regions. Companies like Alibaba and Tencent extend their influence beyond their home markets, posing substantial competition to the established duopoly. Understanding regional nuances and devising effective market entry strategies are crucial considerations for industry stakeholders. In summary, the digital advertising arena is a complex ecosystem undergoing profound transformations. As technological advancements, regulatory frameworks, and market diversification reshape the industry, strategic agility and innovation become paramount for sustained success. A comprehensive understanding of these evolving dynamics provides insight into the forces shaping the future of digital advertising.

Legal Proceedings:

Competition Law Dimension: The European Commission imposed fines on Google, alleging anticompetitive practices associated with its AdSense program. The focus was on Google's purported restrictions on competitors' access to publishers.

ESG Dimension: The need to balance fostering competition and ensuring equitable access to advertising platforms is emphasized. Aligning with Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) principles involves guaranteeing a level playing field, especially for smaller market participants. Implications: This case underscores the importance of transparent and nondiscriminatory practices in the digital advertising domain. It highlights the role of competition law not only in promoting fair markets but also in conscientiously considering the environmental and social impacts of market dynamics.

Electric Vehicle Charging Infrastructure

Context: The necessity of establishing a robust charging infrastructure accompanies the shift towards electric vehicles (EVs), with companies investing in EV charging networks facing challenges at the crossroads of competition and sustainability (https://joint-

research-centre.ec.europa.eu/jrc-news-and-updates/ev-charging-infrastructure-rollout-eu-us-technical-recommendations-are-out-2023-05-31_en).

- Technological Progress and Intelligent Charging Solutions: The rapid growth of the electric vehicle charging market is accompanied by substantial technological advancements. The integration of intelligent charging solutions, leveraging artificial intelligence and IoT technologies, enhances the efficiency and reliability of the charging infrastructure. The evolution of bidirectional charging capabilities, enabling energy flow between the vehicle and the grid, represents a paradigm shift with implications for energy storage, grid stability, and demand response strategies.
- Environmental Impacts and Life Cycle Assessment: With the increasing adoption of electric vehicles, there is a growing emphasis on evaluating the environmental footprint of the entire charging infrastructure. Life cycle assessments play a pivotal role in assessing the sustainability of charging technologies, considering factors such as manufacturing, operation, and end-of-life disposal. The integration of renewable energy sources into charging networks further underscores the environmental benefits, aligning with the broader goal of achieving carbon neutrality.
- Interoperability and Standardization Challenges: Achieving seamless interoperability among diverse charging networks poses a significant challenge in the electric vehicle charging sector. Standardization efforts are underway to establish uniform protocols and interfaces, ensuring compatibility and ease of access for electric vehicle users. Overcoming interoperability challenges is crucial not only for user convenience but also for fostering fair competition and preventing the emergence of exclusive charging ecosystems dominated by specific entities.
- Research and Development Initiatives: Ongoing research and development initiatives are integral to addressing the evolving needs of the electric vehicle charging landscape. Innovations in rapid charging technologies, energy storage solutions at charging stations, and novel materials for charging infrastructure components contribute to the sector's resilience and adaptability. Collaborative efforts between academia, industry, and regulatory bodies play a pivotal role in driving innovation, addressing emerging challenges, and ensuring the long-term sustainability of the electric vehicle charging ecosystem.

Legal Actions: Competition Law Perspective: Antitrust authorities closely monitor collaborations among EV charging network providers to prevent monopolistic tendencies. Striking a delicate balance between cooperation for widespread charging availability and competition to avoid monopolies assumes paramount importance. Environmental

Perspective: Promoting the adoption of EVs significantly contributes to environmental objectives. Ensuring fair competition while concurrently advancing clean energy aligns seamlessly with sustainability goals.

Implications: Regulatory bodies face the intricate task of judiciously evaluating collaborations among EV charging providers. Competition law emerges as a facilitator of innovation while concurrently advancing environmental sustainability goals.

In conclusion, the electric vehicle charging market, with its rapid growth and transformative potential, is intricately connected to technological, environmental, and regulatory dynamics. A multidimensional approach that considers not only market competition and state aid but also technological advancements, environmental impacts, and research endeavors is essential for comprehensively understanding and navigating this dynamic landscape.

