



**Hany Gerges, Antanas Laurinčikas <b>D** and Renata Macaitiene  $*$  **D** 

Institute of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, Vilnius University, Naugarduko Str. 24, LT-03225 Vilnius, Lithuania; hany.gerges@mif.stud.vu.lt (H.G.); antanas.laurincikas@mif.vu.lt (A.L.) **\*** Correspondence: renata.macaitiene@sa.vu.lt; Tel.: +370-699-66-080

**Abstract:** In the paper, we prove a joint limit theorem in terms of the weak convergence of probability measures on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  defined by means of the Epstein  $\zeta(s; Q)$  and Hurwitz  $\zeta(s, \alpha)$  zeta-functions. The limit measure in the theorem is explicitly given. For this, some restrictions on the matrix *Q* and the parameter *α* are required. The theorem obtained extends and generalizes the Bohr-Jessen results characterising the asymptotic behaviour of the Riemann zeta-function.

**Keywords:** Dirichlet *L*-function; Epstein zeta-function; Hurwitz zeta-function; limit theorem; Haar probability measure; weak convergence

**MSC:** 11M46; 11M06

#### **1. Introduction**

Let  $\mathbb{P}$ ,  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$ , as usual, denote the sets of primes, positive integers, nonnegative integers, integers, real, and complex numbers, respectively,  $s = \sigma + it$  a complex variable,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , *Q* a positive-defined  $n \times n$  matrix, and  $Q[x] = x^T Q x$  for  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . In [\[1\]](#page-13-0), Epstein considered a problem to find a zeta-function as general as possible and having a functional equation of the Riemann type. For  $\sigma > \frac{n}{2}$ , he defined the function

$$
\zeta(s;Q) = \sum_{\underline{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{\underline{0}\}} (Q[\underline{x}])^{-s}.
$$

Now, this function is called the Epstein zeta-function. It is analytically continuable to the whole complex plane, except for a simple pole at the point  $s = \frac{n}{2}$  with residue

$$
\frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})\sqrt{detQ}'}
$$

where  $\Gamma(s)$  is the Euler gamma-function. Epstein also proved that the function  $\zeta(s; Q)$ satisfies the functional equation

$$
\pi^{-s}\Gamma(s)\zeta(s;Q) = \sqrt{\det Q} \pi^{s-\frac{n}{2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} - s\right)\zeta\left(\frac{n}{2} - s;Q\right)
$$

for all  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ .

It turned out that the Epstein zeta-function is an important object in number theory, with a series of practical applications, for example, in crystallography [\[2\]](#page-13-1) and mathematical physics, more precisely, in quantum field theory and the Wheeler–DeWitt equation [\[3,](#page-13-2)[4\]](#page-13-3).

The value distribution of *ζ*(*s*; *Q*), like that of other zeta-functions, is complicated, and has been studied by many authors including Hecke [\[5\]](#page-13-4), Selberg [\[6\]](#page-13-5), Iwaniec [\[7\]](#page-13-6), Bateman  $[8]$ , Fomenko  $[9]$ , and Pańkowski and Nakamura  $[10]$ . In Refs.  $[11,12]$  $[11,12]$ , the characterisation of the asymptotic behaviour of *ζ*(*s*; *Q*) was given in terms of probabilistic limit theorems. The latter approach for the Riemann zeta-function

$$
\zeta(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^s}, \quad \sigma > 1,
$$

check for updates

Citation: Gerges, H.; Laurinčikas, A.; Macaitienė, R. A Joint Limit Theorem for Epstein and Hurwitz Zeta-Functions. *Mathematics* **2024**, *12*, 1922. [https://doi.org/10.3390/math](https://doi.org/10.3390/math12131922) [12131922](https://doi.org/10.3390/math12131922)

Received: 3 June 2024 Revised: 14 June 2024 Accepted: 18 June 2024 Published: 21 June 2024



**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)  $4.0/$ ).



was proposed by Bohr in [\[13\]](#page-13-12), and realised in [\[14,](#page-13-13)[15\]](#page-14-0). Denote by  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{X})$  the Borel  $\sigma$ -field of the space X, and by meas*A* the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ . For  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C})$ , define

$$
P_{T,\sigma}^Q(A) = \frac{1}{T} \text{meas}\{t \in [0,T] : \zeta(\sigma + it; Q) \in A\}.
$$

Under the restrictions that  $Q[\underline{x}] \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$ , and  $n \geq 4$  is even, it was shown [\[11\]](#page-13-10) that  $P_{\tau}^{\mathcal{Q}}$  $T_{\tau,\sigma}^{Q}$ , for  $\sigma > \frac{n-1}{2}$ , converges weakly to an explicitly given probability measure  $P^Q_\sigma$  as  $T\to\infty.$  The discrete version of the latter theorem was given in [\[12\]](#page-13-11).

The above restrictions on the matrix *Q* and [\[9\]](#page-13-8) imply the decomposition

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
\zeta(s; Q) = \zeta(s; E_Q) + \zeta(s; F_Q)
$$
\n(1)

with the zeta-function *ζ*(*s*; *EQ*) of a certain Eisenstein series, and the zeta-function *ζ*(*s*; *FQ*) of a certain cusp form.

Let *χ* be a Dirichlet character modulo *q*, and

$$
L(s,\chi)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{\chi(m)}{m^s}, \quad \sigma>1,
$$

the corresponding Dirichlet *L*-function having analytic continuation to the whole complex plane if  $\chi$  is a non-principal character, and except for a simple pole at the point  $s = 1$  if  $\chi$  is the principal character. Then,  $(1)$  and  $[5,7]$  $[5,7]$  lead to the representation

$$
\zeta(s;Q) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \frac{a_{kl}}{k^{s}l^{s}} L(s,\chi_{k}) L(s - \frac{n}{2} + 1, \hat{\chi}_{l}) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{Q}(m)}{m^{s}},
$$
(2)

where  $\chi_k$  and  $\hat{\chi}_l$  are Dirichlet characters,  $a_{kl} \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ , and the series with coefficients *b*<sub>*Q*</sub>(*m*) converges absolutely in the half-plane  $\sigma > \frac{n-1}{2}$ . Thus, the investigation of the function *ζ*(*s*; *Q*) reduces to that of Dirichlet *L*-functions which, for *σ* > 1, have the Euler product

$$
L(s,\chi)=\prod_{p\in\mathbb{P}}\biggl(1-\frac{\chi(p)}{p^s}\biggr)^{-1}.
$$

Our aim is to describe in probabilistic terms the joint asymptotic behaviour of the function *ζ*(*s*; *Q*) and a zeta-function having no Euler product over primes. For this, the most suitable function is the classical Hurwitz zeta-function. Let  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  be a fixed parameter. The Hurwitz zeta-function  $\zeta(s, \alpha)$  was introduced in [\[16\]](#page-14-1), and is defined, for  $\sigma > 1$ , by

$$
\zeta(s,\alpha)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(m+\alpha)^s}.
$$

Moreover, *ζ*(*s*, *α*) has analytic continuation to the whole complex plane, except for a simple pole at the point  $s = 1$  with residue 1,  $\zeta(s, 1) = \zeta(s)$ , and

$$
\zeta\left(s,\frac{1}{2}\right)=\zeta(s)(2^s-1).
$$

The analytic properties of the function  $\zeta(s, \alpha)$  depend on the arithmetic nature of the parameter *α*. Some probabilistic limit theorems for the function *ζ*(*s*, *α*) can be found, for example, in [\[17\]](#page-14-2).

