VILNIUS UNIVERSITY

Dainius Daunoravičius

MOLECULAR MECHANISMS WITHIN CHRONIC VIRUS-POSITIVE AND INFLAMMATORY DILATED CARDIOMYOPATHY

Doctoral Dissertation Biomedical sciences, medicine (06 B)

Vilnius, 2014

The dissertation was prepared in 2010-2014 at the Department of Pathology, Forensic Medicine and Pharmacology, Vilnius University Faculty of Medicine.

Scientific supervisor – prof. dr. **Virginija Grabauskienė** (Vilnius University, biomedical sciences, medicine – 06 B).

Consultatnt – prof. dr. **Laimonas Griškevičius** (Vilnius University, biomedical sciences, medicine – 06 B).

VILNIAUS UNIVERSITETAS

Dainius Daunoravičius

MOLEKULINIAI MECHANIZMAI, ĮTAKOJANTYS VIRUSINĖS IR UŽDEGIMINĖS KILMĖS LĖTINIŲ DILATACINIŲ KARDIOMIOPATIJŲ VYSTYMĄSI

Daktaro disertacijos santrauka biomedicinos mokslai, medicina (06 B)

Vilnius, 2014

Disertacija buvo parengta 2010–2014 m. Vilniaus universiteto Medicinos fakulteto Patologijos, teismo medicinos ir farmakologijos katedroje.

Mokslinė vadovė – prof. dr. **Virginija Grabauskienė** (Vilniaus universitetas, biomedicinos mokslai, medicina – 06 B).

Mokslinis konsultantas – prof. dr. **Laimonas Griškevičius** (Vilniaus universitetas, biomedicinos mokslai, medicina – 06 B).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	AE	BREVIATION LIST	8	
2.	IN	TRODUCTION	11	
2	.1.	Background	11	
2	.2.	Hypothesis	15	
2	.3.	Aims	15	
2	.4.	Objectives	15	
2	.5.	The novelty and significance	15	
2	.6.	Cooperation	16	
3.	LĽ	TERATURE REVIEW	18	
3	.1.	Suggested mechanisms of DCM	18	
3	.2.	Classification of inflammatory heart disease	19	
3	.3.	The influence of virus genome on myocardium functioning	20	
3	.4.	Types of cardiotropic viruses	21	
3	.5.	Inflammatory infiltrates in DCM	23	
3	.6.	Cytokines involved in progression of DCM	26	
3	.7.	Involvement of hormones in regulation of DCM	27	
3	.8.	Myocardial cell death mechanisms in DCM	29	
3	.9.	The role of extracellular matrix in functioning of myocardium	32	
3	.10.	Regulation of myocardial fibrogenesis by matrix metalloproteinases	35	
3	.11.	Automated evaluation of cardiac fibrosis	37	
4. MATERIALS AND METHODS				
4	.1.	Secreted and intramyocardial biomarkers in DCM	40	
	4.1	.1. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria	40	
4.1.2. Basic medical examinations				
	4.1	.3. Cardiac catheterization and endomyocardial biopsy	41	
	4.1	.4. Collection and preparation of blood samples	42	
	4.1	.5. Detection of viral genome on endomyocardial biopsy	42	

4.1.6. ELISA assays	44
4.1.7. Additional biochemical measurements	44
4.1.8. Histology and immunohistochemistry of endomyocardial biopsies	45
4.1.9. Evaluation of histochemical and immunohistochemical stainings in endomyocardial biopsies	46
4.2. Quantification of myocardial fibrosis by digital image analysis and interactive stereology	49
4.2.1. Experimental model	49
4.2.2. Colocalization algorithm	49
4.2.3. Genie algorithm	50
4.2.4. Stereology	51
4.2.5. Pathologist's visual scoring	53
4.3. Statistical analyses	54
4.3.1. Analysis of DCM data	54
4.3.2. Analysis of fibrosis quantification data	54
4.4. Ethical approval	54
5. RESULTS	55
5.1. Virus-positive DCM patient study group	55
5.1.1. Identification of viral genome in endomyocardial biopsy	55
5.1.2. Basic clinical parameters	55
5.1.3. Estimation of inflammation and cardiomyocyte death in virus-positive and vi negative DCM samples	rus- 57
5.1.4. Impact of virus on contraction proteins in myocardial tissue	60
5.1.5. Changes of released contraction-regulating proteins in virus-positive serums	64
5.1.6. Other mechanisms regulating progression of viral DCM	66
5.2. Inflammation-positive DCM patient study group	69
5.2.1. Detection of inflammatory infiltrate in endomyocardial biopsy	69
5.2.2. Basic clinical parameters	69
5.2.3. Inflammatory markers in dilated cardiomyopathy	71

5.2.4. Changes of apoptotic biomarkers in inflammatory DCM samples	73
5.2.5. Induction of necrosis in inflammatory DCM samples	77
5.2.6. The interaction between apoptotic pathways supporting progression inflammatory DCM	on of 78
5.2.7. The expression of apoptotic biomarkers in myocardial tissue	81
5.3. Quantification of myocardial fibrosis by digital image analysis and i stereology	interactive 83
6. DISCUSSION	89
6.1. Molecular mechanisms of virus-induced DCM	89
6.2. Molecular mechanisms dominating in inflammatory DCM	91
6.3. Quantification of myocardial fibrosis by digital image analysis and i stereology	nteractive 94
6.4. Summary	96
7. CONCLUSIONS	98
8. REFERENCES	99
9. LIST OF PUBLICATIONS ON THE TOPIC OF THE DISSERTATION	N 123
9.1. Publications	123
9.2. Oral presentations	124
10. ANNEX	126
11. FUNDING	127
12. DISCLOSURE	127
13. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	127

1. ABBREVIATION LIST

Activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT) Active memory T-lymphocytes (CD45Ro⁺) Adenosine triphosphate (ATP) Adenovirus (ADV) Adiponectin (APN) American Heart Association (AHA) Apoptosis protease-activating factor (APAF) Aspartate aminotransferase (AST) Atrial fibrillation (AF) Bovine serum albumin (BSA) Brain natriuretic protein (BNP) Cardiac index (CI) Cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) Collagen I 1- α chain (COL1A1) Collagen I C-terminal telopeptide (CITP) Collagen type I (Col I) Collagen type III (Col III) Coronary artery disease (CAD) Creatine kinase MB (CK-MB) Cytomegalovirus (CMV) Death-inducing signaling complex (DISC) Dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) Endomyocardial biopsy (EMB) Enterovirus (EV) Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) European Society of Cardiology (ESC) Extracellular matrix (ECM) Fas ligand (FasL) Fas-associated death domain (FADD)

Fatty acid synthetase (Fas) Haematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) Heart failure (HF) Heat shock protein-60 (HSP60) Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) Hepatitis C virus (HCV) High sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP) High-density lipoprotein (HDL) High-sensitivity troponin T (hsTnT) Human herpesvirus type 6 (HHV-6) Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) Human leukocyte antigen (HLA) Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) Implantable cardiac defibrillator (ICD) Inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) Inhibitors of apoptosis proteins (IAPs) Interleukin-1 (IL-1) Interleukin-6 (IL-6) Left ventricle (LV) Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) Left ventricular end-diastolic diameter (LVEDD) Left ventricular end-diastolic diameter index (LVEDDI) Low-density lipoprotein (LDL) Macrophages (CD68⁺) Matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) Matrix metalloproteinase-1 (MMP1) Matrix metalloproteinase-13 (MMP13) Matrix metalloproteinase-2 (MMP2) Matrix metalloproteinase-9 (MMP9) Messenger RNA (mRNA) Mitochondrial outer membrane permeability (MoMP) Natural killer cells (NK)

New York Heart Association (NYHA) Parvovirus B19 (PVB19) Platelet cells (PT) Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) Procollagen I C-terminal propeptide (PICP) Procollagen I N-terminal propeptide (PINP) Procollagen III C-terminal propeptide (PIIICP) Procollagen III N-terminal propeptide (PIIINP) Pro-interleukin-1 beta (IL-1 β) Prothrombin time (PT) Pulmonary artery (PA) Pulmonary artery pressure (PAP) Pulmonary capillary wedge pressure (PCWP) Pulmonary hypertension (PH) Pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR) Reactive oxygen species (ROS) Receptor-interacting protein (RIP) Red blood cells (RBC) Regions of interest (ROI) Relative light units (RLU) Restrictive cardiomyopathy (RCM) Right atrial pressure (RAP) Right ventricular cardiomyopathy (RVCM) Tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-1 (TIMP1) T-lymphocytes (CD3⁺) TNF receptor-associated death domain (TRADD) Transforming growth factor $\beta 1$ (TGF- $\beta 1$) Tumor necrosis factor (TNF) Tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α) Unclassified cardiomyopathy (UCM) Varicella-zoster virus (VZV) White blood cells (WBC)

2. INTRODUCTION

2.1.Background

Cardiomyopathies constitute a group of myocardial disorders in which the structural and functional abnormality of the heart muscle is the dominant feature (in the absence of coronary artery disease, hypertension, valvular disease and congenital observed myocardial heart disease sufficient to cause the abnormality). Cardiomyopathies are classified into five morphological and functional phenotypes with distinct hemodynamic properties that can be caused by genetic and non-genetic mechanisms: dilated (DCM), hypertrophic (HCM), restrictive (RCM), arrhythmogenic right ventricular (ARVC) and unclassified cardiomyopathies (UCM) [1]. Dilated cardiomyopathy, mainly characterized by left ventricular chamber enlargement and impaired myocardial contractility, is the most common form of cardiomyopathy in both adults and children and currently is the most frequent indication for heart transplantation [2-4]. The management of patients with non-ischemic DCM can be extremely challenging with a disease course, difficult to predict. DCM occurs more frequently in men than in women, and is most common between the ages of 20 and 60 years [5]. The history and clinical features are often nonspecific. Clinical manifestation of inflammatory cardiomyopathy varies, with a broad spectrum of symptoms ranging from asymptomatic courses over presentations with signs of myocardial infarction to devastating illness with cardiogenic shock. Symptoms usually develop gradually, and some patients are asymptomatic despite left ventricular dilatation for months or even years. The most striking symptoms of DCM are those of left ventricular systolic failure, but right-sided heart failure may also occur and is associated with a particularly poor prognosis [6, 7]. The diagnosis of inflammatory DCM cannot be established without endomyocardial biopsy. Practical and specific serological markers are not available during the acute phase of the disease.

The recent progress in study on etiology of DCM has shown that viral infection, genetic abnormalities and autoimmune mechanisms are the major causes [8-10]. Starting from the early 1950s at least three distinct mechanisms were identified to explain the development of DCM. Currently the most accepted potential disease mechanism was developed in 1990s. It encompasses a slow, chronic, and continuous

destruction of cardiac myocytes or impairment of myocyte function, which is considered as a direct, albeit delayed, consequence of the initial viral infection and/or a virus-initiated immunologic process. Although viral infection has been already identified as a main cause of DCM, the heart can be also the primary target for bacterial, protozoa or parasitic infection. Moreover, it could be involved in the "collateral damage" of infective organisms (by toxins, chemokines or cytokines) and cross-reactive antibodies. A causal involvement of myocardial inflammation and viral agents in the pathogenesis of DCM is supported by the findings of myocardial viral genome persistence in DCM patients [1, 11-13]. The spectrum of the infectious agents that could be involved in the inflammatory cardiomyopathy varies with the geographic region, the patient's age, application of different therapeutic procedures, and additional diseases. Infective agents show a remarkable organotropicity: viral infections, toxic and auto-reactive processes primarily affect the myocardium and the pericardium [14].

The heart relies on a complex network of cells to maintain appropriate function. The contracting cells in the heart (cardiomyocytes) exist in a three-dimensional network of endothelial cells, vascular smooth muscle, and an abundance of fibroblasts as well as transient populations of immune cells. The connections of cardiomyocytes to the extracellular matrix (ECM) transduce the force and coordinate the overall contraction of the heart. The development of interstitial and perivascular fibrosis is a hallmark of pathology in the heart. Focal fibrosis composed primarily of collagen types I and III, occurs in the early stages of cardiomyopathy, but over time fibrosis increases and directly compromises the function of cardiomyocytes. The presence of collagen-rich regions in the myocardium cause disruption of excitation-contraction coupling between cardiomyocyte and increased stiffness of the myocardium, which leads to decreased contractility in the heart [15]. The different types of cell death, such as apoptosis, necrosis/oncosis, autophagy and proteasome degradation are contributing to the loss of cardiac myocytes depending on the intensity and origin of heart damage [16-18]. Given the complexity of the coordinated efforts of many proteins existing in multimeric complexes, and also complexity of inter-cellular signaling, dysfunction of heart occurs when these interactions are disrupted [19]. Although the heart may functionally tolerate a variety of pathological insults, adaptive responses that aim to maintain function eventually fail resulting in a wide range of functional deficits or cardiomyopathy.

Myocardial inflammation has been identified as an important causal factor responsible for the progression to DCM [20-25]. This includes autoimmune, viral or post-viral inflammation, mediated by the native and acquired immune response (cytotoxic T-lymphocytes, natural killer (NK) cells and macrophages) [26-28]. The damage of myocardium is carried out by a loco-regional effects of inflammatory mediators such as inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) and cytokines released by the infiltrated lymphocytes, macrophages and/or endothelial cells. The further heart damage is caused by direct interaction of the antibodies against the β - and other plasma membrane receptors, myolemma, mitochondrial and microsomal membrane or intra-organelle proteins [29-32]. Finally, certain toxins (alcohol, anthracyclines, cocaine, etc.) might impair the membrane transport mechanisms or biochemical processes also induce the loss or dysfunction of the matrix proteins such as dystrophin, laminins, etc. Initial myocardial insults are difficult to identify, however, they very often lead to an autoimmune response and development of inflammatory DCM [24]. DCM is a consequence of persistent heart exposure to various stress signals, including pro-inflammatory, viral, oxidative, neuro-hormonal, and other micro- or macroenvironmental factors subsequently leading to chronic heart failure (CHF) [33]. The understanding of CFH development has been changed from a simplistic disease to a multisystem disorder affecting immune, musculoskeletal, renal, and other systems.

The application of molecular-biological, histological and immunohistochemical diagnostic techniques has identified the sub-groups of specific diseases which may better respond to certain therapies such as immuno-modulation, immuno-suppression or antiviral based on the underlying pathomechanisms [14, 34-37]. These new insights of the disease are mandatory allowing developing novel etiology-directed treatment strategies. Although significant progress has been achieved in the treatment of heart failure, as a result of cardiomyopathy, it is still a matter of fact that there are many patients with refractory heart failure that do not respond to available treatment. No doubt, that the best way to treat inflammatory DCM is to identify exactly and then eliminate the causes initiating heart injury. Since this is often a hard task, it is of high importance to understand the molecular mechanisms behind myocarditis progressing

to inflammatory DCM. This breakthrough could facilitate the search of the better treatment for the injured myocardium. As a proof of it, recent studies have provided evidence of a positive clinical impact of immunosuppressive therapy in up to 90% of patients with negative cardiac PCR for the main cardiotropic viruses [14, 36, 38]. Unfortunately, so far there are no unanimous agreements about the benefit of specific treatment in addition to conventional anti-heart failure therapy.

2.2. Hypothesis

Molecular mechanisms ongoing in chronic dilated cardiomyopathy can differ depending on the intensity and origin of toxic exposures. Digital evaluation of cardiac fibrosis is more accurate and can replace the pathologist evaluation.

2.3.Aims

The aim of this study was to establish molecular mechanisms dominating in viruspositive and idiopathic inflammatory dilated cardiomyopathy, and to develop and validate a tool for cardiac fibrosis quantification.

2.4.Objectives

- 1. To analyze virus-positive and virus-negative DCM biopsies and serums and investigate:
 - 1.1. Induction of inflammation, fibrosis and molecular mechanisms of intramyocardial cell death.
 - 1.2. Molecular mechanisms regulating extracellular matrix and fibrosis.
- 2. To analyze biopsies and serums of inflammation-positive and inflammationnegative sub groups and to:
 - 2.1. Estimate the release of inflammatory cytokines and infiltration of inflammatory cells in myocardium.
 - 2.2. Investigate role of intrinsic apoptotic pathway in cardiomyocyte death.
 - 2.3. Explore role of extrinsic apoptotic pathway in cardiomyocyte death.
 - 2.4. Investigate molecular mechanisms regulating development of cardiac fibrosis and changes of extracellular matrix.
- 3. To evaluate the accuracy of digital image analysis (Genie and Colocalization) and the pathologist's visual scoring for the measurement of fibrosis in human myocardial biopsies.

2.5. The novelty and significance

Despite intensive search for therapeutic interventions, DCM remains the major cause of heart failure in the patients of relatively young age eventually leading to heart transplantation. Limited availability of donor hearts results in long waiting of transplantation. Many patients with end-stage of heart failure perish before a donor heart becomes available.

The common proof of presence of the virus in the DCM myocardium is PCR analysis, whereas the inflammatory infiltrates in EMB supports the inflammatory origin of the disease. However, the data about the molecular mechanisms playing a key role in the pathogenesis of development of various origins of DCM are still missing. Therefore, the main goal of this study was to investigate in more details the molecular mechanisms in virus-positive and inflammatory-positive myocardiums. The identification of the processes dominating in DCM development and also the ability to regulate and control them is one of the main aims for clinicians. Additionally, the search of biomarkers for early identification of myocardial failure is not of less importance. Only the timely identification of secreted biomarkers being coincident with processes in the myocardium will allow us to improve diagnosis and proper treatment of heart preventing further destructive processes of DCM.

One more important objective of this study was to develop a tool to quantify the cardiac fibrosis and also to evaluate the immunohistochemical stainings of the EMB. As a matter of fact, so far the interpretation of histomorphometric parameters in most clinical routine analysis and research studies is still primarily based on human visual scoring, which is not only hugely subjective and involving a substantial workload on a pathologist, but also has many limitations inherent to the traditional pathology. Consequently, since the evaluation of fibrosis was important for the interpretation of DCM molecular mechanisms, the digital algorithms for fibrosis estimation were validated against a criterion standard obtained by point counting used in interactive stereology.

2.6. Cooperation

This study was conducted in cooperation with the following science institutions:

- Vilnius University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Pathology, Forensic Medicine and Pharmacology, Vilnius, Lithuania.
- State Research Institute, Center for Innovative Medicine, Dept. of Stem Cell Biology, Vilnius, Lithuania.
- National Center of Pathology, Affiliate of Vilnius University Hospital Santariskiu Klinikos, Vilnius, Lithuania.

- 4. Vilnius University Hospital Santariskiu Klinikos; Hematology, Oncology and Transfusion Medicine Center, Vilnius, Lithuania.
- Vilnius University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Physiology, Biochemistry, Microbiology and Laboratory Medicine, Vilnius, Lithuania.
- 6. Universitätsmedizin Mannheim, Department of Integrative Pathophysiology, Mannheim, Germany.
- 7. University of Heidelberg, Medical Faculty Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany.
- University Hospital Gießen & Marburg, Department of Cardiology, Marburg, Germany.
- 9. University of Normandy, Path-Image/BioTiCla, Unicaen, Caen, France.
- 10. Hull York Medical School, Departament of Cardiology, Castle Hill Hospital, Cottingham United Kingdom.

3. LITERATURE REVIEW

3.1. Suggested mechanisms of DCM

A historically based literature review of the relationship between acute viral myocarditis and the subsequent development of DCM reveals an evolution of understanding of the DCM and starts in the early 1950s. The first scenario considered that DCM was a direct consequence of viral myocarditis and divided into acute or sub-acute phases [39]. The injury was predominated by the myocyte necrosis caused by both acute viral infection and the intra-myocardial inflammatory cell infiltrate in response to the acute viral infection [40-42]. This mechanism was undisputedly supported with direct causal relation of Coxsackie B virus (CBV) myocarditis and subsequent sub-acute or chronic development of DCM. DCM state was considered as a direct consequence of myocyte necrosis and subsequent myocardial scarring that is directly attributable to the CBV infection.

The second potential mechanism developed in 1990s encompasses a slow, chronic and continuous destruction of cardiomyocytes and impairment of cardiomyocyte function through continuous heart-specific inflammation carried out by the innate immune response. Postulated specific mediators of such a chronic process include protracted active viral infection and/or a virus-initiated immunologic process [43, 44]. The inflammation may persist because of mistaken recognition of endogenous heart antigens as pathogenic entities. Although the development of DCM is temporally remote from the acute bout of myocarditis, it must be considered as a direct, albeit delayed consequence of the initial infection along with auto-immune processes playing a key role [45]. This mechanism is still considered as the basis for further DCM analysis.

The third and the most recent mechanism identifies a distinct and indirect mechanistic possibility of DCM developing long after complete resolution of the initial episode of myocarditis. The complete resolution of the process implies the absence of residual necrosis, scarring, or left ventricular (LV) dysfunction. In this case DCM develops as a result of an undefined process in which an episode of remote viral infection renders the healed myocardium more susceptible to the remote development

of idiopathic DCM. While the first two mechanisms are supported by the literature, the third mechanism remains controversial while hard evidence for the development of an idiopathic DCM as a late downstream complication following complete recovery from a bout of myocarditis is still not clear [46].

3.2. Classification of inflammatory heart disease

The gold standard for diagnosis of myocarditis and inflammatory DCM is endomyocardial biopsy (EMB). It is now recognized that the Dallas criteria are not sensitive because they do not consider the presence of viral genome in the heart [47]. The use of the new tools of immunohistochemistry and viral polymerase chain reaction (PCR) has provided a better identification of the aetiology of inflammatory DCM and renewed interest in the mechanisms of the inflammatory process in the heart [14, 22, 48].

Myocarditis is defined as inflammation of the heart after immunohistochemical detection of focal or diffuse mononuclear infiltrates with >14 leukocytes per 1 mm² (CD3⁺ T lymphocytes and/or CD68⁺ macrophages) independent of the clinical phenotype or the presence of heart failure or ventricular dilatation [13, 49-51]. If there is hemodynamic compromise together with cardiac dilatation (LVEDD [left ventricular end-diastolic diameter] >55 mm and the ejection fraction is <50 %, histological myocarditis is categorized as inflammatory DCM [49]. The classification of inflammatory heart disease, myocarditis and peri-myocarditis is based on several consensus documents on the classification of cardiomyopathies [4, 51], the American Heart Association (AHA) guidelines on heart failure [52], the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) guidelines on the management of pericardial and peri-myocardial disease [53] and current knowledge on the diagnosis and treatment of cardiac inflammation from peer review publications [6, 8, 34, 35, 47, 54-61].

On the basis of results from biopsy sample analysis of immunohistochemistry (IHC) and PCR for virus detection it is possible to identify distinct subentities of myocarditis and inflammatory DCM with possible ethiopatogenetic treatment options (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1. Myocarditis diagnostics and possible ethiopatogenetic treatment (adapted from [14]).

3.3. The influence of virus genome on myocardium functioning

Viral infection of the heart is recognized as an important cause of both acute and chronic heart failure [11, 12, 54, 62, 63]. It might be a main reason behind myocarditis with ensuing DCM [24]. A large variety of molecular mechanisms are suggested to initiate myocardial viral infection, yet most of them do not enjoy unanimous approbation [23]. On the other hand, some authors disagree with implication of viruses in development of DCM [64, 65]. The controversy might be explained by the variation of investigation models and different intensity of intramyocardial viral infection. The presence of viral genome in endomyocardial biopsy samples has also been reported in a subset of patients with idiopathic DCM even in the absence of classic histological myocarditis [12, 66]. Recent biopsy series in patients with DCM have revealed that long-term presence of cardiotropic viruses triggers heart failure: >70 % of patients with idiopathic DCM carry a cardiotropic virus in the heart [12, 61].

It is known, that coxackievirus, belonging to the enteroviruses, infect cardiomyocytes, B cells, CD4⁺ T cells, macrophages and fibroblasts. In contrast to enteroviruses, all other viruses often detected in the human heart cannot infect myocytes, due to absence of the correspondent viral receptors. PB19 infects exclusively endothelial cells (this virus was found in endothelial cells of children and

adult patients with myocarditis). Herpesviruses including human herpesvirus type 6 (HHV6) and Epstein-Barr virus (EBV), which also do not infect cardiomyocytes, were detected in cardiac inflammatory cells (macrophages, T or B lymphocytes). Furthermore, it was found that cytomegalovirus (CMV) infects macrophages, fibroblasts and endothelial cells, whereas human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) affects CD4⁺ T cells and macrophages [67].

Thus, numerous cardiotropic viruses do not damage the heart via cytolysis of cardiomyocytes but most likely via expression of cardiotoxic chemokines and cytokines from infected endothelial or immune cells, contributing to further attraction of potentially harmful immune cells into the heart. It is known that, for example, HHV6 may induce the expression of the proinflammatory cytokine IL-6 which is decisive for the invasion of T cells into infected organs [68]. Direct cytopathic effects and immune dysregulation induced by the viral myocarditis trigger cardiac dysfunction. Cardiotropic viruses are able to degrade cell-cell, cell-matrix, and intracellular elements. The proteases aim to facilitate the entry of the virus into cells, but also result in myocyte slippage, injury, and cardiac dysfunction [69]. The presence of viral genomes on endomyocardial biopsy is associated with subsequent worsening of heart function, the need for cardiac transplantation and sometimes even with a patient death [12, 50]. The intramyocardial virus can also be used to guide the treatment in acute and chronic inflammatory DCM [22].

3.4. Types of cardiotropic viruses

The myocardium can be infected by a wide variety of viruses (Table 1).

If was established in 1950's that the main cardio-tropic viruses were coxackievirus A and B, but these were later replaced by enteroviruses (EV) and adenoviruses (ADV) [62]. With the development of molecular techniques (e.g. PCR) to examine endomyocardial tissue, many other viruses and viral co-infections have been recognized: case reports and series have associated DCM with approximately 20 viruses [12, 62, 66, 70]. At the turn-point in the year 1995, the prevalence of enterovirus decreased and soon this also happened with adenovirus. The recent day research revealed a new set of prevalent viruses: PVB19 followed by CMV, HHV-6, hepatitis C virus (HCV), EBV and only then the classic EV and ADV [10, 11, 47, 62,

64, 71-74]. Although the pathogenic role of enteroviruses in myocarditis and chronic DCM is well established, it remains unclear whether PB19 being currently the most commonly detected viral genome is incidental or pathogenic [12, 13, 50].

Table 1 Cardiotropic viruses.

Viral agents of myocardium infection

Adenovirus; Arbovirus; Coxsackievirus B; Cytomegalovirus; Dengue virus; Echovirus;
Enterovirus; Epstein-Barr virus; Hepatitis C; Human Herpesvirus; Human
immunodeficiency virus; Influenza virus; Mumps; Parvovirus B19; Poliomyelitis; Rabies;
Rubella; Rubeola; Varicella; Variola; Yellow fever.

Most important viruses in DCM pathogenesis are in bold phase type.

Most humans are infected with **parvovirus B19** early in life without any major sequela. It was recently recognized that PB19 can cause myocarditis and either latent or active viral cardiomyopathy with high virus copy numbers in endomyocardial biopsies [75]. Mean numbers of viral copies detected in patients with inflammatory DCM were up to 50-fold higher when compared to hypertrophic cardiomyopathy [76]. In the recent PCR series PB19 has been observed in 30% to 67% of investigated endomyocardial biopsy samples of patients with DCM and myocarditis [11, 77, 78].

Adenoviruses account for 3–5 % of acute respiratory infections in children and less than 2 % of respiratory illnesses in adults. However, nearly 100 % of adults have serum antibody to multiple serotypes of this virus. The frequency of adenoviruses in PCR-positive DCM patients detected by nested PCR was from 1.6 % to 12.8 % [11, 76, 79]. The detection of ADV was associated with considerably reduced graft survival after cardiac transplantation in a pediatric population [80].

Enteroviruses (EV), in particular group B coxackievirus, have been detected in EMBs of myocarditis and DCM patients [81]. They have been linked to the transition from myocarditis to DCM and are considered to be important prognostic factors in DCM [82]. Despite the introduction of sensitive molecular biological methods for the detection of EV genomes, the incidence of EV infections in DCM was rather low, and thus, the hypothesized viral cause of DCM appeared to be confined to a small subset of DCM patients. The common rate of EV presence in the EBM is up to 9.4 % [11]. The evolvement of cardiac inflammation in EV infections is guided by viral cytotoxicity

and virus persistence.

In herpesvirus infections, the pathophysiology is rather determined by primary immune-mediated pathogenicity. Thus, herpesviruses including Epstein-Barr virus and human herpesvirus type 6 infections rarely induce cardiac symptoms in immunocompetent patients. Prevalence for HHV6 genomes detected in patients with myocarditis or DCM ranged from 8 to 21.6 % and for EBV genomes from 0 to 8 % [11, 67].

The infections with **human cytomegalovirus** of unrecognized origin are common in childhood, and subsequently the majority of the adult population carry antibodies to CMV [83]. Primary infection after the age of 35 years, however, is uncommon and generalized infections usually occur in immunosuppressed patients only [84]. The frequency of this virus in PCR-positive DCM patients is from 0.8 % up to 3 % [11, 76].

The involvement of cardiac system in hepatitis is rare. There are contested data implicating **hepatitis virus C** infection as an etiologic factor in at least some cases of human viral cardiomyopathy [73]. In rare cases, fulminant myocarditis with congestive heart failure, hypotension, and death may occur.

Although clinically apparent myocarditis is rarely seen in **influenza**, the presence of preexisting cardiovascular disease greatly increases the risk of morbidity and mortality [85]. During epidemics, 5–10 % of infected patients may experience cardiac symptoms [86]. Postmortem findings in fatal cases include biventricular dilatation, with evidence of a mononuclear infiltrate, especially in perivascular areas. The frequency of this virus in PCR-positive DCM patients is up to 0.5 % [76].

Cardiac involvement occurs in up to 50 % of patients infected with **human immunodeficiency virus** (HIV). However, it leads to clinically apparent heart disease in only approximately 10 %. Congestive heart failure due to left ventricular dilatation and dysfunction is the most common finding in these patients [87].

3.5.Inflammatory infiltrates in DCM

Although it is clear that viral genomes can be identified in a subset of patients with acute myocarditis and DCM, the impact of the presence of viral genomes on cardiac function and clinical outcome is still controversial. One clinical study showed the association between viral persistence in the heart and progressive cardiac dysfunction [12]. In contrast, another clinical study reported that the presence of viral genomes per se could not be a predictor of cardiac death or heart transplantation in patients with clinically suspected myocarditis [50]. The latter clinical study further pointed out that the presence of inflammatory T cells and/or macrophages with enhanced expression of human leukocyte antigen (HLA) class II molecules in the heart can be a promising predictor of the clinical outcome even in the absence of viral genomes and Dallas criteria–positive findings [50].

The currently most accepted model is that viral infections trigger an inflammatory response leading to post-viral autoimmunity, chronic inflammation, cardiac injury and cardiomyopathy. The progression from acute injury to chronic DCM may be simplified into three phases (Fig. 2). Phase 1 is dominated by viral infection. Initial cardiomyocyte damage occurs in this phase by: direct viral damage, also by released perforins from cytolytic T cells and by many other ways. Acute cardiomyocyte injury leads to exposure of pathogens and intracellular sequestered antigens such as cardiac myosin or laminin and subsequent activation of the innate immune system. The innate immune response includes Toll-like receptor activation, release of cytokines, nitric oxide expression, and the recruitment of natural killer T cells [88]. The replicationdeficient enterovirus may be capable of cleaving the host cytoskeletal proteins without activation of immune response [89]. Phase 2 is characterized by the onset of multiple autoimmune (innate and acquired) reactions, as innate immune system is activated to support phagocytosis of viral particles. In this phase the development of antibodies and antigen-specific T cells occurs. Antibodies to pathogens may cross-react with endogenous epitopes (cardiac myosin, laminin and β -adrenergic receptor) causing further damage to the heart. They key role is played by regulatory T helper cells, which mainly decide whether the process will be a self-limiting or go further to become auto-immune. Phase 3 is finalized by recovery or persistent cardiomyopathy. In most cases, the pathogen is cleared and the immune reaction is down-regulated with little or no lasting cardiac damage. However, in a minority of cases either the virus or the inflammatory reaction persists and contributes to further progression to cardiomyopathy with or without an infectious agent and cardiac inflammation [11, 14, 63, 88].



Fig. 2. The suggested mechanism of DCM progression from acute injury may be simplified into a three-stage process. Acute injury leads to cardiac damage, exposure of intracellular antigens such as cardiac myosin, and activation of the innate immune system. Over weeks, specific immunity that is mediated by T lymphocytes and antibodies directed against pathogens and similar endogenous heart epitopes cause robust inflammation. In most patients, the pathogen is cleared and the immune reaction is downregulated with few sequelae. However, in other patients, the virus is not cleared and causes persistent myocyte damage, and heart-specific inflammation may persist because of mistaken recognition of endogenous heart antigens as pathogenic entities. Abbreviations: APC – antigen-presenting cell; Th1 – type 1 helper T cell; Th2 – type 2 helper T cell. Adapted from [90].

The heart-specific inflammation may persist because of mistaken recognition of endogenous heart antigens as pathogenic entities. This phenomenon is explained through molecular mimicry, a chronic immune reaction, which may be stimulated by host antigens such as cardiac myosin or laminin (resembling pathogen proteins) long after the initial infection has been cleared [91]. Auto-antibodies can also cause powerful inflammatory responses leading to further damage of myocardium and progression of the heart dilation, thereby making viral myocarditis one of the main causes of DCM [92, 93]. Therefore, it is clinically important to identify biomarkers that may be predictive for early viral heart pathology.

3.6. Cytokines involved in progression of DCM

In most cases, the initial myocardial injury activates the immune response rather than causes congestive heart failure [94]. Moreover, the low level of inflammation activates the immune system and fights tissue injuries, whereas inadequate level or duration of inflammation causes myocarditis and inflammatory DCM [95]. The accumulated inflammatory infiltrates in an infected myocardium may eliminate toxic agents and, on the other hand, insult properly functioning myocardium trough induction of apoptosis and other pathological changes [96-98].

Cytokines as regulators of the heart responses to toxic exposures, also contribute to either heart recovery or injury [99, 100]. Interleukin-1 (IL-1), interleukin-6 (IL-6) and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α) are autocrine/paracrine compensatory agents and have been referred to as pro-inflammatory cytokines that are mainly initiated by activated immune system [101]. The high concentrations and/or long-term of stresses leading to uncontrolled expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines may be maladaptive and cause myocardial injury [101]. On the other hand, it was shown that pro-inflammatory cytokines are not constitutively expressed in the heart but are rapidly upregulated in response to cardiac stress and might function as an alarm system [102, 103]. However, the possible molecular mechanisms dominating *in vivo* and progressing chronic inflammatory DCM are still under debate.

Transforming growth factor $\beta 1$ (TGF- $\beta 1$), a polypeptide member of the transforming growth factor beta superfamily of cytokines, is an important factor for

stimulation of fibroblast proliferation and synthesis of extra-cellular matrix molecules [104]. Inhibition of TGF- β 1 induced epithelial-mesenchymal transition simultaneously reduces collagen synthesis in fibroblasts [105]. Recent studies also indicate that TGF- β 1 is related to the dilated, ischemic and hypertrophic forms of cardiomyopathy through the activation of the TGF- β 1-Smad pathway and stimulation of the collagen I gene promoter site [106]. Beside its involvement in collagen metabolism, TGF- β 1 signaling participates in cell differentiation and even apoptosis [107, 108].