Merger of Bayer and Monsanto

The merger of Bayer, a conglomerate in pharmaceuticals and chemicals, with Monsanto, a major player in seeds and agrochemicals, underwent rigorous scrutiny due to concerns about the potential dominance the combined entity could wield in the agricultural sector (Case M.8084 – Bayer/Monsanto).

Role of Hearing Officer and Final Report: A crucial aspect of this case involved appointing a Hearing Officer to ensure procedural fairness and compliance with legal standards. The Hearing Officer played a pivotal role in scrutinizing the proceedings to guarantee objectivity and adherence to due process[4]. • The final report submitted by the Hearing Officer, as of 28 May 2018, provides a comprehensive analysis of the entire process, shedding light on the intricacies of the merger, the commitments made by the Parties, and the subsequent approval of BASF as the purchaser of the divested assets[4].

Trustee's Opinion and Compliance Assessment: The Trustee, appointed to oversee the implementation of commitments and divestments, submitted a detailed opinion on 28 May 2018. This opinion critically evaluated whether Bayer adhered to the stipulated commitments and whether BASF was a suitable and compliant purchaser.

The assessment encompassed a thorough scrutiny of the divestment packages, including the BASF Divestment Package and the Vegetable Seeds Divestment Business. Economic Implications and Global Market Dynamics:

Beyond the legal and procedural aspects, the case holds significant economic implications. The merger of two major entities, Bayer and Monsanto, not only impacts the European market but reverberates globally.

The integration of agricultural technologies, seed portfolios, and associated assets prompts an analysis of how this consolidation influences market dynamics, competition, and innovation in the broader context of the agrochemical and biotechnological sectors. Post-Approval Monitoring and Compliance Measures:

With the approval of BASF as the purchaser, ongoing monitoring and compliance measures become paramount. The Commission, in collaboration with the Trustee, is tasked with ensuring the continued adherence to commitments and divestments[4]. • Continuous scrutiny of evolving market conditions, the competitive landscape, and the performance of divested assets forms an integral part of post-approval oversight.

The thorough exploration of Case M.8084 – Bayer/Monsanto requires an interdisciplinary approach, intertwining legal, economic, and procedural dimensions. The comprehensive analysis extends beyond the approval stage, delving into the intricacies of post-approval monitoring and the broader global implications of this significant merger. Bayer acquired Monsanto for $63 billion in 2018 after a tough buyout battle and intense antitrust scrutiny. The German conglomerate’s market cap in Frankfurt today is close to that dollar amount—and that’s after rumors of an $8 billion Roundup settlement drove up its shares by more than 15% in early August. At a 30% loss of share value since it closed, the deal stands as one of the worst, sitting alongside AOL’s merger with Time Warner and Bank of America’s acquisition of Countrywide, The Wall Street Journal has found. The Monsanto takeover, championed by CEO Werner Baumann, sure boosted Bayer’s crop science business. But the original idea was that it wouldn’t hamper the company’s ability to make investments on the pharma side, which has been Bayer's growth engine for years.

Legal Actions:

Competition Law Perspective: Regulatory authorities meticulously assessed the impact of the merger on competition within seed and pesticide markets. Striking a nuanced balance between fostering innovation and mitigating market concentration assumed critical importance.

ESG Perspective: Ensuring sustainable agricultural practices stood out as a prominent consideration in alignment with overarching ESG goals. The merger's implications on biodiversity, soil health, and pesticide use emerged as pivotal focal points. Implications: This case accentuated the necessity for comprehensive assessments extending

beyond market share considerations. The role of competition law must encompass a holistic evaluation of environmental and social ramifications, marking a departure from traditional market-centric evaluations.

Legal actions situated at the confluence of competition law, ESG considerations, and environmental protection are intricate and multifaceted. As corporations navigate these complexities, policymakers, regulatory bodies, and legal practitioners are tasked with the arduous challenge of orchestrating a nuanced balance—one that not only propels innovation and safeguards competitive integrity but also ensures environmental sustainability. The aforementioned cases furnish valuable insights into the evolving landscape at this intricate intersection (Piscitelli, 2019, p.9).

