



Case Report

# Postpartum Endometritis and Sepsis Associated with Gardnerella vaginalis and Anaerococcus tetradius: Case Report and Literature Review

Justina Martikaitytė <sup>1</sup>, Agnė Bartulevičienė <sup>1,2</sup>,\*, Virginija Paliulytė <sup>1,2</sup>, Darius Dasevičius <sup>3</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Faculty of Medicine, Vilnius University, 03101 Vilnius, Lithuania; justina.martikaityte@mf.stud.vu.lt (J.M.); virginija.paliulyte@mf.vu.lt (V.P.); diana.ramasauskaite@mf.vu.lt (D.R.)
- <sup>2</sup> Vilnius University Hospital Santaros Clinics, 08406 Vilnius, Lithuania
- <sup>3</sup> National Center of Pathology, 08406 Vilnius, Lithuania; darius.dasevicius@santa.lt
- \* Correspondence: agne.matuolyte@santa.lt

### **Abstract**

Background and Clinical Significance: Anaerococcus tetradius (A. tetradius) and Gardnerella vaginalis (G. vaginalis) are rare etiological factors for postpartum endometritis and are typically associated with bacterial vaginosis. However, in some cases, G. vaginalis and A. tetradius can cause serious postpartum endometritis with complications such as sepsis. Case Presentation: 26-year-old pregnant woman expecting monochorionic diamniotic twins presented to the hospital at 35 weeks and 3 days of gestation and two male infants were delivered via the Cesarean section. On the fifth day after delivery, the patient began to complain of intense abdominal pain, a fever of 37.9 °C, and overall weakness. Blood tests revealed neutrophilic leukocytosis, increased C-reactive protein (CRP) of 225.4 mg/L. Upon examination, abdominal distension, tenderness on palpation, and positive symptoms of peritoneal irritation were present and the site of the abdominal incision was inflamed with flowing foul-smelling greenish pus. Ultrasound examination revealed free fluid collection in the peritoneal cavity, under the liver, and around the uterus. Later, the condition of the patient worsened with progressing hypotension and respiratory distress. As a result, suppurative peritonitis and sepsis was suspected and the patient underwent urgent total hysterectomy without oophorectomy. Acute endometritis, focal myometritis, and chronic cervicitis were concluded from histopathological examination of the removed uterus. Microbiological tests showed the most abundant growth of A. tetradius in the wound cultures and great abundance of G. vaginalis in the abdominal cavity cultures. After trying three different treatment schemes and difficulties with determining the antibiotic sensitivity tests for pathogens, the antibacterial therapy was escalated to Meropenem, which was found to be effective, and the patient was discharged home. Conclusions: This case report highlights the severity of complications of postpartum endometritis that can be caused by rare pathogens (such as G. vaginalis and A. tetradius), and strategies for how to manage it. The clinical presentation of a patient should be monitored closely for several days after Cesarean section and if endometritis is suspected, microbiological cultures are necessary to determine the cause of infection and implement an appropriate treatment.

**Keywords:** postpartum endometritis; abdominal wound infection; sepsis; *Gardnerella vaginalis*; *Anaerococcus tetradius* 



Academic Editor: Ichiro Onoyama

Received: 26 June 2025 Revised: 24 July 2025 Accepted: 8 August 2025 Published: 10 August 2025

Citation: Martikaitytė, J.;
Bartulevičienė, A.; Paliulytė, V.;
Dasevičius, D.; Ramašauskaitė, D.
Postpartum Endometritis and Sepsis
Associated with *Gardnerella vaginalis*and *Anaerococcus tetradius*: Case
Report and Literature Review. *Reports*2025, 8, 143. https://doi.org/10.3390/reports8030143

Copyright: © 2025 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Reports 2025, 8, 143 2 of 8