3.7. Involvement of hormones in regulation of DCM

Adiponectin (APN) – a possible regulator of DCM development, is a protein hormone mainly produced by adipose tissue, though cardiomyocytes are also capable to produce APN by stimulating the APN receptors 1 and 2 [109]. APN mainly exhibits anti-apoptotic, anti-inflammatory and pro-angiogenic features regulating proper heart function [110-113]. On the other hand, APN can have pro-inflammatory, antiangiogenic and anti-proliferating effects as well [114-117]. However, some studies advocate that high plasma adiponectin levels were associated with lower risk of myocardial infarction and coronary artery diseases, whereas other studies demonstrate correlation of high plasma adiponectin level with an increased risk of mortality in chronic heart failure patients [112, 118, 119]. So far, little is known about the relation between adiponectin and viral myocardial infections.

Brain natriuretic protein (BNP) is another hormone mainly produced by myocardium of the cardiac ventricular wall. The synthesis of BNP is up-regulated in the setting of volume expansion or pressure overload which leads to increased ventricular wall stretching often occurring in DCM [120]. The release of BNP results in improved myocardial relaxation and serves an important regulatory role in response to acute increase of ventricular volume by opposing the vasoconstriction, sodium retention and antidiuretic effects of the activated renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system [121]. BNP is widely used by clinicians as a diagnostic biomarker of severity of heart failure, left ventricular (LV) dysfunction as the circulating levels adversely increase in accordance with the degree of LV wall stretch [122, 123]. It also serves as an indicator of regional conditions and structural change in myocytes and also signals the risk of cardiovascular events and death [124]. BNP assessment is useful in

predicting the long-term risk of re-decompensation in non-ischemic DCM, even in low risk outpatients [125].

Galectin-3 is a member of a large family of β -galactoside-binding lectins with the size of 30 kDa. It is expressed and secreted mainly by macrophages at the phagocytic cups and phagosomes during the process of phagocytosis [126]. It can be localized in the cytoplasm and nuclei of the cells and can act both extra- and intra-cellularly [127, 128]. Galectin-3 interacts with various ligands located at the extracellular matrix, including laminin, collagen, synexin, and integrins [129, 130]. Extracellular galectin-3 mediates cell migration and cell-cell interactions, whereas intracellular galectin-3 regulates cell cycle and apoptosis [131]. Galectin-3 first came to attention in animal studies searching for potential mediators of decompensated heart failure. Galectin-3 is one of the most explored and promising heart failure biomarkers, a predictor of mortality, which appears to play an important role in the cardiac remodeling and is associated with development of myocardial fibrosis [132, 133].

Heat shock protein-60 (HSP60) is an intracellular protein (80-85 % of which is primarily located inside the mitochondria, with the rest found in the cytosol) constitutively expressed in the majority of cells [134, 135]. Its expression is upregulated by a variety of stressors such as anoxia, oxidative stress, infection and inflammation [136]. HSP60 is known to have a protective role against stress-induced injury by maintaining cellular homeostasis and 3-dimensional structure of proteins [134, 135, 137]. In patients with heart failure, HSP60 has been reported to translocate to the myocardial cell surface before being released into the plasma [138, 139], also the increased levels of HSP60 in plasma membrane and serum have been paradoxically associated with an increase in myocardial apoptosis (caspase activation and DNA fragmentation) [140, 141]. Various studies have shown that serum HSP60 levels have been linked with endothelial dysfunction and higher risk of coronary heart disease [142, 143], pro-inflammatory status (increase TNF- α) [144], suggesting an important role in the activation of vascular cells and also the immune system. Serum HSP60 level is related to the severity of the disease and associated with a high risk of cardiac events in patients with advanced chronic heart failure [145].

Circulating troponin T is a very sensitive and specific biomarker of cardiomyocyte injury, and is used as the diagnostic and prognostic marker both in acute coronary

syndromes and heart failure (increased troponin T levels have been correlated with the severity and adverse outcomes) [146-149]. With the use of the standard assay for troponin T the elevations were detectable in only a small fraction of patients with heart failure, because the lower detection limit was only 0.01 ng/mL. With the recent development of the assay for a high-sensitivity troponin T (hsTnT) it became possible to measure concentrations about 10-fold lower than the lower detection limit of the previous standard assay [150]. In patients with heart failure, hs-TnT correlates with cardiac dysfunction (evaluated by echocardiography) and natriuretic peptides. The elevation of hs-TnT levels in heart failure may represent cardiac dysfunction due to minor and ongoing myocardial injury [151].

All previously mentioned data point out that the interaction of signaling pathways and molecules in regulation of viral and inflammatory DCM is very complicated. The understanding of these processes relies on complex cell-type, physiological and experimental condition-dependent processes, and, therefore not surprisingly, the investigation of molecular mechanisms of the heart damage and the finding of biomarkers identifying an early start of heart infection is of major importance.

3.8. Myocardial cell death mechanisms in DCM

The different types of cell death, such as apoptosis, necrosis, oncosis, autophagy and proteasome degradation are contributing to the loss of cardiac myocytes depending on the intensity and origin of heart damage [16-18]. Adult cardiomyocytes are terminally differentiated, so cell loss is detrimental to cardiac function. Additionally, when cardiomyocytes are lost, deposition of collagen occurs. Ultimately, this decreases heart compliance, increases cardiomyocyte wall stress, and impairs ventricular relaxation. The debilitating loss of cardiomyocytes in DCM and heart failure is considered to occur mainly from apoptosis rather than from necrosis [152-154].

Apoptosis is considered as a vital component of various processes including normal cell turnover, proper development and functioning of the immune system, hormone-dependent atrophy, embryonic development and chemical-induced cell death [155]. The mechanisms of apoptosis are highly complex and sophisticated, involving an energy-dependent cascade of molecular events (Fig. 3). Some cells apoptose through extrinsic pathways that involve death receptors such as fatty acid synthetase (Fas) or tumor necrosis factor (TNF) receptors; others have a default death pathway (known as the intrinsic or mitochondrial) that must be blocked by a survival factor such as a hormone or a growth factor (the withdrawal from serum is a classic way to initiate this pathway) [155] (Fig. 3).



Fig. 3. Mechanisms of apoptosis. A schematic view of the three main apoptotic pathways: the intrinsic pathway, the extrinsic pathway and the granzyme pathway. See text for explanation. Adapted from [155].

However, it is also known, that the two pathways are linked and that molecules in one pathway can influence the other [156]. In the end, apoptosis is an energy-dependent process that involves the activation of a group of cystine proteases called "caspases" and involves a complex cascade of events that link the initiating stimuli to the death of the cell [155]. The two main regulatory mechanisms used by extracellular signals are either by targeting mitochondrial functionality (intrinsic pathway) or by directly transducing the signal via adaptor proteins to the apoptotic mechanisms (extrinsic pathway). There is an additional pathway mediating T-cell-induced cytotoxixity and perforin-granzyme (a serine protease) A or B-dependent killing of the cell (Fig. 3).

The mitochondrial pathway involves an increased mitochondrial permeability resulting in release in the cytosol of cytochrome c and small mitochondria-derived activators of caspases (SMACS) that bind to and deactivate inhibitors of apoptosis proteins (IAPs), repressing the caspases. Mitochondrial permeability is regulated positively or negatively by 25 members of the Bcl-2 family of proteins [157], under the control of the tumor suppressor protein p53. Cytochrome c and ATP released from the mitochondrial intermembrane space form the apoptosome consisting of ATP, apoptosis protease-activating factor (APAF), cytochrome c and caspase-9, which becomes activated by autoproteolytic cleavage and activates the execution caspase-3.-6 and -7, which leads to the collapse of cellular infrastructure [158]. The extrinsic pathway involves binding of trimeric ligands to their receptors which cluster (FasL to the FasR or TNFa to the TNR1). Binding of FasL to FasR recruits the adapter protein Fas-associated death domain (FADD), while binding of TNFa to the TNR1 recruits the adapter protein TNF receptor-associated death domain (TRADD). TRADD then recruits FADD and receptor-interacting protein (RIP). FADD forms a death-inducing signalling complex (DISC) with procaspase-8 resulting in its autocatalytic activation [155] and triggering of the "execution phase".

The extrinsic, intrinsic and granzyme B pathways converge on the same terminal "execution" pathway. This pathway is initiated by the cleavage of caspase-3 by caspases -8, -9 or -10 and results in DNA fragmentation, degradation of cytoskeletal and nuclear proteins, cross-linking of proteins, formation of apoptotic bodies, expression of ligands for phagocytic cell receptors and finally uptake by phagocytic cells. The granzyme A pathway activates a parallel, caspase-independent cell death pathway via single stranded DNA damage [159].

Studies from human heart biopsies reveal that greater cell loss is observed in the early stages of cardiomyopathy, suggesting that anti-apoptotic pathways are upregulated after cell loss has been initiated [160]. It follows that tight regulation of apoptosis is required for proper cardiac adaptation and that disruption of these pathways can have irreversible consequences in the heart. On the other hand, inflammation-initiated apoptosis plays an extremely important and positive role in the clearing of inflammatory infiltrates when they have completed their function [161]. Other authors marked the presence of apoptosis in inflammatory myocarditis or DCM to be a problematic issue [162, 163]. Additionally to apoptosis, intramyocardial inflammation activates members of the matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) family, zincdependent endopeptidases, that impairs cell membrane permeability and cleaves extracellular matrix (ECM) resulting in increased levels of extra-myocardial apoptotic molecules, chemokines and cytokines [164-166].

3.9. The role of extracellular matrix in functioning of myocardium

The heart relies on a complex network of cells to maintain appropriate function. The contracting cells in the heart (cardiomyocytes) exist in a three-dimensional network of endothelial cells, vascular smooth muscle, and an abundance of fibroblasts as well as transient populations of immune cells. The contraction of individual cardiomyocytes is coordinated electrochemically by gap junctions. The connections of cardiomyocytes to the ECM transduce the force and coordinate the overall contraction of the heart. Intracellularly, repeating units of actin and myosin form the backbone of sarcomeric structure, the basic functional unit of the cardiomyocyte. The sarcomere itself consists of around 20 proteins; however, more than 20 other proteins form connections between the myocytes and the ECM and regulate muscle contraction. Given the complexity of the coordinated efforts of the many proteins that exist in multimeric complexes, dysfunction occurs when these interactions are disrupted [19]. Although the heart may functionally tolerate a variety of pathological insults, adaptive responses that aim to maintain function eventually fail, resulting in a wide range of functional deficits or cardiomyopathy.

Cardiac fibrosis is associated with disruption of the normal myocardial structure by excessive deposition of extracellular matrix. The term fibrosis encompasses several processes including fibroblast proliferation, collagen synthesis and degradation as well as conversion of fibroblasts into a contractile "myofibroblast" phenotype. The development of interstitial and perivascular fibrosis is a hallmark of pathology in the heart. Focal fibrosis composed primarily of collagen types I and III, occurs in the early stages of cardiomyopathy, but over time fibrosis increase and directly compromises the function of cardiomyocytes. The presence of collagen-rich regions in the myocardium cause disruption of excitation-contraction coupling between cardiomyocyte and increased stiffness of the myocardium, which leads to decreased

32

contractility in the heart [15]. Fibrosis is primarily produced by resident fibroblasts in the heart however, there is evidence for collagen production also by cardiomyocytes [167].

The key player in regulating the normal myocardial function and in adverse myocardium remodeling is a cardiac fibroblast (Fig. 4).



Fig. 4. Pluripotent cardiac fibroblasts impact different aspects of cardiac structure and function. Cardiac fibroblasts can produce a number of active peptides (for example, cytokines, growth factors, peptides), extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins (collagens, elastin, fibronectin, and so forth), and ECM-regulatory proteins, matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) and tissue inhibitors of matrix metalloproteinases (TIMPs). As such, cardiac fibroblasts can impact molecular and cellular events that collectively determine cardiac structure and function. Adapted from [168].

This most prevalent cell in the heart can transform in to myofibroblast, proliferate, secrete certain cytokines and growth factors and thus can alter extracellular matrix turnover through changes in matrix protein synthesis and degradation. While these changes in fibroblast function are an important adaptive response to altered environment that can aid myocardial recovery, they can also become maladaptive leading to pathological remodeling, fibrosis and heart failure. The cardiac extracellular matrix is composed of a collagen network that consists mainly of collagen type I (Col I) (85 %) and type III (Col III) (11 %) [169]. It provides architectural support for the muscle cells and also plays an important role in myocardial function and cardiac remodeling [170-172]. Collagen is synthesized by cardiac fibroblasts and is composed

of a triple helix, which generally consists of two identical chains (α 1) and an additional chain that differs slightly in its chemical composition (α 2) (Fig. 5).

Several studies have shown, that alteration of the collagen network, such as a differential increase in Col I or Col III, may play an important role in myocardial dysfunction in the failing heart [173, 174]. It was also noted, that in DCM collagen content was characterized primarily by an increase in the percentage of Col I [175, 176]. Col I represents a stiff fibrillar protein which provides tensile strength, and thus the increase in Col I protein levels may impose increasing myocardial stiffness, compromising diastolic and systolic ventricular function in DCM [177]. Col III forms an elastic network which stores kinetic energy as elastic recoil [178].



Fig. 5. Structure of collagen molecule. Pro-collagen is comprised of two alpha-1 chains and one alpha-2 chain intertwined into a triple helix. Pro-peptide domains at the carboxy-terminals and amino-terminals are cleaved, resulting in formation of mature collagen. When collagen is degraded, during physiological turnover or pathological adverse remodeling, telopeptides (from the amino-terminals or carboxy-terminals) are cleaved and released into the plasma. Adapted from [168].

There are many markers that can be used to characterize collagen synthesis or destruction during cardiac failure. For example, N-terminal (PINP), C-terminal (PICP) propeptides are markers of collagen I synthesis and positively correlate with proper functioning of cardiac collagen [179, 180], whereas the C-terminal telopeptide (CITP) is a marker of collagen I degradation and is usually released during collagen cleavage by MMP1, MMP2, MMP9 and other endopeptidases in chronic heart lesions [181]. Propeptides of collagen III (PIIICP and PIIINP) are not completely cleaved during the conversion of procollagen III to collagen III and remain in the final fiber [182]. The composition of extracellular matrix, however, basically depends on a proper balance between collagen deposition and degradation and its dysfunction has been shown to correlate with myocardial fibrosis and development of heart failure [183].

The net effect of cardiac fibrosis is exaggerated by the increased tissue stiffness, impaired contraction due to myocyte slippage (separation), disrupted electrotonic connectivity and tissue hypoxia [184]. It is well known, that fibrosis and certain histological changes in the myocardium impact heart function and even survival [185, 186]. For these reasons, cardiac fibrosis and ECM biology remains an important target of therapy. However, the mechanistic basis of fibrotic cardiac remodeling in response to injurious stimuli that do not result in cardiomyocyte death still remains poorly understood.

3.10. Regulation of myocardial fibrogenesis by matrix metalloproteinases

Maladaptive myocardial matrix remodeling and fibrosis are known to facilitate the structural and functional changes and appear to play a pivotal role in the development of ventricular dilatation and heart failure [187-189]. Matrix metalloproteinases, zinc-dependent endopeptidases, which are readily present in the myocardium and are capable of degrading all the matrix components in the heart, are the driving force behind myocardial matrix degradation during remodeling.

The MMP family consists of more than 20 enzymes, involved in the regulation of the extracellular matrix during physiological (development and organogenesis and pathological processes (inflammation and tissue injury) [190]. The MMPs can be divided into five classes according to their substrate specificity: 1. Collagenases (MMP-1, MMP-8 and MMP-13) cleave fibrillar collagens types I, II and III into smaller polypeptides, the gelatin; 2. Gelatinases (MMP-2 and MMP-9) further degrade gelatin, as well as collagen IV in the basement membrane; 3. Stromelysins (MMP-3)

and MMP-10) degrade non-collagen matrix proteins, including proteoglycans, fibronectin, laminin and some other types of collagen; 4. Matrilysins (MMP-7, MMP-26 and MMP-11) apart from matrix components can also digest cell surface molecules; 5. Fifth group contains the new MMPs where substrate specificity is not yet clarified [191]. The expression of MMPs can be regulated at transcriptional level by multiple stimuli: inflammatory cytokines, growth factors, mechanical movement and phagocytosis. At the post-transcriptional level, secreted MMPs are synthesized and excreted as pro-enzymes (zymogens), which remain bound to matrix components until cleaved in order to be activated [192]. The complex interaction of MMP is presented in Fig. 6.



Fig. 6. Mutual activation of MMP. Adapted from [193].

The activity of MMPs is counterbalanced by their physiological tissue inhibitors of MMPs (TIMPs), which bind to their catalytic domain in a 1:1 relationship and thus block their action [191]. The interplay between MMPs and TIMPs therefore determines the progression of both ventricular dilatation and fibrosis in the diseased hearts. The increased levels of MMPs and decreased levels of TIMPs within the myocardium resulting ECM degradation have been shown in idiopathic DCM [194, 195]. There have been a large number of studies in various cardiac disease states that have showed abnormalities in MMPs and TIMPs expression within the myocardium.
These studies have identified that changes can occur in all known classes of MMPs, within the myocardium, leading to severe LV dysfunction [188, 189, 195-197]. The abnormalities in the expression and activity of myocardial MMPs have also been identified, as well as an association with the progression of LV remodeling. One of the first reports of abnormalities in MMP profiles in DCM was done by Gunja-Smith et al. [194], whereby increased MMP zymographic activity was associated with abnormalities in collagen cross-linking and overall matrix structure. Furthermore, increased plasma levels of collagen telopeptides indicating an increased matrix turnover have been reported in patients with DCM [198]. Finally, a number of past studies have showed the increased levels of certain MMPs such as the gelatinases, the matrilysins and the membrane-type MMPs in DCM [195, 199]. While associative, these past clinical studies have suggested that changes in myocardial MMP profiles can potentially accelerate the LV remodeling process, particularly LV dilation which is the architectural milestone in DCM. However, it must be recognized that induction of myocardial MMPs is not uniform, and that specific MMP sub-types specific to the underlying aetiology can be induced in LV remodeling process. For example, different profiles of MMPs exist in viral myocarditis as opposed to idiopathic DCM [195, 199].

3.11. Automated evaluation of cardiac fibrosis

Evaluation of the extent of fibrosis, including semi-automated and semiquantitative methods has been introduced earlier, however, detailed literature on methodological and technical aspects of quantification of fibrosis is scarce [200]. Most previous studies explored liver and kidney fibrosis [201-205], but up to now only a few have attempted to automatically quantify cardiac fibrosis [206-212]. Another limitation of recent publications is that the evaluations of cardiac fibrosis mostly have been done on animal models (mice, rats, dogs, pigs) and only few studies are on human hearts [213, 214]. Moreover, the majority of such studies lack data validation to an appropriate criterion standard and the reference values are obtained by semiquantitative visual evaluations rather than by more direct quantitative estimates.

Significant drift towards automation and quantification in pathology has occurred during the last decade [215-217]. Digital imaging in pathology provides users with similar functionalities of a microscope, but with numerous additional benefits and consequently, replaces subjective visual evaluation by presumably more objective and reproducible digital analyses [218-221]. Several applications of image analysis have recently received clearance from US Food and Drug Administration, indicating that automated quantification may provide more reliable and reproducible results than visual evaluation [215, 222]. Numerous recent studies show that advanced computer image analyses can be successfully introduced in clinical practice and research [223-225]. Meanwhile, the interpretation of histomorphometric parameters in clinical routine and research is still primarily based on human visual scoring, which is hugely subjective [220, 221]. Many factors affect human vision including: contrast, borders and color – all these impacts may be easily illustrated using a number of optical illusions. Semi-quantitative scoring not only involves a substantial workload on a pathologist, but also has several limitations inherent to the traditional pathology, such as significant intra- and inter-observer variation along with low efficiency [226].

Segmentation of stained tissue images is a complex problem, because of a large variability of the tissue samples (shape, size, color and architecture) [227]. Growing numbers of virtual slides that must be processed, transmitted and analyzed create a clear need of additional image correction and standardization algorithms [228]. Automatic selection of slides, application of appropriate thresholds and also a reliable selection of the slide areas containing the most significant information (regions of interest (ROI)) to deriving the diagnosis is becoming of major importance in virtual pathology [229]. Only a complete set of these computerized algorithms can eventually replace the pathologist's unique work [217, 230].

The most common practice of implementing a new digital algorithm is to compare the results obtained with the pathologist's visual evaluation, that is, to validate it against the best clinically accepted method. This perception, however, is no longer valid: why should one calibrate a potentially more accurate and precise tool against a variable and semi-quantitative evaluation method? To estimate the accuracy of a new method, a criterion standard has to be obtained from an independent source measured in the most possible objective way. In this regard, stereology grid count, rather than the pathologist's visual impression should be used [231-233]. Therefore, we performed this study on evaluating the accuracy of digital image analysis tools and the pathologist's visual scoring for the measurement of fibrosis extent (ie: area fraction) in human myocardial biopsies, based on reference data obtained by point counting performed on the same images.

4. MATERIALS AND METHODS

4.1. Secreted and intramyocardial biomarkers in DCM

4.1.1. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Study subjects were 32 consecutive patients (25 males, 7 females, mean age 43.14 ± 11.86 years), admitted to a tertiary referral Centre with clinically suspected DCM and post-myocarditis cardiomyopathy during the time period of July, 2010 to February, 2013.

Inclusion criteria: 1) Newly-onset heart failure (HF) of two weeks' duration associated with a normal-sized or dilated left ventricle (LV) and hemodynamic compromise; 2) Newly-onset HF of two weeks' to three months' duration associated with a dilated LV and new ventricular arrhythmias, second- or third-degree heart block, or failure to respond to usual care within one to two weeks; 3) Echocardiographic or angiographic evidence of global or regional LV dysfunction and/or LV dilation and/or LV reduced systolic LV ejection fraction less than 45 %; 4) Angiographic exclusion of significant coronary artery disease, defined as evidence of a proximal stenosis of one or more main coronary arteries of 50 % or greater, in one or more main coronary arteries.

Exclusion criteria: 1) Known causes of heart failure, such as hypertension, significant coronary artery disease, valvular heart diseases, although not relative mitral regurgitation, endocrine disease, significant renal disease or drug or alcohol abuse; 2) Acute myocarditis and history of myocardial infarction; 3) Patients were excluded if they did not give written informed consent to EMB.

All patients above the age of 16 years (the oldest patient was 67 years old) who met the previously mentioned criteria were included in the study. There was no upper age limit for inclusion.

All patients were subjected to coronary angiography, right heart haemodynamic evaluation and endomyocardial biopsies. The same basic medical treatment scheme was applied to all patients.

40

4.1.2. Basic medical examinations

All patients were interviewed about their medical history and underwent a careful physical examination, as well as selected laboratory studies, including test of thyroid function, serum electrolytes (sodium, potassium), high sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP), glucose, hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), cholesterol, triglyceride, high-density lipoprotein (HDL), low-density lipoprotein (LDL), cardiac enzymes: creatine kinase (CK), creatine kinase MB (CK-MB), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), high-sensitivity troponin T (hsTnT), urea, creatinine, uric acid, coagulation tests: prothrombin time (PT), activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT), blood count (hemoglobin, haematocrit, red blood cells (RBC), white blood cells (WBC) and platelet (PT) count.

On admission, each patient underwent anthropometrical and blood pressure measurement, as well as electrocardiography, echocardiography, MRI, Holter monitoring and spiroergometry. All laboratory measurements were done according to the routine clinical protocols.

4.1.3. Cardiac catheterization and endomyocardial biopsy

Before EMB, each patient underwent coronary angiography to exclude coronary artery disease as well as right heart catheterization to assess haemodynamic parameters: mean pulmonary artery (PA) pressure, pulmonary capillary wedge pressure (PCWP), pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR) and cardiac index (CI).

Right ventricular EMB was obtained using a flexible bioptome via the right femoral vein [234]. Biopsies were taken from the right inter-ventricular septum at three different levels (upper, medial and lower) from patients with confirmed absence of ischemia and cardiovascular pathology (stenosis and occlusion). Collected heart tissue biopsies were immediately inserted into clean cryovials, carefully labeled and registered. At least three EMBs from different septum levels were subjected to conventional histological and immunohistochemical evaluation, three EMBs to DNA and RNA extraction for the amplification of viral genomes and two EMBs were stored at -70°C in a biobank as retained biosamples and further processed for appropriate studies. Biopsy specimens were investigated within 24 hours.

Before measurements tissue samples were lysed in 100 μ l of RIPA lysis buffer (Thermo Scientific Inc., USA), supplemented with protease and phosphatase mini

inhibitor tablets, 1 mM PMSF, 1 mM Na2VO4, 25 mM NaF according to the manufacturer's suggestion (Thermo Scientific Inc., USA). Biopsy samples were sonicated at 10 mV for 2 x 5 s on ice using a Bandelin Sonopuls sonicator, kept 30 min on ice, centrifuged at 12,000g for 15 min, aliquated and stored at -70° C.

4.1.4. Collection and preparation of blood samples

Three serum-separating (SST II) 8.5ml tubes (BD Vacutainer®) were collected for serum sampling from each patient at the same time as the EMB. Collected blood tubes were kept at room temperature for 30-45 min (no longer than 60 min) to allow clotting. Samples were centrifuged for 15 min at the manufacturer's recommended speed (1,000-2,000 RCF). The upper layer was carefully aspirated, checked for turbidity, aliquated into cryovials, labeled and stored at -70°C.

Before measurement, all serum samples were thawed on ice, centrifuged at 12,000 RCF for 5 min and, if necessary, appropriately diluted.

4.1.5. Detection of viral genome on endomyocardial biopsy

Genomic DNA and total RNA were extracted from endomyocardial biopsies using ZR-DuetTM DNA/RNA Miniprep kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA, USA). RNA (1 μg) was reversely transcribed in 20 μl reaction volumes using random hexamers and First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Fisher, Vilnius, Lithuania) according to the vendor's recommendations and diluted up to 100 μl with deionized water after reaction. Nested PCR primers for the detection of adenovirus [235], Herpes simplex viruses 1 and 2, varicella zoster virus, Epstein-Barr virus, cytomegalovirus, parvovirus B19, hepatitis C virus, enterovirus, rubella virus [236], human herpes virus 6 (HHV-6A and HHV-6B, GenBank accession no. **NC001664.2** and **NC000898.1**, respectively), Kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene homolog (KRAS, GenBank accession no. **NM033360**) and ubiquitin C (UBC, GenBank accession no. **NM021009**) genes (Table 2) were synthesized by Metabion (Martinsried, Germany). Forward primers of the second round PCR were labeled with 6-carboxyfluorescein (FAM) at the 5' end.

Target	Primer	Sequence	Size (bp)
HHV-6	HHV6-N1Fw	ACCCGAGAGATGATTTTGCGTG	128
	HHV6-N1Rev	GCAGAAGACAGCAGCGAGATAG	
	HHV6-N2Fw	CATAGCAACCTTTTCTAGCTTTGAC	
	HHV6-N2Rev	TCTATAACATAAATGACCCCTGGGA	
UBC	UBC-N1Fw	TTCTTTCCAGAGAGCCGAAC	150
	UBC-N1Rev	CCCATCTTCCAGCTGTTTTC	
	UBC-N2Fw	TGGGTCGCAGTTCTTGTTTG	
	UBC-N1Rev	CCTTCCTTATCTTGGATCTTTGCC	
KRAS	KRAS-N1Fw	CTTTGGAGCAGGAACAATGTCT	160
	KRAS-N2Fw	AATCCAGACTGTGTTTCTCCCT	
	KRAS-N1Rev / N2Rev	TACACAAAGAAAGCCCTCCCC	

Table 2 Oligonucleotides used in nested PCRs.

All PCRs were run on a TProfessional Standard thermocycler (Biometra, Göttingen, Germany) as described [237]. KRAS and UBC detection was used to validate extraction of nucleic acids and was performed in parallel according to the conditions for DNA and RNR viruses, respectively. First round PCRs for DNA and RNA viruses were performed in a 50 µl reaction volume containing Maxima Probe qPCR Master Mix (Fermentas), 0.2 µM final concentration of each first-round primer, and 10 µl extracted DNA or cDNA solution. Uracil-DNA glycosylase (Fermentas) was added into each reaction mix (0.4 units) to prevent PCR cross-contamination. Amplification conditions for DNA viruses included uracil-DNA glycosylase treatment at 50 °C for 2 min; initial denaturation step at 95 °C for 10 min; 35 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 30 s, annealing at 55 °C for 30 s, extension at 72 °C for 60 s; the final extension step was 72 °C for 7 min. Amplification conditions for RNA viruses included uracil-DNA glycosylase treatment at 50 °C for 2 min; initial denaturation step at 95 °C for 10 min; 35 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 45 s, annealing at 57 °C for 45 s, extension at 72 °C for 60 s; the final extension step was 72 °C for 7 min. Each primer pair was tested in a reaction setup without DNA sample (negative template control). Second round PCRs were performed in a 50 µl reaction volume containing Maxima Hot Start PCR Master Mix (Fermentas), 0.2 µM final concentration of each second-round primer, and 2 µl of first-round product. Amplification conditions for DNA viruses were the same as for the first round except excluded uracil-DNA glycosylase treatment step. Amplification conditions for RNA viruses included initial denaturation step at 95 °C for 10 min; 35 cycles of denaturation

at 95 °C for 20 s, annealing at 57 °C for 20 s, extension at 72 °C for 20 s; the final extension step was 72 °C for 7 min. Ubiquitin C PCR detection was used to validate extraction and was performed in parallel according the conditions for DNA viruses.

Final PCR products were 10-fold diluted and analyzed by capillary electrophoresis on a Genetic Analyzer 3130xl using GeneScanTM 600 LIZTM Size Standard and Gene Mapper Software v4.1 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) for sizing PCR fragments. In the case of positive result, the genomic DNA or RNR specimens extracted from whole blood samples were tested to exclude biopsy contamination with viruses circulating in the blood.

4.1.6. ELISA assays

Apoptotic and collagen synthesis/degradation protein levels in endomyocardial biopsies and serum samples were measured by specific ELISA assays. The following molecules were assessed: Bcl-2, Caspase-9, Caspase-8 (Novus Biologicals Europe, Cambridge, UK); Bax (Elabscience Biotechnology Co., Ltd, China); Caspase-3, TGF β1, matrix metalloproteinase-9 (MMP9), tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-1 (TIMP1), APO1/Fas/CD95, Fas ligand (FasL) (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK); Procollagen I C-Terminal Propeptide, PICP (Bio-Medical Assay Co., Ltd., China); Cross-linked Carboxy-terminal telopeptide of type I collagen, ICTP (Shanghai BlueGene Biotech Co., Ltd, China); Heat Shock Protein-60 HSP60 (AssayPro, Saint Charles, Missouri, USA).

Protein in serum and biopsy samples was measured using a modified Lowry Protein Assay kit according to the manufacturer's recommendations (Thermo scientific Inc., USA). Absorbance was measured with a spectrophotometer (Asys UVM 340 Microplate Reader UK - Biochrom Ltd.) set at 750 nm. A bovine serum albumin (BSA) standard curve was made to determine the protein concentration of each unknown sample. Protein concentration was expresses as μ g/ml. Final concentration of searching molecules was expressed as ng/mg of protein.

4.1.7. Additional biochemical measurements

The pro-inflammatory cytokine TNFa, IL-6 and IL-1 β in serum samples were assayed by solid-phase, chemoluminescent immunometric assays using IMMULITE/Immulite 1000 systems (Immulite, Siemens) according to manufactures

instructions: TNFa (Catalog No: LKNFZ (50 test), LKNF1 (100 tests); IL-6 (Catalog No: LK6PZ (50tests), LK6P1 (100 tests); IL-1β (Catalog No: LKL1Z (50 tests), LKL11 (100 tests).

Adiponectin was measured by Millipore Adiponektin assay according manufacturers' recommendations (Milipore, USA).

The myocardial necrosis marker, a high-sensitivity troponin T (hsTnT) was measured in serum using an Elecsys 2010 analyzer (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, Indiana) and expressed as μ g/ml.

Brain natriuretic protein (BNP) was measured by a two-step immunoassay in human plasma using CMIA technology and protocols referred as Chemiflex. Briefly, sample and anti-BNP coated paramagnetic particles were combined. After incubation, samples were washed and combined with an anti-BNP acridinium-labeled conjugate. Samples were incubated, washed again and the chemoluminescence initiating mixture was added. Resulting chemoluminescent reaction was measured as relative light units (RLU) by a chemoluminometer.

Galectin-3 in serum samples was estimated using an in vitro diagnostic device of enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) on a micro plate according to the manufacturers' instructions (BG Medicine, Inc.).

4.1.8. Histology and immunohistochemistry of endomyocardial biopsies

EMB samples for histological analysis were fixed in 10 % buffered formalin and subsequently paraffin-embedded in a tissue processor. 3 µm-thick sections were used through the study. The EBM sections were stained with Haematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) according to the standard protocol for the routine histological evaluation. The experienced pathologist evaluated: endocardium (thickness, subendocardial fat, fibrosis and inflammation); myocardium (muscle fibre number, size and damage); interstitium (fibrosis, fat, edema and inflammation) and intramural vessels (size, signs of inflammation, damage and luminal stenosis). To estimate the extent of fibrosis the EMB specimens were stained with Masson's trichrome connective tissue stain according to a standard protocol. Keratin and muscle fibers stained red, whereas collagen stained blue. Immunohistochemical staining was performed on fixed, paraffin-embedded material using antibodies: anti-CD3 (DAKO Hamburg, Germany), anti-CD45Ro (DAKO Hamburg, Germany) and anti-CD68 (DAKO Hamburg, Germany), anti- α smooth muscle actin (α -SMA) (Biocompare, USA); anti-MMP1 (Spring Bioscience Corp., USA); anti-MMP2 (Leica Biosystems Newcastle Ltd, UK); anti-MMP9 (Leica Biosystems Newcastle Ltd, UK); anti-MMP9 (Leica Biosystems Newcastle Ltd, UK); anti-MMP9 (Leica Biosystems Newcastle Ltd, UK), anti-HLA-DR (DAKO Hamburg, Germany), anti-PICP (EMD Millipore, Temecula, USA).

4.1.9. Evaluation of histochemical and immunohistochemical stainings in endomyocardial biopsies

Inflammatory infiltrates in the biopsies were immunohistochemicaly classified on tissue sections, according to expression of $CD3^+$ (T lymphocytes), $CD45Ro^+$ (active-memory T lymphocytes) and $CD68^+$ (macrophages). The number of positively stained cells in each biopsy sample was scored by a highly experienced pathologist and expressed as number of positive cells/mm². According to the World Health Organization / International Society and Federation of Cardiology Task Force on the Definition and Classification of Cardiomyopathies, EMB were considered to be inflamed after immunohistochemical detection of focal or diffuse mononuclear infiltrates with >14 leukocytes per 1 mm² (CD3⁺ T lymphocytes and/or CD68⁺ macrophages) in the myocardium [13, 50, 51].

Additionally myocardial necrosis was estimated by routine histochemical staining of fixed biopsy samples with Haematoxylin and Eosin (H&E). Normal myofibres had peripheral nuclei, intact sarcolema and non-fragmented nuclei. Pyknosis of muscle fibers nuclei, edema, and beginning of leuco-diapedesis from the capillaries suggested that these myocardial cells reached the stage of necrosis. A pathologist scored the number of necrotic myofibres on at least three independent tissue sections.

Digital images from the experimental glass slides were obtained using ScanScope Digital Slide Scanner (Aperio, Vista, CA) at x20 magnification and archived on a devoted Spectrum Server 11.1.0.751 (Aperio). Quality control of the scanned images and all further analysis were performed using ImageScope V11.1.2.760 (Aperio) and WebScope V11.1.0.756 (Aperio).