One illustrative case involves collaborative efforts among competitors to address environmental challenges, such as reducing carbon emissions or adopting sustainable supply chain practices. While these initiatives align with ESG goals, competition law scrutiny arises to assess the impact on market competition. Analyzing the legal responses and outcomes of such cases contributes to an understanding of how competition law navigates collaborations aimed at environmental protection within the framework of fair competition.

Another noteworthy case study involves companies facing legal actions for potentially misleading green marketing practices. As businesses leverage environmental messaging to appeal to eco-conscious consumers, competition law intervenes to prevent deceptive practices that could mislead or create unfair advantages. Examining the legal considerations in such cases provides insights into how competition law safeguards market fairness while addressing the growing importance of transparent and accurate environmental communication.

The European Commission's imposition of fines involves several determinations. Firstly, it must establish that the infringement was committed either intentionally or negligently. Secondly, since antitrust prohibitions apply to undertakings but fine-related decisions are directed at legal persons, the Commission must ascertain the imputability of the infringement to the legal entities facing fines. Thirdly, findings are required concerning the duration and gravity of the infringement.

Regarding the gravity of the infringement, the Court of Justice's case law mandates the Commission to consider objective factors. These factors include the nature of the anti-competitive conduct, the number and intensity of incidents, the scope of the affected market, damage to economic public order, and the relative importance and market share of

the responsible undertakings, including any repeated infringements. For transparency, the Commission has established Guidelines outlining the basis on which it evaluates various aspects of the infringement and how this influences the fine's amount (Nowag, 2022, p.37).

Additionally, the Commission has issued a Leniency Notice, offering, under specific conditions, immunity from fines or reductions to undertakings aiding the Commission in uncovering and proving secret cartel infringements. In a fine-imposing decision, the Commission must thus determine whether and to what extent the factors outlined in its Guidelines and the conditions specified in its Leniency Notice are met. Finally, the Commission must establish the undertaking's total turnover in the preceding business year, as the fine is capped at 10% of that amount.

Cases where companies incorporate ESG criteria into their corporate strategies and face legal challenges within the realm of competition law offer valuable insights. The delicate balance between promoting responsible business practices and preventing anti-competitive behavior becomes evident. Analyzing the legal nuances in these cases contributes to a nuanced understanding of how competition law adapts to the evolving landscape of corporate conduct influenced by ESG considerations.

Legal actions involving sustainability certifications and labels offer another perspective. When companies face allegations of misusing or misrepresenting such certifications, competition law becomes a regulatory tool to ensure fair market practices. Assessing how competition law addresses issues related to the misuse of sustainability certifications provides insights into the legal considerations surrounding transparent communication of sustainable practices in the market.

In cases where companies integrate ESG criteria into their governance structures, legal scrutiny may arise to ensure compliance with competition law principles (Peeperkorn, 2020, p.14). Examining instances where governance practices aligning with ESG goals intersect with competition law offers valuable lessons on how regulatory frameworks adapt to evolving corporate governance standards.

These case studies collectively contribute to a deeper understanding of the intricate relationships between competition law, ESG principles, and environmental protection. They showcase the challenges businesses face in navigating these intersections and highlight the evolving legal landscape that seeks to balance the promotion of sustainable practices with the preservation of fair market competition. As businesses grapple with the complexities of integrating ESG criteria into their operations, the insights gained from these case studies provide valuable lessons for both legal practitioners and corporate decision-

makers operating at the intersection of competition law, ESG, and environmental protection.

Conclusions

In summary, this master thesis presents a thorough examination of the intricate interrelationship between sustainability and competition law within the European Union (EU). The growing significance of this intersection is evident in the pressing need to address sustainability challenges, such as climate change, inequality, and responsible consumption, within the regulatory framework of competition law. The nuanced balance required to concurrently foster fair markets and tackle environmental and social issues has been underscored throughout the study.

The analysis highlights the evolving landscape of competition law in response to modern tendencies. The European Green Deal, as a paramount policy framework, outlines the transformation of Europe into a climate-neutral continent. It articulates the necessity for businesses to align their practices with sustainability objectives, positioning competition law as a key instrument in operationalizing this imperative.