# 1. Introduction

Postpartum endometritis is an acute condition when the endometrium of the uterus becomes inflamed after birth. The infection is typically caused by ascending cervical and vaginal pathogens [1]. The disease is more prevalent after Cesarean section and is mainly caused by Streptococcus pyogenes and Staphylococcus aureus. The other most common pathogens mentioned in the scientific literature from 1985 to 2023 that cause chronic endometritis are Chlamydia and Ureaplasma (more than 10%), followed by Streptococcus, Mycoplasma, and Enterococcus (approximately 10%) [2]. Gardnerella vaginalis (G. vaginalis) was mentioned in only around 4% of the literature as a potential cause of endometritis, and Anaerococcus tetradius (A. tetradius) was not mentioned at all [2]. Gardnerella vaginalis is a Gram-negative or Gram-variable facultative anaerobic rod-shaped bacterium, first identified in 1955 by H. L. Gardner. It constitutes approximately 1% of the normal vaginal flora [3]. When this starts to dominate rather than the *Lactobacillus* species, the vagina undergoes an infection called bacterial vaginosis [3,4]. The Gardnerella genus comprises over ten species, of which only six have been validly described [5]. Differentiation among these species relies on either 16S ribosomal RNA gene analysis, which is not entirely reliable due to gene similarities in different species, or the amplification of the cpn60 gene using PCR [5]. Anaerococcus tetradius is a Gram-positive, anaerobic, non-motile coccus that produces butyrate and has saccharolytic properties. This pathogen has also been linked to bacterial vaginosis [6–8]. The genus was first described in 2001, and fifteen species have been reported to date [8,9]. Even though they can also be found in different infectious discharges such as foot ulcers, upper respiratory cavities, genital tract abscesses, or on the skin, the majority of the species are isolated from the human vagina [8]. Matrix-assisted laser-desorption (MALDI-TOF) MS protein analysis, along with a reference mass spectrum or the scheme of multiplex PCR, can help identify different species of Anaerococcus [8,10]. In rare cases, G. vaginalis and A. tetradius can cause serious postpartum endometritis with complications such as sepsis, and this clinical case report will discuss this.

# 2. Case Report

A 26-year-old woman expecting monochorionic diamniotic twins presented to our hospital at 35 weeks and 3 days of gestation with regular uterine contractions and cervical dilation of 6 cm. Delivery was planned via Cesarean section due to breech presentation of the first twin. Two male infants were born, weighing 2400 and 2850 g with Apgar scores 9 and 8 at 1 min, and 9 and 9 at 5 min, respectively. Two grams of intravenous cefazolin was injected before surgery as preoperative antibiotic prophylaxis. The placentas of the twins were sent for histopathological examination. Both newborns showed no signs of systemic infection after birth; however, the second twin was diagnosed with conjunctivitis. The patient did not have any complaints for the first four post-operative days, but on the fifth day after delivery, started complaining of acute onset abdominal pain, a fever of 37.9 °C, and general weakness. Her blood pressure (BP) was 109/65 mmHg with a pulse of 125 beats per minute (bpm) and SpO2 of 98%. Blood tests revealed neutrophilic leukocytosis, microcytic, hypochromic anemia, and increased C-reactive protein (CRP) of 225.4 mg/L. Upon examination, abdominal distension, tenderness on palpation, and positive symptoms of peritoneal irritation were present. The site of the abdominal incision was inflamed, painful, and red. About 100 mL of foul-smelling greenish pus began to flow after opening the abdominal incision, and similar vaginal discharge was also observed. Ultrasound examination revealed free fluid collection in the peritoneal cavity, under the liver, and around the uterus. Empirical antibiotic therapy of piperacillin-tazobactam (4.5 g i/v) and metronidazole (500 mg i/v) was started.

Reports 2025, 8, 143 3 of 8

The condition of the patient worsened with progressing hypotension (systolic blood pressure < 90 mmHg), tachypnea (>25 breaths per minute), and respiratory distress. As a result, suppurative peritonitis and sepsis was suspected and the patient underwent urgent relaparotomy. The same Cesarean section incision site was used to open the abdomen. A total of 1500 mL of greenish foul-smelling pus was observed in the abdominal cavity and subsequently drained. The uterus was gray, covered in fibrin, and uterine scar dehiscence was observed. Furthermore, the sides of the uterine scar were filled with necrotic masses, and fibrin depositions were observed on the intestines and in the pouch of Douglas. Due to the advanced suppuration and necrosis, the multidisciplinary team concluded that the uterine-sparing procedure was not feasible. Therefore, a total hysterectomy without oophorectomy was performed. The removed uterus was sent for histopathological examination, and microbiological culture from the wound and abdomen was taken.