46

Genie algorithm was used to measure the extent of fibrosis, to calculate immunostained cells and to evaluate the area of immunohistochemically stained elements in the myocardium. Genie (GENetic Imagery Exploration [238]) is a pattern recognition algorithm that distinguishes spatial and morphological features based on structures (classes) provided by the user. The algorithm was run for the whole slide, ignoring the number of overlapped tissue sections on it – making the process fully automated. Specific Genie classifiers were developed for this study:

1. Myocardial fibrosis identification was made by using basic tissue recognition Genie classifier v1 algorithm. Total cardiac fibrosis (including interstitial and perivascular) was assessed. For better identification fibrosis, we used only spatial recognition, disabling the detection of morphological features. For this purpose, the Genie system was trained to distinguish the myocardium, fibrous tissue (fibrosis) and glass (Fig. 7). Total cardiac fibrosis percentage was adjusted to a total tissue area in the image analyzed, ignoring the glass.



Fig. 7 Fibrosis mark-up on digitized slide at x10 magnification. (A) Masson trichrome original staining, (B) Genie algorithm.

2. For counting activated immune cells (HLA-DR) and cardiac fibroblasts (α-SMA) in myocardium tissue Genie Nuclear v9.0 algorithm was used. This algorithm distinguishes and counts immunostained positive and negative cells (Fig. 8). Depending on parameters it can mark different size and shape cells. Thus, in order to get accurate analysis, for different immunocell types, different Nuclear v9.0 parameters were set. After analysis the results were shown as total amount of immunopositive cells in the tissue area measured and expressed as cells/mm².



Fig. 8 Immunopositive cell mark-up on digitized slide at x20 magnification. (A) α-SMA staining, (B) Genie algorithm.

3. For measuring immunostained proteins (MMP1, MMP2, MMP9, MMP13 and PICP) in myocardial biopsies Genie pixel counting Algorithm was used. Firstly on digital slide the region of interest (myocardial biopsy) was marked and after that the algorithm was ran in this area. The results were shown as total amount of weak/ moderate/ strong positive and negative pixels (Fig. 9). For each protein a sum of weak, moderate and strong positive pixels was calculated as "positive". After that the percentage of positive pixels were counted manually and adjusted to a total tissue area.



Fig. 9 Immunostained protein mark-up on digitized slide at x20 magnification. (A) MMP2 staining, (B) Genie algorithm.

4.2.Quantification of myocardial fibrosis by digital image analysis and interactive stereology

4.2.1. Experimental model

The study was conducted on endomyocardial biopsy (EMB) material from 38 patients (29 males, 9 females, mean age 42.3 ± 12.2 years) diagnosed with inflammatory dilated cardiomyopathy. All EMB specimens were collected between July, 2010 and February, 2013. Before EMB, each patient underwent coronary angiography to exclude coronary artery disease. Right ventricular EMB was obtained using a flexible bioptome via the right femoral vein [22]. At least 3 EMBs were subjected to histological evaluation. All specimens were included in the study to provide a full range of fibrosis.

Tissue samples for histological analysis were fixed in 10 % neutral buffered formalin with subsequent routine paraffin embedding. 3 µm-thick sections were used through the study. Sections were stained with Masson's trichrome according to a standard protocol. Whole slide images (WSI) from the experimental glass slides were obtained at a resolution of 0.5 µm using a digital microscopic scanner (ScanScope[®] XT, Aperio Technologies, Vista, CA, USA) at a 20x objective magnification and stored in a tiled Tiff format on a devoted WSI server (Spectrum 11.1.0.751, Aperio) (Fig. 10). One section was later randomly chosen from the slide for all subsequent analyses. Aperio Colocalization and Genie algorithms were used for image analysis.



Fig. 10 Fibrosis mark-up on digitized slide (Masson trichrome original staining).

4.2.2. Colocalization algorithm

Colocalization uses the color deconvolution [239] to separate the stains and classifies each pixel according to the number of stains present. For Colocalization, the

threshold for each stain is specified for a required stain (e.g. Masson's trichrome) and the algorithm reports the percentage of total tissue area for which each stain combination is detected: 1, 2, 3, 1+2, 1+3, 2+3, 1+2+3, or none (up to 3 stains are supported). The algorithm also provides an eight-color mark-up image for the visualization of the colocalized stains. The total percentage of cardiac fibrosis in biopsy samples was calculated according to the sums of the following stain combinations: 3, 2+3 and 1+3 (Fig. 11).



Fig. 11 Fibrosis mark-up on digitized slide (Colocalization algorithm).

4.2.3. Genie algorithm

Genie (GENetic Imagery Exploration [238]) is a pattern recognition algorithm that distinguishes spatial and morphological features based on structures (classes) provided by the user. A specific Genie classifier was developed as follows: 1. New Genie project and training set created; 2. Digital slides added to a training set; 3. The classes of interest defined and marked in the digital slides in the training set (Fig. 12); 4. Training montage created by running Genie Training v1 algorithm (1000 training iterations set) on user-selected tissue sub-regions (the algorithm estimated the training accuracy at 99.4 %); 5. Based on the training macro, Genie Classifier v1 algorithm was used to create the specific Classifier to be tested and used (Fig. 13). After testing the classifier the classes can then be selected for subsequent analysis using specific task algorithms. For better identification of cardiac fibrosis, we used only spatial recognition, disabling the detection of morphological features. For this study, the Genie system was trained to distinguish the myocardium, fibrous tissue (fibrosis) and glass (Fig. 13). Total cardiac fibrosis percentage was adjusted to a total tissue area in the image analyzed, ignoring the glass (Fig. 14).



Fig. 12 Training and using of the Genie. Defining and marking the classes of interest.



Fig. 13 Training and using of the Genie. Testing and using the new specific classifier.



Fig. 14 Fibrosis mark-up on digitized slide (Genie algorithm).

4.2.4. Stereology

Stereology is an interdisciplinary field for volume estimation of threedimensional structures by their planar sections. We performed the study on tissue sections of 3 μ m, thus the stereology was performed on a projection rather than on ideal 2D plane. A point counting grid was used to estimate the fraction area [240]. "Stereology toolkit 4.2.0" from ADCIS (Saint Contest, France) was used in this study. This stereology module allows defining a ROI and a grid that overlay an area of a virtual slide. Then the type, the spacing and the pattern size of the grid must be adjusted (Fig. 15). 150-200 test points are recommended for acceptable analysis precision [241, 242]. The grid of point counting, with the sampling interval of 200 pixels and a pattern size of 20 pixels was chosen to evaluate the area fraction of myocardial tissue and cardiac fibrosis. These adjustments of the stereology grid ensured a minimum of 500 test points in the smallest myocardial biopsies and higher counting precision. The structures of interest: glass, fibrosis, myocardium, other (including inflammation, necrosis, glass areas inside the myocardium) were manually highlighted by the observer (Fig. 16). The total percentage of cardiac fibrosis was counted using the number of points ignoring the "glass" and "other" category. The area fraction, equivalent to the volume fraction of cardiac fibrosis was then estimated as the ratio between the number of test marked as fibrosis and the total number of test points included in the ROI, points ignoring the "glass" and "other" categories. The results were expressed as percentages together with the corresponding uncertainty computed according to Weibel [241].



Fig. 15 Fibrosis mark-up on digitized slide using Stereology protocol. ImageScope V11 view incorporating grid (sampling step of 200 pixels and size of the pattern 20 pixels).



Fig. 16 Fibrosis mark-up on digitized slide using Stereology protocol. Structures of interest (glass, fibrosis, myocardium, other) manually highlighted by observer. (A) View at x10 magnification, (B) View at x20 magnification.

4.2.5. Pathologist's visual scoring

The extent of total cardiac fibrosis in the samples was also evaluated as a percentage of the sample area by a highly-experienced pathologist using a light microscope. Two evaluations were performed with the time interval of two weeks.

4.3. Statistical analyses

Data are presented as the mean and standard error of mean (Mean \pm SEM). All statistical analyses of data were performed using the SPSS package (version 19.0 for Windows; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) at 5 % significance level.

4.3.1. Analysis of DCM data

The normality of the data distribution was tested by the Shapiro-Wilk test. Differences in parameters of virus-negative and virus-positive and also inflammation-negative and inflammation-positive patient groups were tested by Student's *t* test or the Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney rank sum nonparametric test (specified in figure legends and table titles). For comparative purposes Pearson's correlation coefficient was used. Pearson's Chi-square test was used when appropriate to compare categorical variables.

4.3.2. Analysis of fibrosis quantification data

For the statistical comparison of data, the Pearson's correlation coefficient, Friedman's test with post hoc (Wilcoxon signed-rank with a Bonferroni correction applied) and scatter-dot graphs (with R^2 , intercept and slope) were used. To enable a standard approach to the data, a natural logarithmic transformation of all measurements was performed before drawing scatted-dot plots. The agreement between fibrosis measurement methods was tested with Bland-Altman plots [243], using the stereology estimation as a reference method for the X axis [244].

4.4. Ethical approval

The study was approved by the Vilnius Regional Biomedical Research Ethics committee (License Nr.158200-09-382-103). All patients gave written informed consent to include their data in the study for each investigational procedure.

The investigation conforms to the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki.

5. RESULTS

5.1. Virus-positive DCM patient study group

5.1.1. Identification of viral genome in endomyocardial biopsy

From a total of 32 patient included in this study, viral genome was detected in the myocardium of 14 subjects (43.8 %). The following virus species were detected: parvovirus B19 (PVB19) (n = 11; 34.4 %), human herpes virus type 6 (HHV6) (n = 4; 12.5 %), enterovirus (EV) (n = 1; 3.1 %), hepatitis C virus (HCV) (n = 1; 3.1 %), Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) (n = 1; 3.1 %), Varicella-zoster virus (VZV) (n = 1; 3.1 %). Among the 3 (9.38 %) patients with double infections: co-detection of PVB19 and HHV6 prevailed in 2 EMB samples, whereas 1 EMB sample had PVB19 and HCV co-infection. There was one patient with triple infection with PVB19, HHV6 and EV.

All patients were subdivided into two groups: virus-negative (n = 18) and viruspositive (n = 14) according to detection of virus genomes in the EMB analysis.

5.1.2. Basic clinical parameters

Patient baseline characteristics for the study groups are shown in Table 3. No significant differences in distribution of sex, age and heart failure symptoms between groups were observed.

Heart failure is described as the symptomatic syndrome, graded according to the New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional classification [245]. Most of the patients enrolled to the study had moderate NYHA III class symptoms (11 (61 %) patients in virus negative group, 12 (85 %) patients in virus positive group). There were more patients with severe symptoms of cardiac insufficiency (NYHA IV class) in virus negative group (6 (33 %) patients) than in virus positive group (1 (7 %) patient), however the difference was not significant (p = 0.075).

The prevalence of atrial fibrillation (AF) or left bundle branch block (LBBB) on ECG did not significantly differ between the groups (AF 3 (17 %) versus 1 (7 %), p = 0.360; LBBB 5 (28 %) versus 2 (14 %), p = 0.360) and was even lower in virus-positive patient group.

Generally, main parameters of echocardiography demonstrated characteristic signs of DCM: reduced left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), dilatation of left

ventricular. However, there were no significant differences in these parameters between the groups: LVEF 23.11 \pm 1.6 % versus 24.93 \pm 1.39 % (p = 0.413), left ventricular end-diastolic diameter (LVEDD) 6.87 \pm 0.24 cm versus 6.64 \pm 0.18 cm, left ventricular end-diastolic diameter index (LVEDDI) 3.66 \pm 0.12 cm/m² versus 3.54 \pm 0.12 cm/m².

Variable	Virus-negative group		Virus-positive group		
	No.	Value	No.	Value	p Value
	of		of		
	pts.		pts.		
Sex (male/female)	18	16 (89 %) / 2 (11 %)	14	9 (64 %) / 5 (36 %)	0.095
Age (years)	18	45.11 ± 3.18	14	40.79 ± 2.29	0.279
NYHA					
II	18	1 (6 %)	14	1 (7 %)	0.854†
III	18	11 (61 %)	14	12 (85 %)	0.125†
IV	18	6 (33 %)	14	1 (7 %)	0.075†
Cardiac parameters					
LBBB (%)	18	5 (28 %)	14	2 (14 %)	0.360†
Permanent AF (%)	18	3 (17 %)	14	1 (7 %)	0.419†
LVEF (%)	18	23.11 ± 1.6	14	24.93 ± 1.39	0.413
LVEDD (cm)	18	6.87 ± 0.24	14	6.64 ± 0.18	0.460
LVEDDI (cm/m^2)	13	3.66 ± 0.14	14	3.54 ± 0.12	0.522
Mean Ao (mmHg)	11	91.73 ± 3.26	10	84.6000 ± 3.44	0.149
Mean RAP (mmHg)	15	17.00 ± 2.22	11	9.00 ± 1.54	0.011*
Mean PCWP (mmHg)	16	29.00 ± 2.62	12	17.75 ± 2.18	0.004*
Mean PAP (mmHg)	16	40.19 ± 3.45	12	25.42 ± 2.6	0.003*
CI (L/min/m2)	13	2.08 ± 0.2	8	2.41 ± 0.17	0.309

Table 3 Baseline characteristics of patients.

* Significant at p < 0.05 level. † Chi-square test. Data are presented as means \pm SEM. Abbreviations: NYHA – New York Heart Association functional class; LBBB – left bundle branch block; AF – atrial fibrillation; LVEF - left ventricular ejection fraction; LVEDD – left ventricular end-diastolic diameter; LVEDDI – left ventricular end-diastolic diameter index; Ao – aortic; RAP – right atrial pressure; PCWP – pulmonary capillary wedge pressure; PAP – pulmonary artery pressure; CI – cardiac index.

Cardiac catheterization was performed to evaluate hemodynamic impairment, to confirm pulmonary hypertension (PH) diagnosis or to access severity of PH (PH is confirmed when the mean pulmonary artery pressure (PAP) is \geq 25 mmHg at rest [246], mean pulmonary capillary wedge pressure (PCWP) is necessary for differential diagnosis of PH due left heart impairment [247]. In both groups these hemodynamic parameters were elevated, which confirms PH diagnosis due to left heart disease. However hemodynamic parameters (PAP, PCWP, RAP) were significantly higher in virus negative group (mean PAP 40.19 ± 3.45 versus 25.42 ± 2.6, p = 0.003; mean

PCWC 29.00 \pm 2.62 versus 17.75 \pm 2.18, p = 0.004; mean RAP 17.00 \pm 2.22 versus 9.00 \pm 1.54, p = 0.011). The difference among the groups shows more advanced PH in virus negative group and is associated with worse prognosis [248]. Cardiac index (CI) was reduced in both groups, although, did not differ significantly (2.08 \pm 0.2 versus 2.41 \pm 0.17, p = 0.309). The better hemodynamic situation in virus-positive patients compared to the virus-negative ones might be explained by reduced myocardial fibrosis and decreased levels of heart contraction regulating proteins. The persistent presences of virus in myocardium can swift hemodynamic parameters to the expansion direction indicating worse prognosis.

Additionally, the inverse correlation between LVEF with hsCRP and BNP (R= -0.803, p = 0.005; R = -0.630, p = 0.016, respectively) also revealed that further presence of virus in myocardium might increase inflammation, fibrosis and subsequent release of ventricular natriuretic protein that may unbalance proper functioning of myocardium and induce heart failure (Table 3 and Table 5).

Both patient groups received the same basic treatment according to guidelines (Table 4) [249-251]. No significant difference in prescribed medication was observed between the groups.

Conventional treatment of heart failure	Virus-negative	Virus-positive
	group	group
ACE inhibitors	9 (50 %)	7 (50 %)
β-blockers	16 (89 %)	13 (93 %)
Digitalis (in atrial fibrillation)	3 (17 %)	6 (43 %)
Diuretics	18 (100 %)	14 (100 %)
Anticoagulation (atrial fibrillation, EF < 40 %)	14 (78 %)	7 (50 %)
Antiarrhythmics (class III: amiodarone)	5 (28 %)	2 (14 %)
Interventions		
Implantable cardiac defibrillator (ICD)	0 (0 %)	0 (0 %)
Cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT)	3 (17 %)	1 (7 %)

Table 4 Basic treatment for the study patient groups (according ESC guidelines).

5.1.3. Estimation of inflammation and cardiomyocyte death in viruspositive and virus-negative DCM samples

Intramyocardial viral infection has been suggested to be mainly an inflammatory-related process leading to myocardial cell death. However, the data show that levels of inflammation and apoptosis were reduced both in serum and biopsy samples. The decrease of inflammatory cytokines IL-6 and hsCRP in sera was

statistically significant (Fig. 17), whereas changes of apoptotic markers were significant only in biopsies (Fig. 18 and Fig. 19 p < 0.05).



Fig. 17 Levels of the inflammatory markers in serum. ELISA data are presented as means \pm SEM. Data were considered significant at *p < 0.05.



serum. ELISA data are presented as means \pm SEM.

Fig. 19 Levels of the apoptotic markers in biopsy. ELISA data are presented as means ± SEM. Data were considered significant at *p < 0.05.

The level of inflammatory infiltrates, CD3⁺, CD45Ro⁺ and CD68⁺, in viruspositive biopsies was also depressed, confirming the absence or low level of intramyocardial inflammation (Fig. 20).



Fig. 20 Levels of the inflammatory infiltrates in biopsy. Immunohistochemically positive stained cell count data are presented as means \pm SEM from at least three independent locations by highly experienced pathologist.

Additionally, the biomarker of myocardial necrosis, the high-sensitivity troponin T (hsTnT), was also 2.6 fold down-regulated in virus positive serum samples, revealing the absence of necrosis (Table 5). The absence of necrosis was also confirmed by histological analysis of biopsies stained with hematoxylin and eosin.

Table 5 Summary data of est	imated prote	eins in virus-nega	tive and vir	us-positive I	DCM serums
and biopsies.					

	Virus-negative group		Virus		
Variable	No.	Value	No.	Value	р
	of pt.		of pt.		Value
ELISA assays of					
proteins in serums					
MMP9 (ng/mg protein)	18	1.4886 ± 0.0648	14	1.4320 ± 0.0630	0.536
TIMP1 (ng/mg protein)	18	6.1611 ± 0.2028	14	5.7538 ± 0.2945	0.266
MMP9/TIMP1	18	0.2428 ± 0.0084	14	0.2529 ± 0.0108	0.465
PICP (ng/mg protein)	18	0.1589 ± 0.0321	14	0.0792 ± 0.0180	0.039*
ICTP (ng/mg protein)	18	0.0177 ± 0.0023	14	0.0148 ± 0.0033	0.495
PICP/ICTP	18	11.2537 ± 2.1036	14	8.6832 ± 3.9652	0.573
TGFβ (pg/mg protein)	18	13.9515 ± 1.9427	14	13.7339 ± 2.1101	0.940
ELISA assays of					
proteins in biopsies					
MMP9 (ng/mg protein)	18	2.9149 ± 1.0740	13	1.3321 ± 0.3952	0.089j
TIMP1 (ng/mg protein)	18	9.6116 ± 1.4578	13	5.8772 ± 1.6243	0.266
MMP9/TIMP1	18	0.3434 ± 0.0838	13	0.4107 ± 0.1461	0.694
PICP (ng/mg protein)	18	4.2779 ± 2.3323	13	1.0708 ± 0.5718	0.312;
ICTP (ng/mg protein)	18	0.0733 ± 0.0337	13	0.2636 ± 0.1184	0.196j
PICP/ICTP	18	14.6047 ± 6.3008	13	3.4117 ± 2.1170	0.154
TGF-β1 (pg/mg protein)	18	122.0955 ± 82.6902	13	34.3392 ± 29.0622	0.622j

Immuno- and					
histochemical assays of					
proteins in biopsies					
MMP1 (%)	15	6.6747 ± 1.5248	14	14.6564 ± 22.9998	0.022*
MMP2 (%)	15	2.8940 ± 0.3412	14	7.0350 ± 3.9725	0.292
MMP9 (%)	15	10.9707 ± 4.2136	14	9.6871 ± 1.5709	0.783
MMP13 (%)	15	12.2860 ± 2.5354	14	12.6650 ± 2.6789	0.919
α-SMA (%)	15	24.1400 ± 4.6102	14	21.4821 ± 2.5839	0.620
HLA-DR (%)	15	0.8253 ± 0.21629	14	0.8886 ± 0.21432	0.837
Other determinations in					
serums					
Adiponectin (µg/mL)	18	28.3389 ± 3.4627	14	15.0143 ± 2.7360	0.005*
BNP (pg/mL)	18	$1841.7944 \pm$	14	$936.4786 \pm$	0.044*
		341.4525		260.1920	
hsCRP (µg/mL)	17	22.8118 ± 7.7080	10	5.4170 ± 2.0884	0.043*
hsTnT (pg/mL)	18	74.0878 ± 29.8031	13	28.8277 ± 4.9154	0.151
Galectin-3 (ng/mL)	18	12.8344 ± 0.8536	14	11.0579 ± 1.0107	0.190
Cardiac fibrosis (%)	18	17.9724 ± 2.2076	14	10.4818 ± 1.6854	0.012*

Data are presented as the mean \pm SEM. * Significant at 0.05 level; ¡ Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney rank sum nonparametric test. Abbreviations: Bcl-2 – B-cell lymphoma 2 protein; Bax – Bcl-2–associated X protein; MMP – matrix metalloproteinases; TIMP – tissue inhibitors of matrix metalloproteinases; PICP – type I procollagen carboxy-terminal propeptide; ICTP – type I collagen carboxyterminal telopeptide; TNF-a – tumour necrosis factor-alfa; IL-1 β – interleukin 1 beta; IL-6 – interleukin 6; hsCRP – high sensitivity C-reactive protein; hsTnT – high sensitivity troponin T; TGF- β 1 transforming growth factor β 1; BNP – brain natriuretic protein.

5.1.4. Impact of virus on contraction proteins in myocardial tissue

The absence of inflammation (Fig. 21), apoptosis and necrosis in virus-positive myocardium, stimulated to investigate contraction properties and proteins mostly regulating it.



Fig. 21 Inflammation representation in right ventricular EMB. Micrographs show one representative picture from one patient of each group. (A) virus-negative; (B) virus-positive. Hematoxylin and Eosin staining. Magnification: x10.

Data presented in Table 5, Fig. 22 and Fig. 23 show lower intensity of fibrosis in virus-positive myocardium.



Fig. 22 Levels of fibrosis in biopsies. Data are presented as means \pm SEM from at least three independent measurements. Data were considered significant at *p < 0.05.



Fig. 23 Fibrosis in right ventricular EMB. Micrographs show one representative picture from one patient of each group. (A) virus-negative patient (digitally quantified fibrosis – 21.20 %); (B) virus-positive patient (digitally quantified fibrosis – 9.79 %). Masson trichrome staining for cardiac fibrosis (collagen is colored blue). High amounts of collagen reflect a high level of fibrosis. Magnification: x10.

Additionally, the carboxy-terminal propeptide of procollagen type I (PICP), a marker of collagen I biosynthesis in biopsies was reduced four-fold, whereas carboxy-terminal telopeptide of collagen type I (ICTP), a marker of collagen I degradation, was increased 3.6 fold (Fig. 24, Fig. 25 and Fig. 26). Change of ICTP in myocardial tissue was not confirmed immunohistochemicaly due to the absence of appropriate antibodies.



Fig. 24 Levels of the collagen I synthesis (type I procollagen carboxy-terminal propeptide (PICP)) biomarker. Data are presented as means ± SEM from at least three independent measurements.

Fig. 25 Levels of the collagen I degradation (type I collagen carboxyterminal telopeptide; ICTP) biomarker in virus-negative and viruspositive serum samples. Data are presented as means \pm SEM.



Fig. 26 Collagen I synthesis (type I procollagen carboxy-terminal propeptide (PICP)) biomarker in right ventricular EMB. Micrographs show one representative picture from one patient of each group. (A) virus-negative patient (digitally quantified PICP – 13.70 %); (B) virus-positive patient (digitally quantified PICP – 16.43 %). Immunohistochemical staining was performed using anti-PICP antibodies (brown color represents a positive staining for PICP). Magnification: x10.

We also found that myocardial fibrosis in virus-positive biopsies significantly correlated with the PICP/ICTP ratio and the general serum inflammatory marker hsCRP, suggesting activation of inflammation and fibrosis in further presence of intramyocardial virus (Fig. 27).



Fig. 27 Correlation analysis of additional markers in virus-positive patient serum samples. Correlation of collagen synthesis/degradation biomarkers (PICP/ICTP) ratio in biopsy (by ELISA) with cardiac fibrosis and the general inflammatory biomarker high sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP) in serum. Correlation was significant at a level of p < 0.05. Linear regression line is presented within 95 % confidence interval. Regression coefficients (\mathbb{R}^2) are shown in the graphs.

A more detailed correlation analysis, presented in Table 6, show that the marker of collagen I synthesis (PICP) as well as MMP9 level in virus-positive biopsies were mostly associated with secreted inflammatory cytokine IL-6. Moreover, the turnover of collagen I, and MMP9 activation significantly depended on proper functioning of the mitochondrial outer membranes: the release of mitochondrial outer membrane stabilizing protein Hsp60 strongly correlated with serum markers of collagen I synthesis (PICP) and degradation (ICTP), matrix metalloproteinase 9 (MMP9) and inflammation (IL-6) (Table 6). The correlation data suggest that further presence of virus in myocardium will activate inflammation leading to increased release of Hsp60 and MMP9 activation. Our data show that chronic intramyocardial viral infection is able to induce processes initiating heart failure.

				I I	
	PICP in	ICTP in	MMP9 in	IL-6 in	hsCRP in
	biopsy	biopsy	biopsy	serum	serum
ICTP in biopsy	0.806**	-	-	-	-
MMP9 in biopsy	0.283	0.385			
IL-6 in serum	0.572*	0.489	0.584*		
hsCRP in serum	0.913**	0.933**	0.527	0.576	
HSP60 in serum	0.641*	0.641*	0.646*	0.756**	0.591

Table 6 Correlation of collagen I turnover markers in biopsy and serum samples.

Two tailed significance: *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01. Significant correlations are in bold phase type. Abbreviations: PICP – type I procollagen carboxy-terminal propeptide; ICTP – type I collagen carboxyterminal telopeptide; MMP9 – matrix metalloproteinase 9; IL-6 – interleukin-6; hsCRP – high sensitivity C-reactive protein ; Hsp60 – heat shock protein 60.

5.1.5. Changes of released contraction-regulating proteins in viruspositive serums

The data show that the release of most tested intramyocardial apoptotic, inflammatory, and contraction-regulating proteins such as TGF- β 1, MMP9, TIMP1, hsTnT, galectin-3 and PICP/ICTP in virus-positive serums were reduced Table 5.

The decrease of collagen I synthesis biomarker (PICP) in serum was even significant (Fig. 28, p < 0.05). However, the level of collagen I synthesis (PICP) in biopsy inversely correlated with its level in serum, showing that further presence of viral infection will impair myocardial permeability (Fig. 29).



Fig. 28 Levels of collagen I synthesis biomarker (type I procollagen carboxyterminal propeptide; PICP) in virus-negative and virus-positive serum samples. Data are presented as means \pm SEM and considered to be significant at *p < 0.05.

Fig. 29 Inverse correlation between distribution of collagen synthesis biomarker PICP (type I procollagen carboxy-terminal propeptide) in virus-positive patient group serum and biopsy samples. Correlation was significant at a level of p <0.05. Linear regression line is presented within 95 % confidence interval. Regression coefficients (\mathbb{R}^2) are shown in the graphs.

Additional correlation analysis showed that markers of apoptotic pathways, the intrinsic (Bcl-2 and caspase-9) and the extrinsic (FasL and caspase-8) also were strongly associated with the release of collagen I turnover biomarkers (Fig. 30 and Fig. 31).



Fig. 30 Correlation analysis of contractionregulating proteins in virus-positive patient group. Correlation of collagen synthesis/degradation biomarkers (PICP/ICTP) ratio in serum with the markers of intrinsic apoptotic pathway (BcL-2 and caspase-9) in biopsies. Correlation was significant at a level of p < 0.05. Linear regression line is presented within 95% confidence interval. Regression coefficients (\mathbb{R}^2) are shown in the graphs.



Fig. 31 Correlation analysis of contractionregulating proteins in virus-positive patient group. Correlation between the collagen I synthesis biomarker PICP with markers of the extrinsic apoptotic pathway (Fas ligand and caspase-8). Correlation was significant at a level of p < 0.05. Linear regression line is presented within 95% confidence interval. Regression coefficients (\mathbb{R}^2) are shown in the graphs.

Data in Fig. 32 show that release of intracellular fibrosis-related proteins cardiac high-sensitivity troponin T (hsTnT) and galectin-3 into serum was also reduced. Moreover, galectin-3 significantly correlated with macrophage-secreted cytokines TNF- α and IL-6, suggesting possible its co-localization and secretion by infiltrated macrophages (Fig. 33).

The lower level of infiltrated macrophages and secreted cytokines TNF- α and IL-6 in tested samples can be a reason of lower serum level of galectin-3 (Fig. 20). The detection of intracellular proteins in plasma indicates the loss of integrity of cell membrane due to the acute myocardium and cardiovascular injuries. Considering this, data show that viral infection in tested samples is not acute but chronic and does not impair cell membrane permeability. However, the correlation analysis shows that prolonged intramyocardial viral infection will activate inflammation, cardiomyocyte apoptotic death and fibrosis leading to heart failure.



Fig. 32 Levels of the contraction-regulating proteins: cardiac high-sensitivity troponin T (hsTnT) and galectin-3 in serum samples. Data are presented as means ± SEM.

Fig. 33 Correlation of galectin-3 in serum with pro-inflammatory cytokines: tumor necrosis factor α (TNF- α) and interleukin-6 (IL-6) in serum. Correlation was significant at a level of p < 0.05. Linear regression line is presented within 95 % confidence interval. Regression coefficients (\mathbb{R}^2) are shown in the graphs.

5.1.6. Other mechanisms regulating progression of viral DCM

In the last experiments, it was found that the serum levels of adipocytokine adiponectin and BNP in virus-positive serums were significantly (almost two fold) lower as compared to the virus-negative ones (Fig. 34 and Fig. 35; Table 4).



Fig. 34 Levels of adiponectin in virus-negative and virus-positive serum samples. Data are presented as means \pm SEM and considered to be significant at *p < 0.05.

Fig. 35 Levels of brain natriuretic protein B (BNP) in virus-negative and virus-positive serum samples. Data are presented as means \pm SEM and considered to be significant at *p < 0.05.

A correlation analysis revealed that serum adiponectin significantly correlated with main regulator of collagen I transformed growth factor- β 1 (TGF- β 1) (Fig. 36) and brain natriuretic protein (BNP) (Fig. 37).





Fig. 37 Correlation analysis of additional markers in virus-positive patient serum samples. Correlation between adiponectin and brain natriuretic protein B (BNP). Correlation was significant at a level of p < 0.05. Linear regression line is presented within 95 % confidence interval. Regression coefficients (\mathbb{R}^2) are shown in the graphs.

Additionally, serum adiponectin significantly correlated with macrophages $(CD68^+)$ (Fig. 38), whereas BNP correlated with memory T cells $(CD45Ro^+)$ and T lymphocytes $(CD3^+)$ (Fig. 39). Serum TGF- β 1 also showed strong correlation with intramyocardial macrophages $(CD68^+, R = 0.649; p = 0.022)$ and MMP9 (R = 0.689; p = 0.009).



Fig. 38 Correlation analysis of additional markers in virus-positive patient group. Correlation between adiponectin in serum and infiltrated macrophages (CD68⁺) in cardiac biopsy. Correlation was significant at a level of p < 0.05. Linear regression line is presented within 95 % confidence interval. Regression coefficients (\mathbb{R}^2) are shown in the graphs.

Fig. 39 Correlation analysis of additional markers in virus-positive patient group. Correlation of BNP in serum with T cells (CD3⁺) and T memory cells (CD45Ro⁺) in cardiac biopsies. Correlation was significant at a level of p < 0.05. Linear regression line is presented within 95 % confidence interval. Regression coefficients (\mathbb{R}^2) are shown in the graphs.

Data of this section shows that increased intramyocardial inflammations due to sustained presence of viruses will upregulate levels of serum adiponectin and BNP leading to further activation of TGF- β 1, collagen synthesis and fibrosis. The main collagen I synthesis regulator, TGF- β 1, can be also activated directly by inflammation infiltrates, particularly macrophages. The sustained presence of the virus in the heart will increase inflammation leading to activation of fibrosis and heart failure.

5.2. Inflammation-positive DCM patient study group

5.2.1. Detection of inflammatory infiltrate in endomyocardial biopsy

Not only can the persistent presence of virus influence the inflammatory processes in myocardium. In most of the cases DCM is caused by inflammatory processes of unknown origin. Since is always the goal to determine the origin of inflammation, (which is not always achieved), in this part of our study we have explored the molecular mechanisms dominating in the inflammatory DCM of unknown origin. The inflammatory process in the myocardium was determined by the presence of inflammatory infiltrates. From the immunohistochemically assessed inflammatory cells in EMB T lymphocytes (CD3⁺) was the most frequently detected cell (11.28 \pm 1.21), followed by active-memory T lymphocytes (CD45Ro⁺) (8.29 \pm 0.91) and macrophages (CD68⁺) (7.75 \pm 0.85).

All patients were subdivided into two groups: inflammation-negative (n = 10) and inflammation-positive (n = 22) according to the presence of inflammatory infiltrate following the World Health Organization / International Society and Federation of Cardiology Task Force on the Definition and Classification of Cardiomyopathies recommendations [13, 50, 51].

5.2.2. Basic clinical parameters

Patient baseline characteristics for the study groups are shown in Table 7. No significant differences in distribution of sex, age and heart failure symptoms between the groups.

Heart failure is described as the symptomatic syndrome, graded according to the New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional classification [245]. Most of the patients enrolled to the study had moderate (NYHA III class 7 (70 %) patients in inflammation negative group, 15 (68 %) patients in inflammation positive group) or severe symptoms of cardiac insufficiency (NYHA IV class 2 (20 %) patients versus 7 (32 %) patients).

Variable	Inflammation-negative		Inflammation-positive			
	group		grou	p		
	No.	Value	No.	Value	p Value	
	of		of		-	
	pts.		pts.			
Sex (male/female)	10	8 (80 %) / 2 (20 %)	22	17 (77 %) / 5 (33 %)	0.863	
Age (years)	10	46.7 ± 5.87	22	42.36 ± 2.07	0.389	
NYHA						
II	10	1 (10 %)	22	0 (0 %)	0.132¿	
III	10	7 (70 %)	22	15 (68 %)	ن 0.918	
IV	10	2 (20 %)	22	7 (32 %)	0.491	
Cardiac parameters					Ū	
LBBB (%)	10	3 (30 %)	22	5 (22.7 %)	ن0.659	
Permanent AF (%)	10	2 (20 %)	22	0 (0 %)	*j000.0	
LVEF (%)	10	24.10 ± 2.28	22	23.05 ± 1.35	0.678	
LVEDD (cm)	10	6.89 ± 0.17	22	6.89 ± 0.19	0.998	
LVEDDI (cm/m^2)	10	3.68 ± 0.21	22	3.71 ± 0.09	0.847	
Mean Ao (mmHg)	10	92.00 ± 3.95	22	86.06 ± 2.71	0.291	
Mean RAP (mmHg)	10	16.22 ± 3.19	22	11.44 ± 1.74	0.164	
Mean PCWP (mmHg)	10	25.00 ± 2.79	22	23.45 ± 2.70	0.731	
Mean PAP (mmHg)	10	34.89 ± 4.33	22	32.95 ± 3.24	0.734	
CI (L/min/m2)	10	2.38 ± 0.33	22	2.2 ± 0.14	0.573	

Table 7 Baseline characteristics of patients.

