

A multidimensional limit theorem for powers of the Riemann zeta-function

Rasa ŠLEŽEVIČIENĖ (ŠU)

Let $s = \sigma + it$ be a complex variable. The Riemann zeta-function $\zeta(s)$ is defined, for $\sigma > 1$, by

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^s}.$$

Denote by $\mathcal{B}(S)$ the class of Borel sets of the space S , and let, for $T > 0$,

$$\nu_T(\dots) = \frac{1}{T} \text{meas}\{t \in [0, T], \dots\},$$

where $\text{meas}\{A\}$ stands for the Lebesgue measure of the set A , and in place of dots some condition satisfied by t is to be written.

Let k_1, \dots, k_n be natural numbers, $k = \max(k_1, \dots, k_n)$ and $D_k = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : 1 - \frac{1}{k} < \sigma < 1\}$. Denote by $H(D_k)$ the space of analytic on D_k functions equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on compacta. Denote by γ the unit circle on \mathbb{C} , i.e. $\gamma = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : |s| = 1\}$, and let

$$\Omega = \prod_p \gamma_p,$$

where $\gamma_p = \gamma$ for each prime number p . With the product topology and pointwise multiplication Ω is a compact Abelian topological group. Then there exists the probability Haar measure m_H on $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega))$. This yields a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), m_H)$.

Let $\omega(p)$ stand for the projection of $\omega \in \Omega$ to the coordinate space γ_p . Setting

$$\omega(m) = \prod_{p^\alpha || m} \omega^\alpha(p),$$

where $p^\alpha || m$ means that $p^\alpha | m$, but $p^{\alpha+1} \nmid m$, we obtain an extension of $\omega(p)$ to the set \mathbb{N} as a completely multiplicative unimodular function. Define on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), m_H)$ an $H(D_k)$ -valued random element

$$\zeta^k(s, \omega) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\omega(m) d_k(m)}{m^s}, \quad s \in D_k, \omega \in \Omega,$$

where $d_k(m) = \sum_{m=m_1 m_2 \dots m_k} 1$.

Let n be a natural number and $H^n(D_k) = \underbrace{H(D_k) \dots H(D_k)}_n$. Define on $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), m_H)$ an $H^n(D_k)$ -valued random element

$$\zeta_n(s, \omega) = (\zeta^{k_1}(s, \omega), \zeta^{k_2}(s, \omega), \dots, \zeta^{k_n}(s, \omega)),$$

and let P_{ζ_n} denote the distribution of $\zeta_n(s, \omega)$.

We will prove a limit theorem for the probability measure

$$P_T(A) = \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ t \in [0, T], (\zeta^{k_1}(s + it), \zeta^{k_2}(s + it), \dots, \zeta^{k_n}(s + it)) \in A, A \in \mathcal{B}(H^n(D_k)) \right\}.$$

Theorem. *The probability measure P_T converges weakly to P_{ζ_n} as $T \rightarrow \infty$.*

Lemma 1. *The probability measure*

$$\nu_T(\zeta^k(s + it) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D_k)),$$

converges weakly to the distribution of the random element $\zeta^k(s, \omega)$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. The proof of Lemma 1 is similar to that of analogous statement for $k = 1$. Therefore we will give the sketch of proof only. We begin the proof of the Lemma 1 by a limit theorem for Dirichlet polynomials

$$p_{n,k}(s) = \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{d_k(s)}{m^s}.$$

Let G denote some open subset of \mathbb{C} . Define a probability measure on $(H(G), \mathcal{B}(H(G)))$ by

$$P_{T,p_{n,k}}(A) = \nu_T(p_{n,k}(s + it) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H(G)).$$

Then we prove that there exists a probability measure $P_{p_{n,k}}$ on $(H(G), \mathcal{B}(H(G)))$ such that the probability measure $P_{T,p_{n,k}}$ converges weakly to $P_{p_{n,k}}$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$. After this we define

$$p_{n,k}(s, g) = \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{d_k(s)g(m)}{m^s}$$

and

$$\tilde{P}_{T,p_{n,k}}(A) = \nu_T(p_{n,k}(s + it, g) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H(G)),$$

where $g(m)$ is an unimodular completely multiplicative function, and show that the probability measures $P_{T,p_n,k}$ and $\tilde{P}_{T,p_n,k}$ converge weakly to the same measure as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Now we prove a similar assertion for absolutely convergent Dirichlet series. Let $\sigma_1 > 1 - \frac{1}{k}$, $k \geq 2$. We define the function

$$l_n(s) = \frac{s}{\sigma_1} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{\sigma_1}\right) n^s, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

in the strip $-\sigma_1 \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_1$. Here $\Gamma(s)$ stands for the Euler gamma-function. Suppose $\sigma > 1 - \frac{1}{k}$ and

$$\zeta_{2,n,k}(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma_1 - i\infty}^{\sigma_1 + i\infty} \zeta^k(s+z) l_n(z) \frac{dz}{z}.$$