After relaparotomy and hysterectomy, the patient was further treated in the intensive care unit. Due to severe anemia (Hb 59 g/L), 5 units of erythrocyte mass were transfused. On the first post-operative day CRP of 181.3 mg/L and procalcitonin of 2.72 µg/L were observed, thus empirical antibiotic therapy of Piperacillin-tazobactam (4.5 g i/v 4 times per day) and Clindamycin (900 mg i/v 3 times per day) was continued. On the second post-operative day, preliminary microbiological test results were received, showing growth of Anaerococcus tetradius, Gardnerella vaginalis, and Staphylococcus epidermidis in the wound cultures and growth of Gardnerella vaginalis and Fusobacterium gonidiaformans in the cultures taken from the abdominal cavity (microorganisms were identified using MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry). On the fourth day after the surgery, more detailed microbiological test results were received, showing the most abundant growth of Anaerococcus tetradius in the wound cultures and great abundance of Gardnerella vaginalis in the abdominal cavity cultures. Antibiotic sensitivity testing revealed that the cultivated type of Gardnerella vaginalis was sensitive to Clyndamicin and Pyperacillin-tazobactam, but resistant to Metronidazole, thus the previously started antibioticotherapy was not changed. Unfortunately, antibiotic sensitivity for both Anaerococcus tetradius and Fusobacterium gonidiaformans could not be determined, even though the tests were repeated and carried out for a period of ten days. On the fifth day, during the wound dressing change, suppurative wound edges were observed. Upon applying pressure, secretion of pus was present. Skin sutures were removed and the dehiscence throughout the length of the wound up to the level of aponeurosis was observed. The wound was thoroughly washed with hypertonic sodium chloride and iodine solution, then packed with setons immersed in hypertonic solution. Secondary wound healing was stated, and daily wound dressing with hypertonic solution washing and seton packing was performed (see Figure 1 below). As the condition of the patient improved, she was transferred to the obstetrics unit. On the seventh day of antibacterial therapy, inflammatory markers had decreased (CRP 81.3 mg/L, procalcitonin of 0.11  $\mu$ g/L), but as the secondary wound healing was observed, the patient was consulted by a clinical pharmacist, and it was decided to continue the previous treatment. On the tenth postoperative day, the patient presented with a fever of 38 °C, and a slight increase in CRP was observed (up to 93 mg/L). Cultures were taken from the intravenous catheter as well as urine, and repeated consultation with a clinical pharmacist was carried out. Since the antibiotic sensitivity tests for A. tetradius and F. gonidiaformans could not be determined, it was decided to escalate the antibacterial therapy to Meropenem (1 g i/v 3 times a day). The previously mentioned intravenous catheter and urine cultures came back negative. After five days of treatment with Meropenem, inflammatory markers dramatically decreased, and the patient was discharged home with further treatment of oral metronidazole (500 mg 3 times a day) for an additional week.

Reports 2025, 8, 143 4 of 8



**Figure 1.** Secondary wound healing and wound packing with setons immersed in hypertonic sodium chloride solution.

The histopathological test results were received. Placentas, placental membranes, and umbilical cords showed no signs of inflammatory infiltration (see Figures 2–4 below). Acute endometritis, focal myometritis, and chronic cervicitis were concluded from histopathological examination of the removed uterus (see Figures 5 and 6 below).




Figure 2. Normal histological structure of placenta (no signs of inflammatory infiltration).





Figure 3. Normal histological structure of placental membranes (no signs of inflammatory infiltration).


Reports 2025, 8, 143 5 of 8



Figure 4. Normal histological structure of the umbilical cord (no signs of inflammatory infiltration).



**Figure 5.** Endometrium with sparse endometrial glands (A) and moderate to dense intraglandular infiltration of neutrophilic lymphocytes (B), with partial or complete destruction of endometrial glands (asterisks).



**Figure 6.** Dense infiltration of neutrophilic lymphocytes with cellular debris (asterisk) in the myometrium, with retained smooth muscle cells on the right side (arrows).

Reports 2025, 8, 143 6 of 8

# 3. Discussion

Gardnerella vaginalis, primarily transmitted through sexual contact, is commonly associated with bacterial vaginosis. However, in rare cases, it can act as a pathogen responsible for life-threatening conditions such as endometritis [1,11]. *G. vaginalis* attaches to the vaginal epithelium and secretes specific substances, such as cytotoxin and proteolytic enzymes, which cause the death of epithelial cells and result in a specific fishy odor from the genitals—a very distinctive symptom of bacterial vaginosis [12].