Furthermore, the study emphasizes the shifting dynamics within competition law, recognizing the confluence of efficiency gains with sustainability objectives. While consumer welfare has traditionally been the primary focus, there is a growing acknowledgment that economic efficiency and sustainability goals are not inherently contradictory. This recognition prompts the exploration of innovative methodologies to harmonize these seemingly disparate objectives.

The discourse on cooperation for sustainability provides critical insights into the collaborative imperative for businesses to achieve sustainability targets. However, the tension between such collaboration and adherence to competition rules necessitates the establishment of clear guidelines. The variance in approaches across EU Member States underscores the need for consistent and universally applicable frameworks governing cooperative endeavors in the pursuit of sustainability.

The constitutional approach, as elucidated in the study, emphasizes the integration principle embedded in EU treaties. This constitutional basis supports the incorporation of sustainability considerations into competition law, recognizing the interdependence of economic prosperity and environmental stewardship.

In conclusion, as the global paradigm shifts towards a more sustainable trajectory, the study underscores the imperative for competition law to adapt and integrate contemporary tendencies. By fostering a harmonious coexistence of economic efficiency, consumer welfare, and environmental stewardship, competition law can contribute to

shaping a more resilient and responsible global marketplace. This evolution is crucial for addressing the challenges posed by climate change and environmental degradation in the pursuit of a sustainable and competitive future.

List of Sources

Legal acts:

1. International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (1966). [1976] 993 UNTS 3;
2. Council Regulation (EC) No 1/2003 of 16 December 2002 on the implementation of the rules on competition laid down in Articles 81 and 82 of the Treaty (Text with EEA relevance) (2003). OJ L 1, 04.01.2003, 1–25. URL: https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32003R0001&qid=1620641776594;
3. Guidelines on the Application of Article 81(3) of the Treaty' [2004] OJ C101/08 at para 13; 'Guidance on the Commission's enforcement priorities in applying Article 82 of the EC Treaty to abusive exclusionary conduct by dominant undertakings' [2009] OJ C45/02 at paras 5–7;
4. Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (1979). [1981] 1249 UNTS 13;
5. European Convention of Human Rights (1950). [1953] 213 UNTS 221;
6. The Competition Act, 2012 (IN), s 54;
7. Treaty on European Union (2007). [2009] C 326/1, p. 1 – 390;
8. Council Regulation No 17 [1962] OJ 13/204 (Special English Edition 1959-62, p 87);
9. European Parliament. Legislative resolution on the proposal for a Council directive amending Directive 2006/112/EC as regards an optional and temporary application of the reverse charge mechanism in relation to supplies of certain goods and services susceptible to fraud (2010). No. COM(2009)0511 – C7-0210/2009 – 2009/0139(CNS);
10. European Parliament. Resolution on the 25th anniversary of the International Conference on Population and Development (ICPD25) (Nairobi Summit) (2019/2850(RSP)) (2021). No. 2022/C 81/05, p. 315.;
11. Competition Act 1998 (Act No. 89 of 1998) (SA).

Special literature:

1. Ariel Ezrachi, Sponge, Journal of Antitrust Enforcement, Volume 5, Issue 1, April 2017, Pages 49–75, https://doi.org/10.1093/jaenfo/jnw011;

2. Committee of Competition and Markets Authority (CMA), 'Regulated Industries: Guidance on Concurrent Application of Competition Law to Regulated Industries' CMA10, available at: accessed 17 June 2016; Angelene Duke, 'Concurrency: Is it the dawn of a new day?' Kluwer Competition Law Blog (13 January 2014) available at: accessed 17 June 2016;

3. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, The Objectives of Competition Law and Policy (29 January 2003) CCNM/GF/COMP (2003)3, paras 20–21, accessed 17 June 2016; The ICN Report (May 2007);

4. William E Kovacic, 'Institutional Foundations for Economic Legal Reform Transition Economies: The Case of Competition Policy and Antitrust Enforcement' (2001) 77 Chicago-Kent L Rev 265, 314; Michael Gal, Competition Policy for Small Market Economies (Harvard University Press 2003);

5. Boiar, A. O. (2008). Commercial and economic relations between Ukraine and the EU: external trade and competition regulation in terms of the common market / ed. M. Boitsun et al. Kyiv: Millenium, 384 p. (in Ukrainian);