Data are presented as the means \pm SEM. * Significant at 0.05 level. ¿ Chi-square test. Abbreviations: NYHA – New York Heart Association functional class; LBBB – left bundle branch block; AF – atrial fibrillation; LVEF – left ventricular ejection fraction; LVEDD – left ventricular end-diastolic diameter; LVEDDI – left ventricular end-diastolic diameter index; Ao – aortic; RAP – right atrial pressure; PCWP – pulmonary capillary wedge pressure; PAP – pulmonary artery pressure; CI – cardiac index.

The prevalence of atrial fibrillation (AF) was significantly higher in inflammation negative group compared to inflammation positive 2 (20 %) versus 0 (0 %) respectively, p < 0.001, which might be explained by a lower degree of fibrosis (Fig. 50) in the former group (Table 1). There was no significant difference noticed in the prevalence of left bundle branch block on ECG among the groups 3 (30 %) versus 5 (22 %), p = 0.659.

Generally, main parameters of echocardiography demonstrated characteristic signs of DCM: reduced left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), dilatation of left ventricular. However, there were no significant differences in these parameters between the groups: LVEF 24.10 \pm 2.2 % versus 23.05 \pm 1.3 %, left ventricular end-diastolic diameter (LVEDD) 6.89 \pm 0.17 cm versus 6.89 \pm 0.19 cm, left ventricular end-diastolic diameter index (LVEDDI) 3.68 \pm 0.21 cm/m² versus 3.71 \pm 0.09 cm/m cm/m².

Cardiac catheterization was performed to access the severity of hemodynamic impairment. Although, hemodynamic parameters did not differ significantly between the groups, the average values of pulmonary artery pressure (PAP) in both groups: $34.89 \pm 4.33 \text{ mmHg}$ versus $32.95 \pm 3.24 \text{ mmHg}$, p = 0.734) were increased, which confirms pulmonary hypertension (PH) diagnosis (PH is confirmed when the mean PAP is $\geq 25 \text{ mmHg}$ at rest [246]). Elevated mean pulmonary capillary wedge pressure (PCWP) (>15 mmHg) among the groups $25.00 \pm 2.79 \text{ mmHg}$ versus $23.45 \pm 2.70 \text{ mmHg}$, p = 0.731 shows post-capillary PH due to left heart impairment [247]. Elevated mean right atrial pressure (RAP), elevated mean PAP and decreased cardiac index (CI) in both groups indicate a worse prognosis [248].

Both patient groups received the same basic treatment according to guidelines (Table 8) [249-251]. No significant difference in prescribed medication was observed between the groups.

Conventional treatment of heart failure	Inflammation-	Inflammation-
	negative group	posuive group
ACE inhibitors	5 (50 %)	10 (46 %)
β-blockers	10 (100 %)	19 (87 %)
Digitalis (in atrial fibrillation)	2 (20 %)	7 (32 %)
Diuretics	10 (100 %)	22 (100 %)
Anticoagulation (atrial fibrillation, EF < 40 %)	7 (70 %)	15 (68 %)
Antiarrhythmics (class III: amiodarone)	1 (10 %)	5 (23 %)
Interventions		
Implantable cardiac defibrillator (ICD)	1 (10 %)	0 (0 %)
Cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT)	1 (10 %)	3 (14 %)

Table 8 Basic treatment for the study patient groups (according ESC guidelines).

5.2.3. Inflammatory markers in dilated cardiomyopathy

The inflammatory process was monitored by detecting $CD3^+$, $CD45Ro^+$ and $CD68^+$ expression in inflammatory infiltrates by immunohistochemistry. In addition, we determined the inflammatory cytokines TNF- α , IL-6 and IL-1 β . Representative immunohistochemical micrographs show expression of $CD3^+$, $CD45Ro^+$ and $CD68^+$ from individual inflammatory DCM patients (Fig. 40, Fig. 41 and Fig. 42).



Fig. 40 Inflammatory infiltrate in right ventricular EMB represented by CD3⁺ cells (T lymphocytes). (A) inflammation-negative (CD3⁺ = 3 cell/mm²); (B) inflammation-positive (CD3⁺ = 20 cell/mm²). Immunohistochemical staining was performed using anti-CD3 antibodies. Magnification: x10.



Fig. 41 Inflammatory infiltrate in right ventricular EMB by $CD45Ro^+$ cells (active-memory T lymphocytes). (A) inflammation-negative (CD45Ro^+ = 3 cell/mm²); (B) inflammation-positive (CD45Ro^+ = 17 cell/mm²). Immunohistochemical staining was performed using anti-CD45Ro antibodies. Magnification: x10.



Fig. 42 Inflammatory infiltrate in right ventricular EMB by CD68⁺ cells (macrophages). (A) inflammation-negative (CD68⁺ = 5 cell/mm²); (B) inflammation-positive (CD68⁺ = 20 cell/mm²). Immunohistochemical staining was performed using anti-CD68 antibodies. Magnification: x10.

Total expression of cytokines in infiltrates from inflammatory-negative and inflammatory-positive groups is shown in Fig. 43. The inflammatory infiltrates mostly
upregulated in inflammatory DCM patients were: T-lymphocytes (CD3⁺) and active memory T-lymphocytes (CD45Ro⁺) (2.38-fold and 2.1; p < 0.001 and p < 0.01, respectively), whereas the macrophages (CD68⁺) were only enhanced 1.63 fold (Fig. 44). Significant accession of CD3⁺ and CD45Ro⁺ in inflammatory DCM myocardium also suggests increased myocardial micro-vascular permeability.



Fig. 43 Levels of the inflammatory markers in serum. ELISA data are presented as means \pm SEM from at least three independent measurements. Data were considered significant at *p < 0.05.

Fig. 44 Levels of the inflammatory infiltrates in biopsy. Immunohistochemically positive stained cell count data are presented as means \pm SEM from at least three independent locations, evaluated by highly experienced pathologist. Data were considered significant at *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 and ***p < 0.001.

Data in Table 9 summarize the upregulation of specific and general inflammatory markers interleukin-6 (IL-6) and high sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP), respectively, in inflammatory DCM serum samples (3.45, and 2.76 folds). The mean value of the inflammatory cytokine IL-6 was three times increased in inflammatory DCM serum samples (p < 0.05). The tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α) level in inflammatory-positive DCM serum samples was approximately two folds enhanced compared to non-inflammatory DCM patients, suggesting its possible activation of caspase-8 (Table 9). Interleukin-1beta (IL-1 β), also known as catabolin, did not show significant changes in serum samples.

5.2.4. Changes of apoptotic biomarkers in inflammatory DCM samples

Correlation analysis of inflammatory cytokines and other secreted biomarkers pointed to importance of the intrinsic apoptotic mechanism in inflammatory DCM. Data presented in Fig. 45 show significant correlation between CD3⁺ and IL-6.



Fig. 45 Correlation between inflammatory and mitochondrial membrane destabilization markers in inflammation-positive patient group. Correlation between serum inflammatory cytokine IL-6 and CD3⁺ cell count on EMB. Correlation was significant at a level of p < 0.05. Linear regression line is presented within 95 % confidence interval. Regression coefficients (\mathbb{R}^2) are shown in the graphs.

Moreover, significant correlation of IL-6 and hsCRP with the mitochondrial chaperonic protein Hsp60 and pro-apoptotic Bax, respectively, in serums suggests that myocardial inflammation mostly affected integrity of mitochondrial membranes and activated Bax-dependent apoptotic pathway (Fig. 46 and Fig. 47).



Fig. 46 Correlation between inflammatory and mitochondrial membrane destabilization markers in inflammation-positive patient group. Correlation between IL-6 and mitochondrial membrane stabilizing chaperone Hsp60 in serums. Correlation was significant at a level of p < 0.05. Linear regression line is presented within 95 % confidence interval. Regression coefficients (\mathbf{R}^2) are shown in the graphs. Fig. 47 Correlation between inflammatory and mitochondrial membrane destabilization markers in inflammation-positive patient group. Correlation between high sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP) and Bax in serums. Correlation was significant at a level of p < 0.05. Linear regression line is presented within 95 % confidence interval. Regression coefficients (\mathbb{R}^2) are shown in the graphs.

Additionally, the levels of the mitochondrial membrane stabilizing protein Bcl-2 and Hsp60 in inflammatory-positive serums were 1.86 and 8.97 (p < 0.05) folds higher, respectively, supporting the theory of increased permeability of both outer mitochondrial and myocardial cell plasma membranes in inflammatory DCM (Table 9). In parallel, Bcl-2 in inflammatory DCM biopsies was depressed 1.34 fold, whereas Bax was 1.22 fold increased, revealing activation of the Bcl-2/Bax-dependent apoptotic pathway (Table 9). Parallelly, the level of APO1/Fas/CD95 (FasR), a main receptor of the extrinsic apoptotic pathway, was only slightly increased in serum and biopsy samples from inflammatory DCM patients, whereas Fas ligand (FasL) in biopsies was even down-regulated (Table 9).

Data presented in Fig. 48 demonstrate statistically significant (p < 0.05) increase of caspase-9, -8 and -3 in serums with most prominent expression of caspase-9. Increased expression of the same caspases in endomyocardial biopsy samples (Fig. 49) was not statistically significant due to high variation. However, the basic mean value of caspase-9 in inflammatory DCM patients was 31 and 118 folds higher compared to caspase-8 and -3, respectively, additionally pointing on the higher role of intrinsic apoptotic pathway in inflammatory DCM (Fig. 49).



Fig. 48 Levels of pro-caspases-9, -8, and -3 in serum samples. Data are presented as means \pm SEM from at least three independent measurements. Data were considered significant at *p < 0.05.

Fig. 49 Levels of pro-caspases-9, -8, and -3 in EMB samples. Data are presented as means ± SEM from at least three independent measurements.

Variable No. of Value No. of Value No. of Value p Value pts. pts. pts. pts. pts. Markers of inflammation in serum serum pts. pts. pts. Lo (pg/mL) 8 7.9313 \pm 0.5106 21 14.2819 \pm 5.0280 0.223 LL-6 (pg/mL) 8 3.3938 \pm 0.3554 21 11.4038 \pm 3.3614 0.031* BxCRP (µg/mL) 8 7.6875 \pm 5.0460 19 21.5563 \pm 0.9633 0.06661 Markers of apoptosis in serum Bax (ng/mg protein) 10 0.0288 \pm 0.0288 22 0.0536 \pm 0.0455 0.8891 Bax (ng/mg protein) 10 0.0130 \pm 0.0013 22 0.0334 \pm 0.0000 0.033* Caspase-3 (ng/mg protein) 10 0.0010 \pm 0.0002 2 0.0105 \pm 0.0233 0.025* APO1/Fax/CD95 (ng/mg protein) 10 0.0000 \pm 0.0000 22 0.0000 \pm 0.0000 A.374 Bax (ng/mg protein) 10 29.6575 \pm 12.5969 1 38.7122 \pm 9.6108 0.950i Caspase-9 (ng/		Inflammation-negative group		Inflam		
pls. pls. Markers of inflammation in serum serum TNF-α (pg/mL) 8 7.9313 ± 0.5106 21 14.2819 ± 5.0280 0.223 L-6 (pg/mL) 8 3.3938 ± 0.8554 21 11.4038 ± 3.3614 0.031# L-6 (pg/mL) 8 5.0000 ± 0.0000 21 4.7619 ± 0.2381 0.329 hsCRP (µg/mL) 8 5.0000 ± 0.0000 21 4.7619 ± 0.2381 0.329 hsCRP (µg/mL) 8 7.6875 ± 5.0460 19 21.5563 ± 6.9633 0.066; Garpase-9 (ng/mg protein) 10 0.0288 ± 0.0288 22 0.0536 ± 0.0455 0.889; Caspase-9 (ng/mg protein) 10 0.0013 ± 0.0001 22 0.0031 ± 0.0003 0.0283 Caspase-3 (ng/mg protein) 10 0.0000 ± 0.0000 22 0.0105 ± 0.0023 0.028* Caspase-9 (ng/mg protein) 10 0.0000 ± 0.0000 22 0.0000 ± 0.0000 N.As HSP60 (ng/mg protein) 10 0.4519 ± 2.6905 21 6.8873 ± 3.7924 0.724‡ Caspase-9 (ng/mg prot	Variable	No. of	Value	No. of	Value	p Value
Markers of inflammation in serum serum TNF-a (pg/mL) 8 7.93 13 \pm 0.5106 21 14.2819 \pm 5.0280 0.223 IL-6 (pg/mL) 8 3.3938 \pm 0.8554 21 11.4038 \pm 3.3614 0.031* IL-16 (pg/mL) 8 7.6875 \pm 5.0460 19 21.5563 \pm 6.9633 0.066; Markers of apoptosis in serum BCl2 (ng/mg protein) 10 0.0288 \pm 0.0288 22 0.0536 \pm 0.0455 0.889; Bax (ng/mg protein) 10 0.0130 \pm 0.0013 22 0.0808 \pm 0.0283 0.038* Caspase-8 (ng/mg protein) 10 0.0010 \pm 0.0001 22 0.0031 \pm 0.0023 0.025* APO1/Fas/CD95 (ng/mg protein) 10 0.0000 \pm 0.0000 22 0.0000 \pm 0.0000 NA. RSP60 (ng/mg protein) 10 0.0019 \pm 0.0253 22 0.3760 \pm 0.1468 0.035* Markers of apoptosis in biopsy Bcl2 (ng/mg protein) 10 0.9443 \pm 0.1640 1 1.1611 \pm 0.1962 0.413 Caspase-8 (ng/mg protein) 10 2.94575 \pm 1.25969 <th></th> <th>pts.</th> <th></th> <th>pts.</th> <th></th> <th></th>		pts.		pts.		
serum TNF-a (pg/mL) 8 7.9313 \pm 0.5106 21 1.4.2819 \pm 5.0280 0.223 L-6 (pg/mL) 8 3.3938 \pm 0.8554 21 11.4038 \pm 3.3614 0.031* LL-16 (pg/mL) 8 5.0000 \pm 0.0000 21 4.7619 \pm 0.2381 0.329 bsCRP (µg/mL) 8 7.6875 \pm 5.0460 19 21.5563 \pm 0.0455 0.889; Bax (ng/mg protein) 10 0.1288 \pm 0.0288 22 0.0536 \pm 0.0455 0.889; Caspase-9 (ng/mg protein) 10 0.0130 \pm 0.001 22 0.0031 \pm 0.0009 0.043*; Caspase-9 (ng/mg protein) 10 0.0010 \pm 0.0001 22 0.0004 \pm 0.0002 0.0004 \pm 0.0000 0.875; FasL (ng/mg protein) 10 0.0000 \pm 0.0000 22 0.0000 \pm 0.0000 NA; RSP60 (ng/mg protein) 10 0.0000 \pm 0.0000 22 0.0000 \pm 0.0000 NA; RSP60 (ng/mg protein) 10 0.4615 \pm 2.6905 16.8873 \pm 3.7924 0.724; Caspase-8 (ng/mg protein) 10 2.9553	Markers of inflammation in					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	serum					
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	TNF- α (pg/mL)	8	7.9313 ± 0.5106	21	14.2819 ± 5.0280	0.223
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	IL-6 (pg/mL)	8	3.3938 ± 0.8554	21	11.4038 ± 3.3614	0.031*
$ hsCRP (µg/mL) \\ hsCRP (µg/mL) \\ hsCRP (µg/mL) \\ markers of apoptosis in serum \\ Bcl2 (ng/mg protein) \\ 10 \\ 2.1527 \pm 0.2400 \\ 2.2 \\ 2.3354 \pm 0.1606 \\ 0.535 \\ Caspase-9 (ng/mg protein) \\ 10 \\ 0.013 \pm 0.0013 \\ 2.00031 \pm 0.0009 \\ 0.0031 \pm 0.0000 \\ 0.0001 \pm 0.0000 \\ 2.2 \\ 0.0001 \pm 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 2.2 \\ 0.0000 \pm 0.0000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.00$	IL-1 β (pg/mL)	8	5.0000 ± 0.0000	21	4.7619 ± 0.2381	0.329
Markers of apoptosis in serumBcl2 (ng/mg protein)100.0288 \pm 0.0288220.0536 \pm 0.045550.889;Bcax (ng/mg protein)100.130 \pm 0.0013220.0308 \pm 0.02830.038*Caspase-9 (ng/mg protein)100.010 \pm 0.0001220.0013 \pm 0.00020.043*;Caspase-3 (ng/mg protein)100.0000 \pm 0.0002220.0105 \pm 0.00230.025*APO1/Fas/CD95 (ng/mg protein)100.0000 \pm 0.0000220.0000 \pm 0.00000.857;FasL (ng/mg protein)100.0000 \pm 0.0000220.0000 \pm 0.00000.857;FasL (ng/mg protein)100.0000 \pm 0.0253220.0000 \pm 0.00000.857;Bcl2 (ng/mg protein)1083.5523 \pm 26.29362163.8790 \pm 17.21370.540Bax (ng/mg protein)105.6452 \pm 2.69052163.8790 \pm 17.21370.540Caspase-9 (ng/mg protein)1029.6575 \pm 12.59692183.7122 \pm 9.61080.950;Caspase-3 (ng/mg protein)100.2503 \pm 0.0773210.2586 \pm 0.06490.935APO1/Fas/CD95 (ng/mg protein)103.4651 \pm 0.6568214.10830.780HSP-60 (ng/mg protein)1024.1262 \pm 6.91022119.2656 \pm 4.56170.565Markers of heart tissue contraction in serumNMP9 (ng/mg protein)102.3698 \pm 9.09082066.4145 \pm 26.97550.289MMP9 (ng/mg protein)105.9610 \pm 0.0674221.5261 \pm 0.05080	hsCRP (µg/mL)	8	7.6875 ± 5.0460	19	21.5563 ± 6.9633	0.066;
Bcl2 (ng/mg protein)10 0.0288 ± 0.0288 22 0.0356 ± 0.0455 0.889 ;Bax (ng/mg protein)10 2.1527 ± 0.2400 22 2.3354 ± 0.1606 0.535 Caspase-9 (ng/mg protein)10 0.013 ± 0.0013 22 0.0031 ± 0.0009 $0.038*$ Caspase-3 (ng/mg protein)10 0.0010 ± 0.0001 22 0.0001 ± 0.0003 $0.023*$ APO1/Fas/CD95 (ng/mg protein)10 0.0000 ± 0.0000 22 0.0000 ± 0.0000 0.857 ;FasL (ng/mg protein)10 0.0000 ± 0.0000 22 0.0000 ± 0.0000 0.857 ;FasL (ng/mg protein)10 0.0419 ± 0.0253 22 0.3760 ± 0.1468 $0.035*$ Markers of apoptosis in biopsyBcl2 (ng/mg protein)10 5.6452 ± 2.6905 21 6.8873 ± 3.7924 0.724 ;Caspase-9 (ng/mg protein)10 2.96575 ± 12.5969 21 3.87122 ± 9.6108 0.950 ;Caspase-3 (ng/mg protein)10 0.2433 ± 0.1640 21 1.161 ± 0.1962 0.413 Caspase-3 (ng/mg protein)10 2.41262 ± 6.9102 21 1.92656 ± 3.617 0.565 Marker of heart tissue contractionis serum 1.3867 ± 0.0674 22 1.5261 ± 0.0508 0.115 MMP9 (ng/mg protein)10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 MMP9 (ng/mg protein)10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 MMP9 (ng/mg protein)10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 MMP9 (n	Markers of apoptosis in serum					
Bax (ng/mg protein)10 2.1527 ± 0.2400 22 2.3354 ± 0.1606 0.535 Caspase-9 (ng/mg protein)10 0.0130 ± 0.0013 22 0.008 ± 0.0283 $0.038*$ Caspase-3 (ng/mg protein)10 0.0010 ± 0.0001 22 0.0031 ± 0.0009 $0.043*$ tCaspase-3 (ng/mg protein)10 0.0000 ± 0.0000 22 0.0000 ± 0.0000 0.875 tFasL (ng/mg protein)10 0.0000 ± 0.0000 22 0.0000 ± 0.0000 0.875 tFasL (ng/mg protein)10 0.00419 ± 0.0253 22 0.3760 ± 0.1468 $0.035*$ Markers of apoptosis in biopsyBcl2 (ng/mg protein)10 5.6452 ± 2.6905 21 6.8873 ± 3.7924 0.724 tCaspase-9 (ng/mg protein)10 5.6452 ± 2.6905 21 6.8873 ± 3.7924 0.724 tCaspase-9 (ng/mg protein)10 0.9483 ± 0.1640 21 1.1611 ± 0.1962 0.413 Caspase-9 (ng/mg protein)10 0.2503 ± 0.0773 21 0.286 ± 0.0649 0.935 Caspase-3 (ng/mg protein)10 4.5550 ± 1.3594 21 4.0588 ± 1.1083 0.780 HSP-60 (ng/mg protein)10 4.5550 ± 1.3594 21 4.0588 ± 1.1083 0.780 HSP-60 (ng/mg protein)10 5.9610 ± 0.3597 22 6.221 ± 0.0508 0.115 TIMP1 (ng/mg protein)10 5.9610 ± 0.3597 22 6.1223 ± 0.1497 6.686 MMP9/TIMP110 0.2355 ± 0.0090 22 0.2511 ± 0.0086 0.223 Markers of extracellular matrix </td <td>Bcl2 (ng/mg protein)</td> <td>10</td> <td>0.0288 ± 0.0288</td> <td>22</td> <td>0.0536 ± 0.0455</td> <td>0.889;</td>	Bcl2 (ng/mg protein)	10	0.0288 ± 0.0288	22	0.0536 ± 0.0455	0.889;
Caspase-9 (ng/mg protein)10 0.013 ± 0.0013 22 0.0808 ± 0.0283 $0.038*$ Caspase-3 (ng/mg protein)10 0.0010 ± 0.0001 22 0.031 ± 0.0009 $0.043*_1$ Caspase-3 (ng/mg protein)10 0.0029 ± 0.0002 22 0.0105 ± 0.0023 $0.025*$ APO1/Fas/CD95 (ng/mg protein)10 0.0000 ± 0.0000 22 0.0000 ± 0.0000 0.857_1 FasL (ng/mg protein)10 0.0019 ± 0.0253 22 0.0000 ± 0.0000 N.A.HSP60 (ng/mg protein)10 0.0419 ± 0.0253 22 0.3760 ± 0.1468 $0.035*$ Markers of apoptosis in biopsyBcl2 (ng/mg protein)10 $8.35523 \pm 2.6.2936$ 21 6.38790 ± 17.2137 0.540 Bax (ng/mg protein)10 5.6452 ± 2.6905 21 6.8873 ± 3.7924 0.724_1 Caspase-8 (ng/mg protein)10 2.96575 ± 12.5969 21 8.7122 ± 9.6108 0.950_1 Caspase-3 (ng/mg protein)10 0.9483 ± 0.1640 21 1.1611 ± 0.1962 0.413 Caspase-3 (ng/mg protein)10 0.2503 ± 0.0773 21 0.2566 ± 0.6607 0.443 FasL (ng/mg protein)10 $2.4.1262 \pm 6.9102$ 21 19.2656 ± 4.5617 0.565 Marker of heart tissue contractionin serum $hsTnT (pg/mL)$ 8 35.4988 ± 9.0908 20 66.4145 ± 26.9755 0.289 Markers of extracellular matrixdegradation in serum $MMP9 (ng/mg protein)$ 10 1.3867 ± 0.0674 22 1.5261 ± 0.0508 0.115 TIMP1 (ng/mg p	Bax (ng/mg protein)	10	2.1527 ± 0.2400	22	2.3354 ± 0.1606	0.535
Caspase-8 (ng/mg protein)10 0.0010 ± 0.0001 22 0.0031 ± 0.0009 $0.043*_1$ Caspase-3 (ng/mg protein)10 0.0029 ± 0.0022 22 0.0105 ± 0.0023 $0.025*$ APO1/Fas/CD95 (ng/mg protein)10 0.0000 ± 0.0000 22 0.0000 ± 0.0000 N.A.HSP60 (ng/mg protein)10 0.0010 ± 0.0000 22 0.0000 ± 0.0000 N.A.HSP60 (ng/mg protein)10 0.0019 ± 0.0253 22 0.3760 ± 0.1468 $0.035*$ Markers of apoptosis in biopsyBcl2 (ng/mg protein)10 5.6452 ± 2.6905 21 63.8790 ± 17.2137 0.540 Bax (ng/mg protein)10 5.6452 ± 2.6905 21 63.8790 ± 17.2137 0.540 Bax (ng/mg protein)10 29.6575 ± 12.5969 21 38.7122 ± 9.6108 0.9501 Caspase-9 (ng/mg protein)10 0.9483 ± 0.1640 21 1.1611 ± 0.1962 0.413 Caspase-3 (ng/mg protein)10 0.2503 ± 0.0773 21 0.2586 ± 0.0649 0.935 APO1/Fas/CD95 (ng/mg protein)10 4.5550 ± 1.3594 21 4.0588 ± 1.1083 0.780 HSP-60 (ng/mg protein)10 24.1262 ± 6.9102 21 19.2656 ± 4.5617 0.565 Marker of heart tissue contraction in serumn 0.2355 ± 0.0674 22 1.5261 ± 0.0508 0.115 MMP9 (ng/mg protein)10 1.3867 ± 0.0674 22 1.5261 ± 0.0508 0.115 MMP9 (ng/mg protein)10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 <tr< td=""><td>Caspase-9 (ng/mg protein)</td><td>10</td><td>0.0130 ± 0.0013</td><td>22</td><td>0.0808 ± 0.0283</td><td>0.038*</td></tr<>	Caspase-9 (ng/mg protein)	10	0.0130 ± 0.0013	22	0.0808 ± 0.0283	0.038*
Caspase-3 (ng/mg protein)10 0.0029 ± 0.0022 22 0.0105 ± 0.0023 0.025^* APO1/Fas/CD95 (ng/mg protein)10 0.0000 ± 0.0000 22 0.0000 ± 0.0000 0.857_1 FasL (ng/mg protein)10 0.001 ± 0.0253 22 0.3760 ± 0.1468 0.035^* Markers of apoptosis in biopsyBcl2 (ng/mg protein)10 5.6452 ± 2.6935 21 6.8873 ± 3.7924 0.724_1 Caspase-9 (ng/mg protein)10 5.6452 ± 2.6905 21 6.8873 ± 3.7924 0.724_1 Caspase-9 (ng/mg protein)10 2.96575 ± 12.5969 21 3.87122 ± 9.6108 0.950_1 Caspase-3 (ng/mg protein)10 0.2503 ± 0.0773 21 0.2586 ± 0.0649 0.935 APO1/Fas/CD95 (ng/mg protein)10 4.5550 ± 1.3594 21 4.0584 ± 1.1083 0.780 HSP-60 (ng/mg protein)10 4.5550 ± 1.3594 21 4.0584 ± 1.083 0.780 HSP-60 (ng/mg protein)10 2.41262 ± 6.9102 21 19.2656 ± 4.5617 0.565 Marker of heart tissue contractionin serumMMP9 (ng/mg protein)10 2.3698 ± 9.0908 20 66.4145 ± 26.9755 0.289 MMP9 (ng/mg protein)10 1.3867 ± 0.0674 22 1.5261 ± 0.0508 0.115 TIMP1 (ng/mg protein)10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 MMP9 (ng/mg protein)10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 MMP9 (ng/mg protein)10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21	Caspase-8 (ng/mg protein)	10	0.0010 ± 0.0001	22	0.0031 ± 0.0009	0.043*;
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Caspase-3 (ng/mg protein)	10	0.0029 ± 0.0022	22	0.0105 ± 0.0023	0.025*
FasL (ng/mg protein)10 0.0000 ± 0.0000 22 0.0000 ± 0.0000 N.A.HSP60 (ng/mg protein)10 0.0419 ± 0.0253 22 0.3760 ± 0.1468 0.035^* Markers of apoptosis in biopsy 0 0.419 ± 0.0253 22 0.3760 ± 0.1468 0.035^* Bcl2 (ng/mg protein)10 83.5523 ± 26.2936 21 6.38790 ± 17.2137 0.540 Bax (ng/mg protein)10 29.6575 ± 12.5969 21 38.7122 ± 9.6108 0.950_1 Caspase-9 (ng/mg protein)10 0.9483 ± 0.1640 21 1.1611 ± 0.1962 0.413 Caspase-3 (ng/mg protein)10 0.9483 ± 0.1640 21 1.1611 ± 0.1962 0.413 Caspase-3 (ng/mg protein)10 0.2483 ± 0.1640 21 1.1611 ± 0.1962 0.413 Gaspase-3 (ng/mg protein)10 0.2483 ± 0.1640 21 1.1611 ± 0.1962 0.413 FasL (ng/mg protein)10 2.4651 ± 0.6568 21 4.1921 ± 0.6607 0.443 FasL (ng/mg protein)10 24.1262 ± 6.9102 21 19.2656 ± 4.5617 0.565 Marker of heart tissue contractionin serum n n n n n n MMP9 (ng/mg protein)10 1.3867 ± 0.0674 22 1.5261 ± 0.0508 0.115 MMP9 (ng/mg protein)10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 MMP9 (ng/mg protein)10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 MMP9 (ng/mg protein)10 2.3698 ± 1.19	APO1/Fas/CD95 (ng/mg protein)	10	0.0000 ± 0.0000	22	0.0000 ± 0.0000	0.857;
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	FasL (ng/mg protein)	10	0.0000 ± 0.0000	22	0.0000 ± 0.0000	N.A.
Markers of apoptosis in biopsyBcl2 (ng/mg protein)10 83.5523 ± 26.2936 21 63.8790 ± 17.2137 0.540 Bax (ng/mg protein)10 5.6452 ± 2.6905 21 63.8790 ± 17.2137 0.724_1 Caspase-9 (ng/mg protein)10 29.6575 ± 12.5969 21 6.8873 ± 3.7924 0.724_1 Caspase-3 (ng/mg protein)10 0.9483 ± 0.1640 21 1.1611 ± 0.1962 0.413 Caspase-3 (ng/mg protein)10 0.2503 ± 0.0773 21 0.2586 ± 0.0649 0.935 APO1/Fas/CD95 (ng/mg protein)10 3.4651 ± 0.6568 21 4.1921 ± 0.6607 0.443 FasL (ng/mg protein)10 4.5550 ± 1.3594 21 4.0588 ± 1.1083 0.780 HSP-60 (ng/mg protein)10 24.1262 ± 6.9102 21 19.2656 ± 4.5617 0.565 Marker of heart tissue contraction in serumnnn 835.4988 ± 9.0908 20 66.4145 ± 26.9755 0.289 Markers of extracellular matrixdegradation in serumn 0.2355 ± 0.0090 22 0.2511 ± 0.0508 0.115 TIMP1 (ng/mg protein)10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 MMP9 (ng/mg protein)10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 TIMP1 (ng/mg protein)10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 MMP9 (ng/mg protein)10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 TIMP1 (ng/mg protein)10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2	HSP60 (ng/mg protein)	10	0.0419 ± 0.0253	22	0.3760 ± 0.1468	0.035*
Bcl2 (ng/mg protein) 10 83.5523 \pm 26.2936 21 63.8790 \pm 17.2137 0.540 Bax (ng/mg protein) 10 5.6452 \pm 2.6905 21 6.8873 \pm 3.7924 0.724; Caspase-9 (ng/mg protein) 10 29.6575 \pm 12.5969 21 38.7122 \pm 9.6108 0.950; Caspase-3 (ng/mg protein) 10 0.2483 \pm 0.1640 21 1.1611 \pm 0.1962 0.413 Caspase-3 (ng/mg protein) 10 0.2483 \pm 0.173 21 0.2586 \pm 0.0649 0.935 APO1/Fas/CD95 (ng/mg protein) 10 3.4651 \pm 0.6568 21 4.1921 \pm 0.6607 0.443 FasL (ng/mg protein) 10 4.5550 \pm 1.3594 21 4.0588 \pm 1.1083 0.780 HSP-60 (ng/mg protein) 10 24.1262 \pm 6.9102 21 19.2656 \pm 4.5617 0.565 Marker of heart tissue contraction in serum hsTnT (pg/mL) 8 35.4988 \pm 9.0908 20 66.4145 \pm 26.9755 0.289 Markers of extracellular matrix degradation in serum MMP9 (ng/mg protein) 10 1.3867 \pm 0.0674 22 1.5261 \pm 0.0508 0.115 TIMP1 (ng/mg protein) 10 5.9610 \pm 0.3597 22 6.1223 \pm 0.1497 0.686 MMP9/TIMP1 10 0.2355 \pm 0.0090 22 0.2511 \pm 0.0086 0.223 Markers of extracellular matrix degradation in biopsy MMP9 (ng/mg protein) 10 2.3698 \pm 1.1931 21 2.7630 \pm 0.9394 0.798 TIMP1 (ng/mg protein) 10 9.4917 \pm 1.7605 21 7.8056 \pm 1.4029 0.462 MMP9/TIMP1 10 0.1931 \pm 0.0729 21 0.4760 \pm 0.1048 0.035* Other measurements BNP (pg/mL) 10 1277.8500 \pm 428.5054 22 1603.2591 \pm 276.3777 0.532 Adiponectin (µg/mL) 8 24.1000 \pm 3.5914 21 22.9048 \pm 3.5287 0.815 Galectin-3 (ng/mL) 10 12.4470 \pm 1.9009 22 12.3895 \pm 0.8635 0.971 Gording fibrein (%)	Markers of apoptosis in biopsy					
Bax (ng/mg protein) 10 5.6452 \pm 2.6905 21 6.8873 \pm 3.7924 0.724; Caspase-9 (ng/mg protein) 10 29.6575 \pm 12.5969 21 38.7122 \pm 9.6108 0.950; Caspase-8 (ng/mg protein) 10 0.9483 \pm 0.1640 21 1.1611 \pm 0.1962 0.413 Caspase-3 (ng/mg protein) 10 0.2503 \pm 0.0773 21 0.2586 \pm 0.0649 0.935 APO1/Fas/CD95 (ng/mg protein) 10 3.4651 \pm 0.6568 21 4.1921 \pm 0.6607 0.443 FasL (ng/mg protein) 10 24.1262 \pm 6.9102 21 19.2656 \pm 4.5617 0.565 Marker of heart tissue contraction in serum hsTnT (pg/mL) 8 35.4988 \pm 9.0908 20 66.4145 \pm 26.9755 0.289 Markers of extracellular matrix degradation in serum MMP9 (ng/mg protein) 10 1.3867 \pm 0.0674 22 1.5261 \pm 0.0508 0.115 TIMP1 (ng/mg protein) 10 5.9610 \pm 0.3597 22 6.1223 \pm 0.1497 0.686 MMP9/TIMP1 10 0.2355 \pm 0.0090 22 0.2511 \pm 0.0086 0.223 Markers of extracellular matrix degradation in biopsy MMP9 (ng/mg protein) 10 2.3698 \pm 1.1931 21 2.7630 \pm 0.9394 0.798 TIMP1 (ng/mg protein) 10 9.4917 \pm 1.7605 21 7.8056 \pm 1.4029 0.462 MMP9/TIMP1 10 0.1931 \pm 0.0729 21 0.4760 \pm 0.1048 0.035* Other measurements BNP (pg/mL) 10 1277.8500 \pm 428.5054 22 1603.2591 \pm 276.3777 0.532 Adiponectin (µg/mL) 8 24.1000 \pm 3.5914 21 22.9048 \pm 3.5287 0.815 Galectin-3 (ng/mL) 10 12.4670 \pm 1.9009 22 1.23895 \pm 0.8635 0.971	Bcl2 (ng/mg protein)	10	83.5523 ± 26.2936	21	63.8790 ± 17.2137	0.540
Caspase-9 (ng/mg protein) 10 29.6575 \pm 12.5969 21 38.7122 \pm 9.6108 0.950 Caspase-8 (ng/mg protein) 10 0.9483 \pm 0.1640 21 1.1611 \pm 0.1962 0.413 Caspase-3 (ng/mg protein) 10 0.2503 \pm 0.0773 21 0.2586 \pm 0.0649 0.935 APO1/Fas/CD95 (ng/mg protein) 10 3.4651 \pm 0.6568 21 4.1921 \pm 0.6607 0.443 FasL (ng/mg protein) 10 4.5550 \pm 1.3594 21 4.0588 \pm 1.1083 0.780 HSP-60 (ng/mg protein) 10 24.1262 \pm 6.9102 21 19.2656 \pm 4.5617 0.565 Marker of heart tissue contraction in serum MMP9 (ng/mg protein) 10 1.3867 \pm 0.0674 22 1.5261 \pm 0.0508 0.115 TIMP1 (ng/mg protein) 10 5.9610 \pm 0.3597 22 6.1223 \pm 0.1497 0.686 MMP9/TIMP1 10 0.2355 \pm 0.0090 22 0.2511 \pm 0.0086 0.223 Markers of extracellular matrix degradation in biopsy MMP9 (ng/mg protein) 10 2.3698 \pm 1.1931 21 2.7630 \pm 0.9394 0.798 TIMP1 (ng/mg protein) 10 9.4917 \pm 1.7605 21 7.8056 \pm 1.4029 0.462 MMP9/TIMP1 10 0.1931 \pm 0.0729 21 0.4760 \pm 0.1048 0.035* Other measurements BNP (pg/mL) 8 24.1000 \pm 3.5914 21 22.9048 \pm 3.5287 0.815 Galectin-3 (ng/mL) 10 1277.8500 \pm 428.5054 22 1603.2591 \pm 276.3777 0.532 Adiponectin (µg/mL) 10 124670 \pm 1.9009 22 1.23895 \pm 0.8635 0.971	Bax (ng/mg protein)	10	5.6452 ± 2.6905	21	6.8873 ± 3.7924	0.724;
Caspase-8 (ng/mg protein) 10 0.9483 \pm 0.1640 21 1.1611 \pm 0.1962 0.413 Caspase-3 (ng/mg protein) 10 0.2503 \pm 0.0773 21 0.2586 \pm 0.0649 0.935 APO1/Fas/CD95 (ng/mg protein) 10 3.4651 \pm 0.6568 21 4.1921 \pm 0.6607 0.443 FasL (ng/mg protein) 10 4.5550 \pm 1.3594 21 4.0588 \pm 1.1083 0.780 HSP-60 (ng/mg protein) 10 24.1262 \pm 6.9102 21 19.2656 \pm 4.5617 0.565 Marker of heart tissue contraction in serum hsTnT (pg/mL) 8 35.4988 \pm 9.0908 20 66.4145 \pm 26.9755 0.289 Markers of extracellular matrix degradation in serum MMP9 (ng/mg protein) 10 1.3867 \pm 0.0674 22 1.5261 \pm 0.0508 0.115 TIMP1 (ng/mg protein) 10 5.9610 \pm 0.3597 22 6.1223 \pm 0.1497 0.686 MMP9/TIMP1 10 0.2355 \pm 0.0090 22 0.2511 \pm 0.0086 0.223 Markers of extracellular matrix degradation in biopsy MMP9 (ng/mg protein) 10 2.3698 \pm 1.1931 21 2.7630 \pm 0.9394 0.798 TIMP1 (ng/mg protein) 10 9.4917 \pm 1.7605 21 7.8056 \pm 1.4029 0.462 MMP9/TIMP1 10 0.1931 \pm 0.0729 21 0.4760 \pm 0.1048 0.035* Other measurements BNP (pg/mL) 10 1277.8500 \pm 428.5054 22 1603.2591 \pm 276.3777 0.532 Adiponectin (µg/mL) 8 24.1000 \pm 3.5914 21 22.9048 \pm 3.5287 0.815 Galectin-3 (ng/mL) 10 12.4670 \pm 1.900 22 10.2485 \pm 0.825 \pm 0.825 \pm 0.971	Caspase-9 (ng/mg protein)	10	29.6575 ± 12.5969	21	38.7122 ± 9.6108	0.950;
Caspase-3 (ng/mg protein)10 0.2503 ± 0.0773 21 0.2586 ± 0.0649 0.935 APO1/Fas/CD95 (ng/mg protein)10 3.4651 ± 0.6568 21 4.1921 ± 0.6607 0.443 FasL (ng/mg protein)10 4.5550 ± 1.3594 21 4.0588 ± 1.1083 0.780 HSP-60 (ng/mg protein)10 24.1262 ± 6.9102 21 19.2656 ± 4.5617 0.565 Marker of heart tissue contraction in serum hsTnT (pg/mL)8 35.4988 ± 9.0908 20 66.4145 ± 26.9755 0.289 Markers of extracellular matrix degradation in serum MMP9 (ng/mg protein)10 1.3867 ± 0.0674 22 1.5261 ± 0.0508 0.115 TIMP1 (ng/mg protein)10 5.9610 ± 0.3597 22 6.1223 ± 0.1497 0.686 MMP9/TIMP110 0.2355 ± 0.0090 22 0.2511 ± 0.0086 0.223 Markers of extracellular matrix degradation in biopsy10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 MMP9 (ng/mg protein)10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 TIMP1 (ng/mg protein)10 9.4917 ± 1.7605 21 7.8056 ± 1.4029 0.462 MMP9/TIMP110 0.1931 ± 0.0729 21 0.4760 ± 0.1048 $0.035*$ Other measurementsBNP (pg/mL)10 1277.8500 ± 428.5054 22 1603.2591 ± 276.3777 0.532 Adiponectin (µg/mL)8 24.1000 ± 3.5914 21 22.9048 ± 3.5287 0.815 Galectin-3 (ng/mL)10 12.4670 ± 1.9009 22 12.3895 ± 0.8635	Caspase-8 (ng/mg protein)	10	0.9483 ± 0.1640	21	1.1611 ± 0.1962	0.413
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Caspase-3 (ng/mg protein)	10	0.2503 ± 0.0773	21	0.2586 ± 0.0649	0.935
FasL (ng/mg protein)10 4.5550 ± 1.3594 21 4.0588 ± 1.1083 0.780 HSP-60 (ng/mg protein)10 24.1262 ± 6.9102 21 19.2656 ± 4.5617 0.565 Marker of heart tissue contraction in serum8 35.4988 ± 9.0908 20 66.4145 ± 26.9755 0.289 Markers of extracellular matrix degradation in serum8 35.4988 ± 9.0908 20 66.4145 ± 26.9755 0.289 Markers of extracellular matrix degradation in serum10 1.3867 ± 0.0674 22 1.5261 ± 0.0508 0.115 TIMP1 (ng/mg protein)10 5.9610 ± 0.3597 22 6.1223 ± 0.1497 0.686 MMP9/TIMP110 0.2355 ± 0.0090 22 0.2511 ± 0.0086 0.223 Markers of extracellular matrix degradation in biopsy90 0.94917 ± 1.7605 21 7.8056 ± 1.4029 0.462 MMP9 (ng/mg protein)10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 TIMP1 (ng/mg protein)10 0.1931 ± 0.0729 21 0.4760 ± 0.1048 $0.035*$ Other measurementsBNP (pg/mL)10 1277.8500 ± 428.5054 22 1603.2591 ± 276.3777 0.532 Adiponectin (µg/mL)8 24.1000 ± 3.5914 21 22.9048 ± 3.5287 0.815 Galectin-3 (ng/mL)10 12.4670 ± 1.9009 22 12.3895 ± 0.8635 0.971 Galectin-3 (ng/mL)10 12.4670 ± 1.9009 22 12.3895 ± 0.8635 0.971	APO1/Fas/CD95 (ng/mg protein)	10	3.4651 ± 0.6568	21	4.1921 ± 0.6607	0.443
HSP-60 (ng/mg protein) 10 24.1262 ± 6.9102 21 19.2656 ± 4.5617 0.565 Marker of heart tissue contraction in serum hsTnT (pg/mL) 8 35.4988 ± 9.0908 20 66.4145 ± 26.9755 0.289 Markers of extracellular matrix degradation in serum MMP9 (ng/mg protein) 10 1.3867 ± 0.0674 22 1.5261 ± 0.0508 0.115 TIMP1 (ng/mg protein) 10 5.9610 ± 0.3597 22 6.1223 ± 0.1497 0.686 MMP9/TIMP1 10 0.2355 ± 0.0090 22 0.2511 ± 0.0086 0.223 Markers of extracellular matrix degradation in biopsy MMP9 (ng/mg protein) 10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 TIMP1 (ng/mg protein) 10 9.4917 ± 1.7605 21 7.8056 ± 1.4029 0.462 MMP9/TIMP1 10 0.1931 ± 0.0729 21 0.4760 ± 0.1048 0.035* Other measurements BNP (pg/mL) 10 1277.8500 ± 428.5054 22 1603.2591 ± 276.3777 0.532 Adiponectin (µg/mL) 8 24.1000 ± 3.5914 21 22.9048 ± 3.5287 0.815 Galectin-3 (ng/mL) 10 12.4670 ± 1.9009 22 12.3895 ± 0.8635 0.971	FasL (ng/mg protein)	10	4.5550 ± 1.3594	21	4.0588 ± 1.1083	0.780
Marker of heart tissue contraction in serum hsTnT (pg/mL)8 35.4988 ± 9.0908 20 66.4145 ± 26.9755 0.289 Markers of extracellular matrix degradation in serum MMP9 (ng/mg protein)10 1.3867 ± 0.0674 22 1.5261 ± 0.0508 0.115 TIMP1 (ng/mg protein)10 5.9610 ± 0.3597 22 6.1223 ± 0.1497 0.686 MMP9/TIMP110 0.2355 ± 0.0090 22 0.2511 ± 0.0086 0.223 Markers of extracellular matrix degradation in biopsy10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 TIMP1 (ng/mg protein)10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 TIMP1 (ng/mg protein)10 9.4917 ± 1.7605 21 7.8056 ± 1.4029 0.462 MMP9/TIMP110 0.1931 ± 0.0729 21 0.4760 ± 0.1048 $0.035*$ Other measurementsBNP (pg/mL)10 1277.8500 ± 428.5054 22 1603.2591 ± 276.3777 0.532 Adiponectin (µg/mL)8 24.1000 ± 3.5914 21 22.9048 ± 3.5287 0.815 Galectin-3 (ng/mL)10 12.4670 ± 1.9009 22 12.3895 ± 0.8635 0.971 Cardian Elibercia (%)10 12.5847 ± 2.6210 22 $14.5622 + 1.4029$ 0.4052	HSP-60 (ng/mg protein)	10	24.1262 ± 6.9102	21	19.2656 ± 4.5617	0.565
Initial of inference contractionin serumhsTnT (pg/mL)835.4988 \pm 9.09082066.4145 \pm 26.97550.289Markers of extracellular matrixdegradation in serumMMP9 (ng/mg protein)10105.9610 \pm 0.3597226.1223 \pm 0.14970.686MMP9/TIMP1100.2355 \pm 0.0090220.2511 \pm 0.00860.223Markers of extracellular matrixdegradation in biopsyMMP9 (ng/mg protein)102.3698 \pm 1.1931212.7630 \pm 0.93940.798TIMP1 (ng/mg protein)109.4917 \pm 1.7605217.8056 \pm 1.40290.462MMP9/TIMP1100.1931 \pm 0.0729210.4760 \pm 0.10480.035*Other measurementsBNP (pg/mL)101277.8500 \pm 428.5054221603.2591 \pm 276.37770.532Adiponectin (µg/mL)824.1000 \pm 3.59142122.9048 \pm 3.52870.815Galectin-3 (ng/mL)1012.24670 \pm 1.90092212.3895 \pm 0.86350.971Conding timeric (9())1015.8474 \pm 2.6102216.85350.971	Marker of heart tissue contraction	n				
hsTnT (pg/mL)8 35.4988 ± 9.0908 20 66.4145 ± 26.9755 0.289 Markers of extracellular matrix degradation in serum10 1.3867 ± 0.0674 22 1.5261 ± 0.0508 0.115 MMP9 (ng/mg protein)10 5.9610 ± 0.3597 22 6.1223 ± 0.1497 0.686 MMP9/TIMP110 0.2355 ± 0.0090 22 0.2511 ± 0.0086 0.223 Markers of extracellular matrix degradation in biopsy20 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 MMP9 (ng/mg protein)10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 MMP9 (ng/mg protein)10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 MMP9 (ng/mg protein)10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 MMP9 (ng/mg protein)10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 MMP9 (ng/mg protein)10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 MMP9/TIMP110 0.1931 ± 0.0729 21 0.4760 ± 0.1048 $0.035*$ Other measurements8 24.1000 ± 3.5914 21 22.9048 ± 3.5287 0.815 BNP (pg/mL)10 12.4670 ± 1.9009 22 12.3895 ± 0.8635 0.971 Galectin-3 (ng/mL)10 12.4670 ± 1.9009 22 14.5622 ± 1.0258 0.600	in serum	-				
Markers of extracellular matrix degradation in serum10 1.3867 ± 0.0674 22 1.5261 ± 0.0508 0.115 MMP9 (ng/mg protein)10 5.9610 ± 0.3597 22 6.1223 ± 0.1497 0.686 MMP9/TIMP110 0.2355 ± 0.0090 22 0.2511 ± 0.0086 0.223 Markers of extracellular matrix degradation in biopsy10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 MMP9 (ng/mg protein)10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 TIMP1 (ng/mg protein)10 9.4917 ± 1.7605 21 7.8056 ± 1.4029 0.462 MMP9/TIMP110 0.1931 ± 0.0729 21 0.4760 ± 0.1048 $0.035*$ Other measurementsBNP (pg/mL)10 1277.8500 ± 428.5054 22 1603.2591 ± 276.3777 0.532 Adiponectin (µg/mL)8 24.1000 ± 3.5914 21 22.9048 ± 3.5287 0.815 Galectin-3 (ng/mL)10 12.4670 ± 1.9009 22 12.3895 ± 0.8635 0.971	hsTnT (pg/mL)	8	35.4988 ± 9.0908	20	66.4145 ± 26.9755	0.289
degradation in serum10 1.3867 ± 0.0674 22 1.5261 ± 0.0508 0.115 TIMP1 (ng/mg protein)10 5.9610 ± 0.3597 22 6.1223 ± 0.1497 0.686 MMP9/TIMP110 0.2355 ± 0.0090 22 0.2511 ± 0.0086 0.223 Markers of extracellular matrix degradation in biopsy10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 TIMP1 (ng/mg protein)10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 TIMP1 (ng/mg protein)10 9.4917 ± 1.7605 21 7.8056 ± 1.4029 0.462 MMP9/TIMP110 0.1931 ± 0.0729 21 0.4760 ± 0.1048 $0.035*$ Other measurementsBNP (pg/mL)10 1277.8500 ± 428.5054 22 1603.2591 ± 276.3777 0.532 Adiponectin (µg/mL)8 24.1000 ± 3.5914 21 22.9048 ± 3.5287 0.815 Galectin-3 (ng/mL)10 12.4670 ± 1.9009 22 12.3895 ± 0.8635 0.971	Markers of extracellular matrix					
MMP9 (ng/mg protein)10 1.3867 ± 0.0674 22 1.5261 ± 0.0508 0.115 TIMP1 (ng/mg protein)10 5.9610 ± 0.3597 22 6.1223 ± 0.1497 0.686 MMP9/TIMP110 0.2355 ± 0.0090 22 0.2511 ± 0.0086 0.223 Markers of extracellular matrix degradation in biopsy10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 TIMP1 (ng/mg protein)10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 TIMP1 (ng/mg protein)10 9.4917 ± 1.7605 21 7.8056 ± 1.4029 0.462 MMP9/TIMP110 0.1931 ± 0.0729 21 0.4760 ± 0.1048 $0.035*$ Other measurementsBNP (pg/mL)10 1277.8500 ± 428.5054 22 1603.2591 ± 276.3777 0.532 Adiponectin (µg/mL)8 24.1000 ± 3.5914 21 22.9048 ± 3.5287 0.815 Galectin-3 (ng/mL)10 12.4670 ± 1.9009 22 12.3895 ± 0.8635 0.971 Cardiag fibraria (9(a))10 12.4670 ± 1.9009 22 $14.5622 + 1.0258$ 0.600	degradation in serum					
TIMP1 (ng/mg protein)10 5.9610 ± 0.3597 22 6.1223 ± 0.1497 0.686 MMP9/TIMP110 0.2355 ± 0.0090 22 0.2511 ± 0.0086 0.223 Markers of extracellular matrix degradation in biopsy10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 MMP9 (ng/mg protein)10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 TIMP1 (ng/mg protein)10 9.4917 ± 1.7605 21 7.8056 ± 1.4029 0.462 MMP9/TIMP110 0.1931 ± 0.0729 21 0.4760 ± 0.1048 $0.035*$ Other measurementsBNP (pg/mL)10 1277.8500 ± 428.5054 22 1603.2591 ± 276.3777 0.532 Adiponectin (µg/mL)8 24.1000 ± 3.5914 21 22.9048 ± 3.5287 0.815 Galectin-3 (ng/mL)10 12.4670 ± 1.9009 22 12.3895 ± 0.8635 0.971 Carding fibracia (9())10 15.8447 ± 2.6210 22 14.5622 ± 1.0258 0.600	MMP9 (ng/mg protein)	10	1.3867 ± 0.0674	22	1.5261 ± 0.0508	0.115
MMP9/TIMP110 0.2355 ± 0.0090 22 0.1225 ± 0.1157 0.000 MMP9/TIMP110 0.2355 ± 0.0090 22 0.2511 ± 0.0086 0.223 Markers of extracellular matrix degradation in biopsy10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 MMP9 (ng/mg protein)10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 TIMP1 (ng/mg protein)10 9.4917 ± 1.7605 21 7.8056 ± 1.4029 0.462 MMP9/TIMP110 0.1931 ± 0.0729 21 0.4760 ± 0.1048 $0.035*$ Other measurements8 24.1000 ± 3.5914 21 22.9048 ± 3.5287 0.815 BNP (pg/mL)10 12.4670 ± 1.9009 22 12.3895 ± 0.8635 0.971 Galectin-3 (ng/mL)10 12.4670 ± 1.9009 22 14.5622 ± 1.0258 0.600	TIMP1 (ng/mg protein)	10	59610 ± 03597	22	$6\ 1223 \pm 0\ 1497$	0.686
Markers of extracellular matrix degradation in biopsy10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 MMP9 (ng/mg protein)10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 TIMP1 (ng/mg protein)10 9.4917 ± 1.7605 21 7.8056 ± 1.4029 0.462 MMP9/TIMP110 0.1931 ± 0.0729 21 0.4760 ± 0.1048 $0.035*$ Other measurementsBNP (pg/mL)10 1277.8500 ± 428.5054 22 1603.2591 ± 276.3777 0.532 Adiponectin (µg/mL)8 24.1000 ± 3.5914 21 22.9048 ± 3.5287 0.815 Galectin-3 (ng/mL)10 12.4670 ± 1.9009 22 12.3895 ± 0.8635 0.971 Cardiac fibracia (9(x))10 15.8447 ± 2.6210 22 14.5622 ± 1.0258 0.600	MMP9/TIMP1	10	0.2355 ± 0.0090	22	0.1223 = 0.1197 0.2511 ± 0.0086	0.000
Markers of extracential matrix degradation in biopsy10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 MMP9 (ng/mg protein)10 9.4917 ± 1.7605 21 7.8056 ± 1.4029 0.462 MMP9/TIMP110 0.1931 ± 0.0729 21 0.4760 ± 0.1048 $0.035*$ Other measurementsBNP (pg/mL)10 1277.8500 ± 428.5054 22 1603.2591 ± 276.3777 0.532 Adiponectin (µg/mL)8 24.1000 ± 3.5914 21 22.9048 ± 3.5287 0.815 Galectin-3 (ng/mL)10 12.4670 ± 1.9009 22 12.3895 ± 0.8635 0.971	Markars of extracellular matrix	10	0.2333 ± 0.0000		0.2311 ± 0.0000	0.225
MMP9 (ng/mg protein)10 2.3698 ± 1.1931 21 2.7630 ± 0.9394 0.798 TIMP1 (ng/mg protein)10 9.4917 ± 1.7605 21 7.8056 ± 1.4029 0.462 MMP9/TIMP110 0.1931 ± 0.0729 21 0.4760 ± 0.1048 $0.035*$ Other measurementsBNP (pg/mL)10 1277.8500 ± 428.5054 22 1603.2591 ± 276.3777 0.532 Adiponectin (µg/mL)8 24.1000 ± 3.5914 21 22.9048 ± 3.5287 0.815 Galectin-3 (ng/mL)10 12.4670 ± 1.9009 22 12.3895 ± 0.8635 0.971	degradation in bionsy					
Initial Section 2102.303 \pm 1.1331212.7030 \pm 0.33340.733TIMP1 (ng/mg protein)109.4917 \pm 1.7605217.8056 \pm 1.40290.462MMP9/TIMP1100.1931 \pm 0.0729210.4760 \pm 0.10480.035*Other measurementsBNP (pg/mL)101277.8500 \pm 428.5054221603.2591 \pm 276.37770.532Adiponectin (µg/mL)824.1000 \pm 3.59142122.9048 \pm 3.52870.815Galectin-3 (ng/mL)1012.4670 \pm 1.90092212.3895 \pm 0.86350.971Candiag fibracia (9())	MMP9 (ng/mg protein)	10	23698 ± 11931	21	2.7630 ± 0.0304	0 798
11MP1 (ng/mg protein)10 9.4917 ± 1.7605 21 7.8056 ± 1.4029 0.462 MMP9/TIMP110 0.1931 ± 0.0729 21 0.4760 ± 0.1048 $0.035*$ Other measurements10 1277.8500 ± 428.5054 22 1603.2591 ± 276.3777 0.532 Adiponectin (µg/mL)8 24.1000 ± 3.5914 21 22.9048 ± 3.5287 0.815 Galectin-3 (ng/mL)10 12.4670 ± 1.9009 22 12.3895 ± 0.8635 0.971 Cardian fibracia (9())10 15.8447 ± 2.6210 22 14.5622 ± 1.0258 0.600		10	2.3090 ± 1.1931	21	2.7030 ± 0.9394	0.790
MMP9/TIMP110 0.1931 ± 0.0729 21 0.4760 ± 0.1048 $0.035*$ Other measurements10 1277.8500 ± 428.5054 22 1603.2591 ± 276.3777 0.532 Adiponectin (µg/mL)8 24.1000 ± 3.5914 21 22.9048 ± 3.5287 0.815 Galectin-3 (ng/mL)10 12.4670 ± 1.9009 22 12.3895 ± 0.8635 0.971 Candian fibracia (9())1015.8447 \pm 2.621022 14.5622 ± 1.0258 0.600	TIMP1 (ng/mg protein)	10	$9.491/\pm 1.7605$	21	7.8056 ± 1.4029	0.462
Other measurementsBNP (pg/mL)10 1277.8500 ± 428.5054 22 1603.2591 ± 276.3777 0.532 Adiponectin (µg/mL)8 24.1000 ± 3.5914 21 22.9048 ± 3.5287 0.815 Galectin-3 (ng/mL)10 12.4670 ± 1.9009 22 12.3895 ± 0.8635 0.971 Condiag fibracia (9())10 15.8447 ± 2.6210 22 14.5622 ± 1.0258 0.600	MMP9/TIMP1	10	0.1931 ± 0.0729	21	0.4760 ± 0.1048	0.035*
BNP (pg/mL)10 1277.8500 ± 428.5054 22 1603.2591 ± 276.3777 0.532 Adiponectin (µg/mL)8 24.1000 ± 3.5914 21 22.9048 ± 3.5287 0.815 Galectin-3 (ng/mL)10 12.4670 ± 1.9009 22 12.3895 ± 0.8635 0.971 Condiag fibracia (9())10 15.8447 ± 2.6210 22 14.5622 ± 1.0258 0.600	Other measurements					
Adiponectin (μ g/mL)824.1000 ± 3.59142122.9048 ± 3.52870.815Galectin-3 (ng/mL)1012.4670 ± 1.90092212.3895 ± 0.86350.971Condiag fibracia (9())1015.8447 ± 2.62102214.5622 ± 1.02580.600	BNP (pg/mL)	10	1277.8500 ± 428.5054	22	1603.2591 ± 276.3777	0.532
Galectin-3 (ng/mL)10 12.4670 ± 1.9009 22 12.3895 ± 0.8635 0.971 Condian fibracia (9/)1015.8447 \pm 2.62102214.5622 \pm 1.02580.600	Adiponectin (µg/mL)	8	24.1000 ± 3.5914	21	22.9048 ± 3.5287	0.815
Condian fibraria $(9/)$ 10 15 9447 + 2 6210 22 14 5622 + 1 0259 0 600	Galectin-3 (ng/mL)	10	12.4670 ± 1.9009	22	12.3895 ± 0.8635	0.971
Cartillac Hurtosis (70) 10 13.044/ ± 2.0319 22 14.3032 ± 1.9238 0.099	Cardiac fibrosis (%)	10	15.8447 ± 2.6319	22	14.5632 ± 1.9258	0.699