However, the role of this pathogen in postpartum endometritis, which can manifest as a fever, abdominal discomfort and pain, changed vaginal discharge, or bleeding from the genitals, is still under research [1]. Only a few scientific articles examined the role of *G. vaginalis* and bacterial vaginosis in postpartum endometritis. However, all of them concluded that it is highly associated with post-cesarean section endometritis, and its incidence increased by six times compared to the control group [13–15]. Other microorganisms that are common in bacterial vaginosis and can lead to postpartum endometritis include *Peptococcus* spp., *Bacteroides* spp., *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, *Streptococcus agalactiae*, and *Ureaplasma urealyticum* [15].

Bacterial vaginosis is also extremely relevant in other obstetrical complications, such as chorioamnionitis, postpartum fever, and preterm birth [15]. All of these secondary infections due to bacterial vaginosis require extensive treatment, such as increased dosage or variety of antibiotics, prolonged hospitalization time, and a high chance surgical intervention. Bacterial vaginosis is diagnosed in up to twenty percent of patients during pregnancy [15].

Postpartum endometritis is the most common obstetrical infection, especially after delivering a fetus by cesarean section [16]. It is mainly polymicrobial—caused by aerobic and anaerobic bacteria. Endometritis is more likely to occur in an already damaged endometrium, especially during the interventions of delivery. Other risk factors include chorioamnionitis, maternal infections such as HIV and obesity, manual removal of the placenta, and prolonged rupture of membranes. In a biopsy, the infiltration of neutrophils in the endometrium is observed, physical examination is marked by uterine tenderness and its increased size, and leukocytosis may be present. In some cases, postpartum endometritis can be complicated by abscesses, sepsis, necrotizing myometritis or fasciitis, and peritonitis, which may require urgent surgery [17,18].

Even though there is little research on the role of *G. vaginalis* in postpartum endometritis and its complications, there is some evidence that several microorganisms causing bacterial vaginosis are linked to septic shock. Even though *G. vaginalis* bacteremia is especially rare, there are some clinical case reports where it is discussed. Research by P. Taillandier et al. revealed that *G. vaginalis* and *Atobopium vaginae* were responsible for the development of peritonitis and later septic shock after a hysterectomy and adnexectomy [19]. Another study reported the risk factors of septic shock caused by *G. vaginalis*, such as binge drinking, metabolic diseases like diabetes, and immunosuppression. However, our patient did not have any pre-existing conditions, but serious complications were still present [20].

The treatment guidelines for septic shock related to *G. vaginalis* are very limited, as this complication is especially uncommon. A similar case report after a cesarean section was described 2 years ago in China, and specific management was found to be effective [21]. Primarily, after detecting *G. vaginalis* in the blood, treatment with cefoperazone-sulbactam (3 g) was started. However, after 5 days of treatment, inflammation markers in the blood were still high, so ornidazole (0.5 g) was added, and this therapy was continued for 10 days, resulting in full patient recovery. This extended combination of different antibiotics might be needed due to increased resistance to treatment of *G. vaginalis*, because of biofilm formation in the organism [18]. Other scientific data were used to analyze treatment

Reports 2025, 8, 143 7 of 8

options for *G. vaginalis*-linked septic shock. It was found that ceftriaxone, ornidazole, and levofloxacin therapy was effective, as was a combination of penicillin and metronidazole or cefoperazone-sulbactam and metronidazole, or even cefuroxime alone [21,22]. Another study found that a combination of oral antibiotics—ampicillin and clindamycin—was also successful in treating *G. vaginalis* bacteremia [23]. Complexity of antibacterial treatment was also seen in our presented case—three different treatment schemes were tried until successful effect was reached.

A. tetradius is a part of the normal vaginal microbiota and its relationship with post-partum endometritis is unclear, as to this day, to our knowledge, there is no literature published on this topic. However, the role of A. tetradius in bacteremia is being examined, and genital surgery is considered a risk factor for anaerobe infection [24]. In another study, it was found that G. vaginalis and A. tetradius, as a part of the vaginal microbiota, can predispose patients to human papillomavirus and its higher severity [25]. Further studies are required to investigate the role of this pathogen, since, as described in our case, it can play a crucial role in the development of postpartum endometritis and its complications.