6. Debra McCoy, Sustainability and ESG in 2023, https://www.bain.com/insights/sustainability-and-esg-in-2023/:

7. Costa-Cabral, F. (2018). Innovation in EU Competition Law: The Resource-Based View and Disruption. Yearbook of European Law, 37, 305–343. DOI: 10.1093/yel/yey019:

8. Ibáñez Colomo, P. (2018). Competition Law and Innovation: Where Do We Stand? Journal of European Competition Law & Practice, 9(9), 561–562. DOI: 10.1093/jeclap/lpy074:

9. Starostenko, H. H., Podsiadlo, L. P., Kvasnytska, R. S. (2009). Contemporary forms and prospects of cooperation between Ukraine and the European Union member states. Natsionalne hospodarstvo Ukrainy: teoriia ta praktyka upravlinnia, 46–54. (in Ukrainian);

10. Hannah L Buxbaum, 'German Legal Culture and the Globalisation of Competition Law: A Historical Perspective on the Expansion of Private Antitrust Enforcement' (2005) 23 Berkley J Intl L 101, 106;

11. Katalin J Cseres, Competition Law and Consumer Protection (Kluwer Law International 2005) 92–94;

12. David J Gerber, ‘Constitutionalising the Economy: German Neo-liberalism, Competition Law and the “New” Europe’ (1994) 42 Am J Comp L 25, 64;

13. Pinar Akman, ‘Searching for the Long-Lost Soul of Article 82 EC’ (2009) 29 OJLS 267;

14. Freund, P.A. (1983). Storms over the Supreme Court. American Bar Association Journal, Vol. 69, No. 10, p. 1474-1480 [interactive]. Available at: https://www.jstor.org/stable/20756487#metadata_info_tab_contents [accessed 25 November 2022];

15. Steven C Salop, ‘Question: What is the Real and Proper Antitrust Welfare Standard?: Answer: The True Consumer Welfare Standard’ (2010), Loyola Consumer L Rev 336;

16. Douglas H Ginsburg, ‘Judge Bork, Consumer Welfare and Antitrust Law’ (2008) 31 Harvard J L & Public Policy 449;

17. Robert H Lande, ‘Chicago’s False Foundation: Wealth Transfers (Not Just Efficiency) Should Guide Antitrust’ (1989–90) 58 Antitrust L J 631;

18. Frederic M Scherer, ‘A Century of Competition Policy Enforcement’ in Chao Yang Ching (ed), International and Comparative Competition Laws and Policies (Kluwer Law International 2001) 7–9;

19. Robert T Pitofsky, ‘Antitrust at the Turn of the Twenty-First Century: A View from the Middle’ (2002) 76 St John’s L Rev 583; Gerber (n 27) 122–24.

20. Xiaoye Wang, ‘The New Chinese Anti-Monopoly Law: a Survey of a Work in Progress’ (2009) 54 Antitrust Bulletin 579, 584;

21. Hao Qian, ‘The Multiple Hands: Institutional Dynamics of China’s Competition Regime’ in Adrian Emch and David Stallibrass (eds), China’s AntiMonopoly Law: The First Five Years (Kluwer Law International 2013);

22. Roger D Blair and D Daniel Sokol, ‘Introduction’ in Rogar D Blair and D Daniel Sokol (eds), The Oxford Handbook of Antitrust Economics vol 1 (OUP 2015) xiii;

23. Karst, K.L. (1977). Foreword-Equal Citizenshop Under the Fourteenth Amendment. *Harvard Law Review*. Vol. 91, No. 1, p. 1-68 [interactive]. Available at: https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/1340515.pdf [accessed 18 September 2022];

24. Robert H Bork Antitrust Paradox: A Policy at War with Itself (1978); Herbert Hovenkamp Antitrust Enterprise: Principle and Execution (2005);

25. J Gregory Sidak and David J Teece, ‘Dynamic Competition in Antitrust Law’ (2009) 5(4) J Comp L & Econ 581;

26. Regan, D.H. (1979). Rewriting Roe v. Wade. *Mich. L. Rev.* 77, p. 1569-1646 [interactive]. Available at: https://repository.law.umich.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1344&context=articles [accessed 26 November 2022];