The statement of a joint limit theorem for the functions  $\zeta(s; Q)$  and  $\zeta(s, \alpha)$  requires some notation. Denote two tori

$$
\Omega_1 = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \{s \in \mathbb{C} : |s| = 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_2 = \prod_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0} \{s \in \mathbb{C} : |s| = 1\}.
$$

With the product topology and pointwise multiplication,  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  are compact topological Abelian groups. Therefore,

$$
\Omega=\Omega_1\times\Omega_2
$$

again is a compact topological group. Hence, on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega))$ , the Haar probability measure  $m_H$  exists, and we have the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), m_H)$ . Denote the elements of  $\Omega$  by  $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2)$ , where  $\omega_1 = (\omega_1(p) : p \in \mathbb{P}) \in \Omega_1$  and  $\omega_2 = (\omega_2(m) : m \in \mathbb{N}_0) \in \Omega_2$ , and, on the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), m_H)$  define, for  $\sigma_1 > \frac{n-1}{2}$  and  $\sigma_2 > \frac{1}{2}$ , the  $\mathbb{C}^2$ -valued random element

$$
\underline{\zeta}(\underline{\sigma}, \omega, \alpha; Q) = (\zeta(\sigma_1, \omega_1; Q), \zeta(\sigma_2, \omega_2, \alpha)),
$$

where  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ ,

$$
\zeta(\sigma_1, \omega_1; Q) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \frac{a_{kl} \omega_1(k) \omega_1(l)}{k^{\sigma_1} l^{\sigma_1}} L(\sigma_1, \omega_1, \chi_k) L(\sigma_1 - \frac{n}{2} + 1, \omega_1, \hat{\chi}_l) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_Q(m) \omega_1(m)}{m^{\sigma_1}},
$$

with

$$
L(\sigma_1, \omega_1, \chi_k) = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \left( 1 - \frac{\chi_k(p)\omega_1(p)}{p^{\sigma_1}} \right)^{-1},
$$
  

$$
L(\sigma_1 - \frac{n}{2} + 1, \omega_1, \hat{\chi}_l) = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \left( 1 - \frac{\hat{\chi}_l(p)\omega_1(p)}{p^{\sigma_1 - \frac{n}{2} + 1}} \right)^{-1},
$$
  

$$
\omega_1(m) = \prod_{\substack{p^r \mid m \\ p^{r+1} \nmid m}} \omega_1^r(p), \quad m \in \mathbb{N},
$$

and

$$
\zeta(\sigma_2, \alpha, \omega_2) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_2(m)}{(m+\alpha)^{\sigma_2}}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.
$$

Let

$$
L(\mathbb{P}, \alpha) = \{ (\log p : p \in \mathbb{P}), (\log(m + \alpha) : m \in \mathbb{N}_0) \}.
$$

Moreover, denote by *P Q*,*α ζ*,*σ* the distribution of the random element *ζ*(*σ*, *ω*, *α*; *Q*), i.e.,

$$
P_{\underline{\zeta},\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha}(A) = m_H\Big\{\omega \in \Omega : \underline{\zeta}(\underline{\sigma},\omega,\alpha;Q) \in A\Big\}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2).
$$

The main result of the paper is the following joint limit theorem of Bohr–Jessen type for the functions *ζ*(*s*; *Q*) and *ζ*(*s*, *α*).

For brevity, we set

$$
\underline{\zeta}(\underline{\sigma}+it,\alpha;Q)=(\zeta(\sigma_1+it;Q),\zeta(\sigma_2+it,\alpha)).
$$

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that the set L*(P, *α*) *is linearly independent over the field of rational numbers* Q, and  $\sigma_1 > \frac{n-1}{2}$ ,  $\sigma_2 > \frac{1}{2}$ . Then,

$$
P_{T,\underline{\zeta},\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha}(A) = \frac{1}{T} \text{meas}\Big\{ t \in [0,T] : \underline{\zeta}(\underline{\sigma} + it, \alpha; Q) \in A \Big\}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2),
$$

 $\alpha$  *converges weakly to the measure*  $P_{\zeta,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha}$  *as*  $T\rightarrow\infty.$ 

For example, if the parameter  $\alpha$  is transcendental, then the set  $L(\mathbb{P}, \alpha)$  is linearly independent over Q.

It should be emphasised that the requirements on the matrix *Q* are related to a possibility of representation of non-negative integers by the quadratic form  $x^TQx$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ .

Let *r*(*m*), *m*  $\in$  N<sub>0</sub> denotes the number of  $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  that  $\underline{x}^T Q \underline{x} = m$ . Then, for even  $n \geq 4$ , the theta-series

$$
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} r(m) e^{2\pi i m s}
$$

can be expressed as a sum of an Eisenstein series and a cusp form [\[9\]](#page-13-8), and this leads to the representation [\(1\)](#page-1-0). Moreover, the requirement on the linear independence over  $\mathbb Q$  of the set  $L(\mathbb{P}, \alpha)$  is necessary for the identification of the limit measure in Theorem [1.](#page-2-0) This restriction for  $\alpha$  is used essentially in the proofs of Lemmas [1](#page-3-0) and [5,](#page-11-0) and thus, in the proof of Theorem [1.](#page-2-0)

We divide the proof of Theorem [1](#page-2-0) into several lemmas, which are limit theorems in some spaces for certain auxiliary objects. The crucial aspect of the proof lies in the identification of the limit measure.

## **2. Limit Lemma on** Ω

For  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ , set

$$
P_{T,\Omega}(A) = \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \Big\{ t \in [0,T] : \Big( \Big( p^{-it}, p \in \mathbb{P} \Big), \Big( (m+\alpha)^{-it}, m \in \mathbb{N}_0 \Big) \Big) \in A \Big\}.
$$

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Lemma 1.** *Suppose that the set*  $L(\mathbb{P}, \alpha)$  *is linearly independent over the field of rational numbers* Q. Then,  $P_{T,\Omega}$  converges weakly to the Haar measure  $m_H$  as  $T \to \infty$ .

**Proof.** The characters of the torus  $\Omega$  are of the form

$$
\prod_{p\in\mathbb{P}}^{\ast}\omega_1^{k_p}(p)\prod_{m\in\mathbb{N}_0}^{\ast}\omega_2^{l_m}(m),
$$

where the star "∗" shows that only a finite number of integers *k<sup>p</sup>* and *l<sup>m</sup>* are non-zero. Therefore, the Fourier transform  $F_{T,\Omega}(\underline{k},\underline{l})$ ,  $\underline{k}=(k_p:k_p\in\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $p\in\mathbb{P})$ ,  $\underline{l}=(l_m:l_m\in\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m\in\mathbb{N}_0)$ , is given by

$$
F_{T,\Omega}(\underline{k},\underline{l})=\int_{\Omega}\left(\prod_{p\in\mathbb{P}}^{\ast}\omega_1^{k_p}(p)\prod_{m\in\mathbb{N}_0}^{\ast}\omega_2^{l_m}(m)\right)dP_{T,\Omega}.
$$

Thus, in view of the definition of  $P_{T,\Omega}$ ,

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
F_{T,\Omega}(\underline{k},\underline{l}) = \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \left( \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \frac{p^{-itk_p} \prod_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0} (m+\alpha)^{-itl_m}}{m \in \mathbb{N}_0} \right) dt
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \exp \left\{ -it \left( \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \frac{k_p \log(p) + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0} \frac{l_m \log(m+\alpha)}{m}}{m \in \mathbb{N}_0} \right) \right\} dt.
$$
 (3)

We have to show that  $F_{T,\Omega}(k,l)$  converges to the Fourier transform of the measure  $m_H$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$  [\[18\]](#page-14-3), i.e., to