Data are presented as the means \pm SEM. * Significant at 0.05 level. ¡ Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney rank sum nonparametric test. Abbreviations: TNF- α —tumor necrosis factor α ; IL-6 – interleukin-6; IL-1 β – interleukin 1 β ; Bcl-2 – B-cell lymphoma 2 protein; Bax – Bcl-2–associated X protein; Hsp60 – heat shock protein 60; MMP9 – matrix metalloproteinase 9; TIMP1 – tissue inhibitor of matrix metalloproteinase 1; TNF-a – tumor necrosis factor-alfa; IL-1 β – interleukin 1 beta; IL-6 – interleukin 6; hs TnT – high sensitivity troponin T; hsCRP – high sensitivity C-reactive protein, N.A. – not available.

5.2.5. Induction of necrosis in inflammatory DCM samples

The mean value of the high-sensitivity troponin T (hsTnT), a major structural sarcomeric protein in the heart, was two folds upregulated in serums of inflammatory-positive patient group (Table 9). The release of hsTnT from the myocardium revealed chronic structural and functional degradation of myocardium that corresponded to a decreased level of fibrosis in inflammatory DCM biopsies (Fig. 50 and Fig. 51).



Fig. 50 Cardiac fibrosis in right ventricular EMB. Data are presented as means ± SEM from at least three independent measurements.



Fig. 51 Micrographs show one representative picture from one patient of each group. (A) inflammation-negative (quantified fibrosis – 20.34 %); (B) inflammation-positive (quantified fibrosis – 18.65 %). Masson trichrome staining for cardiac fibrosis (collagen is colored blue). High amounts of collagen reflect a high level of fibrosis. Magnification: x10.

Additionally, there was no necrosis in the samples assayed by histological analysis in myocardial biopsies (Fig. 52). The two fold of hsTnT upregulation in tested inflammatory DCM serums suggests a steady hsTnT release and slow impairment of striated muscle contraction rather than induction of necrosis in inflammatory DCM.



Fig. 52 Inflammation representation in right ventricular EMB. Micrographs show one representative picture from one patient of each group. (A) inflammation-negative; (B) inflammation-positive. Hematoxylin and Eosin staining. Magnification: x10.

5.2.6. The interaction between apoptotic pathways supporting progression of inflammatory DCM

Data in Fig. 53 demonstrate that caspase-9, a serum cysteine-aspartic acid specific protease, named apoptosis-initiating caspase, strongly correlated with the general inflammatory marker high sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP) additionally confirming sensitivity of intrinsic apoptotic pathway to inflammation.



Fig. 53 Correlation of caspase-9 with biomolecules in inflammation-positive patient group serum samples. Correlation of caspase-9 with high sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP) in serum samples. Linear regression line is presented within 95 % confidence interval. Coefficients of regression (\mathbb{R}^2) and statistical significance (p < 0.05) are shown in the graphs. Fig. 54 Correlation of caspase-9 with biomolecules in inflammation-positive patient group serum samples. Correlation of caspase-9 with matrix metalloproteinase-9 (MMP-9) in serum samples. Linear regression line is presented within 95 % confidence interval. Coefficients of regression (\mathbb{R}^2) and statistical significance (p < 0.05) are shown in the graphs. In parallel, the correlation between caspase-9 and MMP9 (Fig. 54) tells us that caspase-9 might either be directly activated by the MMP9 or, alternatively, through other mediators of intrinsic apoptotic pathways, such as Bcl-2 and Bax (Fig. 55 and Fig. 56; p < 0.05).



Fig. 55 Correlation of caspase-9 with biomolecules in inflammation-positive patient group serum samples. Correlation of caspase-9 with B-cell lymphoma 2 protein (Bcl-2) in serum samples. Linear regression line is presented within 95 % confidence interval. Coefficients of regression (\mathbb{R}^2) and statistical significance (p < 0.05) are shown in the graphs.



Data in Fig. 57 and Fig. 58 also demonstrate that intrinsic apoptotic pathwayinitiating caspase-9 is not the only caspase participating in regulation of myocardium destruction. A strong correlation (p < 0.05) between caspase-9 and executing caspase-3, and extrinsic apoptotic pathway-initiating caspase-8 suggest an interaction between intrinsic and extrinsic apoptotic pathways.

Furthermore, Fig. 59 shows a statistically significant correlation between main players of extrinsic apoptotic pathway caspase-8 and the APO1/Fas/CD95 in inflammatory DCM serums. The significant correlation between caspase-8 and Bax additionally confirms the intersection of extrinsic and intrinsic pathways at mitochondrial level with particular role of pro-apoptotic Bax in it (Fig. 60).







Fig. 59 Correlation between caspase-8 and biomolecules in inflammation-positive patient group serum samples. Correlation of caspase-8 with Fas receptor (APO1/Fas/CD95) in serum samples. Linear regression line is presented within 95 % confidence interval. Coefficients of regression (\mathbb{R}^2) and statistical significance (p < 0.05) are shown in the graphs.



Fig. 58 Correlation of caspase-9 with biomolecules in inflammation-positive patient group serum samples. Correlation of caspase-9 with Caspase-3 in serum samples. Linear regression line is presented within 95 % confidence interval. Coefficients of regression (\mathbb{R}^2) and statistical significance (p < 0.05) are shown in the graphs.



Fig. 60 Correlation between caspase-8 and biomolecules in inflammation-positive patient group serum samples. Correlation of caspase-8 with Bcl-2–associated X protein (Bax) in serum samples. Linear regression line is presented within 95 % confidence interval. Coefficients of regression (\mathbb{R}^2) and statistical significance (p < 0.05) are shown in the graphs.

Additionally, a correlation between caspase-8 and MMP9, similarly to caspase-9, suggested an involvement of matrix metalloproteinases, in direct pro-caspases' activation and/or in the relocation of proapoptotic proteins into the extracellular space (Fig. 61).



Fig. 61 Correlation between caspase-8 and biomolecules in inflammation-positive patient group serum samples. Correlation of caspase-8 with matrix metalloproteinase-9 (MMP-9) in serum samples. Linear regression line is presented within 95 % confidence interval. Coefficients of regression (\mathbb{R}^2) and statistical significance (p < 0.05) are shown in the graphs.

5.2.7. The expression of apoptotic biomarkers in myocardial tissue

Next, it was investigated if secretion of apoptotic biomarkers to the blood reflects similar processes in inflammatory DCM myocardium. Caspase-9 in heart tissue, similarly to that in serum, had a high correlation with inflammation, particularly inflammatory cytokine IL-6, whereas caspase-8 showed more prominent correlation with Bax and caspase-3 (see caspase-8 and -9 correlations in Table 10). An executing caspase-3 demonstrated a significant correlation with activators of both intrinsic (Bax, Bcl-2) and extrinsic (APO1/Fas/CD95 and FasL) pathways (see caspase-3 correlation in Table 3). Similarly to serums, members of both apoptotic pathways (Bcl-2, and APO1/Fas/CD95, FasL) in biopsies had significant correlation with MMP9 and its inhibitor TIMP1 (Table 10). We also observed a strong (p < 0.001) correlation between changes of Bax in biopsies and Bax in sera (data not shown). Finally, the sarcomeric protein hsTnT in inflammatory DCM sera also strongly correlated with caspases-8, Bax and caspase-3 in biopsies, suggesting the present apoptotic pathway to be mostly involved in caspase-regulated release of hsTnT (see hsTnT correlation in Table 10).

	Caspase 9	Caspase 8	Caspase 3	Bcl2 in	FasR in	FasL in	Bax in
	in biopsy	in biopsy	in biopsy	biopsy	biopsy	biopsy	biopsy
Caspase 8 in biopsy	0.303						
Caspase 3 in biopsy	0.063	0.436*					
Bcl2 in biopsy	-0.202	0.175	0.486*				
FasR in biopsy	-0.097	-0.074	0.526*	0.739**			
FasL in biopsy	-0.046	0.007	0.442*	0.835**	0.907**		
MMP9 in biopsy	-0.229	0.024	0.419	0.764**	0.730**	0.824**	
TIMP1 in biopsy	-0.012	-0.205	0.213	0.517*	0.795**	0.722**	
Bax in biopsy	0.283	0.584**	0.678**	0.056	0.139	0.053	
IL-6 in serum	0.518*	-0.016	-0.011	-0.262	-0.202	-0.154	0.131
hsTnT in serum	0.434	0.598**	0.563*	-0.125	-0.067	-0.165	0.954**

Table 10 Correlation of apoptotic, necrotic and inflammatory biomarkers.

Two tailed significance: *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01. Significant correlations are in bold phase type. Abbreviations: IL-6 – interleukin-6; Bcl-2 – B-cell lymphoma 2 protein; FasR—Fas receptor; FasL – Fas ligand; MMP9 – matrix metalloproteinase 9; TIMP1 – tissue inhibitor of matrix metalloproteinase 1; Bax – Bcl-2–associated X protein; Hsp60 – heat shock protein 60; hsTnT – high sensitivity troponin T.

5.3.Quantification of myocardial fibrosis by digital image analysis and interactive stereology

A total of 116 slides were analyzed digitally, by visual scoring and using stereology grids.

The mean result of fibrosis obtained by Colocalization software was 13.72 ± 1.14 % being closest to the reference value of stereology (RVS: 13.21 ± 1.42 %). The mean values obtained by the Genie software (11.60 ± 1.43 %) and the pathologist's score at week 0 (11.20 ± 1.44 %) and week 2 (10.76 ± 1.61 %) indicated a slight underestimation relative to RVS. However, the range of Colocalization software was 73.79 % being the lowest of all tested methods with a difference of around 20 %. The range of the Genie software was 88.22 % and the pathologist's score had the highest range of 100 %. These results were comparable to the range of the RVS (96.50 %), Table 11.

	Stereology	Colocalization	Genie	Pathologist	Pathologist
				week 0	week 2
Number of observations	116	116	116	116	116
Mean	13.21	13.72	11.60	11.20	10.76
Median	8.70	11.12	7.39	5.00	5.00
Std. Error of Mean	1.42	1.14	1.43	1.44	1.61
Range	96.50	73.79	88.22	100.00	100.00
Minimum	0.00	1.57	0.05	0.00	0.00
Maximum	96.50	75.36	88.27	100.00	100.00

Table 11 Summary statistics for cardiac fibrosis (%) evaluation methods.

Both the Colocalization and Genie methods correlated very strongly with the RVS cardiac fibrosis estimates, yielding R = 0.928 and R = 0.946 (p < 0.001), respectively. Similarly, the pathologist's visual score strongly correlated with RVS: R = 0.913 (p < 0.001) at week 0 and R = 0.929 (p < 0.001) at week 2 (Table 12).