27. Stucke (n 64), 609; Maurice E Stucke, 'Occupy Wall Street and Antitrust' (2013) 85 Southern California L Rev Postscript 33;

28. Michael E Porter and Mark R Kramer, 'Creating Shared Value: How to Reinvent Capitalism and Unleash a Wave of Innovation and Growth' [2011] Harvard Bus Rev 89;

29. Temme, L. Why Was Roe v. Wade Overturned? [interactive] (modified 6 July 2022) Available at: https://supreme.findlaw.com/supreme-court-insights/couldroe-v--wade-be-overturned-.html#relatedcases [accessed 1 November 2022];

30. Simon Bishop, 'Snake-Oil with Mathematics is Still Snake-Oil: Why Recent Trends in the Application of so-called "Sophisticated" Economics is Hindering Good Competition Policy Enforcement' (2013) 9 Eur Comp J 67, 72;

31. WHO Commission on Social Determinants of Health (2008). *Closing the Gap in a Generation: Health Equity through Action on the Social Determinants of Health – Final Report of the Commission on Social Determinants of Health* [interactive]

Available at: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-IER-CSDH-08.1 [accessed 27 October 2022];

32. Simon Holmes, Climate change, sustainability, and competition law, Journal of Antitrust Enforcement, Volume 8, Issue 2, July 2020, Pages 354–405, https://doi.org/10.1093/jaenfo/jnaa006;

33. Arnold and Porter LLP, The Application of EU Competition Law to the Adoption of the Living Wage Standard (Legal Opinion for the Fair Wear Foundation);

34. Thomas J Horton, 'Fairness and Antitrust Reconsidered: An Evolutionary Perspective' (2014) 44 McGeorge Law Review 823–64;

35. The Sustainable Development Goals ('SDGs') are a collection of 17 global goals agreed by the UN General Assembly in 2015 for 2030;

36. Nowag, Julian; Teorell, Alexandra. - Beyond Balancing :Sustainability and Competition Law. In: Concurrences. 2022 ; Vol. 2020, No. 4. pp. 34-39;

37. The application of EU competition law to Dutch private sustainability initiatives Sophie van der Velden Snr. 2007433;

38. Peeperkorn, L. (2020), “Competition and sustainability: What can competition policy do?”, Concurrences 4;

39. Piscitelli, G. (2018/19), “The Sustainability Dilemma in Competition Law”, Vol. 8/1, https://ecdpm.org/great-insights/civil-society-business-same-direction/sustainability dilemma-competition;

40. Shapiro, C. (2002), Competition Policy and Innovation, OECD Publishing, https://faculty.haas.berkeley.edu/shapiro/oecd.pdf;

41. Lundqvist, B., Joint Research and Development under US Antitrust and EU Competition Law: New Horizons in Competition Law and Economics (Edward Elgar Publishing, Cheltenham 2015) 164, 165 87 Commission (EC) DSD [2001] OJ L319/1, para 144;

42. Monti, G., Mulder, J., ‘Escaping the Clutches of EU Competition Law: Pathways to Assess Private Sustainability Initiatives’ (2017) 5 ELR 635;

43. Frenz, W., Handbook of EU Competition Law (Springer 2016) 419- 423;

44. Wils, Wouter P. J., The Compatibility with Fundamental Rights of the EU Antitrust Enforcement System in Which the European Commission Acts Both as Investigator and as First-Instance Decision Maker (December 3, 2013) http://ssrn.com/author=456087 ;

45. Matos, Pedro, ESG and Responsible Institutional Investing Around the World: A Critical Review (August 7, 2020). CFA Institute Research Foundation Literature Reviews;

46. B. Ritholtz «Stakeholder Capitalism Will Fail If It’s Just Talk», Bloomberg Opinion, 21 august 2019;

47. Arjen van Witteloostuijn, Wesley Kaufmann - The Ecology of EU Competition Law: A Quantitative Analysis of Rule Dynamics and Rule Stock - Erasmus Law Review, Vol. 4, No. 3, 2012;