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
F_{\Omega}(\underline{k}, \underline{l}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (\underline{k}, \underline{l}) = (\underline{0}, \underline{0}), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
$$
(4)

where  $0 = (0, \ldots, 0, \ldots)$ . Since the set  $L(\mathbb{P}, \alpha)$  is linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,

$$
\mathcal{L}(\underline{k}, \underline{l}) \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}}^* k_p \log(p) + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}^* l_m \log(m + \alpha) \neq 0
$$

for  $(k, l) \neq (0, 0)$ . Therefore, in this case, the equality in [\(3\)](#page-3-1) gives

$$
F_{T,\Omega}(\underline{k},\underline{l}) = \frac{1 - \exp\{-i T \mathcal{L}(\underline{k},\underline{l})\}}{i T \mathcal{L}(\underline{k},\underline{l})}.
$$

Thus, for  $(\underline{k}, \underline{l}) \neq (\underline{0}, \underline{0})$ ,

$$
\lim_{T\to\infty}F_{T,\Omega}(\underline{k},\underline{l})=0.
$$

Since, obviously,  $F_{T,\Omega}(\underline{0},\underline{0}) = 1$ , this shows that  $F_{T,\Omega}(k,\underline{l})$  converges to [\(4\)](#page-3-2) as  $T \to \infty$ . The lemma is proved.  $\square$ 

Lemma [1](#page-3-0) is a starting point for the proof of limit lemmas in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  for certain objects given by absolutely convergent Dirichlet series.

# **3. Absolutely Convergent Series**

Let  $\beta > \frac{1}{2}$  be a fixed number and, for  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$
u_N(m) = \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{m}{N}\right)^{\beta}\right\}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N},
$$

and

$$
u_N(m,\alpha)=\exp\left\{-\left(\frac{m+\alpha}{N}\right)^{\beta}\right\}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}_0.
$$

Define

$$
L_N\left(s - \frac{n}{2} + 1, \hat{\chi}_l\right) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{\chi}_l(m)u_N(m)}{m^{s - \frac{n}{2} + 1}},
$$
  

$$
L_N\left(s - \frac{n}{2} + 1, \omega_1, \hat{\chi}_l\right) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{\chi}_l(m)\omega_1(m)u_N(m)}{m^{s - \frac{n}{2} + 1}},
$$

and

$$
\zeta(s,\alpha) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{u_N(m,\alpha)}{(m+\alpha)^s},
$$

$$
\zeta(s,\omega_2,\alpha) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_2(m)u_N(m,\alpha)}{(m+\alpha)^s}.
$$

Since  $u_N(m)$  and  $u_N(m, \alpha)$  decrease exponentially with respect to  $m$ , the above series are absolutely convergent for  $\sigma > \sigma_0$  with arbitrary fixed finite  $\sigma_0$ . For  $\sigma_1 > \frac{n-1}{2}$  and  $\sigma_2 > \frac{1}{2}$ , let

$$
\underline{\zeta}_N(\underline{\sigma}, \alpha; Q) = (\zeta_N(\sigma_1; Q), \zeta_N(\sigma_2, \alpha))
$$

with

$$
\zeta_N(\sigma_1; Q) = \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{l=1}^L \frac{a_{kl}}{k^{\sigma_1} l^{\sigma_1}} L(\sigma_1, \chi_k) L_N(\sigma_1 - \frac{n}{2} + 1, \hat{\chi}_l) + \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{b_Q(m)}{m^{\sigma_1}},
$$

and

$$
\underline{\zeta}_N(\underline{\sigma},\omega,\alpha;Q)=(\zeta_N(\sigma_1,\omega_1;Q),\zeta_N(\sigma_2,\omega_2,\alpha))
$$

with

$$
\zeta_N(\sigma_1, \omega_1; Q) = \sum_{\substack{k=1 \ l=1}}^K \sum_{l=1}^L \frac{a_{kl} \omega_1(k) \omega_1(l)}{k^{\sigma_1} l^{\sigma_1}} L(\sigma_1, \omega_1, \chi_k) L_N(\sigma_1 - \frac{n}{2} + 1, \omega_1, \chi_k) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_Q(m) \omega_1(m)}{m^{\sigma_1}}.
$$

For  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ , define

$$
P_{T,N,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha}(A) = \frac{1}{T} \text{meas}\Big\{ t \in [0,T] : \underline{\zeta}_N(\underline{\sigma} + it, \alpha; Q) \in A \Big\}
$$

and

$$
P_{T,N,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha,\Omega}(A) = \frac{1}{T} \text{meas}\Big\{t \in [0,T]: \underline{\zeta}_N(\underline{\sigma}+it,\omega,\alpha;Q) \in A\Big\}.
$$

This section is devoted to the weak convergence of  $P_{T,N}^{Q,a}$ *T*,*N*,*σ* and *P Q*,*α*,Ω  $T_{,N,\underline{\sigma}}^{(Q,\alpha,\Omega)}$  as  $T\to\infty$ . Let the mapping *v Q*,*α*  $\frac{Q_{\rho \alpha}}{N_{\rho \underline{\sigma}}}$ :  $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$  be given by

$$
v_{N,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha}(\omega) = \underline{\zeta}_N(\underline{\sigma}, \omega, \alpha; Q), \quad \sigma_1 > \frac{n-1}{2}, \quad \sigma_2 > \frac{1}{2},
$$

and  $V_{N,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha} = m_H \left(v_{N,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha}\right)$  $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} Q,\alpha\ N,\underline{\sigma} \end{smallmatrix}\right)^{-1}$ , where, for  $A\in\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ ,

$$
V_{N,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha}(A) = m_H\bigg(\Big(v_{N,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha}\Big)^{-1}A\bigg).
$$

Since all Dirichlet series in the definition of  $\zeta_N(\sigma, \omega, \alpha; Q)$  are absolutely convergent in the considered region, the mapping  $v_{N,\alpha}^{Q,\alpha}$  $Q_\mathcal{M}^\mathcal{Q}$  is continuous, hence  $(\mathcal{B}(\Omega),\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2))$ -measurable. Therefore, the probability measure  $V_{N,\sigma}^{Q,\alpha}$ *N*,*σ* is defined correctly; see, for example, [\[19\]](#page-14-4), section 5.

<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Lemma 2.** *Under the hypotheses of Theorem [1,](#page-2-0)*  $P_{TN}^{Q,a}$ *T*,*N*,*σ and P Q*,*α*,Ω *T*,*N*,*σ both converge weakly to the same probability measure*  $V_{N,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha}$  *as*  $T\rightarrow\infty.$ 

**Proof.** We apply the principle of preservation of the weak convergence under continuous mappings (see section 5 of [\[19\]](#page-14-4)). By the definitions of  $P^{\mathcal{Q}, \alpha}_{T, N}$ *T*,*N*,*σ* , *PT*,Ω, and *v Q*,*α N*,*σ* , we have

$$
P_{T,N,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha}(A) = \frac{1}{T} \text{meas}\Big\{ t \in [0,T] : \Big( \Big( p^{-it}, p \in \mathbb{P} \Big), \Big( (m+\alpha)^{-it}, m \in \mathbb{N}_0 \Big) \Big) \in (v_{N,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha})^{-1} A \Big\}
$$
  

$$
P_{T,\Omega} \Big( (v_{N,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha})^{-1} A \Big)
$$

for every  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ . Thus,  $P_{T,N,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha} = P_{T,\Omega}^{Q,\alpha}\Big(v_{N,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha}$  $\left(\frac{Q_{\rho} \alpha}{N_{\rho} \underline{\sigma}}\right)^{-1}$ . This continuity of  $v_{N_{\rho} \underline{\sigma}}^{Q_{\rho} \alpha}$ *N*,*σ* , Lemma [1,](#page-3-0) and Theorem 5.1 of [\[19\]](#page-14-4) imply that  $P_{T,N}^{Q,\alpha}$ *T*,*N*,*σ* converges to *V Q*,*α*  $T_{N,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha}$  as  $T \to \infty$ .