Table 12 Pairwise correlations between stereology, digital algorithms and pathologist score (Pearson's coefficients, p < 0.001, n = 116).

	Stereology	Colocalization	Genie	Pathologist week 0
Colocalization	0.928			
Genie	0.946	0.973		
Pathologist week 0	0.913	0.839	0.841	
Pathologist week 2	0.929	0.853	0.856	0.965

Friedman's test revealed statistically significant differences in the results of tested cardiac fibrosis evaluation methods $\chi^2(3) = 62.405$, p = 0.000. Post hoc analysis with Wilcoxon signed-rank tests with a Bonferroni correction (significance level set at p < 0.0125) was applied. The differences in the results of Colocalization *versus* RVS were statistically insignificant (Z = -2.259, p = 0.024) with a mean difference value of 0.50 %. However, post hoc analysis showed significant differences between the results of Genie *versus* RVS (Z = -5.000, p = 0.000) and the pathologist's mean score *versus* RVS (Z = -4.422, p = 0.000) with mean difference values of: -1.61 % and 2.24 %. Similarly significant difference of the results between both digital methods (Genie *versus* Colocalization) was noted: Z = -6.639, p = 0.000 with a variance bias of 2.11 % (Table 13).

		Paired Differences		Z*	p Value*
		Mean	Std. Error		
			Mean		
Pair 1	Colocalization – Stereology	0.50	0.56	-2.259	0.024
Pair 2	Genie – Stereology	-1.61	0.47	-5.000	0.000
Pair 3	Pathologist mean –	2.24	0.56	-4.422	0.000
	Stereology				
Pair 4	Colocalization – Genie	2.11	0.42	-6.639	0.000

 Table 13 Paired comparison of cardiac fibrosis (%) evaluation methods.

* Based on post hoc analysis with Wilcoxon signed-rank tests (Bonferroni correction applied with significance level set at p < 0.0125).

Single linear regression model plots demonstrated some advantage of Genie software over the Colocalization software with noticeably better values in both original raw and log-transformed measurements for R-square 0.896 and 0.804 (log) *versus* 0.861 and 0.707 (log); slope 0.956 and 1.222 (log) *versus* 0.745 and 0.639 (log); intercept -1.033 and -0.860 (log) *versus* 3.875 and 0.972 (log) (Fig. 62 and Fig. 63).

The pathologist's mean score correlation with RVS was similar: R-square 0.864 and 0.684 (log), slope 0.994 and 0.838 (log), intercept -2.155 and 0.062 (log); the inter-observer variation at week 0 and week 2 was negligible: R-square 0.931 and 0.824 (log), slope 1.079 and 0.939 (log), intercept -1.328 and -0.020 (log). Surprisingly, both digital methods did not correlate as well as expected with still

acceptable R-square values (0.947 and 0.794 (log)), but high intercept (4.744 and 1.500 (log)) and slope far from ideal (0.773 and 0.486 (log)) (Fig. 64, Fig. 65 and Fig. 66).



Fig. 62 Single linear regression models with reference values. Original raw (A) and logtransformed measurements (B) for Colocalization and Stereology. Linear regression line is presented within 95 % confidence interval.



Fig. 63 Single linear regression models with reference values. Original raw (A) and logtransformed measurements (B) for Genie and Stereology. Linear regression line is presented within 95 % confidence interval.



Fig. 64 Single linear regression models with reference values. Original raw (A) and logtransformed measurements (B) for Pathologist mean score and Stereology. Linear regression line is presented within 95 % confidence interval.



Fig. 65 Single linear regression models with reference values. Original raw (A) and logtransformed measurements (B) for Pathologist score at week 0 and week 2. Linear regression line is presented within 95% confidence interval.



Fig. 66 Single linear regression models with reference values. Original raw (A) and logtransformed measurements (B) for Colocalization and Genie. Linear regression line is presented within 95 % confidence interval.

Bland-Altman plots showed a bidirectional bias dependent on the magnitude of the measurement: Colocalization software overestimated the area fraction of fibrosis in the lower end, and underestimated it in the higher end of the RVS scale (Fig. 67).



Fig. 67 Bland-Altman plots (A) and histograms (B) of the method score differences. Colocalization and Stereology. Horizontal line represents mean difference within limits of agreement, which are defined as the mean difference ± 2 standard deviations.

Meanwhile, Genie software as well as the pathologist's mean score showed more uniform results throughout the complete scale with a slight underestimation in the mid-range for both (Fig. 68 and Fig. 69).



Fig. 68 Bland-Altman plots (A) and histograms (B) of the method score differences. Genie and Stereology. Horizontal line represents mean difference within limits of agreement, which are defined as the mean difference ± 2 standard deviations.



Fig. 69 Bland-Altman plots (A) and histograms (B) of the method score differences. Pathologist mean score and Stereology. Horizontal line represents mean difference within limits of agreement, which are defined as the mean difference ± 2 standard deviations.

Presented histograms indicate a normal distribution of the differences for each plot (Fig. 67, Fig. 68 and Fig. 69).

6. DISCUSSION

6.1. Molecular mechanisms of virus-induced DCM

The myocardium consists mainly of myocytes, extracellular matrix (ECM) and a capillary microcirculation system, the impairment of which causes various heart problems that demand different treatment strategies. A proper myocyte function is responsible for myocardial tension and contractile functioning, whereas ECM function ensures structural integrity of adjoining myocytes, myofilaments and microcirculation. Which of these processes: cardiomyocyte death or ECM degradation impairs myocardial functioning mostly depends on intensity and duration of the injury. It is important to estimate which part of myocardium from previously mentioned is injured by persistent presence of virus [252, 253].

Data presented in this study show that persistent presence of vira (chronic viral infection) in myocardial tissue do not initiate inflammation, fibrosis and apoptosis. Decreased serum level of the sarcomeric protein hsTnT, a marker of necrotic cell death [254], confirms the absence of necrotic cardiomyocytic death as well. However, chronic viral infection may not be as innocent as it seems because the collagen synthesis/degradation balance (PICP/ICTP ratio) in virus-positive biopsies was found to be reduced 4.3 fold. Since the myocardium up to 85-90 % consists of collagen I and only up to 10-15 % of collagen III and other components [253, 255], the disturbance of collagen I turnover in the tested myocardiums may be one of the most significant factors in development of chronic viral DCM. In addition to the decrease of collagen I turnover in biopsies, α-SMA, an intracellular fibrosis marker, was also slightly downregulated (1.13 fold). The positive correlation of collagen I synthesis with the general inflammation marker CRP in serum suggests that the sustained presence of vira in the myocardium may further upregulate inflammation and fibrosis. Based on the results, it may be stated that degradation of contracting proteins, particularly collagen I, in a persistently virus-infected myocardium overshadows induction of inflammation and cardiomyocyte death.

One of the main factors strongly implicating collagen I synthesis in myocardium is transforming growth factor β 1 (TGF- β 1). TGF- β 1 plays a key role in heart remodeling

trough mediating cardiomyocyte growth, fibroblast activation and ECM deposition [256]. The TGF- β 1 is not only known as fibrogenic, but also as an anti-inflammatory cytokine; therefore its suppression might affect both collagen synthesis as well as the immune system and activate inflammation [257-259]. The data show that TGF- β 1 was 3.6 fold down-regulated in virus-positive DCM biopsies, whereas in serums its level was almost non-altered. The mechanism by which TGF-B1 affects collagen synthesis is very complex and not fully known. It was also shown that TGF-B1 can directly activate synthesis of collagen I [260]. Additionally, TGF-B1 can activate collagen synthesis indirectly through the phosphorylation of SMAD proteins, increased endoglin expression, stimulation of TIMP1, activation of lysyl oxidase and other signaling systems [261-264]. The correlation analysis shows that prolonged intramyocardial viral infection will activate inflammation, particularly macrophage activity, with subsequent activation of MMP1, MMP2 and TGF-β1. Similar molecular mechanisms of TGF- β 1 activation and collagen I deposition has been shown by other authors [265]. Moreover, the release of MMP9 to serum was significantly associated with Hsp60 (Table 6), showing that untreated viral infection might activate MMP9 and increase mitochondrial outer membrane permeability leading to mechanical myocyte dysfunction. There is also a possibility that part of MMP9 is located in and, therefore, released from virus-damaged mitochondria [266].

In parallel to TGF- β 1, secreted galectin-3 may also induce collagen I production. Galectin-3 is a member of β -galactoside-binding animal lectins and is predominantly located in macrophages, whereas its expression in human hearts is limited [132]. It is shown that activated macrophages secrete cardiac galectin-3 that activates fibroblast proliferation, collagen deposition and contributes to the development of heart failure [132]. Galectin-3 can also activate fibrosis by increasing expression of α -SMA, an intracellular fibrosis marker, and collagen I α -1 chain (COL1A1), an extracellular fibrosis marker [267]. Parallely, galectin-3 might also affect fibrosis trough the down-regulation of TIMP1 and MMP9 [267]. The depressed levels of α -SMA, collagen I, TIMP1 and MMP9 observed in the virus-positive biopsies may be a result of reduced serum level of galectin-3 and infiltrated macrophages. On the other hand, activation of MMP1 and MMP2 by intramyocardial viral infection might be enough to remodel myocardial collagen I trough binding to the α -2 chain [268].

The last ones of the tested mechanisms indirectly affecting collagen turnover might be related to myocardial protecting systems. One of them is immunoregulator adiponectin, the role of which under different toxic conditions seems to be quite paradoxical. The majority of evidences show that over-expression of adiponectin has anti-diabetic, anti-apoptotic and anti-inflammatory effects [110, 111]. It has been also indicated that high adiponectin levels lower the risk for myocardial infarction but increases the risk for heart failure, whereas hypo-adiponectinemia was connected to cardiovascular diseases [112, 118, 269]. Additionally to adiponectin, BNP was also shown to have a cardio-protective effect [270]. However, the significantly and almost two fold decreased serum levels of BNP and adiponectin, and its correlation with antiinflammatory cytokine TGF- β 1 (R² = 0.459, p = 0.008) might not only show some endothelial dysfunction but also the reduced myocardial protection. Slight upregulation of major histocompatibility agent class II HLA-DR also pointed on endothelial cell injury in viral myocardium. Since levels of pro-inflammatory infiltrates and cytokines in virus-positive myocardium were reduced compared to virus-negative ones, the slight HLA-DR upregulation might be a direct effect of vira. On the other hand, correlation of adiponectin and BNP with pro-inflammatory infiltrates suggests a possible BNP and adiponectin overproduction due to persistent presence of intramyocardial viral infection leading to heart failure. Similar dependence of adiponectin, BNP and inflammation in heart failure were also observed by other authors [271, 272].

6.2. Molecular mechanisms dominating in inflammatory DCM

Myocarditis is a predominant cause of heart failure of young age patients [273]. When the immune system fails to eliminate infections in a timely fashion, a chronic myocardial destruction starts. Thereby, approximately 20 % of myocarditis-affected individuals develop a chronic heart disease leading to inflammatory DCM and only half of the patients survive longer than 5 years [274, 275]. This severe prognosis urges detailed investigations of the molecular mechanisms triggering progression of inflammation into inflammatory DCM and heart failure.

The pathophysiology of DCM is an exceedingly complex process caused by activation of neurohormones and pro-inflammatory cytokines. It is becoming apparent

that inflammatory mediators play a crucial role in the development of DCM, subsequently progressing to heart failure. It is shown that the pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as TNF- α , IL-6 and IL-1 β , may act synergistically at both messenger RNA (mRNA) and protein levels inducing cardiac contractile defects [276, 277]. On the other hand, IL-6 also referred to as myokine, activates anti-inflammatory pathways [278, 279]. This dual IL-6 effect might be explained by different durations of IL-6 exposure: a short and acute IL-6 increase has a positive effect, whereas chronic hyperproduction results in a pathological condition [280]. Data of the study revealed a significant upregulation of IL-6 (3.23 fold, p < 0.05), much less for TNF- α (1.76 fold) and none for IL-1 β (0.88 fold) in inflammatory DCM sera. Since inflammatory DCM has a chronic way of progression, the significant over-production of IL-6 in the tested sera may be a cause of inflammatory DCM rather than its consequence. Additionally, the significantly increased serum level of IL-6 and its correlation with myocardial CD3⁺ suggest T-lymphocytes to be involved in inflammatory DCM apoptosis, whereas only a slight increase of infiltrated macrophages confirmed the absence of necrosis. The default of pro-interleukin-1 beta (IL-1 β) activation in the tested sera might be explained by the low intensity of myocardium inflammation, absence of caspase-1 cleavage-activation and a pyroptotic way of cardiomyocyte death [281].

Recently, a strong and direct influence of IL-6 was shown on mitochondrial function: IL-6 inhibits adipocyte mitochondrial membrane potential, ATP production and increased intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) level [282, 283]. Additionally, a general inflammatory biomarker secreted C-reactive protein (CRP), similarly to IL-6, also correlates with poor DCM prognosis, heart failure and mitochondrion-mediated myocyte apoptosis [284-286]. Findings in this study showed that IL-6 and CRP levels in inflammatory-positive DCM serums were not only significantly upregulated but also significantly correlated with the secreted mitochondria-related apoptotic biomarkers Hsp60 and Bax suggesting that the intrinsic apoptotic pathway in myocardium is more sensitive to inflammation than the extrinsic one. The low level of upregulations of the Fas receptor, Fas ligand and caspase-8 also confirmed that extrinsic apoptotic pathway is less important in development of inflammatory DCM.

Many signaling pathways, including the intrinsic and extrinsic apoptotic ones, might have mutual interaction leading to the synergistic implication on final myocardial response. It was shown that the member of the extrinsic apoptotic pathway pro-caspase-8 might cleave the BH3 domain-only protein Bid, which in turn, activates Bax that integrates to mitochondrial membranes, thereby releasing cytochrome C [287, 288]. In agreement with previous observations, data in the present study show a significant correlation between caspase-8 and Bax in inflammatory DCM sera, suggesting that Bax is one of the most important intersection points between the intrinsic and extrinsic apoptotic pathways. However, the approximately 30 folds higher initial level of caspase-9 compared to caspase-8 in inflammatory DCM biopsies suggests the intrinsic apoptotic pathway to be leading in inflammatory DCM. Similarly, other authors also have observed a low impact of the extrinsic apoptotic pathway on cardiomyocyte death [289].

In addition to the intrinsic apoptotic pathway, MMP-9 was also found to be sensitive to inflammation and to participating in the pathogenesis of cardiomyopathy [290]. The intensity of MMP9 activation also indicates the level of heart damage: higher proteolytic MMP9 activity is related to more pronounced heart damage. Data of this study show a slight increase of MMP9/TIMP1 ratios both in biopsies (from 0.25 to 0.35) and in serums (from 0.23 to 0.25) of inflammatory DCM patients. Even if the increase of the MMP9/TIMP1 ratio in inflammatory DCM biopsies was mild and not fully significant, it significantly correlated with markers of both apoptotic pathways (Bcl-2, Fas receptor and Fas ligand, Table 10) supporting the idea of chronic remodeling of the mitochondrial outer membrane and activation of the extrinsic apoptotic pathway. Additional correlation of MMP-9 with caspase-9 and -8 in the tested serums confirmed previous statement.

In parallel to apoptosis, we investigated the necrotic way of myocardial cell death. Necrosis, as a passive and adenosine triphosphate (ATP)-independent process, was shown to be more characteristic to acute and global cardiomyocyte damage than to chronic processes [291]. Another way of cell death, a regulated necrosis (also known as caspase-independent death or necroptosis), is suppressed by activated pro-caspase-8 and therefore absent in tested samples similar to what has been shown by others [292]. Since histological analysis of the samples did not show myocardial necrosis and fibrosis, the release of serum sarcomeric protein hsTnT is related to decreased myocardium contraction. However, the myofilament degradation and irreversible myocardium damage might be supported by prolonged hsTnT leakage out of myocardium. Additionally, we suggest that the release of hsTnT is a caspase-related process, as the secreted hsTnT significantly correlated with activation of the apoptotic signaling cascade: caspases-8, Bax and caspase-3 in inflammatory DCM biopsies.

6.3. Quantification of myocardial fibrosis by digital image analysis and interactive stereology

To this day a pathologist's visual score is widely accepted as ground truth and, despite already available digital methods, it is still used as a primary method for histomorphometric evaluations. Many attempts to incorporate digital methods into clinical practice face the same issue of proper validation – the digital analysis data are commonly compared to semi-quantitative visual evaluation, while most direct criterion standard yet requires time-consuming procedures.

The early study of Vasiljevic et al. [208] based on human endomyocardial biopsies compared results of semi-quantitative scoring, point-lesion counting (using a grid) to computer-assisted methods. This was the first study to demonstrate strong correlation of different cardiac fibrosis scoring methods, however, due to considerable input by the investigator in computer analysis it still can be considered as subjective to some degree. Particularly since a stereology test grid was not used for RVS. Hadi et al. [211] quantified cardiac fibrosis by automated analysis using ImageJ software and traditional polarization microscopy, with subsequent validation of the results, using stereology data as criterion standard. To our knowledge, it is the only study of cardiac fibrosis that applied stereology procedures to obtain RVS; however, the validation was performed on rat cardiac rather than human samples (the analysis was then tested on a post-mortem tissue samples from a 78 year old man).

In this study, several methods were tested to evaluate the extent of human cardiac fibrosis, which can be readily implemented in clinical practice today. Stereology was used as the most independent and objective RVS available and a modified Bland-Altman plot as the best statistical tool to measure agreement between the tested method and a RVS.

The initial data were somewhat in favor of the Colocalization software: it demonstrated the closest fibrosis mean value to a reference and resulting difference of 0.50 % was statistically insignificant. However, the Colocalization software had a noticeably narrower variation, which was 20 % behind the RVS and the pathologist's range, and also 15 % behind the Genie software. This drawback may be not of great importance in clinical practice, as the range limitation was only evident in the higher range and myocardium fibrosis hardly reaches these values, whereas the lower range was acceptable. Further analysis revealed the superiority of Genie software: the higher correlation with RVS, the better values in single linear regression against the reference and, most importantly, more uniform results in Bland Altman analysis. While the Colocalization software was overestimating at the lower end and underestimating at the higher end, Genie software was only slightly underestimating in a mid-range with the results still exceeding those of the pathologist's mean score. Of note, both digital algorithms produced slightly different results, a fact that might appear surprising. Despite both algorithms are aimed to measure the same feature, namely, the proportion of connective tissue in the myocardium, they are still based on different principles and may result in different measurement errors. While Colocalization classifies each pixel according to its color characteristics, the Genie software is based on a far more complex pattern recognition system, which also refers to spatial aspects of the image. Probably, the only relevant drawback of Genie was the underestimation bias of 1.61 % from the RVS. Overall, the Genie classifier performed best in this study, being closest to the RVS, with almost perfect correlation, adequate range and uniform results throughout the whole scale.

Potential limitations of the Genie software are related to the necessity to train the system to identify the various structures of interest, which is time-consuming and based on the inherent subjectivity of the "human trainer". This fact also makes the Genie software sensitive to inter-laboratory reproducibility issues. However, after the adaptation of Genie software to the clinical needs it can be run fully automated and as a result it can be equally as time-efficient as the Colocalization software is. The Genie software has the possibility of tuning the algorithm, which makes it more flexible in practical maintenance. Even if 2 % is an acceptable error for cardiac fibrosis estimate

in clinical sense, this algorithm may require further adaptation to potential sources of slide quality variation.

The Colocalization software has also proved to be a fully acceptable method for cardiac fibrosis measurement. In clinical practice, the Colocalization software should provide similar precision and accuracy as the Genie tool, because cardiac fibrosis values are rarely exceeding 40 %, and a slight overestimation in the lower range may be acceptable. The Colocalization software is less complex, simpler to use and calibrate, and less expensive. Furthermore it can be run fully automated from image scanning to the final results and it is very time efficient. The Colocalization algorithm is less dependent on human investigator input at any point of the process (except initial settings for color deconvolution), making it more transparent and manageable for users.

6.4. Summary

Finalizing data presented in this study we can state that therapeutic strategies for myocarditis and dilated cardiomyopathy should be obtained through analysis of the acute, subacute and chronic phases. Most of recent studies are concentrated on the investigation of acute myocardial injuries, whereas chronic myocardial injuries are less symptomatic but more complicated for investigation and treatment. In this study we investigated molecular mechanisms dominating in chronic viral cardiomyopathy due to viral persistence in dilated heart without ongoing inflammation and chronic inflammatory DCM. The aetiology of inflammatory DCM was assumed to be either infectious, toxic or autoimmune. The most important thing is that both types of investigated chronic DCM, showed different molecular mechanism suggesting more options for further DCM treatment.

However, chronic DCM patients are usually treated according to general guidelines regardless of their etiology. Our data suggest that conventional therapeutic agents for chronic DCM and heart failure such as β -blockers, angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, angio-tensin receptor blockers and other can be combined with others such as collagen I metabolism regulating (in case of chronic viral DCM) and anti-apoptotics (in case of idiopathic chronic inflammatory DCM). The foreseeable future therapeutic approach for various types of chronic dilated

96

cardiomyopathy should be directed to control and regulation of molecular mechanisms progressing DCM. The technological and scientific progress is also improving a quality of estimation of myocardial functioning regulating processes such as fibrosis. We sincere hope that our data contribute not only to a better understanding of molecular mechanism progressing chronic DCM but also to new therapeutic options.

7. CONCLUSIONS

- 1. Chronic viral DCM did not induce inflammation, fibrosis and cardiomyocyte death. Destruction of myocardial contraction, particularly trough changed collagen I turnover, dominates in virus-positive DCM.
- The improper functioning of collagen I in virus-positive biopsies is affected by reduction of TGF-β1 (3.55 fold) and activation of MMP1 and MMP2 (2.19 and 2.43 fold). Decreased serum levels of galectin-3, adiponectin and BNP (1.16, 1.88 and 1.97 fold) indirectly down-regulated collagen I turnover and diminished general resistance of viral myocardium.
- 3. Chronic inflammatory-positive myocardium compared to inflammatorynegative showed the highest level of infiltrated T-lymphocytes(14.6 cell/mm²) that significantly correlated with 3.50 fold augmented secretion of inflammatory cytokines, particularly IL-6.
- Chronic myocardial inflammation significantly increased the release of caspase-9,-8, and-3 (6.24, 3.10, 3.62 folds, (p < 0.05)) into serum. Significant increase of Hsp60 (8.97 fold) in serum showed the impairment of mitochondria and also the importance of intrinsic apoptotic pathway in inflammatory DCM.
- 5. The extrinsic apoptotic pathway (FasR, FasL and caspase-8) was a supporter, but not a main leader in the progression of chronic inflammatory DCM. The pro-apoptotic Bax is an important intersection point for the extrinsic-and intrinsic apoptotic pathways.
- Slight increase of MMP9/TIMP1 ratios both in biopsies (from 0.19 to 0.48, p<0.05) and in serums (from 0.23 to 0.25) and two fold increased release of hsTnT into serum attenuated fibrosis in chronically-inflamed myocardium.
- 7. The Genie algorithm proved to be the method of choice with the single drawback of a slight underestimation bias that can be acceptable for clinical and research demands to quantify the extent of fibrosis in myocardial biopsies.

8. REFERENCES

- Elliott P, Andersson B, Arbustini E, Bilinska Z, Cecchi F, Charron P, Dubourg O, Kuhl U, Maisch B, McKenna WJ, et al: Classification of the cardiomyopathies: a position statement from the European Society Of Cardiology Working Group on Myocardial and Pericardial Diseases. Eur Heart J 2008, 29:270-276.
- 2. Magnani JW, Dec GW: Myocarditis: current trends in diagnosis and treatment. *Circulation* 2006, **113**:876-890.
- 3. Pankuweit S, Ruppert V, Jonsdottir T, Muller HH, Meyer T: The HLA class II allele DQB1 0309 is associated with dilated cardiomyopathy. *Gene* 2013, 531:180-183.
- 4. Maron BJ, Towbin JA, Thiene G, Antzelevitch C, Corrado D, Arnett D, Moss AJ, Seidman CE, Young JB: Contemporary definitions and classification of the cardiomyopathies: an American Heart Association Scientific Statement from the Council on Clinical Cardiology, Heart Failure and Transplantation Committee; Quality of Care and Outcomes Research and Functional Genomics and Translational Biology Interdisciplinary Working Groups; and Council on Epidemiology and Prevention. *Circulation* 2006, **113**:1807-1816.
- 5. Robbins SL, Kumar V, Cotran RS: *Robbins and Cotran pathologic basis of disease.* Philadelphia, PA: Saunders/Elsevier; 2010.
- 6. Yoshikawa T, Baba A, Nagatomo Y: Autoimmune mechanisms underlying dilated cardiomyopathy. *Circ J* 2009, **73:**602-607.
- 7. Towbin JA, Bowles NE: The failing heart. *Nature* 2002, 415:227-233.
- 8. Yajima T, Knowlton KU: **Viral myocarditis: from the perspective of the virus.** *Circulation* 2009, **119:**2615-2624.
- 9. Fildes JE, Shaw SM, Yonan N, Williams SG: **The immune system and chronic heart failure: is the heart in control?** *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2009, **53:**1013-1020.
- 10. Watkins H, Ashrafian H, Redwood C: **Inherited cardiomyopathies.** *The New England journal of medicine* 2011, **364:**1643-1656.
- 11. Kuhl U, Pauschinger M, Noutsias M, Seeberg B, Bock T, Lassner D, Poller W, Kandolf R, Schultheiss HP: **High prevalence of viral genomes and multiple viral infections in the myocardium of adults with "idiopathic" left ventricular dysfunction.** *Circulation* 2005, **111**:887-893.
- 12. Kuhl U, Pauschinger M, Seeberg B, Lassner D, Noutsias M, Poller W, Schultheiss HP: Viral persistence in the myocardium is associated with progressive cardiac dysfunction. *Circulation* 2005, **112**:1965-1970.
- Mahrholdt H, Wagner A, Deluigi CC, Kispert E, Hager S, Meinhardt G, Vogelsberg H, Fritz P, Dippon J, Bock CT, et al: Presentation, patterns of myocardial damage, and clinical course of viral myocarditis. *Circulation* 2006, 114:1581-1590.
- 14. Maisch B, Richter A, Koelsch S, Alter P, Funck R, Pankuweit S: Management of patients with suspected (peri-)myocarditis and inflammatory dilated cardiomyopathy. *Herz* 2006, **31:**881-890.

- 15. Menon SC, Eidem BW, Dearani JA, Ommen SR, Ackerman MJ, Miller D: Diastolic dysfunction and its histopathological correlation in obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy in children and adolescents. Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography : official publication of the American Society of Echocardiography 2009, **22**:1327-1334.
- 16. Guerra S, Leri A, Wang X, Finato N, Di Loreto C, Beltrami CA, Kajstura J, Anversa P: **Myocyte death in the failing human heart is gender dependent.** *Circulation research* 1999, **85:**856-866.
- 17. Vigliano CA, Cabeza Meckert PM, Diez M, Favaloro LE, Cortes C, Fazzi L, Favaloro RR, Laguens RP: Cardiomyocyte hypertrophy, oncosis, and autophagic vacuolization predict mortality in idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy with advanced heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol 2011, 57:1523-1531.
- 18. Predmore JM, Wang P, Davis F, Bartolone S, Westfall MV, Dyke DB, Pagani F, Powell SR, Day SM: **Ubiquitin proteasome dysfunction in human hypertrophic and dilated cardiomyopathies.** *Circulation* 2010, **121**:997-1004.
- 19. Harvey PA, Leinwand LA: **The cell biology of disease: cellular mechanisms of cardiomyopathy.** *The Journal of cell biology* 2011, **194:**355-365.
- 20. Feldman AM, McNamara D: **Myocarditis.** *The New England journal of medicine* 2000, **343:**1388-1398.
- 21. Pauschinger M, Noutsias M, Lassner D, Schultheiss HP, Kuehl U: Inflammation, ECG changes and pericardial effusion: whom to biopsy in suspected myocarditis? Clinical research in cardiology : official journal of the German Cardiac Society 2006, 95:569-583.
- 22. Cooper LT, Baughman KL, Feldman AM, Frustaci A, Jessup M, Kuhl U, Levine GN, Narula J, Starling RC, Towbin J, Virmani R: The role of endomyocardial biopsy in the management of cardiovascular disease: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association, the American College of Cardiology, and the European Society of Cardiology. *Circulation* 2007, **116**:2216-2233.
- 23. Kawai C: From myocarditis to cardiomyopathy: mechanisms of inflammation and cell death: learning from the past for the future. *Circulation* 1999, **99:**1091-1100.
- 24. Mason JW: Myocarditis and dilated cardiomyopathy: an inflammatory link. *Cardiovasc Res* 2003, **60:**5-10.
- 25. D'Ambrosio A, Patti G, Manzoli A, Sinagra G, Di Lenarda A, Silvestri F, Di Sciascio G: The fate of acute myocarditis between spontaneous improvement and evolution to dilated cardiomyopathy: a review. *Heart* 2001, **85**:499-504.
- 26. Caforio AL, Goldman JH, Haven AJ, Baig KM, McKenna WJ: Evidence for autoimmunity to myosin and other heart-specific autoantigens in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy and their relatives. *Int J Cardiol* 1996, **54:**157-163.
- 27. Maisch B, Bauer E, Cirsi M, Kochsiek K: Cytolytic cross-reactive antibodies directed against the cardiac membrane and viral proteins in coxsackievirus

B3 and **B4** myocarditis. Characterization and pathogenetic relevance. *Circulation* 1993, **87**:IV49-65.

- 28. Pankuweit S, Portig I, Lottspeich F, Maisch B: Autoantibodies in sera of patients with myocarditis: characterization of the corresponding proteins by isoelectric focusing and N-terminal sequence analysis. *Journal of molecular and cellular cardiology* 1997, **29:**77-84.
- 29. Limas CJ, Limas C, Kubo SH, Olivari MT: Anti-beta-receptor antibodies in human dilated cardiomyopathy and correlation with HLA-DR antigens. *The American journal of cardiology* 1990, **65:**483-487.
- 30. Pohlner K, Portig I, Pankuweit S, Lottspeich F, Maisch B: Identification of mitochondrial antigens recognized by antibodies in sera of patients with idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy by two-dimensional gel electrophoresis and protein sequencing. *The American journal of cardiology* 1997, **80**:1040-1045.
- 31. Wallukat G, Wollenberger A, Morwinski R, Pitschner HF: Anti-beta 1adrenoceptor autoantibodies with chronotropic activity from the serum of patients with dilated cardiomyopathy: mapping of epitopes in the first and second extracellular loops. *Journal of molecular and cellular cardiology* 1995, 27:397-406.
- 32. Schultheiss HP, Kuhl U, Janda I, Melzner B, Ulrich G, Morad M: Antibodymediated enhancement of calcium permeability in cardiac myocytes. *The Journal of experimental medicine* 1988, **168**:2105-2119.
- 33. Maisch B, Noutsias M, Ruppert V, Richter A, Pankuweit S: **Cardiomyopathies:** classification, diagnosis, and treatment. *Heart Fail Clin* 2012, **8**:53-78.
- 34. Maisch B, Hufnagel G, Kolsch S, Funck R, Richter A, Rupp H, Herzum M, Pankuweit S: Treatment of inflammatory dilated cardiomyopathy and (peri)myocarditis with immunosuppression and i.v. immunoglobulins. *Herz* 2004, **29**:624-636.
- 35. Frustaci A, Chimenti C, Calabrese F, Pieroni M, Thiene G, Maseri A: Immunosuppressive therapy for active lymphocytic myocarditis: virological and immunologic profile of responders versus nonresponders. *Circulation* 2003, **107:**857-863.
- 36. Frustaci A, Russo MA, Chimenti C: Randomized study on the efficacy of immunosuppressive therapy in patients with virus-negative inflammatory cardiomyopathy: the TIMIC study. *Eur Heart J* 2009, **30**:1995-2002.
- 37. Kuhl U, Pauschinger M, Schwimmbeck PL, Seeberg B, Lober C, Noutsias M, Poller W, Schultheiss HP: Interferon-beta treatment eliminates cardiotropic viruses and improves left ventricular function in patients with myocardial persistence of viral genomes and left ventricular dysfunction. *Circulation* 2003, **107**:2793-2798.
- 38. Wojnicz R, Nowalany-Kozielska E, Wojciechowska C, Glanowska G, Wilczewski P, Niklewski T, Zembala M, Polonski L, Rozek MM, Wodniecki J: Randomized, placebo-controlled study for immunosuppressive treatment of inflammatory

dilated cardiomyopathy: two-year follow-up results. *Circulation* 2001, **104:**39-45.

- 39. Bengtsson E: Myocarditis and cardiomyopathy. Clinical aspects. *Cardiologia* 1968, **52:**97-108.
- 40. Sanders V: Viral Myocarditis. American heart journal 1963, 66:707-713.
- 41. Pearce JM: Heart disease and filtrable viruses. *Circulation* 1960, **21:**448-455.
- 42. Hibbs RG, Ferrans VJ, Black WC, Walsh JJ, Burch GE: Virus-Like Particles in the Heart of a Patient with Cardiomyopathy; an Electron Microscopic and Histochemical Study. *American heart journal* 1965, **69**:327-337.
- 43. Jin O, Sole MJ, Butany JW, Chia WK, McLaughlin PR, Liu P, Liew CC: Detection of enterovirus RNA in myocardial biopsies from patients with myocarditis and cardiomyopathy using gene amplification by polymerase chain reaction. *Circulation* 1990, **82:**8-16.
- 44. Martino TA, Liu P, Sole MJ: Viral infection and the pathogenesis of dilated cardiomyopathy. *Circulation research* 1994, **74:**182-188.
- 45. Baboonian C, Davies MJ, Booth JC, McKenna WJ: **Coxsackie B viruses and human heart disease.** *Current topics in microbiology and immunology* 1997, **223:**31-52.
- 46. Spotnitz MD, Lesch M: Idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy as a late complication of healed viral (Coxsackie B virus) myocarditis: historical analysis, review of the literature, and a postulated unifying hypothesis. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis* 2006, **49**:42-57.
- 47. Baughman KL: **Diagnosis of myocarditis: death of Dallas criteria.** *Circulation* 2006, **113:**593-595.
- 48. Caforio AL, Calabrese F, Angelini A, Tona F, Vinci A, Bottaro S, Ramondo A, Carturan E, Iliceto S, Thiene G, Daliento L: A prospective study of biopsyproven myocarditis: prognostic relevance of clinical and aetiopathogenetic features at diagnosis. *Eur Heart J* 2007, **28**:1326-1333.
- 49. Maisch B, Portig I, Ristic A, Hufnagel G, Pankuweit S: **Definition of inflammatory cardiomyopathy (myocarditis): on the way to consensus. A status report.** *Herz* 2000, **25:**200-209.
- 50. Kindermann I, Kindermann M, Kandolf R, Klingel K, Bultmann B, Muller T, Lindinger A, Bohm M: **Predictors of outcome in patients with suspected myocarditis.** *Circulation* 2008, **118**:639-648.
- 51. Richardson P, McKenna W, Bristow M, Maisch B, Mautner B, O'Connell J, Olsen E, Thiene G, Goodwin J, Gyarfas I, et al: Report of the 1995 World Health Organization/International Society and Federation of Cardiology Task Force on the Definition and Classification of cardiomyopathies. *Circulation* 1996, 93:841-842.
- 52. Hunt SA, Baker DW, Chin MH, Cinquegrani MP, Feldman AM, Francis GS, Ganiats TG, Goldstein S, Gregoratos G, Jessup ML, et al: ACC/AHA Guidelines for the Evaluation and Management of Chronic Heart Failure in the Adult: Executive Summary A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines

(Committee to Revise the 1995 Guidelines for the Evaluation and Management of Heart Failure): Developed in Collaboration With the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation; Endorsed by the Heart Failure Society of America. *Circulation* 2001, **104**:2996-3007.