## 4. Conclusions

Our case report highlights how an imbalance in normal vaginal microbiota sometimes leads to serious postpartum endometritis and sepsis after delivery, and the importance of a timely diagnosis. The clinical presentation of a patient should be followed for several days after Cesarean section, and when indications occur, examination of the patient is vital. Relaparotomy and microbiological culture are essential when secondary peritonitis is suspected to determine the cause of infection and to apply an appropriate treatment to avoid further complications. Even the rarest pathogens, such as *G. vaginalis* and *A. tetradius*, can cause post-cesarean endometritis, which can later on manifest as sepsis.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization: V.P. and A.B.; writing—original draft preparation: J.M. and A.B.; writing—review and editing: V.P., A.B. and D.R.; visualization: D.D. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Ethical approval has been received from Vilnius University Hospital Santaros Clinics Ethic Committee; approval Code: 22VR-10791; approval Date: 6 May 2022.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Written informed consent has been obtained from the patient to publish this paper.

**Data Availability Statement:** The original contributions presented in this study are included in the article. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

# References

- 1. Singh, N.; Sethi, A. Endometritis—Diagnosis, Treatment and its impact on fertility—A Scoping Review. *JBRA Assist. Reprod.* **2022**, 26, 538–546. [CrossRef] [PubMed] [PubMed Central]
- 2. Ferreira, D.O.; Ferrari, M.; Morais, D.B.; Santos, R.D.; Costa, M.G.M.B.; Mota, K.B.; Lanza, D.C.F. Defining a panel of principal bacteria associated with endometritis. *JBRA Assist. Reprod.* **2025**, *29*, 150–159. [CrossRef] [PubMed] [PubMed Central]
- 3. Rashidifar, S.; Harzandi, N.; Honarmand Jahromi, S.; Gharavi, M.J. Prevalence of Gardnerella vaginalis infection and antibiotic resistance pattern of isolates of gynecology clinic patients at Shahriar Noor Hospital from January to June 2020 by PCR and culture methods. *Iran. J. Microbiol.* 2023, 15, 513–520. [CrossRef] [PubMed] [PubMed Central]
- 4. Kairys, N.; Carlson, K.; Garg, M. Gardnerella Vaginalis. [Updated 2023 Nov 12]. In *StatPearls [Internet]*; StatPearls Publishing: Treasure Island, FL, USA, 2024. Available online: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK459350/ (accessed on 6 January 2025).

Reports 2025, 8, 143 8 of 8

5. Munch, M.M.; Strenk, S.M.; Srinivasan, S.; Fiedler, T.L.; Proll, S.; Fredricks, D.N. Gardnerella Species and Their Association with Bacterial Vaginosis. *J. Infect. Dis.* **2024**, *230*, e171–e181. [CrossRef] [PubMed] [PubMed Central]