It remains to show that  $P_{T,N,\sigma}^{Q,\alpha,\Omega}$ *T*,*N*,*σ* also converges to *V Q*,*α*  $T_{N, \underline{\sigma}}^{Q, \alpha}$  as  $T → ∞$ . Let  $\hat{\omega} ∈ Ω$ , and the mapping *w Q*,*α*  $\frac{Q_{\rho\alpha}}{N_{\rho\mathcal{Q}}}\colon \Omega\to \mathbb{C}^2$  be given by

$$
w_{N,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha}(\omega) = \zeta_N(\underline{\sigma},\omega\hat{\omega},\alpha;Q).
$$

Thus, we have that

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
w_{N,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha}(\omega) = v_{N,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha}(\omega)(a(\omega)),
$$
\n(5)

where  $a:\Omega\to\Omega$  is given by  $a(\omega)=\omega\hat{\omega}$ . Along the same lines as in the case of  $P^{\bar{Q},a}_{T,N}$ *T*,*N*,*σ* , we find that  $P_{T,N,\sigma}^{Q,\alpha,\Omega}$ *C*,*α*,Ω converges weakly to the measure  $W_{N,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha} = m_H \Big( w_{N,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha} \Big)$ *N*,*σ* −<sup>1</sup> . However, by [\(5\)](#page-5-0) and the invariance of the Haar measure, we obtain

$$
W_{N,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha} = m_H \left( v_{N,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha}(a) \right)^{-1} = \left( m_H a^{-1} \right) \left( v_{N,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha} \right)^{-1} = m_H \left( v_{N,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha} \right)^{-1} = V_{N,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha}.
$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$ 

## **4. Approximation Lemmas**

In this section, we approximate  $\underline{\zeta}(\underline{\sigma} + it, \alpha; Q)$  by  $\underline{\zeta}_N(\underline{\sigma} + it, \alpha; Q)$  and  $\underline{\zeta}(\underline{\sigma} + it, \omega, \alpha; Q)$ by  $\underline{\zeta}_N(\underline{\sigma}+it,\omega,\alpha;Q)$ .

Let, for  $\underline{z}_1 = (z_{11}, z_{12}), \underline{z}_2 = (z_{21}, z_{22}) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ ,

$$
\rho(\underline{z}_1,\underline{z}_2)=\left(|z_{11}-z_{21}|^2+|z_{12}-z_{22}|^2\right)^{1/2}.
$$

<span id="page-5-2"></span>**Lemma 3.** *For*  $\sigma_1 > \frac{n-1}{2}$  *and*  $\sigma_2 > \frac{1}{2}$ *,* 

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} \limsup_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \rho \Big( \underline{\zeta}(\underline{\sigma} + it, \alpha; Q), \underline{\zeta}_{N}(\underline{\sigma} + it, \alpha; Q) \Big) dt = 0,
$$

*and, for almost all*  $\omega \in \Omega$ *,* 

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} \limsup_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \rho \Big( \underline{\zeta}(\underline{\sigma} + it, \omega, \alpha; Q), \underline{\zeta}_{N}(\underline{\sigma} + it, \omega, \alpha; Q) \Big) dt = 0.
$$

**Proof.** The first equality of the lemma is a corollary of the equalities

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} \limsup_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} |\zeta(\sigma_1 + it; Q) - \zeta_N(\sigma_1 + it; Q)| dt = 0
$$

and

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} \limsup_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} |\zeta(\sigma_2 + it, \alpha) - \zeta_N(\sigma_2 + it, \alpha)| dt = 0.
$$
 (6)

The first of them was obtained in [\[11\]](#page-13-10), Lemma [4.](#page-8-0) Its proof is based on the integral representation

$$
L_N\Big(\sigma_1 - \frac{n}{2} + 1, \hat{\chi}_l\Big) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\beta - i\infty}^{\beta + i\infty} L\Big(\sigma_1 - \frac{n}{2} + 1 + z, \hat{\chi}_l\Big) l_N(z) dz
$$

with

$$
l_N(z) = \frac{1}{\beta} \Gamma\left(\frac{z}{\beta}\right) N^z,
$$

where  $\beta > \frac{1}{2}$  is the same as in the definition of  $u_N(m)$ , and on the mean square estimate for Dirichlet *L*-functions in the half-plane  $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ .

For the proof of [\(6\)](#page-6-0), we use, for  $\sigma_2 > \frac{1}{2}$ , the representation

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
\zeta_N(s,\alpha) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int\limits_{\beta - i\infty}^{\beta + i\infty} \zeta(s+z,\alpha) l_N(z) dz.
$$
 (7)

Since  $\sigma_2 > \frac{1}{2}$ , there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon < \sigma_2$ . Let  $\beta = \sigma_2$  and  $\beta_1 = \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon - \sigma_2$ . The integrand in [\(7\)](#page-6-1) has simple poles  $z = 0$  and  $z = 1 - s$  in the strip  $\beta_1 < \text{Re } z < \beta$ . Therefore, by the residue theorem and [\(7\)](#page-6-1),

$$
\zeta_N(\sigma_2+it,\alpha)-\zeta(\sigma_2+it,\alpha)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int\limits_{\beta_1-i\infty}^{\beta_1+i\infty}\zeta(\sigma_2+it+z,\alpha)l_N(z)dz+l_N(1-\sigma_2-it).
$$

Hence,

$$
\zeta_N(\sigma_2 + it, \alpha) - \zeta(\sigma_2 + it, \alpha) \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \zeta \left( \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon + it + i\tau, \alpha \right) \right| \left| l_N \left( \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon - \sigma_2 + i\tau \right) \right| d\tau
$$
  
+ 
$$
\left| l_N(1 - \sigma_2 - it) \right|
$$

and

<span id="page-6-2"></span>
$$
\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} |\zeta(\sigma_2 + it, \alpha) - \zeta_N(\sigma_2 + it, \alpha)| dt
$$
\n
$$
\ll \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon + it + i\tau, \alpha)| dt \right) | l_N(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon - \sigma_2 + i\tau, \alpha)| d\tau
$$

$$
+\frac{1}{T}\int_{0}^{T}|l_{N}(1-\sigma_{2}-it)|dt \stackrel{def}{=} I_{1}(T,N) + I_{2}(T,N), \qquad (8)
$$

where the classical notation  $a \ll_{\eta} b$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $b > 0$  means that there exists a constant  $c = c(\eta) > 0$  such that  $|a| \leq cb$ . It is well known (see, for example, [\[17\]](#page-14-2)) that, for  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$ ,

$$
\int_{-T}^{T} |\zeta(\sigma+it,\alpha)|^2 dt \ll_{\sigma,\alpha} T.
$$

Therefore, for large *T*,

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \left| \zeta \left( \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon + it + i\tau, \alpha \right) \right| d\tau \ll \left( \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \left| \zeta \left( \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon + it + i\tau, \alpha \right) \right|^{2} dt \right)^{1/2} \le \left( \frac{1}{T} \int_{-|T|}^{T+|\tau|} \left| \zeta \left( \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon + it, \alpha \right) \right|^{2} dt \right)^{1/2} \ll_{\epsilon, \alpha} \left( \frac{T+|\tau|}{T} \right)^{1/2} \ll_{\epsilon, \alpha} (1+|\tau|)^{1/2}.
$$
\n(9)