- 53. Maisch B, Seferovic PM, Ristic AD, Erbel R, Rienmuller R, Adler Y, Tomkowski WZ, Thiene G, Yacoub MH: Guidelines on the diagnosis and management of pericardial diseases executive summary; The Task force on the diagnosis and management of pericardial diseases of the European society of cardiology. *European heart journal* 2004, **25**:587-610.
- 54. Cooper LT, Jr.: **Myocarditis.** *The New England journal of medicine* 2009, **360:**1526-1538.
- 55. Deubner N, Berliner D, Schlipp A, Gelbrich G, Caforio AL, Felix SB, Fu M, Katus H, Angermann CE, Lohse MJ, et al: Cardiac beta1-adrenoceptor autoantibodies in human heart disease: rationale and design of the Etiology, Titre-Course, and Survival (ETiCS) Study. European journal of heart failure 2010, 12:753-762.
- 56. Felix SB, Staudt A, Baumann G: Immunoadsorption as a new therapeutic principle for treatment of dilated cardiomyopathy. *European Heart Journal Supplements* 2002, **4**:163-168.
- 57. Knebel F, Bohm M, Staudt A, Borges AC, Tepper M, Jochmann N, Wernicke KD, Felix S, Baumann G: **Reduction of morbidity by immunoadsorption therapy in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy.** *Int J Cardiol* 2004, **97:**517-520.
- 58. Petroianu G, Hein G, Petroianu A, Bergler W, Rufer R: **[ETICS Study: Empirical therapy of idiopathic chronic singultus].** *Zeitschrift fur Gastroenterologie* 1998, **36:**559-566.
- 59. Staudt A, Hummel A, Ruppert J, Dorr M, Trimpert C, Birkenmeier K, Krieg T, Staudt Y, Felix SB: Immunoadsorption in dilated cardiomyopathy: 6-month results from a randomized study. *American heart journal* 2006, **152**:712 e711-716.
- 60. Staudt A, Schaper F, Stangl V, Plagemann A, Bohm M, Merkel K, Wallukat G, Wernecke KD, Stangl K, Baumann G, Felix SB: **Immunohistological changes in dilated cardiomyopathy induced by immunoadsorption therapy and subsequent immunoglobulin substitution.** *Circulation* 2001, **103**:2681-2686.
- 61. Tschope C, Bock CT, Kasner M, Noutsias M, Westermann D, Schwimmbeck PL, Pauschinger M, Poller WC, Kuhl U, Kandolf R, Schultheiss HP: **High prevalence** of cardiac parvovirus **B19** infection in patients with isolated left ventricular diastolic dysfunction. *Circulation* 2005, **111**:879-886.
- 62. Schultz JC, Hilliard AA, Cooper LT, Jr., Rihal CS: **Diagnosis and treatment of viral myocarditis.** *Mayo Clinic proceedings Mayo Clinic* 2009, **84:**1001-1009.
- 63. Kandolf R: **[Virus etiology of inflammatory cardiomyopathy].** Dtsch Med Wochenschr 2004, **129:**2187-2192.
- 64. Mutlu H, Alam M, Ozbilgin OF: **A rare case of Epstein-Barr virus-induced dilated cardiomyopathy.** *Heart & lung : the journal of critical care* 2011, **40:**81-87.

- 65. Kuethe F, Sigusch HH, Hilbig K, Tresselt C, Gluck B, Egerer R, Figulla HR: Detection of viral genome in the myocardium: lack of prognostic and functional relevance in patients with acute dilated cardiomyopathy. *American heart journal* 2007, **153**:850-858.
- 66. Bowles NE, Ni J, Kearney DL, Pauschinger M, Schultheiss HP, McCarthy R, Hare J, Bricker JT, Bowles KR, Towbin JA: **Detection of viruses in myocardial tissues by polymerase chain reaction. evidence of adenovirus as a common cause of myocarditis in children and adults.** *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2003, **42:**466-472.
- 67. Pankuweit S, Klingel K: Viral myocarditis: from experimental models to molecular diagnosis in patients. *Heart failure reviews* 2013, **18**:683-702.
- 68. Ogata M, Satou T, Kawano R, Takakura S, Goto K, Ikewaki J, Kohno K, Ikebe T, Ando T, Miyazaki Y, et al: **Correlations of HHV-6 viral load and plasma IL-6 concentration with HHV-6 encephalitis in allogeneic stem cell transplant recipients.** *Bone marrow transplantation* 2010, **45**:129-136.
- 69. Maekawa Y, Ouzounian M, Opavsky MA, Liu PP: **Connecting the missing link between dilated cardiomyopathy and viral myocarditis: virus, cytoskeleton, and innate immunity.** *Circulation* 2007, **115:**5-8.
- 70. Dec GW, Jr., Palacios IF, Fallon JT, Aretz HT, Mills J, Lee DC, Johnson RA: Active myocarditis in the spectrum of acute dilated cardiomyopathies. Clinical features, histologic correlates, and clinical outcome. *The New England journal of medicine* 1985, **312**:885-890.
- 71. Caforio AL, Mahon NJ, Tona F, McKenna WJ: **Circulating cardiac autoantibodies in dilated cardiomyopathy and myocarditis: pathogenetic and clinical significance.** *European journal of heart failure* 2002, **4:**411-417.
- 72. Leveque N, Boulagnon C, Brasselet C, Lesaffre F, Boutolleau D, Metz D, Fornes P, Andreoletti L: A fatal case of Human Herpesvirus 6 chronic myocarditis in an immunocompetent adult. *Journal of clinical virology : the official publication of the Pan American Society for Clinical Virology* 2011, **52:**142-145.
- 73. Matsumori A, Yutani C, Ikeda Y, Kawai S, Sasayama S: **Hepatitis C virus from the hearts of patients with myocarditis and cardiomyopathy.** *Laboratory investigation; a journal of technical methods and pathology* 2000, **80:**1137-1142.
- 74. Matsumori A, Ohashi N, Nishio R, Kakio T, Hara M, Furukawa Y, Ono K, Shioi T, Hasegawa K, Sasayama S: **Apical hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and hepatitis C virus infection.** *Japanese circulation journal* 1999, **63**:433-438.
- 75. Schowengerdt KO, Ni J, Denfield SW, Gajarski RJ, Bowles NE, Rosenthal G, Kearney DL, Price JK, Rogers BB, Schauer GM, et al: Association of parvovirus B19 genome in children with myocarditis and cardiac allograft rejection: diagnosis using the polymerase chain reaction. *Circulation* 1997, 96:3549-3554.
- 76. Maisch B, Richter A, Sandmoller A, Portig I, Pankuweit S: **Inflammatory dilated** cardiomyopathy (DCMI). *Herz* 2005, **30:**535-544.

- 77. Pankuweit S, Moll R, Baandrup U, Portig I, Hufnagel G, Maisch B: **Prevalence of the parvovirus B19 genome in endomyocardial biopsy specimens.** *Human pathology* 2003, **34:**497-503.
- 78. Kuhl U, Pauschinger M, Bock T, Klingel K, Schwimmbeck CP, Seeberg B, Krautwurm L, Poller W, Schultheiss HP, Kandolf R: **Parvovirus B19 infection mimicking acute myocardial infarction.** *Circulation* 2003, **108**:945-950.
- 79. Pauschinger M, Bowles NE, Fuentes-Garcia FJ, Pham V, Kuhl U, Schwimmbeck PL, Schultheiss HP, Towbin JA: **Detection of adenoviral genome in the myocardium of adult patients with idiopathic left ventricular dysfunction.** *Circulation* 1999, **99:**1348-1354.
- 80. Shirali GS, Ni J, Chinnock RE, Johnston JK, Rosenthal GL, Bowles NE, Towbin JA: Association of viral genome with graft loss in children after cardiac transplantation. *The New England journal of medicine* 2001, **344**:1498-1503.
- 81. Pauschinger M, Phan MD, Doerner A, Kuehl U, Schwimmbeck PL, Poller W, Kandolf R, Schultheiss HP: Enteroviral RNA replication in the myocardium of patients with left ventricular dysfunction and clinically suspected myocarditis. *Circulation* 1999, **99**:889-895.
- 82. Bowles NE, Towbin JA: **Molecular Aspects of Myocarditis.** *Current infectious disease reports* 2000, **2:**308-314.
- 83. Lowry RW, Adam E, Hu C, Kleiman NS, Cocanougher B, Windsor N, Bitar JN, Melnick JL, Young JB: What are the implications of cardiac infection with cytomegalovirus before heart transplantation? The Journal of heart and lung transplantation : the official publication of the International Society for Heart Transplantation 1994, **13**:122-128.
- 84. Partanen J, Nieminen MS, Krogerus L, Lautenschlager I, Geagea A, Aarnio P, Mattila S: Cytomegalovirus myocarditis in transplanted heart verified by endomyocardial biopsy. *Clinical cardiology* 1991, **14:**847-849.
- 85. Sprenger MJ, Van Naelten MA, Mulder PG, Masurel N: Influenza mortality and excess deaths in the elderly, 1967-82. *Epidemiology and infection* 1989, 103:633-641.
- 86. Herskowitz A, Campbell S, Deckers J, Kasper EK, Boehmer J, Hadian D, Neumann DA, Baughman KL: **Demographic features and prevalence of idiopathic myocarditis in patients undergoing endomyocardial biopsy.** *The American journal of cardiology* 1993, **71:**982-986.
- 87. Bowles NE, Kearney DL, Ni J, Perez-Atayde AR, Kline MW, Bricker JT, Ayres NA, Lipshultz SE, Shearer WT, Towbin JA: **The detection of viral genomes by polymerase chain reaction in the myocardium of pediatric patients with advanced HIV disease.** *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1999, **34**:857-865.
- 88. Cooper LT: **The heat is off: immunosuppression for myocarditis revisited.** *Eur Heart J* 2009, **30:**1936-1939.
- 89. Chapman NM, Kim KS, Drescher KM, Oka K, Tracy S: **5' terminal deletions in the genome of a coxsackievirus B2 strain occurred naturally in human heart.** *Virology* 2008, **375:**480-491.

- 90. Cooper LT: **The heat is off: immunosuppression for myocarditis revisited.** *Eur Heart J* 2009, **30:**1936-1939.
- 91. Li Y, Heuser JS, Cunningham LC, Kosanke SD, Cunningham MW: Mimicry and antibody-mediated cell signaling in autoimmune myocarditis. *J Immunol* 2006, **177:**8234-8240.
- 92. Shauer A, Gotsman I, Keren A, Zwas DR, Hellman Y, Durst R, Admon D: Acute viral myocarditis: current concepts in diagnosis and treatment. *The Israel Medical Association journal : IMAJ* 2013, **15**:180-185.
- 93. Liu PP, Mason JW: Advances in the understanding of myocarditis. *Circulation* 2001, **104:**1076-1082.
- 94. Mason JW, O'Connell JB, Herskowitz A, Rose NR, McManus BM, Billingham ME, Moon TE: A clinical trial of immunosuppressive therapy for myocarditis. The Myocarditis Treatment Trial Investigators. The New England journal of medicine 1995, 333:269-275.
- 95. McDonough KH, Virag JI: Sepsis-induced myocardial dysfunction and myocardial protection from ischemia/reperfusion injury. *Frontiers in bioscience : a journal and virtual library* 2006, **11:**23-32.
- 96. Sanoja C, Carbajosa S, Fresno M, Girones N: Analysis of the dynamics of infiltrating CD4(+) T cell subsets in the heart during experimental Trypanosoma cruzi infection. *PloS one* 2013, 8:e65820.
- 97. Schwimmbeck PL, Badorff C, Rohn G, Schulze K, Schultheiss HP: **The role of sensitized T-cells in myocarditis and dilated cardiomyopathy.** *Int J Cardiol* 1996, **54:**117-125.
- 98. Binah O: Immune effector mechanisms in myocardial pathologies. International journal of molecular medicine 2000, **6:**3-16.
- 99. Eddy LJ, Goeddel DV, Wong GH: **Tumor necrosis factor-alpha pretreatment is protective in a rat model of myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury.** *Biochemical and biophysical research communications* 1992, **184:**1056-1059.
- 100. Kinugawa K, Takahashi T, Kohmoto O, Yao A, Aoyagi T, Momomura S, Hirata Y, Serizawa T: Nitric oxide-mediated effects of interleukin-6 on [Ca2+]i and cell contraction in cultured chick ventricular myocytes. *Circulation research* 1994, 75:285-295.
- 101. Mann DL: Stress-activated cytokines and the heart: from adaptation to maladaptation. *Annual review of physiology* 2003, 65:81-101.
- 102. Kapadia S, Lee J, Torre-Amione G, Birdsall HH, Ma TS, Mann DL: Tumor necrosis factor-alpha gene and protein expression in adult feline myocardium after endotoxin administration. The Journal of clinical investigation 1995, 96:1042-1052.
- 103. Kapadia SR, Oral H, Lee J, Nakano M, Taffet GE, Mann DL: Hemodynamic regulation of tumor necrosis factor-alpha gene and protein expression in adult feline myocardium. *Circulation research* 1997, **81:**187-195.
- 104. Tomcik M, Zerr P, Pitkowski J, Palumbo-Zerr K, Avouac J, Distler O, Becvar R, Senolt L, Schett G, Distler JH: **Heat shock protein 90 (Hsp90) inhibition targets**

canonical TGF-beta signalling to prevent fibrosis. *Annals of the rheumatic diseases* 2014, **73:**1215-1222.

- 105. Chen YL, Zhang X, Bai J, Gai L, Ye XL, Zhang L, Xu Q, Zhang YX, Xu L, Li HP, Ding X: Sorafenib ameliorates bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrosis: potential roles in the inhibition of epithelial-mesenchymal transition and fibroblast activation. *Cell death & disease* 2013, **4**:e665.
- 106. Khan R, Sheppard R: Fibrosis in heart disease: understanding the role of transforming growth factor-beta in cardiomyopathy, valvular disease and arrhythmia. *Immunology* 2006, **118**:10-24.
- 107. Gorelik L, Flavell RA: Abrogation of TGFbeta signaling in T cells leads to spontaneous T cell differentiation and autoimmune disease. *Immunity* 2000, 12:171-181.
- 108. Derynck R, Akhurst RJ, Balmain A: **TGF-beta signaling in tumor suppression** and cancer progression. *Nature genetics* 2001, **29:**117-129.
- 109. Skurk C, Wittchen F, Suckau L, Witt H, Noutsias M, Fechner H, Schultheiss HP, Poller W: Description of a local cardiac adiponectin system and its deregulation in dilated cardiomyopathy. *Eur Heart J* 2008, 29:1168-1180.
- 110. Jian L, Su YX, Deng HC: Adiponectin-induced inhibition of intrinsic and extrinsic apoptotic pathways protects pancreatic beta-cells against apoptosis. Hormone and metabolic research = Hormon- und Stoffwechselforschung = Hormones et metabolisme 2013, **45**:561-566.
- 111. Ouchi N, Walsh K: Adiponectin as an anti-inflammatory factor. *Clinica chimica acta; international journal of clinical chemistry* 2007, **380:**24-30.
- 112. Pischon T, Girman CJ, Hotamisligil GS, Rifai N, Hu FB, Rimm EB: **Plasma** adiponectin levels and risk of myocardial infarction in men. *JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association* 2004, **291:**1730-1737.
- 113. Adya R, Tan BK, Chen J, Randeva HS: Protective actions of globular and fulllength adiponectin on human endothelial cells: novel insights into adiponectin-induced angiogenesis. *Journal of vascular research* 2012, **49:**534-543.
- 114. Schaffler A, Ehling A, Neumann E, Herfarth H, Tarner I, Scholmerich J, Muller-Ladner U, Gay S: **Adipocytokines in synovial fluid.** *JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association* 2003, **290**:1709-1710.
- 115. George J, Patal S, Wexler D, Sharabi Y, Peleg E, Kamari Y, Grossman E, Sheps D, Keren G, Roth A: Circulating adiponectin concentrations in patients with congestive heart failure. *Heart* 2006, **92**:1420-1424.
- 116. Wang CN, Chang SD, Peng HH, Lee YS, Chang YL, Cheng PJ, Chao AS, Wang TH, Wang HS: **Change in amniotic fluid levels of multiple anti-angiogenic proteins before development of preeclampsia and intrauterine growth restriction.** *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 2010, **95:**1431-1441.
- 117. Yokota T, Oritani K, Takahashi I, Ishikawa J, Matsuyama A, Ouchi N, Kihara S, Funahashi T, Tenner AJ, Tomiyama Y, Matsuzawa Y: Adiponectin, a new member of the family of soluble defense collagens, negatively regulates the

growth of myelomonocytic progenitors and the functions of macrophages. *Blood* 2000, **96:**1723-1732.

- 118. Kumada M, Kihara S, Sumitsuji S, Kawamoto T, Matsumoto S, Ouchi N, Arita Y, Okamoto Y, Shimomura I, Hiraoka H, et al: Association of hypoadiponectinemia with coronary artery disease in men. Arteriosclerosis, thrombosis, and vascular biology 2003, 23:85-89.
- 119. Kistorp C, Faber J, Galatius S, Gustafsson F, Frystyk J, Flyvbjerg A, Hildebrandt P: Plasma adiponectin, body mass index, and mortality in patients with chronic heart failure. *Circulation* 2005, **112**:1756-1762.
- 120. Maeda K, Tsutamoto T, Wada A, Hisanaga T, Kinoshita M: Plasma brain natriuretic peptide as a biochemical marker of high left ventricular enddiastolic pressure in patients with symptomatic left ventricular dysfunction. *American heart journal* 1998, **135**:825-832.
- 121. Nakagawa O, Ogawa Y, Itoh H, Suga S, Komatsu Y, Kishimoto I, Nishino K, Yoshimasa T, Nakao K: Rapid transcriptional activation and early mRNA turnover of brain natriuretic peptide in cardiocyte hypertrophy. Evidence for brain natriuretic peptide as an "emergency" cardiac hormone against ventricular overload. The Journal of clinical investigation 1995, 96:1280-1287.
- 122. Yoshimura M, Yasue H, Okumura K, Ogawa H, Jougasaki M, Mukoyama M, Nakao K, Imura H: Different secretion patterns of atrial natriuretic peptide and brain natriuretic peptide in patients with congestive heart failure. *Circulation* 1993, **87:**464-469.
- 123. Yasue H, Yoshimura M, Sumida H, Kikuta K, Kugiyama K, Jougasaki M, Ogawa H, Okumura K, Mukoyama M, Nakao K: Localization and mechanism of secretion of B-type natriuretic peptide in comparison with those of A-type natriuretic peptide in normal subjects and patients with heart failure. *Circulation* 1994, **90**:195-203.
- 124. Maisel A, Mueller C, Adams K, Anker SD, Aspromonte N, Cleland JGF, Cohen-Solal A, Dahlstrom U, DeMaria A, Di Somma S, et al: **State of the art: Using natriuretic peptide levels in clinical practice.** *European journal of heart failure* 2008, **10**:824-839.
- 125. Deutsch HJ, Curtius JM, Leischik R, Sechtem U, Maschler C, de Vivie ER, Hilger HH: **Reproducibility of assessment of left-ventricular function using intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography.** *The Thoracic and cardiovascular surgeon* 1993, **41**:54-58.
- 126. Sano H, Hsu DK, Apgar JR, Yu L, Sharma BB, Kuwabara I, Izui S, Liu FT: **Critical role of galectin-3 in phagocytosis by macrophages.** *The Journal of clinical investigation* 2003, **112:**389-397.
- 127. Henderson NC, Mackinnon AC, Farnworth SL, Kipari T, Haslett C, Iredale JP, Liu FT, Hughes J, Sethi T: Galectin-3 expression and secretion links macrophages to the promotion of renal fibrosis. *The American journal of pathology* 2008, 172:288-298.
- 128. Henderson NC, Sethi T: The regulation of inflammation by galectin-3. *Immunological reviews* 2009, 230:160-171.
- 129. Furtak V, Hatcher F, Ochieng J: Galectin-3 mediates the endocytosis of beta-1 integrins by breast carcinoma cells. *Biochemical and biophysical research communications* 2001, 289:845-850.
- 130. Ochieng J, Furtak V, Lukyanov P: **Extracellular functions of galectin-3**. *Glycoconjugate journal* 2004, **19:**527-535.
- 131. Kim HR, Lin HM, Biliran H, Raz A: Cell cycle arrest and inhibition of anoikis by galectin-3 in human breast epithelial cells. *Cancer research* 1999, 59:4148-4154.
- 132. Sharma UC, Pokharel S, van Brakel TJ, van Berlo JH, Cleutjens JP, Schroen B, Andre S, Crijns HJ, Gabius HJ, Maessen J, Pinto YM: Galectin-3 marks activated macrophages in failure-prone hypertrophied hearts and contributes to cardiac dysfunction. *Circulation* 2004, **110**:3121-3128.
- 133. Shah RV, Chen-Tournoux AA, Picard MH, van Kimmenade RR, Januzzi JL: Galectin-3, cardiac structure and function, and long-term mortality in patients with acutely decompensated heart failure. *European journal of heart failure* 2010, **12**:826-832.
- 134. Kirchhoff SR, Gupta S, Knowlton AA: Cytosolic heat shock protein 60, apoptosis, and myocardial injury. *Circulation* 2002, **105**:2899-2904.
- 135. Lin KM, Lin B, Lian IY, Mestril R, Scheffler IE, Dillmann WH: Combined and individual mitochondrial HSP60 and HSP10 expression in cardiac myocytes protects mitochondrial function and prevents apoptotic cell deaths induced by simulated ischemia-reoxygenation. *Circulation* 2001, **103**:1787-1792.
- 136. Bonanad C, Nunez J, Sanchis J, Bodi V, Chaustre F, Chillet M, Minana G, Forteza MJ, Palau P, Nunez E, et al: **Serum heat shock protein 60 in acute heart failure: a new biomarker?** *Congest Heart Fail* 2013, **19:**6-10.
- 137. Grunenfelder J, Zund G, Stucki V, Hoerstrup SP, Kadner A, Schoeberlein A, Turina M: Heat shock protein upregulation lowers cytokine levels after ischemia and reperfusion. European surgical research Europaische chirurgische Forschung Recherches chirurgicales europeennes 2001, 33:383-387.
- 138. Gupta S, Knowlton AA: **HSP60 trafficking in adult cardiac myocytes: role of the exosomal pathway.** *American journal of physiology Heart and circulatory physiology* 2007, **292:**H3052-3056.
- 139. Kim SC, Stice JP, Chen L, Jung JS, Gupta S, Wang Y, Baumgarten G, Trial J, Knowlton AA: Extracellular heat shock protein 60, cardiac myocytes, and apoptosis. *Circulation research* 2009, **105**:1186-1195.
- 140. Knowlton AA, Kapadia S, Torre-Amione G, Durand JB, Bies R, Young J, Mann DL: Differential expression of heat shock proteins in normal and failing human hearts. *Journal of molecular and cellular cardiology* 1998, **30**:811-818.
- 141. Lin L, Kim SC, Wang Y, Gupta S, Davis B, Simon SI, Torre-Amione G, Knowlton AA: **HSP60 in heart failure: abnormal distribution and role in cardiac myocyte apoptosis.** *American journal of physiology Heart and circulatory physiology* 2007, **293**:H2238-2247.

- 142. Zhang X, He M, Cheng L, Chen Y, Zhou L, Zeng H, Pockley AG, Hu FB, Wu T: Elevated heat shock protein 60 levels are associated with higher risk of coronary heart disease in Chinese. *Circulation* 2008, **118**:2687-2693.
- 143. Shamaei-Tousi A, Stephens JW, Bin R, Cooper JA, Steptoe A, Coates AR, Henderson B, Humphries SE: Association between plasma levels of heat shock protein 60 and cardiovascular disease in patients with diabetes mellitus. *Eur Heart J* 2006, **27**:1565-1570.
- 144. Lewthwaite J, Owen N, Coates A, Henderson B, Steptoe A: Circulating human heat shock protein 60 in the plasma of British civil servants: relationship to physiological and psychosocial stress. *Circulation* 2002, **106**:196-201.
- 145. Niizeki T, Takeishi Y, Watanabe T, Nitobe J, Miyashita T, Miyamoto T, Kitahara T, Suzuki S, Sasaki T, Bilim O, et al: **Relation of serum heat shock protein 60 level to severity and prognosis in chronic heart failure secondary to ischemic or idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy.** *The American journal of cardiology* 2008, **102**:606-610.
- 146. Lindahl B, Toss H, Siegbahn A, Venge P, Wallentin L: Markers of myocardial damage and inflammation in relation to long-term mortality in unstable coronary artery disease. FRISC Study Group. Fragmin during Instability in Coronary Artery Disease. The New England journal of medicine 2000, 343:1139-1147.
- 147. Aviles RJ, Askari AT, Lindahl B, Wallentin L, Jia G, Ohman EM, Mahaffey KW, Newby LK, Califf RM, Simoons ML, et al: **Troponin T levels in patients with** acute coronary syndromes, with or without renal dysfunction. *The New England journal of medicine* 2002, **346**:2047-2052.
- 148. Sato Y, Yamada T, Taniguchi R, Nagai K, Makiyama T, Okada H, Kataoka K, Ito H, Matsumori A, Sasayama S, Takatsu Y: **Persistently increased serum** concentrations of cardiac troponin t in patients with idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy are predictive of adverse outcomes. *Circulation* 2001, 103:369-374.
- 149. Setsuta K, Seino Y, Ogawa T, Arao M, Miyatake Y, Takano T: Use of cytosolic and myofibril markers in the detection of ongoing myocardial damage in patients with chronic heart failure. *The American journal of medicine* 2002, 113:717-722.
- 150. Giannitsis E, Kurz K, Hallermayer K, Jarausch J, Jaffe AS, Katus HA: **Analytical** validation of a high-sensitivity cardiac troponin T assay. *Clinical chemistry* 2010, **56:**254-261.
- 151. Kusumoto A, Miyata M, Kubozono T, Ikeda Y, Shinsato T, Kuwahata S, Fujita S, Takasaki K, Yuasa T, Hamasaki S, Tei C: **Highly sensitive cardiac troponin T in heart failure: comparison with echocardiographic parameters and natriuretic peptides.** *Journal of cardiology* 2012, **59**:202-208.
- 152. Gill C, Mestril R, Samali A: Losing heart: the role of apoptosis in heart disease--a novel therapeutic target? The FASEB journal : official publication of the Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology 2002, 16:135-146.

- 153. Yamaji K, Fujimoto S, Ikeda Y, Masuda K, Nakamura S, Saito Y, Yutani C: Apoptotic myocardial cell death in the setting of arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy. *Acta cardiologica* 2005, **60**:465-470.
- 154. Kang PM, Izumo S: Apoptosis and heart failure: A critical review of the literature. *Circulation research* 2000, **86:**1107-1113.
- 155. Elmore S: Apoptosis: a review of programmed cell death. *Toxicologic* pathology 2007, **35**:495-516.
- 156. Igney FH, Krammer PH: **Death and anti-death: tumour resistance to apoptosis.** *Nature reviews Cancer* 2002, **2:**277-288.
- 157. Cory S, Adams JM: The Bcl2 family: regulators of the cellular life-or-death switch. *Nature reviews Cancer* 2002, **2**:647-656.
- 158. Kooijman R: **Regulation of apoptosis by insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-I.** *Cytokine & growth factor reviews* 2006, **17:**305-323.
- 159. Martinvalet D, Zhu P, Lieberman J: Granzyme A induces caspase-independent mitochondrial damage, a required first step for apoptosis. *Immunity* 2005, 22:355-370.
- 160. Akyurek O, Akyurek N, Sayin T, Dincer I, Berkalp B, Akyol G, Ozenci M, Oral D: Association between the severity of heart failure and the susceptibility of myocytes to apoptosis in patients with idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy. Int J Cardiol 2001, 80:29-36.
- 161. Ozawa J, Kurose T, Kawamata S, Kaneguchi A, Moriyama H, Kito N: Regulation of connective tissue remodeling in the early phase of denervation in a rat skeletal muscle. *Biomed Res* 2013, **34:**251-258.
- 162. Van Lint P, Libert C: Chemokine and cytokine processing by matrix metalloproteinases and its effect on leukocyte migration and inflammation. *Journal of leukocyte biology* 2007, 82:1375-1381.
- 163. Ovechkin AV, Tyagi N, Rodriguez WE, Hayden MR, Moshal KS, Tyagi SC: **Role of matrix metalloproteinase-9 in endothelial apoptosis in chronic heart failure in mice.** *J Appl Physiol (1985)* 2005, **99:**2398-2405.
- Pozzesi N, Fierabracci A, Liberati AM, Martelli MP, Ayroldi E, Riccardi C, Delfino DV: Role of caspase-8 in thymus function. *Cell death and differentiation* 2014, 21:226-233.
- 165. Okada H, Takemura G, Koda M, Kanoh M, Kawase Y, Minatoguchi S, Fujiwara H: Myocardial apoptotic index based on in situ DNA nick end-labeling of endomyocardial biopsies does not predict prognosis of dilated cardiomyopathy. Chest 2005, 128:1060-1062.
- Knaapen MW, Davies MJ, De Bie M, Haven AJ, Martinet W, Kockx MM: Apoptotic versus autophagic cell death in heart failure. *Cardiovasc Res* 2001, 51:304-312.
- 167. Schram K, De Girolamo S, Madani S, Munoz D, Thong F, Sweeney G: Leptin regulates MMP-2, TIMP-1 and collagen synthesis via p38 MAPK in HL-1 murine cardiomyocytes. *Cellular & molecular biology letters* 2010, 15:551-563.

- 168. Fan D, Takawale A, Lee J, Kassiri Z: Cardiac fibroblasts, fibrosis and extracellular matrix remodeling in heart disease. *Fibrogenesis & tissue repair* 2012, 5:15.
- Eghbali M, Czaja MJ, Zeydel M, Weiner FR, Zern MA, Seifter S, Blumenfeld OO: Collagen chain mRNAs in isolated heart cells from young and adult rats. Journal of molecular and cellular cardiology 1988, 20:267-276.
- 170. Cohn JN, Ferrari R, Sharpe N: Cardiac remodeling--concepts and clinical implications: a consensus paper from an international forum on cardiac remodeling. Behalf of an International Forum on Cardiac Remodeling. J Am Coll Cardiol 2000, 35:569-582.
- 171. Borg TK, Caulfield JB: **The collagen matrix of the heart.** *Federation proceedings* 1981, **40:**2037-2041.
- 172. Brilla CG, Maisch B, Weber KT: Renin-angiotensin system and myocardial collagen matrix remodeling in hypertensive heart disease: in vivo and in vitro studies on collagen matrix regulation. The Clinical investigator 1993, 71:S35-41.
- 173. Schaper J, Froede R, Hein S, Buck A, Hashizume H, Speiser B, Friedl A, Bleese N: Impairment of the myocardial ultrastructure and changes of the cytoskeleton in dilated cardiomyopathy. *Circulation* 1991, **83**:504-514.
- 174. Weber KT, Brilla CG: Pathological hypertrophy and cardiac interstitium.
 Fibrosis and renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system. *Circulation* 1991, 83:1849-1865.
- 175. Marijianowski MM, Teeling P, Mann J, Becker AE: Dilated cardiomyopathy is associated with an increase in the type I/type III collagen ratio: a quantitative assessment. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1995, **25:**1263-1272.
- 176. Bishop JE, Greenbaum R, Gibson DG, Yacoub M, Laurent GJ: Enhanced deposition of predominantly type I collagen in myocardial disease. *Journal of molecular and cellular cardiology* 1990, **22**:1157-1165.
- 177. Pauschinger M, Knopf D, Petschauer S, Doerner A, Poller W, Schwimmbeck PL, Kuhl U, Schultheiss HP: **Dilated cardiomyopathy is associated with significant changes in collagen type I/III ratio.** *Circulation* 1999, **99:**2750-2756.
- 178. Satoh M, Nakamura M, Akatsu T, Shimoda Y, Segawa I, Hiramori K: **Myocardial** osteopontin expression is associated with collagen fibrillogenesis in human dilated cardiomyopathy. *European journal of heart failure* 2005, **7**:755-762.
- 179. Lombardi R, Betocchi S, Losi MA, Tocchetti CG, Aversa M, Miranda M, D'Alessandro G, Cacace A, Ciampi Q, Chiariello M: **Myocardial collagen turnover in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy.** *Circulation* 2003, **108**:1455-1460.
- 180. Querejeta R, Lopez B, Gonzalez A, Sanchez E, Larman M, Martinez Ubago JL, Diez J: Increased collagen type I synthesis in patients with heart failure of hypertensive origin: relation to myocardial fibrosis. *Circulation* 2004, 110:1263-1268.
- 181. Kitahara T, Takeishi Y, Arimoto T, Niizeki T, Koyama Y, Sasaki T, Suzuki S, Nozaki N, Hirono O, Nitobe J, et al: Serum carboxy-terminal telopeptide of type I

collagen (ICTP) predicts cardiac events in chronic heart failure patients with preserved left ventricular systolic function. *Circ J* 2007, **71**:929-935.

- 182. Jensen LT, Host NB: Collagen: scaffold for repair or execution. *Cardiovasc Res* 1997, **33:**535-539.
- 183. Dhingra R, Pencina MJ, Schrader P, Wang TJ, Levy D, Pencina K, Siwik DA, Colucci WS, Benjamin EJ, Vasan RS: **Relations of matrix remodeling biomarkers to blood pressure progression and incidence of hypertension in the community.** *Circulation* 2009, **119**:1101-1107.
- 184. Kapur NK: Transforming growth factor-beta: governing the transition from inflammation to fibrosis in heart failure with preserved left ventricular function. *Circulation Heart failure* 2011, **4**:5-7.
- 185. van Heerebeek L, Hamdani N, Handoko ML, Falcao-Pires I, Musters RJ, Kupreishvili K, Ijsselmuiden AJ, Schalkwijk CG, Bronzwaer JG, Diamant M, et al: Diastolic stiffness of the failing diabetic heart: importance of fibrosis, advanced glycation end products, and myocyte resting tension. *Circulation* 2008, **117**:43-51.
- 186. Pelliccia F, d'Amati G, Cianfrocca C, Bernucci P, Nigri A, Marino B, Gallo P: Histomorphometric features predict 1-year outcome of patients with idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy considered to be at low priority for cardiac transplantation. *American heart journal* 1994, **128**:316-325.
- 187. Heymans S, Pauschinger M, De Palma A, Kallwellis-Opara A, Rutschow S, Swinnen M, Vanhoutte D, Gao F, Torpai R, Baker AH, et al: Inhibition of urokinase-type plasminogen activator or matrix metalloproteinases prevents cardiac injury and dysfunction during viral myocarditis. *Circulation* 2006, 114:565-573.
- 188. Deschamps AM, Spinale FG: Pathways of matrix metalloproteinase induction in heart failure: bioactive molecules and transcriptional regulation. *Cardiovasc Res* 2006, **69:**666-676.
- 189. Spinale FG: Myocardial matrix remodeling and the matrix metalloproteinases: influence on cardiac form and function. *Physiological reviews* 2007, 87:1285-1342.
- Page-McCaw A, Ewald AJ, Werb Z: Matrix metalloproteinases and the regulation of tissue remodelling. Nature reviews Molecular cell biology 2007, 8:221-233.
- 191. Nagase H, Visse R, Murphy G: **Structure and function of matrix metalloproteinases and TIMPs.** *Cardiovasc Res* 2006, **69:**562-573.
- 192. Clark IM, Swingler TE, Sampieri CL, Edwards DR: **The regulation of matrix metalloproteinases and their inhibitors.** *The international journal of biochemistry & cell biology* 2008, **40**:1362-1378.
- Chakraborti S, Mandal M, Das S, Mandal A, Chakraborti T: Regulation of matrix metalloproteinases: an overview. *Molecular and cellular biochemistry* 2003, 253:269-285.
- 194. Gunja-Smith Z, Morales AR, Romanelli R, Woessner JF, Jr.: Remodeling of human myocardial collagen in idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy. Role of

metalloproteinases and pyridinoline cross-links. *The American journal of pathology* 1996, **148:**1639-1648.

