

## On $H(D)$ -valued random elements

Antanas LAURINČIKAS (VU, ŠU)

*e-mail: antanas.laurincikas@maf.vu.lt*

For any simply connected region  $D$  on the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ , by  $H(D)$  denote the space of analytic on  $D$  functions equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on compacta. Investigations of the universality of zeta-functions use some properties of  $H(D)$ -valued random elements. In this paper we consider the support of the series of such random elements.

Let  $G_1, \dots, G_n$  be simply connected regions on  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $H(G_1, \dots, G_n) = H(G_1) \times \dots \times H(G_n)$ . Let  $\{K_{jm}\}$  be a sequence of compact subsets of  $G_j$  such that

$$G_j = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} K_{jm},$$

$K_{jm} \subset K_{j,m+1}$ , and if  $K_j$  is a compact and  $K_j \subset G_j$ , then  $K_j \subseteq K_{jm}$  for some  $m$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . The existence of the sequence  $\{K_{jm}\}$  is given in [1]. For  $f_j, g_j \in H(G_j)$  we put

$$\varrho(f_j, g_j) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{-m} \frac{\varrho_{jm}(f_j, g_j)}{1 + \varrho_{jm}(f_j, g_j)},$$

where

$$\varrho_{jm}(f_j, g_j) = \sup_{s \in K_{jm}} |f_j(s) - g_j(s)|, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then  $\varrho_j$  is a metric on  $H(G_j)$  which induces its topology,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . For  $\underline{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ ,  $\underline{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_n) \in H(G_1, \dots, G_n)$  we take

$$\varrho(\underline{f}, \underline{g}) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \varrho_j(f_j, g_j).$$

Then we have that  $\varrho$  is a metric on  $H(G_1, \dots, G_n)$  which induces its topology.

Let  $S$  be a separable metric space, and let  $\mathcal{B}(S)$  stand for the class of Borel sets of  $S$ . We recall that a minimal closed set  $S_P \subseteq S$  such that  $P(S_P) = 1$  is called the support of a probability measure  $P$  on  $(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$ . Note that  $S_P$  consists of all  $x \in S$  such that for every neighbourhood  $G$  of  $x$  the inequality  $P(G) > 0$  is satisfied.

Let  $X$  be a  $S$ -valued random element defined on the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . Then the support of the distribution  $\mathbb{P}(X \in A)$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{B}(S)$ , is called the support of the random element  $X$ . We will denote the support of  $X$  by  $S_X$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $\{X_m\}$  be a sequence of independent  $H(G_1, \dots, G_n)$ -valued random elements such that the series

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} X_m$$

converges almost surely. Then the support of the sum of the latter series is the closure of the set of all  $\underline{f} \in H(G_1, \dots, G_n)$  which may be written as a convergent series

$$\underline{f} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \underline{f}_m, \quad \underline{f}_m \in S_{X_m}.$$

We divide the proof of the theorem into three lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two independent  $H(G_1, \dots, G_n)$ -valued random elements with distributions  $P$  and  $Q$ , respectively. Then the distribution of the sum  $X + Y$  is the convolution  $P * Q$  of  $P$  and  $Q$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are defined on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , and let  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H(G_1, \dots, G_n))$ . From the independence of  $X$  and  $Y$  we have that the distribution  $\mathbb{P}(X + Y \in A)$  of  $X + Y$  is equal to the product

$$(P \times Q)((\underline{x}, \underline{y}): \underline{x} + \underline{y} \in A), \quad \underline{x}, \underline{y} \in H(G_1, \dots, G_n).$$

However, denoting by  $I_A$  the indicator function of the set  $A$ , by the Fubini theorem we find

$$\begin{aligned} (P \times Q)((\underline{x}, \underline{y}): \underline{x} + \underline{y} \in A) &= \int_{H(G_1, \dots, G_n) \times H(G_1, \dots, G_n)} I_A(\underline{x} + \underline{y}) d(P \times Q) \\ &= \int_{H(G_1, \dots, G_n)} \left( \int_{H(G_1, \dots, G_n)} I_A(\underline{x} + \underline{y}) P(d\underline{x}) \right) Q(d\underline{y}) \\ &= \int_{H(G_1, \dots, G_n)} P(A - \underline{y}) Q(d\underline{y}) = (P * Q)(A). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two independent  $H(G_1, \dots, G_n)$ -valued random elements. Then the support  $S_{X+Y}$  of the sum  $X + Y$  is the closure of the set

$$S = \{\underline{f} \in H(G_1, \dots, G_n): \underline{f} = \underline{x} + \underline{y} \text{ with } \underline{x} \in S_X, \underline{y} \in S_Y\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\underline{x} \in S_X, \underline{y} \in S_Y$ , and  $\underline{f} = \underline{x} + \underline{y}$ . We take an arbitrary positive number  $\delta$  and put

$$A = \{\underline{g} \in H(G_1, \dots, G_n): \varrho(\underline{f}, \underline{g}) < \delta\}.$$

