



STUDENTŲ MOKSLINĖS VEIKLOS TINKLO LXXVI KONFERENCIJA

2024
VILNIUS



Vilniaus universitetas
Medicinos fakultetas



STUDENTŲ MOKSLINĖS VEIKLOS TINKLO LXXVI KONFERENCIJA



Vilnius, 2024 m. gegužės 13–17 d.

PRANEŠIMŲ TEZĖS

Leidinį sudarė

VU MF Mokslo ir inovacijų skyriaus

inovacijų specialistas Kristijonas PUTEIKIS ir

administratorė Rima DAUNORAVIČIENĖ



VILNIAUS
UNIVERSITETO
LEIDYKLA

2024

Mokslo komitetas:

doc. dr. Valdemaras Jotautas
dr. Diana Bužinskienė
prof. dr. Violeta Kvedarienė
prof. dr. (HP) Saulius Vosylius
prof. habil. dr. (HP) Gintautas Brimas
Indrė Sakalauskaitė
Laura Lukavičiūtė
dr. Agnė Abraitienė
doc. dr. Jūratė Pečeliūnienė
prof. dr. Vaiva Hendrixson
doc. dr. Ieva Stundienė
prof. dr. Eglė Preikšaitienė
doc. dr. Birutė Zablockienė
prof. dr. Pranas Šerpytis
Artūras Mackevičius

dr. Žymantas Jagelavičius
doc. dr. Agnė Kirkliauskienė
prof. dr. Marius Miglinas
Žilvinas Chomanskis
doc. dr. Kristina Ryliškienė
prof. dr. Vilma Brukienė
doc. dr. Saulius Galgauskas
Andrius Žučenka
doc. dr. Birutė Brasiūnienė
doc. dr. Jaunius Kurtinaitis
prof. dr. Eugenijus Lesinskas
doc. dr. Goda Vaitkevičienė
prof. dr. Alvydas Navickas
doc. dr. Rima Viliūnienė
prof. dr. (HP) Edvardas Danila

prof. dr. Nomedą Rima Valevičienė
Teresė Palšytė
doc. dr. Vytautas Tutkus
doc. dr. Danutė Povilėnaitė
dr. Viktorija Andrejevaitė
prof. dr. Robertas Stasys Samalavičius
dr. Agnė Jakavonytė-Akstinienė
doc. dr. Jurgita Stasiūnienė
dr. Arnas Bakavičius
prof. dr. Gilvydas Verkauskas
prof. dr. Sigitą Lesinskienė
doc. dr. Marija Jakubauskienė
prof. dr. (HP) Janina Tutkuvienė

Organizacinis komitetas:

Kristina Marcinkevičiūtė
Viktorija Rakovskaitė
Austėja Grudytė
Justina Semenkovaitė
Matas Žekonis
Rokas Žekonis
Milvydė Marija Tamutytė
Augustė Senulytė
Miglė Miglinaitė
Rokas Bartuška
Damian Luka Mialkowskyj
Karina Mickevičiūtė
Jovita Patricija Druta
Emilija Šauklytė

Austėja Račytė
Tadas Abartis
Mindaugas Smetaninas
Rafal Sinkevič
Gerda Šlažaitė
Kamilė Čeponytė
Einis Novičenko
Benas Matuzevičius
Gabriela Šimkonytė
Ieva Ruzgytė
Milda Mikalonytė
gyd. rez. Valentinas Kūgis
gyd. rez. Gabrielė Bielinytė
Vėjas Vytautas Jokubynas

Deivilė Kvaraciejūtė
Julija Pargaliauskaitė
Paulius Montvila
Rūta Bleifertaitė
Alicija Šavareikaitė
Julija Kondrotaitė
Gediminas Gumbis
Joana Leščevskaja
Gabrielė Bajoraitė
Augustinas Stasiūnas
Odeta Aliukonytė
Robertas Basijokas
Elvin Francišek Bogdzevič

ISSN 2783-7831 (skaitmeninis PDF)

© Tezių autoriai, 2024

© Vilniaus universitetas, 2024

VASCULARISATION OF COMPOSITE BONE SCAFFOLDS – IN RODENTS WITH “MICROFIL” PERFUSION. SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

Author. Melanie TESING, V year.

Advisor. J. Assist. Milda VITOSYTĖ, VU MF Institute of Dentistry.

Supervisor. Ieva GENDVILIENĖ, Assoc. Prof. Dr., VU MF OI.

Background and aim. Extensive research in oral and maxillofacial surgery aims to find an ideal alternative to autogenous bone for critical-size bony reconstructions. However, no such material has been found. Despite ongoing challenges, three-dimensional (3D) composite scaffolds show promise in improving vascularisation and bone regeneration by closely mimicking natural bone. A new investigation technique combining “Microfil” perfusion and micro-computed tomography (CT) allows efficient analysis of neovascularisation, bone regeneration, scaffold integration, and quantitative comparisons between studies.

This systematic review aims to investigate the effect of 3D composite scaffolds on new bone formation and vascularisation in critical-sized calvarial defects in rodents using “Microfil” perfusion and micro-CT.

Materials and methods. A comprehensive electronic search was conducted according to the PRISMA guidelines in PubMed and Medline from January 2013 to October 2023 limited to English language publications with available full texts. *In vivo* studies about “vascularisation bone scaffolds” using scaffolds made from a combination of inorganic and organic synthetic materials and analysing the neovascularisation and bone regeneration with “Microfil” and micro-CT techniques were investigated.

Results. The full text of 48 studies was assessed for eligibility, with 8 studies meeting the inclusion criteria. Findings indicate that scaffolds modified with angiogenic and/or osteogenic factors excelled pure scaffolds in vascularisation and bone regeneration ($p < 0.01$ for new bone area (NBA), $p < 0.05$ for vascularised area (VA) and bone volume fraction (BV/TV), $p = 0.051$ for vessel number (VN)). Combining two modifying factors generated even better results ($p < 0.01$ for VN and NBA, $p < 0.05$ for BV/TV, $p = 0.071$ for VA). Moreover, scaffolds with larger pore sizes ($> 400 \mu\text{m}$) indicated a trend towards improved outcomes ($p < 0.05$ for BV/TV, $p = 0.053$ for VA). Additionally, composite scaffolds showed significantly higher NBA ($p < 0.05$) than non-composite scaffolds. Nevertheless, three included studies showed a high risk of bias in at least one category, and all included studies presented missing information in half of the assessed items.

Conclusions. Enhancing vascularisation and bone regeneration in critical-sized calvarial defects using 3D composite scaffolds may benefit from modifications with angiogenic and/or osteogenic factors, especially when delivered together and with larger pore sizes. A properly designed scaffold structure could potentially erase the need for adding angiogenic and/or osteogenic factors. Future studies with larger sample sizes and similar study designs should investigate the optimal morphology as well as osseo- and angioinductive properties of these scaffolds.

Keywords. Bone tissue engineering; composite scaffolds; Micro-CT; Microfil; vascularisation.