- 6. Badri, M.; Nilson, B.; Ragnarsson, S.; Senneby, E.; Rasmussen, M. Clinical and microbiological features of bacteraemia with Gram-positive anaerobic cocci: A population-based retrospective study. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* **2019**, 25, 760.e1–760.e6. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 7. Petrina, M.A.B.; Cosentino, L.A.; Rabe, L.K.; Hillier, S.L. Susceptibility of bacterial vaginosis (BV)-associated bacteria to secnidazole compared to metronidazole, tinidazole and clindamycin. *Anaerobe* **2017**, 47, 115–119. [CrossRef] [PubMed] [PubMed Central]
- 8. Hugon, P.; Mishra, A.K.; Robert, C.; Raoult, D.; Fournier, P.E. Non-contiguous finished genome sequence and description of Anaerococcus vaginalis. *Stand. Genom. Sci.* **2012**, *6*, 356–365. [CrossRef] [PubMed] [PubMed Central]
- 9. Boiten, K.E.; Meijer, J.; van Wezel, E.M.; Veloo, A.C.M. Description of *Anaerococcus kampingiae* sp. nov., *Anaerococcus groningensis* sp. nov., *Anaerococcus martiniensis* sp. nov., and *Anaerococcus cruorum* sp. nov., isolated from human clinical specimens. *Anaerobe* **2025**, 91, 102935. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Song, Y.; Liu, C.; McTeague, M.; Vu, A.; Liu, J.Y.; Finegold, S.M. Rapid identification of Gram-positive anaerobic coccal species originally classified in the genus Peptostreptococcus by multiplex PCR assays using genus- and species-specific primers. Microbiology 2003, 149 Pt 7, 1719–1727. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 11. Schwebke, J.R.; Muzny, C.A.; Josey, W.E. Role of Gardnerella vaginalis in the pathogenesis of bacterial vaginosis: A conceptual model. *J. Infect. Dis.* **2014**, 210, 338–343. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Abou Chacra, L.; Fenollar, F.; Diop, K. Bacterial Vaginosis: What Do We Currently Know? Front. Cell Infect. Microbiol. 2022, 11, 672429. [CrossRef] [PubMed] [PubMed Central]
- 13. Watts, D.H.; Krohn, M.A.; Hillier, S.L.; Eschenbach, D.A. Bacterial vaginosis as a risk factor for post-cesarean endometritis. *Obstet. Gynecol.* **1990**, *75*, 52–58. [PubMed]
- 14. Watts, D.H.; Eschenbach, D.A.; Kenny, G.E. Early postpartum endometritis: The role of bacteria, genital mycoplasmas, and Chlamydia trachomatis. *Obstet. Gynecol.* **1989**, *73*, 52–60. [PubMed]
- 15. Ziogou, A.; Ziogos, E.; Giannakodimos, I.; Giannakodimos, A.; Sifakis, S.; Ioannou, P.; Tsiodras, S. Bacterial Vaginosis and Post-Operative Pelvic Infections. *Healthcare* **2023**, *11*, 1218. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Taylor, M.; Jenkins, S.M.; Pillarisetty, L.S. Endometritis. In *StatPearls [Internet]*; StatPearls Publishing: Treasure Island, FL, USA, 2023. Available online: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/books/NBK553124/ (accessed on 8 January 2025).
- 17. Chen, K.T.; Berghella, V.; Barss, V. Postpartum Endometritis. UpToDate[Website]. 2017. Available online: https://www.uptodate.com/contents/postpartum-endometritis (accessed on 8 January 2025).
- 18. Choden, N.; Dorji, N.; Dem, D.; Lhaden, K. Post-cesarean severe sepsis and uterine wound disruption presenting as abdominal wound abscess and peritonitis: A case report. *SAGE Open Med. Case Rep.* **2022**, *10*, 2050313X221105922. [CrossRef]
- 19. Taillandier, P.; Roingeard, C.; Violette, J.; Leclère, F.M.; Faivre, S. Septic shock caused by Gardnerella vaginalis and Atopobium vaginae. *IDCases* **2020**, *21*, e00876. [CrossRef] [PubMed] [PubMed Central]
- 20. Datsomor, E.A.; Zubach, O.; Prykuda, N.; Zinchuk, A. A case report of septic gardnerellosis. *IDCases* **2021**, 24, e01069. [CrossRef] [PubMed] [PubMed Central]
- Mu, Y.; Li, J.J.; Wu, X.; Zhou, X.F.; Tang, L.; Zhou, Q. Cefoperazone-sulbactam and ornidazole for Gardnerella vaginalis bloodstream infection after cesarean section: A case report. World J. Clin. Cases 2022, 10, 9323–9331. [CrossRef] [PubMed] [PubMed Central]
- 22. Chen, Y.; Han, X.; Guo, P.; Huang, H.; Wu, Z.; Liao, K. Bacteramia Caused by Gardnerella Vaginalis in a Cesarean Section Patient. *Clin. Lab.* **2018**, *64*, 379–382. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Tian, P.P.; Fan, W.; Zhu, L.S.; Yi, H.W. Gardnerella Vaginalis Bacteremia in a Pregnant Woman with Vaginal Myomectomy. *Clin. Lab.* **2024**, *70*. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cobo, F.; Pérez-Carrasco, V.; González, A.; Sánchez-Martin, V.; García-Salcedo, J.A.; Navarro-Marí, J.M. Bacteremia caused by Anaerococcus SPP: Is this an underdiagnosed infection? *Anaerobe* 2021, 70, 102405. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mortaki, D.; Gkegkes, I.D.; Psomiadou, V.; Blontzos, N.; Prodromidou, A.; Lefkopoulos, F.; Nicolaidou, E. Vaginal microbiota and human papillomavirus: A systematic review. J. Turk. Ger. Gynecol. Assoc. 2020, 21, 193–200. [CrossRef] [PubMed] [PubMed Central]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.