48. Amel-Zadeh, A., and G. Serafeim. 2018. Why and How Investors Use ESG Information: Evidence from a Global Survey. Financial Analysts Journal 74 (3): 87–103;

49. Zureick, A. (2015). (En)gendering Suffering: Denial of Abortion As a Form of Cruel, Inhuman, or Degrading Treatment. *Fordham International Law Journal*, Vol. 38:99, p. 99-141 [interactive]. Available at: https://www.corteidhor.cr/tablas/r33546.pdf [accessed 3 October 2022];

50. Anna Gerbrandy. Solving a Sustainability-Deficit in European Competition Law - World Competition, Volume 40, Issue 4 (2017) pp. 539 – 562, https://doi.org/10.54648/woco2017035;

51. Jurgita Malinauskaite. Competition Law and Sustainability: EU and National Perspectives Journal of European Competition Law & Practice, Volume 13, Issue 5, July 2022, Pages 336–348, https://doi.org/10.1093/jeclap/lpac003;

52. The concept used by Prof Kingston. Suzanne Kingston, Greening EU Competition Law and Policy (Cambridge University Press 2011);

53. Margrethe Vestager, 'Competition policy in support of the Green Deal' (the 25th IBA Competition Conference, 10 September 2021), available at: Competition policy in support of the Green Deal | European Commission (europa.eu);

54. Tom Kuhlman and John Farrington, 'What is Sustainability?' (2010) 2:11 Sustainability 3336;

55. Ben Purvis, Yong Mao and Darren Robinson, 'Three Pillars of Sustainability: in Search of Conceptual Origins' (2018) 14 Sustainability Science 681, available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11625-018-0627-5;

56. Anna Gerbrandy, 'Changing Competition Law in a Changing European Union: The Constitutional Challenges of Competition Law' (2019) 14:1 The Competition Law Review 33;

57. Milan Ščasný and Vojtěch Máca, 'Market-Based Instruments in CEE Countries: Much Ado about Nothing' in Laura Castellucci and Anil Markandya (eds), Environmental Taxes and Fiscal Reform. Central Issues in Contemporary Economic Theory and Policy (Palgrave Macmillan 2012), 57–89;

58. European Commission, 'Antitrust: Commission Fines Car Manufacturers €875 Million for Restricting Competition in Emission Cleaning for New Diesel Passenger Cars' (Press Release, 8 July 2021), available at: Antitrust: Commission fines car manufacturers (europa.eu);

59. T Van Dijk. Journal of Network Industries, 93-111, 2001. 11, 2001. A new approach to assess certain sustainability agreements under competition law;

60. Markus W. Gehring. Competition for Sustainability: Sustainable Development Concerns in National and EC Competition Law, 06 September 2006, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9388.2006.00519.x;

61. Giorgio Monti, Jotte Mulder. Escaping the Clutches of EU Competition Law: Pathways to Assess Private Sustainability Initiatives;

62. Viktoria H S E Robertson. Sustainability: A World-First Green Exemption in Austrian Competition Law Get access Arrow, Journal of European Competition Law & Practice, Volume 13, Issue 6, September 2022, Pages 426–434, https://doi.org/10.1093/jeclap/lpab092;

63. Oles Andriychuk. The Concept o Published by: Wydawnictwo Naukowe Wydziału Zarządzania Uniwersytetu Warszawskiego;

64. Suzanne Kingston. Introduction to Competition Law, Climate Change and Environmental Sustainability Forthcoming, Competition Law, Climate Change & Environmental Sustainability (eds. Holmes, Snoep & Middelschulte, Concurrences, 2021) UCD Working Papers in Law, Criminology & Socio-Legal Studies Research Paper Forthcoming.