For the gamma-function, the estimate

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
\Gamma(\sigma + it) \ll \exp\{-c|t|\}, \quad c > 0,
$$
\n(10)

uniformly for  $\sigma$  in every finite interval is valid. Therefore,

$$
l_N\bigg(\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-\sigma_2+i\tau\bigg)\ll_{\sigma_2} N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-\sigma_2}\exp\bigg\{-\frac{c}{\sigma_2}|\tau|\bigg\}.
$$

This, together with [\(9\)](#page-7-0), shows that

<span id="page-7-2"></span>
$$
I_1(T,N) \ll_{\epsilon,\sigma_2,\alpha} N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-\sigma_2} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1+|\tau|)^{1/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{c}{\sigma_2}|\tau|\right\} d\tau \ll_{\epsilon,\sigma_2,\alpha} N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-\sigma_2}.
$$
 (11)

By  $(10)$  again,

$$
l_N(1-\sigma_2-it)\ll_{\sigma_2} N^{1-\sigma_2}\exp\bigg\{-\frac{c}{\sigma_2}|t|\bigg\},\,
$$

and thus,

$$
I_2(T,N)\ll_{\sigma_2} N^{1-\sigma_2}\int\limits_0^\infty \exp\bigg\{-\frac{c}{\sigma_2}|t|\bigg\}\mathrm{d}t\ll_{\sigma_2} N^{1-\sigma_2}\frac{\log T}{T}.
$$

Since  $\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon - \sigma_2 < 0$ , this, with [\(11\)](#page-7-2) and [\(8\)](#page-6-2), proves [\(6\)](#page-6-0).

The second equality of the lemma follows from the following two equalities:

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} \limsup_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} |\zeta(\sigma_1 + it, \omega_1; Q) - \zeta_N(\sigma_1 + it, \omega_1; Q)| dt = 0
$$

and

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} \limsup_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} |\zeta(\sigma_2 + it, \alpha, \omega_2) - \zeta_N(\sigma_2 + it, \alpha, \omega_2)| dt = 0
$$

for almost all  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$  and almost all  $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$ , respectively.

The first of these was obtained in [\[11\]](#page-13-10), Lemma 7, while the second is proved similarly to Equality [\(6\)](#page-6-0) by using the representation, for  $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$
\zeta_N(s,\alpha,\omega)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int\limits_{\beta-i\infty}^{\beta+i\infty}\zeta(s+z,\alpha,\omega)l_N(z)\mathrm{d}z,
$$

as well as the bound, for  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$  and almost all  $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$ ,

$$
\int\limits_{-T}^{T}|\zeta(\sigma+it,\alpha,\omega_2)|^2\mathrm{d}t\ll_{\sigma,\alpha}T,
$$

see, for example, [\[17\]](#page-14-2).  $\square$ 

## <span id="page-8-2"></span>**5. Tightness**

Let  $\{P\}$  be a family of probability measures on  $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{X}))$ . We recall that the family  ${P}$  is called tight if, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{X}$  such that

$$
P(K) > 1 - \epsilon
$$

for all  $P \in \{P\}$ . The family  $\{P\}$  is relatively compact if every sequence  $\{P_n\} \subset \{P\}$  contains a subsequence  $\{P_n\}$  weakly convergent to a certain probability measure on  $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{X}))$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

A property of relative compactness is useful for the investigation of weak convergence of probability measures. By the classical Prokhorov theorem, see, for example, [\[19\]](#page-14-4), every tight family {*P*} is relatively compact as well. Therefore, often it is convenient to know the tightness of the considered family. In our case, this concerns the measure  $V_N^{Q,\alpha}$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Lemma 4.** *The family*  $\{V_N^{Q,a}: N \in \mathbb{N}\}$  *is tight.* 

**Proof.** Consider the marginal measures of the measure  $V_N^{Q,\alpha}$ , i.e., for  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C})$ ,

$$
V_{N,\sigma_1}^Q(A) = V_{N,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha}(A \times \mathbb{C})
$$

and

$$
V^{\alpha}_{N,\sigma_2}(A) = V^{Q,\alpha}_{N,\underline{\sigma}}(\mathbb{C} \times A).
$$

It is easily seen that the measure  $V_{N}^{\overline{Q}}$  $\mathcal{N}_{N,\sigma_1}$  appears in the process related to weak convergence of the measure  $P_T^{\rm Q}$ *I*<sup>Q</sup><sub>*N,σ*</sup></sub> and the measure  $V^α_{N,σ_2}$  is used for study of

$$
P_{T,\sigma_2}^{\alpha}(A) = \frac{1}{T} \text{meas}\{t \in [0,T] : \zeta(\sigma_2 + it, \alpha) \in A\}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}).
$$

Thus, in [\[17\]](#page-14-2), the tightness of the family  $\{V_{N}^{\mathcal{Q}}\}$  $\mathcal{F}_{N,\sigma_1}^Q : n \in \mathbb{N} \}$  was obtained, i.e., for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a compact set  $K_1 \subset \mathbb{C}$  such that

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
V_{N,\sigma_1}^Q(K_1) > 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}
$$
 (12)

for all *N*  $\in$  N. We will prove a similar inequality for  $V_{N,\sigma_2}^{\alpha}$ .

Repeating the proofs of Lemmas [1](#page-3-0) and [2](#page-5-1) leads to weak convergence of

$$
P_{T,N,\sigma_2}^{\alpha}(A)=\frac{1}{T}\text{meas}\lbrace t\in[0,T]:\zeta_N(\sigma_2+it,\alpha)\in A\rbrace,\quad A\in\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}),
$$

to  $V^{\alpha}_{N,\sigma_2}$  as  $T \to \infty$ . Let  $\theta_T$  be a random variable defined on a certain probability space  $(\Xi, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  and uniformly distributed in [0, *T*], i.e., its density function  $p(x)$  is of the form

$$
p(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \le 0, \\ \frac{1}{T}, & 0 < x \le T, \\ 0, & x > T. \end{cases}
$$

Define

$$
\xi_{T,N,\sigma_2}^{\alpha} = \xi_{T,N,\sigma_2}^{\alpha}(\sigma) = \zeta_N(\sigma_2 + i\theta_T, \alpha),
$$

and denote by  $\stackrel{D}{\to}$  the convergence in distribution. Then, the above remark can be written as

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
\xi_{T,N,\sigma_2}^{\alpha} \xrightarrow[T \to \infty]{D} \xi_{N,\sigma_2}^{\alpha} \tag{13}
$$

where  $\zeta_{N,c_2}^{\alpha}$  is a random variable with distribution  $V_{N,c_2}^{\alpha}$ . Since the series for  $\zeta_N(s,\alpha)$  is absolutely convergent, we have

$$
\sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \limsup_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} |\zeta_N(\sigma_2 + it, \alpha)|^2 dt = \sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{v_N^2(m, \alpha)}{(m + \alpha)^{2\sigma_2}} \le \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m + \alpha)^{2\sigma_2}}
$$
  

$$
\le C_{\alpha, \sigma_2} < \infty.
$$

Then, in view of [\(13\)](#page-9-0),

<span id="page-9-1"></span>
$$
\sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \mu \left\{ |\xi_{N,\sigma_2}^{\alpha}| \ge \sqrt{C_{\alpha,\sigma_2} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)^{-1}} \right\} = \sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \limsup_{T \to \infty} \mu \left\{ |\xi_{T,N,\sigma_2}^{\alpha}| \ge \sqrt{C_{\alpha,\sigma_2} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)^{-1}} \right\}
$$
  

$$
\le \sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{C_{\alpha,\sigma_2}} \frac{\epsilon}{2} \limsup_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} |\zeta_N(\sigma_2 + it, \alpha)|^2 dt
$$
  

$$
\le \frac{\epsilon}{2}.
$$
 (14)