We approximate by mean the function $\zeta^k(s)$, i.e. if K be a compact subset of the half-plane $\sigma > 1 - \frac{1}{k}$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \sup_{s \in K} |\zeta^k(\sigma + i\tau) - \zeta_{2,n,k}(s + i\tau)| d\tau = 0.$$

Let

$$\zeta_{2,n,k}(s, \omega) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_k(m)\omega(m)}{m^s} \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{\sigma_1}\right\}.$$

We define two probability measures on $(H(D_k), \mathcal{B}(H(D_k)))$

$$P_{T,n,k}^1(A) = \nu_T(\zeta_{2,n,k}(s + i\tau) \in A),$$

$$Q_{T,n,k}^1(A) = \nu_T(\zeta_{2,n,k}(s + i\tau, \omega) \in A),$$

and show that both these probability measures converge weakly to the same probability measure $P_{n,k}^1$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Let Ω_1 be a subset of Ω such that for the $\omega \in \Omega_1$ the series

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\omega(m)d_k(m)}{m^s}$$

converges uniformly on compact subsets of D_k and for $\sigma > 1 - \frac{1}{k}$ the estimate

$$\int_0^T |\zeta^k(\sigma + it, \omega)|^2 dt = BT$$

is valid. Let

$$Q_{T,k}(A) = \nu_T(\zeta^k(s + i\tau, \omega_1) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D_k)).$$

Then we prove that there exists a probability measure P_k^1 on $(H(D_k), \mathcal{B}(H(D_k)))$ such that both the probability measures $P_{T,k}$ or $Q_{T,k}$ converge weakly to P_k^1 as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Then using this fact and applying elements of ergodic theory we complete proof of the Lemma 1, proving that P_k^1 is the distribution of $\zeta^k(s, \omega)$.

Let S and S_1 be two metric spaces and let $h : S \rightarrow S_1$ is measurable function. Then every probability measure P on $(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$ induces on $(S_1, \mathcal{B}(S_1))$ the unique probability measure Ph^{-1} defined by equality $Ph^{-1}(A) = P(h^{-1}A)$, $A \in \mathcal{B}(S_1)$.

Lemma 2. *Let $h : S \rightarrow S_1$ be a continuous function. If P_n converges weakly to P , then $P_n h^{-1}$ converges weakly to Ph^{-1} as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof can be found [1].

Lemma 3. *The family of probability measures $\{P_T, T > 0\}$ is relatively compact.*

Proof. From Lemma 1 the probability measure

$$P_{T,k_i}(A) = \nu_T(\zeta^{k_i}(s + i\tau) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D_k)),$$

converges weakly to the distribution of the random element $\zeta^{k_i}(s, \omega)$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$. From this it follows that the family of the probability measures $\{P_{T,k_i}, T > 0\}$ is relatively compact. Since $H(D_k)$ is a complete separable space, hence we obtain by the second Prochorov theorem that the family $\{P_{T,k_i}\}$ is tight, i.e. for an arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set $K_k \subset H(D_k)$ such that

$$P_{T,k_i}(H(D_k) \setminus K_{k_i}) < \frac{\epsilon}{n} \tag{1}$$

for all $T > 0$. Define on a probability space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \mathcal{F}, Q)$ a random element η_T by

$$Q(\eta_T \in A) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T I_A dt, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}),$$

where A is the indicator function of set A . Consider the $H(D_k)$ -valued random element

$$\zeta_{T,k_i}(s) = \zeta^{k_i}(s + i\eta_T),$$

and let

$$\zeta_T(s) = (\zeta_{T,k_1}, \zeta_{T,k_2}, \dots, \zeta_{T,k_n}).$$

Then, by (1)

$$Q(\zeta_{T,k_i}(S) \in H(D_k) \setminus K_{k_i}) < \frac{\epsilon}{n}.$$

Let $K = K_{k_1} \times K_{k_2} \times \dots \times K_{k_n}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} P_T(H^n(D_k) \setminus K) &= Q(\zeta_T(s) \in H^n(D_k) \setminus K) \\ &= Q\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n (\zeta_{T,k_i}(s) \in H^n(D_k) \setminus K)\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n Q(\zeta_{T,k_i}(s) \in H^n(D_k) \setminus K) < \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all $T > 0$. Consequently, the family $\{P_T\}$ is tight. From the first Prokorov theorem it is relatively compact. Lemma 3 is proved.