Case law:

1. Cases C-501/06 P etc GlaxoSmithKline Services Unlimited v Commission and Others [2009] ECR I-9291, Paragraph 63;

2. Council Regulation (EC) 1/2003 on the Implementation of the Rules on Competition Laid Down in arts 81 and 82 of the Treaty [2003] OJ L1/1, Recital 9;

3. Commission (EC), 'Green Paper on Vertical Restraints in EC Competition Policy' COM(96) 721 final, para 180; Case C-8/ 08 T-Mobile Netherlands and Others [2009] ECR I-4529, paras 31, 36, 38–39;

4. Ford/Volkswagen (Case IV/33.814) Commission Decision no 93/49/EEC [1993] OJ L 20/1993, 14-22, (Recital 36);

5. Judgment of the Court of Justice of 14 January 1997 in Case C-169/95 Spain v Commission [1997] ECR I-135, paragraph 34, and Judgment of the General Court of 20 March 2013 in Case T-92/11 Andersen v Commission, not yet reported in ECR, paragraph 58;

6. Judgment of the Court of Justice of 15 February 2005 in Case C-12/03 P Commission v Tetra Laval [2005] ECR I-1047, paragraph 38;

7. Judgment of the Court of Justice of 29 June 2010 in Case C-441/07 P Commission v Alrosa [2010] ECR I-5949, paragraphs 59-67, and Opinion of Advocate General Kokott of 17 September 2009 in the same case, paragraphs 80-85;

8. Judgment of the Court of Justice (Grand Chamber) of 6 November 2012 in Case C-199/11 Europese Gemeenschap v Otis and Others, not yet reported in ECR.ECLI:CE:ECHR:1989:0707JUD001403888;

9. Judgments of the Court of Justice of 10 September 2009 in Case C-97/08 P Akzo Nobel v Commission [2009] ECR I-8237, of 19 July 2012 in Joined Cases C-628/10 P and C-14/11 P Alliance One v Commission, not yet reported in ECR, and Opinions of Advocate General Kokott in those cases;

10. Judgment of the Court of Justice of 8 February 2007 in Case C-3/06 P Danone v Commission [2007] ECR I-1331, paragraph 47; see further my paper 'Recidivism in EU Antitrust Enforcement: A Legal and Economic Analysis' (2012) 35 World Competition 5;

11. Cases AT.39600 Refrigeration Compressors, AT.39611 Water Management Products *and AT.39748 Automotive Wire Harnesses.*

Summary

This master thesis undertakes a comprehensive exploration of the intricate interplay between sustainability imperatives and competition law within the European Union (EU). The increasing relevance of this intersection is underscored by the pressing need to address sustainability challenges, encompassing issues such as climate change, resource depletion, and responsible consumption, all within the regulatory confines of competition law. Throughout the study, the thesis consistently highlights the delicate equilibrium required to simultaneously foster fair markets and confront environmental and social concerns.

Key points emerge in the analysis, with a particular emphasis on the transformative impact of the European Green Deal. This ambitious policy framework not only seeks to propel Europe toward climate neutrality but also mandates that businesses realign their practices with sustainability objectives. Consequently, competition law assumes a pivotal role as a mechanism to operationalize and enforce this imperative.

In tandem, the study sheds light on the evolving dynamics within competition law, emphasizing the confluence of efficiency gains with sustainability objectives. Traditionally, consumer welfare has been the predominant focus, but there is a growing acknowledgment that economic efficiency and sustainability goals can coexist. This recognition prompts a call for innovative methodologies to reconcile and harmonize these seemingly disparate objectives.

Collaboration for sustainability emerges as a significant theme, as businesses often need to cooperate to achieve sustainability targets. However, the tension between such collaboration and adherence to competition rules necessitates the establishment of clear and consistent guidelines. The variance in approaches across EU Member States accentuates the urgency for universally applicable frameworks governing cooperative endeavors in the pursuit of sustainability.

The constitutional approach, rooted in the integration principle of EU treaties, provides a foundational basis for incorporating sustainability considerations into competition law. This constitutional perspective underscores the interconnectedness of economic prosperity and environmental stewardship, asserting that one cannot thrive at the expense of the other.

In summary, this thesis contributes to the scholarly discourse by synthesizing insights derived from contemporary tendencies within the intersection of sustainability and competition law. As the global landscape continues to evolve, the study underscores the imperative for competition law to adapt and integrate these tendencies. By fostering a

harmonious coexistence of economic efficiency, consumer welfare, and environmental stewardship, competition law can play a pivotal role in shaping a more resilient and responsible global marketplace. This evolution is indispensable for effectively addressing the multifaceted challenges posed by climate change and environmental degradation in the pursuit of a sustainable and competitive future.