Let  $K_2=\left\{z\in\mathbb{C}:|z|\leq\sqrt{C_{\alpha,\sigma_2}\big(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\big)^{-1}}\right\}.$  Then,  $K_2$  is a compact set in  $\mathbb{C}$  and, by [\(14\)](#page-9-1),

<span id="page-9-2"></span>
$$
V_{N,\sigma_2}^{\alpha}(K_1) > 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}
$$
 (15)

for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Now, define  $K = K_1 \times K_2$ . Then, K is a compact set in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Moreover, taking into account [\(12\)](#page-8-1) and [\(15\)](#page-9-2) gives

$$
V_{N,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha}(\mathbb{C}^2\setminus K))\leq V_{N,\sigma_1}^Q(\mathbb{C}\setminus K_1)+V_{N,\sigma_2}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{C}\setminus K_2)\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}=\epsilon
$$

for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus,  $V_{N,\sigma}^{Q,\alpha}$  $\mathcal{H}_{N,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha}(K) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$  for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , and the proof is complete.

# **6. Limit Theorems**

Now, we are ready to prove weak convergence for *PT*,*ζ*,*<sup>σ</sup>* and

$$
P_{T,\underline{\zeta},\underline{\sigma}}^{\Omega}(A) = \frac{1}{T} \text{meas}\Big\{t \in [0,T]: \underline{\zeta}(\underline{\sigma} + it, \omega, \alpha; Q) \in A\Big\}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2).
$$

<span id="page-9-3"></span>**Proposition 1.** *Suppose that the set*  $L(\mathbb{P}; \alpha)$  *is linearly independent over*  $\mathbb{Q}$ *, and*  $\sigma_1 > \frac{n-1}{2}$ *,*  $\sigma_2 > \frac{1}{2}$ . Then,  $P_{T,\zeta,\underline{\sigma'}}$  and  $P^\Omega_{T,\zeta,\underline{\sigma'}}$  for almost all  $\omega\in\Omega$ ; both converge to the same probability *measure*  $P_{\sigma}$  *as*  $T \rightarrow \infty$ *.* 

**Proof.** Let  $\theta_T$  be the same random variable as in Section [5.](#page-8-2) Introduce the  $\mathbb{C}^2$ -valued random elements *Q*,*α*

$$
\underline{\xi}_{T,N,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha} = \underline{\xi}_N(\underline{\sigma} + i\theta_T, \alpha; Q)
$$

$$
\underline{\xi}_{T,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha} = \underline{\zeta}(\underline{\sigma} + i\theta_T, \alpha; Q).
$$

and

Moreover, let *ξ Q*,*α*  $\frac{Q_\ell a}{N_\ell}$  be a  $\mathbb{C}^2$ -valued random element having the distribution  $V_{N,\underline{\mathcal{O}}}^{Q_\ell a}$ *N*,*σ* . Then, the assertion of Lemma [2](#page-5-1) for  $P_{T,N}^{Q,\alpha}$ *T*,*N*,*σ* can be written as

<span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
\underline{\xi}_{T,N,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha} \xrightarrow[T \to \infty]{D} \underline{\xi}_{N,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha}.
$$
\n(16)

By the Prokhorov theorem (see, for example, [\[19\]](#page-14-4)), every tight family of probability mea-sures is relatively compact. Thus, in view of Lemma [4,](#page-8-0) the family  $\{V_{N,\sigma}^{Q,a}$ *N*,*σ* : *N* ∈ N} is relatively compact. Hence, we have a sequence  $\{V_{N_\alpha}^{Q,\alpha}\}$ *Nr*,*σ* } ⊂ {*V Q*,*α N*,*σ* } and a probability measure  $V^{Q,\alpha}_{\underline{\sigma}}$  on  $({\mathbb C}^2,{\mathcal B}({\mathbb C}^2))$  such that

<span id="page-10-1"></span>
$$
\underline{\xi}_{N_r,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha} \xrightarrow[r \to \infty]{D} V_{\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha}.
$$
\n(17)

Now, it is time for the application of Lemma [3.](#page-5-2) Thus, using Lemma [3,](#page-5-2) we obtain that, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$
\lim_{r \to \infty} \limsup_{T \to \infty} \mu \Big\{ \rho \Big( \underline{\xi}_{T,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha}, \underline{\xi}_{T,N_r,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha} \Big) \ge \epsilon \Big\}
$$
\n
$$
= \lim_{r \to \infty} \sup_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \{ t \in [0, T] : \rho(\zeta(\underline{\sigma} + it, \alpha; Q), \zeta_{N_r}(\underline{\sigma} + it, \alpha; Q)) \ge \epsilon \}
$$
\n
$$
\le \lim_{r \to \infty} \sup_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{\epsilon T} \int_{0}^{T} \rho(\zeta(\underline{\sigma} + it, \alpha; Q), \zeta_{N_r}(\underline{\sigma} + it, \alpha; Q)) dt = 0.
$$

This equality, and relations [\(16\)](#page-10-0) and [\(17\)](#page-10-1), show that theorem 4 from [\[19\]](#page-14-4) can be applied for the random elements *ξ Q*,*α T*,*Nr*,*σ* , *ξ Q*,*α Nr*,*σ* , and *ξ Q*,*α T*,*σ* . Thus, we have

<span id="page-10-2"></span>
$$
\underline{\xi}_{T,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha} \xrightarrow[T \to \infty]{D} V_{\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha},\tag{18}
$$

in other words,  $P_{T, \zeta, \underline{\sigma}}$  converges weakly to the measure  $V_{\underline{\sigma}}^{Q, \alpha}$  as  $T \to \infty.$ 

It remains to prove that  $P^{\Omega}_{T, \zeta, \underline{\sigma'}}$  as  $T \to \infty$ , converges weakly to the measure  $V^{\mathcal{Q}, \alpha}_\underline{\sigma}$  as well. Relation [\(18\)](#page-10-2) shows that the limit measure  $V_{\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha}$  does not depend on the sequence  $\{V_{N_r}^{Q,\alpha}$ *Nr*,*σ* }. Since the family {*V Q*,*α*  $\sqrt{N_{\rm eff}}$  is relatively compact, the latter remark implies the relation

<span id="page-10-3"></span>
$$
\underline{\xi}_{N,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{D} V_{\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha}.
$$
\n(19)

Define the random elements

$$
\underline{\xi}_{T,N,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha}(\omega) = \underline{\zeta}_N(\underline{\sigma} + i\theta_T, \omega, \alpha; Q)
$$

and

$$
\underline{\xi}_{T,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha}(\omega) = \underline{\zeta}(\underline{\sigma} + i\theta_T, \omega, \alpha; Q).
$$

By Lemma [2,](#page-5-1) for *P Q*,*α*,Ω  $T$ ,*N*,*<u><i>σ*</u></sub>, the relation

<span id="page-10-4"></span>
$$
\underline{\xi}_{T,N,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha}(\omega) \xrightarrow[T \to \infty]{D} \underline{\xi}_{N,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha} \tag{20}
$$

holds. Moreover, Lemma [3,](#page-5-2) for every  $\epsilon > 0$  and almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$ , implies

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} \limsup_{T \to \infty} \mu \left\{ \rho \left( \underline{\xi}_{T,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha}(\omega), \underline{\xi}_{T,N,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha}(\omega) \right) \ge \epsilon \right\}
$$
\n
$$
\leq \lim_{N \to \infty} \limsup_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{\epsilon T} \int_{0}^{T} \rho \left( \underline{\zeta}(\underline{\sigma} + it, \omega, \alpha; Q), \underline{\zeta}_{N}(\underline{\sigma} + it, \omega, \alpha; Q) \right) dt = 0.
$$

This, [\(19\)](#page-10-3) and [\(20\)](#page-10-4), and theorem 4.2 of [\[19\]](#page-14-4) yield, for almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$ , the relation

$$
\underline{\xi}_{T,\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha}(\omega) \xrightarrow[T \to \infty]{D} V_{\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha},
$$

i.e., that  $P^{\Omega}_{T, \zeta, \underline{\sigma'}}$  as  $T \to \infty$ , converges weakly to  $V_{\underline{\sigma}}^{Q, \alpha}$ . The proposition is proved.