Let $s_1, \dots, s_r \in D_k$, $\tilde{D} = \{s \in \mathbb{C}, \sigma > 1 - \frac{1}{k} - \min_{1 \leq l \leq r} \Re s_l\}$, $u_{kl} \in \mathbb{C}$, where $1 \leq k \leq n$, $1 \leq l \leq r$. Define a function $h : H^n(D_k) \rightarrow H(\tilde{D})$ by the formula

$$h(f_1, \dots, f_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^r u_{kl} f_k(s_l + s), \quad s \in D_k, f_j \in H(D_k), j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Moreover, let

$$\zeta_h(s) = h(\zeta^{k_1}(s), \zeta^{k_2}(s), \dots, \zeta^{k_n}(s)).$$

The functions $\zeta_h(s)$ and $\zeta^k(s)$ have the same analytic properties. Therefore, reasoning similiary as in the proof of Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\zeta_h(s + i\eta_T) \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{D}} h(\zeta_n(s, \omega)). \quad (2)$$

Proof of Theorem. By Lemma 3 there exists a squence $T_1 \rightarrow \infty$ such that P_{T_1} converges weakly to some probability measure P . Let P is the distribution of $H^n(D_k)$ -valued random element

$$\tilde{\zeta}(s) = (\tilde{\zeta}_1(s), \dots, \tilde{\zeta}_n(s)),$$

i.e.

$$\zeta_{T_1} \xrightarrow[T_1 \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{D}} \tilde{\zeta}.$$

Hence and from Lemma 2 we have that

$$h(\zeta_{T_1}) \underset{T_1 \rightarrow \infty}{\mathcal{D}} h(\tilde{\zeta}),$$

or

$$\zeta_h(s + i\eta_{T_1}) \underset{T_1 \rightarrow \infty}{\mathcal{D}} h(\tilde{\zeta}). \tag{3}$$

Then by (2)

$$\zeta_h(s + i\eta_{T_1}) \underset{T_1 \rightarrow \infty}{\mathcal{D}} h(\zeta_n). \tag{4}$$

Now it follows from (3) and (4) that

$$h(\zeta_n) \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} h(\tilde{\zeta}). \tag{5}$$

Let a function $h_1 : H(\tilde{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be given by the formula

$$h_1(f) = f(0), \quad f \in H(\tilde{D}).$$

Then from (5) we have

$$h_1(h(\zeta_n)) \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} h_1(h(\tilde{\zeta})),$$

or

$$h(\zeta_n)(0) \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} h(\tilde{\zeta})(0).$$

This yields

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^r u_{kl} \zeta^k(s, \omega) \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^r u_{kl} \tilde{\zeta}_k(s_l) \tag{6}$$

for arbitrary $u_{kl} \in \mathbb{C}$.

Hiperplanes in the space \mathbb{R}^{2nk} form a determining class. Therefore, the hiperplanes also form a determining class in the space \mathbb{C}^{nk} . Taking into account (6), we obtain that the random elements $\zeta^k(s, \omega)$ and $\tilde{\zeta}_k(s_l)$ have the same distribution.

Let K be a compact subset of D_k , $f_1, \dots, f_n \in H(\tilde{D})$, and let a sequence $\{s_l\}$ be dense in K . For an arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$ we set

$$G = \left\{ (g_1, \dots, g_n) \in H^n(D_k) : \sup_{s \in K} |g_j(s) - f_j(s)| \leq \epsilon \right\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$G_r = \left\{ (g_1, \dots, g_n) \in H^n(D_k) : |g_j(s) - f_j(s)| \leq \epsilon \right\}.$$

From the properties of random elements $\zeta^k(s, \omega)$ and $\tilde{\zeta}_k(s_l)$ it follows that

$$m_H(\omega \in \Omega : \zeta_n(s, \omega) \in G_r) = P(\tilde{\zeta}(s) \in G_r). \quad (7)$$

Since the sequence $\{s_l\}$ is dense in K , we have $G_1 \supset G_2 \supset \dots$, and $G_l \rightarrow G$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, letting $r \rightarrow \infty$ in (7) we find

$$m_H(\omega \in \Omega : \zeta_n(s, \omega) \in G) = P(\tilde{\zeta}(s) \in G).$$

From this we have

$$\zeta_n \stackrel{D}{=} \tilde{\zeta}.$$

Thus

$$\zeta_{T_1} \stackrel{D}{T_1 \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_n.$$

These means that the probability measure P_T converges weakly to the distribution of the random element ζ_n as $T_1 \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\{P_T\}$ is relatively compact and random element ζ_n is independent of the choice of the sequence T_1 the assertion of the theorem follows.

References

- [1] P. Billingsley, *Convergence of Probability Measures*, John Wiley, New York (1968).
 [2] A. Laurinčikas, *Limit Theorems for the Riemann Zeta-function*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, Boston, London (1996).

Daugiamatė ribinė teorema Rymano dzeta funkcijos laipsniam

R. Šleževičienė

Straipsnyje įrodoma daugiamatė ribinė teorema Rymano dzeta funkcijos laipsniam analizinių funkcijų erdvėje.