- 195. Cheung C, Luo H, Yanagawa B, Leong HS, Samarasekera D, Lai JC, Suarez A, Zhang J, McManus BM: Matrix metalloproteinases and tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases in coxsackievirus-induced myocarditis. *Cardiovascular pathology : the official journal of the Society for Cardiovascular Pathology* 2006, **15**:63-74.
- 196. Spinale FG: Matrix metalloproteinases: regulation and dysregulation in the failing heart. *Circulation research* 2002, **90:**520-530.
- 197. Yokoseki O, Yazaki Y, Suzuki J, Imamura H, Takenaka H, Isobe M: Association of matrix metalloproteinase expression and left ventricular function in idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy. *Japanese circulation journal* 2000, 64:352-357.
- 198. Klappacher G, Franzen P, Haab D, Mehrabi M, Binder M, Plesch K, Pacher R, Grimm M, Pribill I, Eichler HG, et al.: Measuring extracellular matrix turnover in the serum of patients with idiopathic or ischemic dilated cardiomyopathy and impact on diagnosis and prognosis. *The American journal of cardiology* 1995, **75**:913-918.
- 199. Spinale FG, Coker ML, Heung LJ, Bond BR, Gunasinghe HR, Etoh T, Goldberg AT, Zellner JL, Crumbley AJ: A matrix metalloproteinase induction/activation system exists in the human left ventricular myocardium and is upregulated in heart failure. *Circulation* 2000, **102**:1944-1949.
- 200. Hadi AM, Mouchaers KT, Schalij I, Grunberg K, Meijer GA, Vonk-Noordegraaf A, van der Laarse WJ, Belien JA: **Rapid quantification of myocardial fibrosis: A new macro-based automated analysis.** *Anal Cell Pathol (Amst)* 2010, **33**:257-269.
- 201. Caballero T, Perez-Milena A, Masseroli M, O'Valle F, Salmeron FJ, Del Moral RM, Sanchez-Salgado G: Liver fibrosis assessment with semiquantitative indexes and image analysis quantification in sustained-responder and non-responder interferon-treated patients with chronic hepatitis C. Journal of hepatology 2001, 34:740-747.
- 202. Dahab GM, Kheriza MM, El-Beltagi HM, Fouda AM, El-Din OA: Digital quantification of fibrosis in liver biopsy sections: description of a new method by Photoshop software. *Journal of gastroenterology and hepatology* 2004, **19**:78-85.
- 203. Farris AB, Adams CD, Brousaides N, Della Pelle PA, Collins AB, Moradi E, Smith RN, Grimm PC, Colvin RB: Morphometric and visual evaluation of fibrosis in renal biopsies. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology : JASN* 2011, 22:176-186.
- 204. Manousou P, Burroughs AK, Tsochatzis E, Isgro G, Hall A, Green A, Calvaruso V, Ma GL, Gale J, Burgess G, et al: Digital image analysis of collagen assessment of progression of fibrosis in recurrent HCV after liver transplantation. *Journal* of hepatology 2013, **58**:962-968.

- 205. Lazzarini AL, Levine RA, Ploutz-Snyder RJ, Sanderson SO: Advances in digital quantification technique enhance discrimination between mild and advanced liver fibrosis in chronic hepatitis C. Liver international: official journal of the International Association for the Study of the Liver 2005, 25:1142-1149.
- 206. Whittaker P, Kloner RA, Boughner DR, Pickering JG: Quantitative assessment of myocardial collagen with picrosirius red staining and circularly polarized light. *Basic research in cardiology* 1994, **89:**397-410.
- 207. Nicoletti A, Heudes D, Mandet C, Hinglais N, Bariety J, Michel JB: Inflammatory cells and myocardial fibrosis: spatial and temporal distribution in renovascular hypertensive rats. *Cardiovasc Res* 1996, **32**:1096-1107.
- 208. Vasiljevic JD, Popovic ZB, Otasevic P, Popovic ZV, Vidakovic R, Miric M, Neskovic AN: Myocardial fibrosis assessment by semiquantitative, pointcounting and computer-based methods in patients with heart muscle disease: a comparative study. *Histopathology* 2001, **38**:338-343.
- 209. Borbely A, van der Velden J, Papp Z, Bronzwaer JG, Edes I, Stienen GJ, Paulus WJ: Cardiomyocyte stiffness in diastolic heart failure. *Circulation* 2005, 111:774-781.
- 210. Gaspard GJ, Pasumarthi KB: Quantification of cardiac fibrosis by coloursubtractive computer-assisted image analysis. *Clinical and experimental pharmacology & physiology* 2008, **35**:679-686.
- 211. Hadi AM, Mouchaers KT, Schalij I, Grunberg K, Meijer GA, Vonk-Noordegraaf A, van der Laarse WJ, Belien JA: **Rapid quantification of myocardial fibrosis: a new macro-based automated analysis.** *Cell Oncol (Dordr)* 2011, **34**:343-354.
- 212. Sibley CT, Noureldin RA, Gai N, Nacif MS, Liu S, Turkbey EB, Mudd JO, van der Geest RJ, Lima JA, Halushka MK, Bluemke DA: **T1 Mapping in cardiomyopathy at cardiac MR: comparison with endomyocardial biopsy.** *Radiology* 2012, **265:**724-732.
- 213. Tang Y, Nyengaard JR, Andersen JB, Baandrup U, Gundersen HJ: **The** application of stereological methods for estimating structural parameters in the human heart. *Anat Rec (Hoboken)* 2009, **292**:1630-1647.
- 214. Gho JM, van Es R, Stathonikos N, Harakalova M, Te Rijdt WP, Suurmeijer AJ, van der Heijden JF, de Jonge N, Chamuleau SA, de Weger RA, et al: High Resolution Systematic Digital Histological Quantification of Cardiac Fibrosis and Adipose Tissue in Phospholamban p.Arg14del Mutation Associated Cardiomyopathy. *PloS one* 2014, **9**:e94820.
- 215. Nassar A, Cohen C, Agersborg SS, Zhou W, Lynch KA, Albitar M, Barker EA, Vanderbilt BL, Thompson J, Heyman ER, et al: Trainable immunohistochemical HER2/neu image analysis: a multisite performance study using 260 breast tissue specimens. Archives of pathology & laboratory medicine 2011, 135:896-902.
- 216. Klapczynski M, Gagne GD, Morgan SJ, Larson KJ, Leroy BE, Blomme EA, Cox BF, Shek EW: **Computer-assisted imaging algorithms facilitate**

histomorphometric quantification of kidney damage in rodent renal failure models. *Journal of pathology informatics* 2012, **3:**20.

- 217. Kayser K, Gortler J, Borkenfeld S, Kayser G: Interactive and automated application of virtual microscopy. *Diagnostic pathology* 2011, 6 Suppl 1:S10.
- 218. Potts SJ, Young GD, Voelker FA: **The role and impact of quantitative discovery pathology.** *Drug discovery today* 2010, **15:**943-950.
- 219. Rizzardi AE, Johnson AT, Vogel RI, Pambuccian SE, Henriksen J, Skubitz AP, Metzger GJ, Schmechel SC: Quantitative comparison of immunohistochemical staining measured by digital image analysis versus pathologist visual scoring. *Diagnostic pathology* 2012, **7**:42.
- 220. Ong CW, Kim LG, Kong HH, Low LY, Wang TT, Supriya S, Kathiresan M, Soong R, Salto-Tellez M: Computer-assisted pathological immunohistochemistry scoring is more time-effective than conventional scoring, but provides no analytical advantage. *Histopathology* 2010, 56:523-529.
- 221. Conway C, Dobson L, O'Grady A, Kay E, Costello S, O'Shea D: Virtual microscopy as an enabler of automated/quantitative assessment of protein expression in TMAs. *Histochemistry and cell biology* 2008, **130**:447-463.
- 222. Rojo MG, Bueno G, Slodkowska J: **Review of imaging solutions for integrated quantitative immunohistochemistry in the Pathology daily practice.** Folia histochemica et cytobiologica / Polish Academy of Sciences, Polish Histochemical and Cytochemical Society 2009, **47:**349-354.
- 223. Webster JD, Dunstan RW: Whole-Slide Imaging and Automated Image Analysis: Considerations and Opportunities in the Practice of Pathology. *Veterinary pathology* 2013.
- 224. Ohlschlegel C, Kradolfer D, Hell M, Jochum W: Comparison of automated and manual FISH for evaluation of HER2 gene status on breast carcinoma core biopsies. *BMC clinical pathology* 2013, **13**:13.
- 225. Kayser G, Kayser K: Quantitative pathology in virtual microscopy: history, applications, perspectives. *Acta histochemica* 2013, **115**:527-532.
- 226. Riber-Hansen R, Vainer BEN, Steiniche T: Digital image analysis: a review of reproducibility, stability and basic requirements for optimal results. *APMIS* : acta pathologica, microbiologica, et immunologica Scandinavica 2012, 120:276-289.
- 227. Korzynska A, Roszkowiak L, Lopez C, Bosch R, Witkowski L, Lejeune M: Validation of various adaptive threshold methods of segmentation applied to follicular lymphoma digital images stained with 3,3'-Diaminobenzidine&Haematoxylin. Diagnostic pathology 2013, 8:48.
- 228. Gutiérrez R, Romero E: A visual model approach to extract regions of interest in microscopical images of basal cell carcinoma. *Diagnostic pathology* 2013, 8:1-4.
- 229. Kayser K, Gortler J, Borkenfeld S, Kayser G: How to measure diagnosisassociated information in virtual slides. *Diagnostic pathology* 2011, 6 Suppl 1:S9.

- 230. Nakane K, Tsuchihashi Y, Matsuura N: A simple mathematical model utilizing topological invariants for automatic detection of tumor areas in digital tissue images. *Diagnostic pathology* 2013, 8:1-4.
- 231. Laurinavicius A, Laurinaviciene A, Dasevicius D, Elie N, Plancoulaine B, Bor C, Herlin P: **Digital image analysis in pathology: benefits and obligation.** *Anal Cell Pathol (Amst)* 2012, **35:**75-78.
- 232. Elie N, Labiche A, Michels J-J, Herlin P: Control of low-resolution scanning of ovarian tumor stromal compartment. *Image Analysis and stereology* 2005, 24:85-93.
- 233. Signolle N, Plancoulaine B, Herlin P, Revenu M: Texture-Based Multiscale Segmentation: Application to Stromal Compartment Characterization on Ovarian Carcinoma Virtual Slides. In Image and Signal Processing. Volume 5099. Edited by Elmoataz A, Lezoray O, Nouboud F, Mammass D: Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 2008: 173-182: Lecture Notes in Computer Science].
- 234. Cooper LT, Baughman KL, Feldman AM, Frustaci A, Jessup M, Kuhl U, Levine GN, Narula J, Starling RC, Towbin J, Virmani R: The role of endomyocardial biopsy in the management of cardiovascular disease: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association, the American College of Cardiology, and the European Society of Cardiology. Endorsed by the Heart Failure Society of America and the Heart Failure Association of the European Society of Cardiol 2007, 50:1914-1931.
- 235. Allard A, Albinsson B, Wadell G: Rapid Typing of Human Adenoviruses by a General PCR Combined with Restriction Endonuclease Analysis. J Clin Microbiol 2001, 39:498-505.
- 236. McIver CJ, Jacques CFH, Chow SSW, Munro SC, Scott GM, Roberts JA, Craig ME, Rawlinson WD: Development of Multiplex PCRs for Detection of Common Viral Pathogens and Agents of Congenital Infections. J Clin Microbiol 2005, 43:5102-5110.
- 237. McIver CJ, Jacques CF, Chow SS, Munro SC, Scott GM, Roberts JA, Craig ME, Rawlinson WD: **Development of multiplex PCRs for detection of common viral pathogens and agents of congenital infections.** *Journal of clinical microbiology* 2005, **43**:5102-5110.
- 238. Holland JH: Adaptation in Natural and Artificial Systems: An Introductory Analysis with Applications to Biology, Control and Artificial Intelligence. MIT Press; 1992.
- Ruifrok AC, Johnston DA: Quantification of histochemical staining by color deconvolution. Analytical and quantitative cytology and histology / the International Academy of Cytology [and] American Society of Cytology 2001, 23:291-299.
- 240. Baddeley A: *Stereology for statisticians / Adrian J. Baddeley and Eva B. Vedel Jensen.* Boca Raton, Fla. ; London: Chapman & Hall/CRC; 2005.
- 241. Weibel ER: Stereological Methods, Volume 1, Practical Methods for Biological Morphometry. 1979, Academic Press, London.

- 242. Gundersen HJ, Jensen EB: The efficiency of systematic sampling in stereology and its prediction. *Journal of microscopy* 1987, **147**:229-263.
- 243. Bland JM, Altman DG: **Measuring agreement in method comparison studies.** *Statistical methods in medical research* 1999, **8**:135-160.
- 244. Krouwer JS: Why Bland-Altman plots should use X, not (Y+X)/2 when X is a reference method. *Statistics in medicine* 2008, **27:**778-780.
- 245. Dolgin M: Criteria Committee of the New York Heart Association. Nomenclature and Criteria for Diagnosis of Diseases of the Heart and Great Vessels. Boston: Little, Brown & Co; 1994.
- 246. Badesch DB, Champion HC, Sanchez MA, Hoeper MM, Loyd JE, Manes A, McGoon M, Naeije R, Olschewski H, Oudiz RJ, Torbicki A: **Diagnosis and assessment of pulmonary arterial hypertension.** J Am Coll Cardiol 2009, **54:**S55-66.
- 247. Simonneau G, Robbins IM, Beghetti M, Channick RN, Delcroix M, Denton CP, Elliott CG, Gaine SP, Gladwin MT, Jing ZC, et al: **Updated clinical classification** of pulmonary hypertension. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2009, **54**:S43-54.
- 248. D'Alonzo GE, Barst RJ, Ayres SM, Bergofsky EH, Brundage BH, Detre KM, Fishman AP, Goldring RM, Groves BM, Kernis JT, et al.: **Survival in patients with primary pulmonary hypertension. Results from a national prospective registry.** *Annals of internal medicine* 1991, **115**:343-349.
- 249. Dickstein K, Cohen-Solal A, Filippatos G, McMurray JJ, Ponikowski P, Poole-Wilson PA, Stromberg A, van Veldhuisen DJ, Atar D, Hoes AW, et al: ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure 2008: the Task Force for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Acute and Chronic Heart Failure 2008 of the European Society of Cardiology. Developed in collaboration with the Heart Failure Association of the ESC (HFA) and endorsed by the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine (ESICM). Eur Heart J 2008, 29:2388-2442.
- 250. McMurray JJ, Adamopoulos S, Anker SD, Auricchio A, Bohm M, Dickstein K, Falk V, Filippatos G, Fonseca C, Gomez-Sanchez MA, et al: ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure 2012: The Task Force for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Acute and Chronic Heart Failure 2012 of the European Society of Cardiology. Developed in collaboration with the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. *Eur Heart J* 2012, **33**:1787-1847.
- 251. Dickstein K, Vardas PE, Auricchio A, Daubert JC, Linde C, McMurray J, Ponikowski P, Priori SG, Sutton R, van Veldhuisen DJ: **2010 Focused Update of ESC Guidelines on device therapy in heart failure: an update of the 2008 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure and the 2007 ESC guidelines for cardiac and resynchronization therapy. Developed with the special contribution of the Heart Failure Association and the European Heart Rhythm Association.** *Eur Heart J* 2010, **31:**2677-2687.
- 252. Janicki JS, Brower GL: The role of myocardial fibrillar collagen in ventricular remodeling and function. *Journal of cardiac failure* 2002, 8:S319-325.

- 253. Whelan RS, Kaplinskiy V, Kitsis RN: Cell death in the pathogenesis of heart disease: mechanisms and significance. Annual review of physiology 2010, 72:19-44.
- 254. Bashey RI, Martinez-Hernandez A, Jimenez SA: Isolation, characterization, and localization of cardiac collagen type VI. Associations with other extracellular matrix components. *Circulation research* 1992, **70**:1006-1017.
- 255. Kociol RD, Pang PS, Gheorghiade M, Fonarow GC, O'Connor CM, Felker GM: Troponin elevation in heart failure prevalence, mechanisms, and clinical implications. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010, 56:1071-1078.
- 256. Bujak M, Frangogiannis NG: The role of TGF-beta signaling in myocardial infarction and cardiac remodeling. *Cardiovasc Res* 2007, **74:**184-195.
- 257. Sun Y, Zhang JQ, Zhang J, Lamparter S: Cardiac remodeling by fibrous tissue after infarction in rats. *The Journal of laboratory and clinical medicine* 2000, 135:316-323.
- 258. Gorelik L, Flavell RA: Transforming growth factor-beta in T-cell biology. *Nature reviews Immunology* 2002, **2:**46-53.
- 259. Aukrust P, Ueland T, Lien E, Bendtzen K, Muller F, Andreassen AK, Nordoy I, Aass H, Espevik T, Simonsen S, et al: Cytokine network in congestive heart failure secondary to ischemic or idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy. *The American journal of cardiology* 1999, **83**:376-382.
- 260. Pan X, Chen Z, Huang R, Yao Y, Ma G: Transforming growth factor beta1 induces the expression of collagen type I by DNA methylation in cardiac fibroblasts. *PloS one* 2013, 8:e60335.
- 261. Heldin CH, Miyazono K, ten Dijke P: **TGF-beta signalling from cell membrane to nucleus through SMAD proteins.** *Nature* 1997, **390:**465-471.
- 262. Kapur NK, Wilson S, Yunis AA, Qiao X, Mackey E, Paruchuri V, Baker C, Aronovitz MJ, Karumanchi SA, Letarte M, et al: Reduced endoglin activity limits cardiac fibrosis and improves survival in heart failure. *Circulation* 2012, 125:2728-2738.
- 263. Kwak HB, Kim JH, Joshi K, Yeh A, Martinez DA, Lawler JM: Exercise training reduces fibrosis and matrix metalloproteinase dysregulation in the aging rat heart. The FASEB journal : official publication of the Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology 2011, 25:1106-1117.
- 264. Voloshenyuk TG, Landesman ES, Khoutorova E, Hart AD, Gardner JD: Induction of cardiac fibroblast lysyl oxidase by TGF-beta1 requires PI3K/Akt, Smad3, and MAPK signaling. *Cytokine* 2011, **55**:90-97.
- 265. Kobayashi T, Kim H, Liu X, Sugiura H, Kohyama T, Fang Q, Wen FQ, Abe S, Wang X, Atkinson JJ, et al: Matrix metalloproteinase-9 activates TGF-beta and stimulates fibroblast contraction of collagen gels. *American journal of physiology Lung cellular and molecular physiology* 2014, **306**:L1006-1015.
- 266. Moshal KS, Tipparaju SM, Vacek TP, Kumar M, Singh M, Frank IE, Patibandla PK, Tyagi N, Rai J, Metreveli N, et al: Mitochondrial matrix metalloproteinase activation decreases myocyte contractility in hyperhomocysteinemia.

American journal of physiology Heart and circulatory physiology 2008, **295:**H890-897.

- 267. de Boer RA, Voors AA, Muntendam P, van Gilst WH, van Veldhuisen DJ: Galectin-3: a novel mediator of heart failure development and progression. *European journal of heart failure* 2009, **11**:811-817.
- 268. Gioia M, Fasciglione GF, Monaco S, Iundusi R, Sbardella D, Marini S, Tarantino U, Coletta M: pH dependence of the enzymatic processing of collagen I by MMP-1 (fibroblast collagenase), MMP-2 (gelatinase A), and MMP-14 ectodomain. Journal of biological inorganic chemistry : JBIC : a publication of the Society of Biological Inorganic Chemistry 2010, 15:1219-1232.
- 269. Chen WJ, Rijzewijk LJ, van der Meer RW, Heymans MW, van Duinkerken E, Lubberink M, Lammertsma AA, Lamb HJ, de Roos A, Romijn JA, et al: Association of plasma osteoprotegerin and adiponectin with arterial function, cardiac function and metabolism in asymptomatic type 2 diabetic men. Cardiovascular diabetology 2011, 10:67.
- 270. Lyu T, Zhao Y, Zhang T, Zhou W, Yang F, Ge H, Ding S, Pu J, He B: Natriuretic peptides as an adjunctive treatment for acute myocardial infarction: insights from the meta-analysis of 1,389 patients from 20 trials. International heart journal 2014, 55:8-16.
- 271. Lindberg S, Jensen JS, Bjerre M, Pedersen SH, Frystyk J, Flyvbjerg A, Mogelvang R: Cardio-adipose tissue cross-talk: relationship between adiponectin, plasma pro brain natriuretic peptide and incident heart failure. European journal of heart failure 2014, 16:633-638.
- 272. Bobbert P, Scheibenbogen C, Jenke A, Kania G, Wilk S, Krohn S, Stehr J, Kuehl U, Rauch U, Eriksson U, et al: Adiponectin expression in patients with inflammatory cardiomyopathy indicates favourable outcome and inflammation control. *Eur Heart J* 2011, **32**:1134-1147.
- 273. Maron BJ, Pelliccia A: The heart of trained athletes: cardiac remodeling and the risks of sports, including sudden death. *Circulation* 2006, **114**:1633-1644.
- 274. Grogan M, Redfield MM, Bailey KR, Reeder GS, Gersh BJ, Edwards WD, Rodeheffer RJ: Long-term outcome of patients with biopsy-proved myocarditis: comparison with idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy. J Am Coll Cardiol 1995, 26:80-84.
- 275. Schonberger J, Seidman CE: Many roads lead to a broken heart: the genetics of dilated cardiomyopathy. *American journal of human genetics* 2001, 69:249-260.
- 276. Carty CL, Heagerty P, Heckbert SR, Enquobahrie DA, Jarvik GP, Davis S, Tracy RP, Reiner AP: Association of genetic variation in serum amyloid-A with cardiovascular disease and interactions with IL6, IL1RN, IL1beta and TNF genes in the Cardiovascular Health Study. *Journal of atherosclerosis and thrombosis* 2009, **16**:419-430.
- 277. Yamagishi S, Inagaki Y, Nakamura K, Abe R, Shimizu T, Yoshimura A, Imaizumi T: Pigment epithelium-derived factor inhibits TNF-alpha-induced interleukin 6 expression in endothelial cells by suppressing NADPH oxidase-mediated

reactive oxygen species generation. *Journal of molecular and cellular cardiology* 2004, **37:**497-506.

- 278. Febbraio MA, Rose-John S, Pedersen BK: Is interleukin-6 receptor blockade the Holy Grail for inflammatory diseases? *Clinical pharmacology and therapeutics* 2010, 87:396-398.
- Wallenius V, Wallenius K, Ahren B, Rudling M, Carlsten H, Dickson SL, Ohlsson C, Jansson JO: Interleukin-6-deficient mice develop mature-onset obesity. Nature medicine 2002, 8:75-79.
- 280. Dovio A, Angeli A: **Cytokines and type 2 diabetes mellitus.** *JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association* 2001, **286**:2233.
- 281. Cookson BT, Brennan MA: **Pro-inflammatory programmed cell death.** *Trends in microbiology* 2001, **9:**113-114.
- 282. Ji C, Chen X, Gao C, Jiao L, Wang J, Xu G, Fu H, Guo X, Zhao Y: **IL-6 induces lipolysis and mitochondrial dysfunction, but does not affect insulin-mediated glucose transport in 3T3-L1 adipocytes.** *Journal of bioenergetics and biomembranes* 2011, **43:**367-375.
- 283. White J, Dawson B, Landers G, Croft K, Peeling P: Effect of supplemental oxygen on post-exercise inflammatory response and oxidative stress. *European journal of applied physiology* 2013, **113**:1059-1067.
- 284. Kaneko K, Kanda T, Yamauchi Y, Hasegawa A, Iwasaki T, Arai M, Suzuki T, Kobayashi I, Nagai R: C-Reactive protein in dilated cardiomyopathy. *Cardiology* 1999, 91:215-219.
- 285. Yang J, Wang J, Zhu S, Chen X, Wu H, Yang D, Zhang J: C-reactive protein augments hypoxia-induced apoptosis through mitochondrion-dependent pathway in cardiac myocytes. *Molecular and cellular biochemistry* 2008, 310:215-226.
- 286. Yin WH, Chen JW, Jen HL, Chiang MC, Huang WP, Feng AN, Young MS, Lin SJ: Independent prognostic value of elevated high-sensitivity C-reactive protein in chronic heart failure. *American heart journal* 2004, **147**:931-938.
- 287. Chou JJ, Li H, Salvesen GS, Yuan J, Wagner G: **Solution structure of BID, an intracellular amplifier of apoptotic signaling.** *Cell* 1999, **96:**615-624.
- 288. Roucou X, Montessuit S, Antonsson B, Martinou JC: Bax oligomerization in mitochondrial membranes requires tBid (caspase-8-cleaved Bid) and a mitochondrial protein. *The Biochemical journal* 2002, **368**:915-921.
- 289. Wollert KC, Heineke J, Westermann J, Ludde M, Fiedler B, Zierhut W, Laurent D, Bauer MK, Schulze-Osthoff K, Drexler H: The cardiac Fas (APO-1/CD95) Receptor/Fas ligand system : relation to diastolic wall stress in volume-overload hypertrophy in vivo and activation of the transcription factor AP-1 in cardiac myocytes. Circulation 2000, 101:1172-1178.
- 290. Fares RC, Gomes Jde A, Garzoni LR, Waghabi MC, Saraiva RM, Medeiros NI, Oliveira-Prado R, Sangenis LH, Chambela Mda C, de Araujo FF, et al: Matrix metalloproteinases 2 and 9 are differentially expressed in patients with indeterminate and cardiac clinical forms of Chagas disease. *Infection and immunity* 2013, 81:3600-3608.

- 291. Freude B, Masters TN, Robicsek F, Fokin A, Kostin S, Zimmermann R, Ullmann C, Lorenz-Meyer S, Schaper J: Apoptosis is initiated by myocardial ischemia and executed during reperfusion. *Journal of molecular and cellular cardiology* 2000, **32:**197-208.
- 292. Kaiser WJ, Upton JW, Long AB, Livingston-Rosanoff D, Daley-Bauer LP, Hakem R, Caspary T, Mocarski ES: **RIP3 mediates the embryonic lethality of caspase-**8-deficient mice. *Nature* 2011, 471:368-372.

9. LIST OF PUBLICATIONS ON THE TOPIC OF THE DISSERTATION

9.1.Publications

- Daunoravičius D, Grabauskienė V, Bogomolovas J, Jablonskienė V, Vitkus D. Galectin-3: a novel marker of fibrosis and heart failure. *Laboratorinė medicina*. 2011; 4 (52): 205–211.
- Daunoravičius D, Grabauskienė V, Jasulaitis A. Inflammatory dilated cardiomyopathy: new perspective in diagnostics and treatment. *Medicinos teorija ir praktika* 2012; 3: 39–44.
- Daunoravičius D, Jasulaitis A, Grabauskienė V. Inflammatory dilated cardiomyopathy: the use of new diagnostic tools for diagnosis of disease sub-entities and for aetiology directed treatment. *Proceedings of International Conference Biomedical Engineering* 2012, p. 87–90.
- Daunoravičius D, Laurinavičius A, Žurauskas E, Laurinavičienė A, Grabauskienė V. Quantitative evaluation of cardiac fibrosis by digital image analysis versus pathologist visual scoring. *Proceedings of International Conference Biomedical Engineering* 2012, p. 91–95.
- Daunoravičius D, Jasulaitis A, Jablonskienė V, Grabauskienė V. Matrix metalloprotrinases in the pathogenesis of viral heart disease. *Laboratorinė medicina* 2012; 4 (56): 224–228.
- Daunoravičius D, Besusparis J, Žurauskas E, Laurinavičienė A, Bironaitė D, Pankuweit S, Plancoulaine B, Herlin P, Bogomolovas J, Grabauskienė V, Laurinavičius A. Quantification of myocardial fibrosis by digital image analysis and interactive stereology. *Diagnostic Pathology* 2014; 9: 114.
- Bogomolovas J, Brohm K, Čelutkienė J, Balčiūnaitė G, Bironaitė D, Bukelskienė V, Daunoravičus D, Witt C, Fielitz J, Grabauskienė V, Labeit S. Induction of Ankrd1 in dilated cardiomyopathy correlates with the heart failure progression. *BioMed Research International* 2014.

9.2. Oral presentations

- Daunoravičius D, Grabauskienė V. The diagnostics and ethiopathogenetic treatment possibilities for inflammatory cardiomyopathy – first experience in Lithuania. 5th National Scientific Conference "Science for the human health", Kaunas, Lithuania. 2011 April 7, 2011.
- Daunoravicius D, Jakubauskas A, Griskevicius L, Cibiras S, Zurauskas E, Maneikiene V, Celutkiene J, Rucinskas K, Jasulaitis A, Grabauskiene V. Inflammatory cardiomyopathy: aetiopathogenetic markers for diagnosis of disease subentities and for aetiology directed treatment. Heart failure 2012 Congress, Berlgrade, Serbia. May 19–22, 2012.
- Daunoravičius D, Grabauskienė V. Dilated cardiomyopathy: evolution of aetiopathogenetic diagnosis and new possibilities of treatment. International Conference "Evolutionary Medicine: New Solutions for the Old Problems", Vilnius, Lithuania. June 12–15, 2012.
- Daunoravicius D, Besusparis J, Zurauskas E, Laurinaviciene A, Bironaite D, Grabauskiene V, Laurinavicius A. Quantification of myocardial fibrosis by digital image analysis methods and pathologist visual scoring versus digital stereology. Heart failure 2014 Congress, Athens, Greece. May 17–20, 2014.
- Bironaite D, Daunoravicius D, Bogomolovas J, Jakubauskas A, Vitkus D, Zurauskas E, Zasytyte I, Rucinskas K, Brunk U, Venalis A, Grabauskiene V. The role of collagen in virus-positive dilated cardiomyopathy. World Congress of Cardiology Scientific Sessions 2014, Melbourne, Australia. May 4–7, 2014. Supplement to Global Heart, Vol 9, Issue 1C, 2014, PM051, e71. doi:10.1016/j.gheart.2014.03.1461
- Bironaite D, Daunoravicius D, Bogomolovas J, Jakubauskas A, Vitkus D, Zurauskas E, Zasytyte I, Rucinskas K, Brunk U, Venalis A, Grybauskiene V. Apoptotic markers in diagnosinginflammatory dilated cardiomyopathy. World Congress of Cardiology Scientific Sessions 2014, Melbourne, Australia. May 4–7, 2014. Supplement to Global Heart, Vol 9, Issue 1C, 2014, PM050, e71 doi:10.1016/j.gheart.2014.03.1460
- 7. Bironaite D, Daunoravicius D, Bogomolovas J, Cibiras S, Vitkus D, Zurauskas E, Zasytyte I, Labeit S, Venalis A, Grabauskiene V. Mechanism

of fibrosis in inflammatory dilated cardiomyopathy. The 18th Annual Scientific Meeting of the HFSA, Las Vegas, Nevada. September 14–17, 2014. Journal of Cardiac Failure August 2014: Volume 20, Issue 8, Supplement, S81. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cardfail.2014.06.229.

10. ANNEX



VILNIAUS UNIVERSITETO MEDICINOS FAKULTETAS

Kodas211950810, M.K.Čiurlionio 21/27, 03101, Vilnius Tel.(85)2398701, 2398700, faks.2398705, El.p. mf@mf.vu.lt

VILNIAUS REGIONINIS BIOMEDICININIŲ TYRIMŲ ETIKOS KOMITETAS M.K.Čiurlionio 21/27, LT-03101, Vilnius Tel. (85) 2686998, el.p.: rbtek@mf.vu.lt

LEIDIMAS ATLIKTI BIOMEDICININĮ TYRIMĄ

2011-09-06 Nr.158200-09-382-103

Tyrimo pavadinimas:

Imunohistologinių lėtinio intramiokardinio uždegimo žymenų analizė ir reikšmė diferencijuojant dilatacinės kardiomiopatijos priežastis ir parenkant gydymo taktiką

Protokolo Nr.:	2011/07			
Versija:	1			
Data:	2011.07.06			
Asmens informavimo forma ir Informuoto asmens sutikimo forma (lietuvių kalba):				
Versija:	2			
Data:	2011-09-05			
Asmens informav	imo forma ir Informuoto asmens sutikimo forma kontrolinei grupei (lietuvių kalba):			
Versija:				

Data: 2011-09-05

Pagrindiniai tyrėjai: V.Grabauskienė (D.Daunoravičius)

Biomedicininio tyrimo vieta: [staigos pavadinimas: Vilniaus universitetinė ligoninė "Santariškių klinikos" [staigos adresas: Santariškių g. 2, LT-08661 Vilnius

Leidimas išduotas Vilniaus regioninio biomedicininių tyrimų etikos komiteto posėdžio (protokolas Nr. 158200-2011/09), vykusio 2011 m. rugsėjo 06 d., sprendimu.

Vilniaus regioninio biomedicininių		tyrimų etikos komiteto ekspertų grupės	nariai
Nr.	Vardas, pavardė	veiklos sritis	dalyvavo posėdyje
1	doc. Dr.Laimutė Jakavonytė	filosofija	taip
2	doc. Dr. Kęstutis Žagminas	epidemiologija	taip
3	dr. Indrė Isokaitė	teisė	ne
4	dr. Marija Veniūtė	visuomenės sveikata	ne
5	doc.dr. Jolanta Gulbinovič	medicina	ne
6	prof.dr. Vytautė Pečiulienė	medicina, odontologija	taip
7	Laura Malinauskienė	medicina	taip
8	dr. Gražina Pastavkaitė	klinikinė psichologija	ne
9	Ugnė Šakūnienė	pacientų teisės	taip
Pirmininkė * biomediciminių t etikos konste TOCINOS FAV		ninis 6 tyrinu * etas gogeeeleer	/ytautė Pečiulienė

11. FUNDING

This work was supported by a grant (No. MIP-086/2012) from the Research Council of Lithuania.

12. DISCLOSURE

There is no conflict of interest.

13. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like express my sincere thankfulness to my scientific supervisor prof. V. Grabauskienė and everyone involved in this study: Justinas Besusparis, dr. Julius Bogomolovas, dr. Jelena Čelutkiene, dr. Sigitas Čibiras, Prof. dr. Paulette Herlin, dr. Arturas Jakubauskas, Assoc. Prof. dr. Algimantas Jasulaitis, Kęstutis Mikalajūnas, Assoc. Prof. dr. Aida Laurinavičienė, Prof. (HP) dr. Arvydas Laurinavičius, Prof. dr. Sabine Pankuweit, dr. Benoit Plancoulaine, dr. Kęstutis Ručinskas, Beatričė Valatkaitė, Assoc. Prof. dr. Dalius Vitkus, Ieva Zasytytė, Assoc. Prof. dr. Edvardas Žurauskas.

With great respect and gratitude to dr. Daiva Bironaitė – thank you for your deep scientific insights, shared experience and continuous help.