### **7. Proof of Theorem [1](#page-2-0)**

Let  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $e_t = ((p^{-it} : p \in \mathbb{P})$ ,  $((m + \alpha)^{-it}, m \in \mathbb{N}_0))$ . Obviously,  $e_t$  is an element of Ω. Using  $e_t$ , define a transformation  $g_t : \Omega \to \Omega$  by

$$
g_t(\omega)=e_t\omega, \quad \omega\in\Omega.
$$

In virtue of the invariance of the Haar measure *mH*, *g<sup>t</sup>* is a measurable measure preserving transformation on Ω. Then,  $G_t = \{g_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is the one-parameter group of transformations on Ω. A set *A* ∈ *B*(Ω) is invariant with respect to  $G_t$  if for every  $\hat{t}$  ∈ R the sets  $A_t = g_t(A)$  and *A* can differ one from another at most by a set of  $m_H$ -measure zero. All invariant sets form a  $\sigma$ -subfield of  $\mathcal{B}(\Omega).$  We say that the group  $\mathcal{G}_t$  is ergodic if its  $\sigma$ -field of invariant sets consists only of sets having  $m_H$ -measure 1 or 0.

<span id="page-11-0"></span>**Lemma 5.** *Suppose that the set*  $L(\mathbb{P}, \alpha)$  *is linearly independent over*  $\mathbb{Q}$ *. Then, the group*  $\mathcal{G}_t$ *is ergodic.*

**Proof.** We fix an invariant set A of the group  $\mathcal{G}_t$ , and consider its indicator function  $I_A$ . We will prove that, for almost all *ω* ∈ Ω, *I<sub>A</sub>*(*ω*) = 1 or *I<sub>A</sub>*(*ω*) = 0. For this, we will use the Fourier transform method.

By the proof of Lemma [1,](#page-3-0) we know that characters  $\chi$  of  $\Omega$  are of the form

$$
\chi(\omega) = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}}^* \omega_1^{k_p}(p) \prod_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}^* \omega_2^{l_m}(m),
$$

where the star "∗" indicates that only a finite number of integers *k<sup>p</sup>* and *l<sup>m</sup>* are non-zero. Hence, if  $\chi$  is a non-trivial character,

$$
\chi(g_t) = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}}^* p^{-itk_p} \prod_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}^* (m + \alpha)^{-itl_m}
$$
  
= 
$$
\exp \left\{-it \left( \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}}^* k_p \log(p) + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}^* l_m \log(m + \alpha) \right) \right\}.
$$

Since  $\chi$  is a non-principal character, i.e.,  $\chi(\omega) \neq 1$ . The linear independence of the set  $L(\mathbb{P}, \alpha)$  shows that

$$
\sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}}^* k_p \log(p) + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}^* l_m \log(m + \alpha) \neq 0
$$

for  $k_p \not\equiv 0$  and  $l_m \not\equiv 0$ . These remarks imply the existence of  $t_0 \not\equiv 0$  such that

<span id="page-11-2"></span>
$$
\chi(g_{t_0}) \neq 1. \tag{21}
$$

Moreover, by the invariance of *A*, for almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,

<span id="page-11-1"></span>
$$
I_A(g_{t_0}) = I_A(\omega). \tag{22}
$$

Let  $\hat{h}$  denote the Fourier transform of *h*. Then, by [\(22\)](#page-11-1), the invariance of  $m_H$ , and the multiplicativity of characters

$$
\hat{I}_A(\chi) = \int\limits_{\Omega} I_A(\omega) \chi(\omega) dm_H = \chi(g_{t_0}) \int\limits_{\Omega} I_A(\omega) \chi(\omega) dm_H = \chi(g_{t_0}) \hat{I}_A(\chi).
$$

Thus, [\(21\)](#page-11-2) gives

<span id="page-12-0"></span>
$$
\hat{I}_A(\chi) = 0. \tag{23}
$$

Now, suppose that  $\chi(\omega) \equiv 1$  and  $\hat{I}_A(\chi) = a$ . Then,

$$
\hat{a}(\chi) = \int_{\Omega} a(\chi)\chi(\omega) \mathrm{d}m_H = a \int_{\Omega} \chi(\omega) \mathrm{d}m_H = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } \chi(\omega) \equiv 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
$$

by orthogonality of characters. This, and [\(23\)](#page-12-0), gives

$$
\hat{I}_A(\chi) = \hat{a}(\chi).
$$

The latter equality shows that  $I_A(\omega) = a$  for almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . In other words,  $a = 1$  or *a* = 0 for almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Thus,  $I_A(\omega) = 1$  or  $I_A(\omega) = 0$  for almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Therefore,  $m_H(A) = 1$  or  $m_H(A) = 0$ , and the proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

For convenience, we recall the classical Birkhoff–Khintchine ergodic theorem; see, for example, [\[20\]](#page-14-5).

<span id="page-12-1"></span>**Lemma 6.** *Suppose that a random process*  $\xi(t, \hat{\omega})$  *is ergodic with finite expectation*  $\mathbb{E}[\xi(t, \hat{\omega})]$ *, and we sample paths integrable almost surely in the Riemann sense over every finite interval. Then, for almost all ω,*

$$
\lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\int_{0}^{T}\xi(t,\hat{\omega})\mathrm{d}t=\mathbb{E}\xi(0,\hat{\omega}).
$$

**Proof of Theorem [1.](#page-2-0)** In virtue of Proposition [1,](#page-9-3) it suffices to identify the limit measure *P<sup>σ</sup>* in it, i.e., to show that  $P_{\underline{\sigma}} = P_{\zeta,\sigma}^{Q,\alpha}$ *ζ*,*σ* .

Let  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2)$  be a continuity set of the measure  $P_{\underline{\sigma}}$  (A is a continuity set of the measure *P* if  $P(\partial A) = 0$ , where  $\partial A$  is the boundary of *A*). Then, by Proposition [1,](#page-9-3) for almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,

<span id="page-12-4"></span>
$$
\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \Big\{ t \in [0, T] : \underline{\zeta}(\underline{\sigma} + it, \omega, \alpha; Q) \in A \Big\} = P_{\underline{\sigma}}(A). \tag{24}
$$

On the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), m_H)$ , define the random variable

$$
\xi = \xi(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \underline{\xi}(\underline{\sigma}, \omega, \alpha; Q) \in A, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
$$

Obviously,

<span id="page-12-2"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}\xi = \int_{\Omega} \xi dm_H = m_H \Big\{ \omega \in \Omega : \underline{\xi}(\underline{\sigma}, \omega, \alpha; Q) \in A \Big\}.
$$
 (25)

By Lemma [5,](#page-11-0) the random process  $\xi$ ( $g_t$ ( $\omega$ )) is ergodic. Therefore, an application of Lemma [6](#page-12-1) yields

<span id="page-12-3"></span>
$$
\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \xi(g_t(\omega)) dt = \mathbb{E}\xi
$$
\n(26)

for almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . On the other hand, from the definitions of  $\xi$  and  $\mathcal{G}_t$ , we have

$$
\frac{1}{T}\int\limits_0^T \zeta(g_t(\omega))dt = \frac{1}{T} \text{meas}\Big\{t \in [0,T]: \underline{\zeta}(\underline{\sigma}+it,\omega,\alpha;Q) \in A\Big\}.
$$

Therefore, equalities [\(25\)](#page-12-2) and [\(26\)](#page-12-3), for almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$ , lead to

$$
\lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\text{meas}\Big\{t\in[0,T]:\underline{\zeta}(\underline{\sigma}+it,\omega,\alpha;Q)\in A\Big\}=P_{\underline{\zeta},\underline{\sigma}}^{Q,\alpha}(A).
$$

This, together with [\(24\)](#page-12-4), shows that

<span id="page-13-14"></span>
$$
P_{\underline{\sigma}}(A) = P_{\zeta, \underline{\sigma}}^{\mathcal{Q}, \alpha}(A). \tag{27}
$$

Since *A* is an arbitrary continuity set of  $P_{\mathcal{Q}}$ , equality [\(27\)](#page-13-14) is valid for all  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ . This proves the theorem.  $\square$ 

# **8. Concluding Remarks**

Theorem [1](#page-2-0) shows that, for sufficiently large *T*, the value density of the pair  $(\zeta(\sigma_1 + it; Q), \zeta(\sigma_2 + it, \alpha))$  is close to a certain probabilistic distribution. Unfortunately, the distribution of  $P^{\mathcal{Q},\alpha}_{\mathcal{L},\sigma}$  $\int_{\zeta,\underline{\sigma}}^{\zeta,\alpha}$  is too complicated; it is defined only for almost all *ω* ∈ Ω. Hence, it is impossible to give a visualisation of  $P^{\mathcal{Q}, \alpha}_{\mathcal{L}, \sigma}$ *ζ*,*σ* .

We plan to further investigate the joint value distribution of the Epstein and Hurwitz zeta-functions using probabilistic methods. First, we will prove the discrete version of Theorem [1,](#page-2-0) i.e., the weak convergence for

$$
\frac{1}{N+1} \# \{0 \leq k \leq N : (\zeta(\sigma_1 + ikh_1; Q), \zeta(\sigma_2 + ikh_2, \alpha)) \in A\}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2),
$$

as *N*  $\rightarrow \infty$ . Here, #*B* denotes the cardinality of the set  $B \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , and  $h_1, h_2$  are fixed positive numbers. Further, we will obtain extensions of limit theorems in the space  $\mathbb{C}^2$  for the pair  $(\zeta(s; Q), \zeta(s, \alpha))$  to the space  $\mathbb{H}^2(D)$ , where  $D = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1\}$ , and  $\mathbb{H}(D)$  is the space of analytic in *D* functions endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compacta. Using the limit theorems in  $\mathbb{H}^2(D)$ , we expect to obtain some results on approximation of a pair of analytic functions by shifts  $(\zeta(\sigma_1 + i\tau; Q), \zeta(\sigma_2 + i\tau, \alpha))$ . This would be the most important application of probabilistic limit theorems in function theory and practice.

**Author Contributions:** Methodology, A.L.; Software, R.M.; Validation, A.L. and R.M.; Formal analysis, H.G.; Investigation, H.G.; Writing—original draft, R.M.; Writing—review & editing, R.M.; Supervision, A.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Data Availability Statement:** The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

### **References**

- <span id="page-13-0"></span>1. Epstein, P. Zur Theorie allgemeiner Zetafunktionen. *Math. Ann.* **1903**, *56*, 615–644. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/BF01444309)
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>2. Glasser, M.L.; Zucker, I.J. Lattice Sums in Theoretical Chemistry. In *Theoretical Chemistry: Advances and Perspectives*; Eyring, H., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, NY, USA, 1980; Volume 5, pp. 67–139.
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>3. Elizalde, E. *Ten Physical Applications of Spectral Zeta Functions*; Lecture Notes Physics; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 1995.
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>4. Elizalde, E. Multidimensional extension of the generalized Chowla-Selberg formula. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **1998**, *198*, 83–95. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002200050472)
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>5. Hecke, E. Über Modulfunktionen und die Dirichletchen Reihen mit Eulerscher Produktentwicklung. I, II. *Math. Ann.* **1937**, *114*, 316–351. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01594180)
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>6. Chowla, S.; Selberg, A. On Epstein's Zeta-function. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **1967**, *227*, 86–110. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.35.7.371) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16588908)
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>7. Iwaniec, H. *Topics in Classical Automorphic Forms, Graduate Studies in Mathematics*; American Mathematical Society: Providence, RI, USA, 1997; Volume 17.
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>8. Bateman, P.; Grosswald, E. On Epstein's zeta function. *Acta Arith.* **1964**, *9*, 365–373. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.4064/aa-9-4-365-373)
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>9. Fomenko, O.M. Order of the Epstein zeta-function in the critical strip. *J. Math. Sci.* **2002**, *110*, 3150–3163. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1015432614102)
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>10. Nakamura, T.; Pa ´nkowski, Ł. On zeros and *c*-values of Epstein zeta-functions. *Šiauliai Math. Semin.* **2013**, *8*, 181–195.
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>11. Laurinčikas, A.; Macaitienė, R. A Bohr-Jessen type theorem for the Epstein zeta-function. *Results Math.* 2018, 73, 148. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00025-018-0909-3)
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>12. Laurinčikas, A.; Macaitienė, R. A Bohr-Jessen type theorem for the Epstein zeta-function. II. Results Math. 2020, 75, 25. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00025-019-1151-3)
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>13. Bohr, H. Über das Verhalten von *ζ*(*s*) in der Halbebene *σ* > 1. *Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Göttingen II Math. Phys. Kl.* **1911**, 409–428.
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>14. Bohr, H.; Jessen, B. Über die Wertverteilung der Riemanschen Zetafunktion, Erste Mitteilung. *Acta Math.* **1930**, *54*, 1–35. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02547516)
- <span id="page-14-0"></span>15. Bohr, H.; Jessen, B. Über die Wertverteilung der Riemanschen Zetafunktion, Zweite Mitteilung. *Acta Math.* **1932**, *58*, 1–55. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02547773)
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>16. Hurwitz, A. Einige Eigenschaften der Dirichlet′schen Funktionen  $F(s)=\sum \Big(\frac{D}{n}\frac{1}{n^s}\Big)$ , die bei der Bestimmung der Klassenzahlen binärer quadratischer Formen auftreten. *Zeitschr. Math. Phys.* **1882**, *27*, 86–101.
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>17. Laurinčikas, A.; Garunkštis, R. *The Lerch Zeta-Function*; Kluwer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2002.
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>18. Heyer, H. *Probability Measure on Locally Compact Groups*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany; New York, NY, USA, 1977.
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>19. Billingsley, P. *Convergence of Probability Measures*; Wiley: New York, NY, USA, 1968.
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>20. Cramér, H.; Leadbetter, M.R. *Stationary and Related Process*; Wiley: New York, NY, USA, 1967.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.