Moreover, let  $P$  and  $Q$  be the distributions of random elements  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (P * Q)(A) &= \int_{H(G_1, \dots, G_n)} P(A - \underline{g})Q(d\underline{g}) > \int_{\{\underline{g}: \varrho(\underline{y}, \underline{g}) < \delta/2\}} P(A - \underline{g})Q(d\underline{g}) \\ &\geq P\left(\left\{\underline{g}: \varrho(\underline{x}, \underline{g}) < \frac{\delta}{2}\right\}\right) \int_{\{\underline{g}: \varrho(\underline{x}, \underline{g}) < \delta/2\}} Q(d\underline{g}) \\ &= P\left(\left\{\underline{g}: \varrho(\underline{x}, \underline{g}) < \frac{\delta}{2}\right\}\right) Q\left(\left\{\underline{g}: \varrho(\underline{x}, \underline{g}) < \frac{\delta}{2}\right\}\right) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

since by the definition of the support each multiplier is positive. This and Lemma 1 show that  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq S_{X+Y}$ . But the set  $S_{X+Y}$  is closed, hence  $\bar{\mathcal{S}} \subseteq S_{X+Y}$ .

It remains to show that  $\bar{\mathcal{S}} \supseteq S_{X+Y}$ . Suppose that there exists a point  $\underline{f}$  such that  $\underline{f} \in S_{X+Y}$  but  $\underline{f} \notin \bar{\mathcal{S}}$ . Since  $\underline{f} \in S_{X+Y}$ , for any  $\delta > 0$  and  $A$  defined above we have

$$(P * Q)(A) = \int_{H(G_1, \dots, G_n)} P(A - \underline{g})Q(d\underline{g}) > 0.$$

The later inequality is possible only if there exists a point  $\underline{u} \in S_Y$  such that  $P(A - \underline{u}) > 0$ . Therefore there exists a point  $\underline{v} \in S_X$  in the sphere  $\{\underline{g}: \varrho(\underline{f} - \underline{u}, \underline{g}) < \delta\}$ . Then  $\varrho(\underline{f}, \underline{u} + \underline{v}) < \delta$  and  $\underline{f}' = \underline{u} + \underline{v} \in \mathcal{S}$ . Moreover, if  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  then  $\underline{f}' \rightarrow \underline{f}$ . Thus,  $\underline{f} \in \bar{\mathcal{S}}$ , and this contradiction proves that  $\bar{\mathcal{S}} \supseteq S_{X+Y}$ . The lemma is proved.

Now let  $\{A_m\}$  be a sequence of sets on  $H(G_1, \dots, G_n)$ . By  $\text{Lim } A_m$  denote a set of such  $\underline{f} \in H(G_1, \dots, G_n)$  that every neighbourhood of  $\underline{f}$  contains at least one point belonging to almost all sets  $A_m$ .

**Lemma 3.** Let  $P_n$  and  $P$  be probability measures on  $(H(G_1, \dots, G_n), \mathcal{B}(H(G_1, \dots, G_n)))$  and let  $P_n$  converge weakly to  $P$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $S_P \subseteq \text{Lim } S_{P_n}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\underline{f} \in S_P$ , and, for  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $A_\varepsilon = \{\underline{g}: \varrho(\underline{f}, \underline{g}) < \varepsilon\}$ . For a fixed  $\underline{f}$  the boundaries of the spheres  $\varrho(\underline{f}, \underline{g}) < \varepsilon$  do not intersect for different  $\varepsilon$ . Therefore we can choose  $\varepsilon$  such that  $A_\varepsilon$  should be the continuity set of  $P$ . Then the properties of the weak convergence yield

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n(A_\varepsilon) = P(A_\varepsilon) > 0.$$

So, we have  $P_n(A_\varepsilon) > 0$  for  $n > n_0(\underline{f}, \varepsilon)$ . For these  $n > n_0$  the distance of  $\underline{f}$  from  $S_{P_n}$  does not exceed  $\varepsilon$ . Hence, since  $\varepsilon$  is an arbitrary number, we find that  $\underline{f} \in \text{Lim } S_{P_n}$ . Therefore  $S_P \subseteq \text{Lim } S_{P_n}$ .

*Proof of the Theorem.* Let  $\{X_m\}$  be given on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , and

$$X = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} X_m = L_n + R_n,$$

where

$$L_n = \sum_{m=1}^n X_m, \quad R_n = \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} X_m.$$

Since the series of the theorem converges almost surely, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}(\omega \in \Omega: \varrho(R_n, \underline{0}) \geq \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{1}$$

Let

$$P_n(A) = \mathbb{P}(L_n \in A), \quad P(A) = \mathbb{P}(X \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H(G_1, \dots, G_n)).$$

Then the above relations imply the weak convergence of  $P_n$  to  $P$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore in view of Lemma 3

$$S_X \subseteq \text{Lim } S_{L_n}. \tag{2}$$

Now let  $\underline{f}_0 \in \text{Lim } S_{L_n}$ , and, for any  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$A_\delta = \{\underline{f}: \varrho(\underline{f}, \underline{f}_0) < \delta\}.$$

Then there exists  $n_1$  such that for  $n > n_1$

$$\mathbb{P}(L_n \in A_\delta) = P_n(A_\delta) > 0. \tag{3}$$

Define  $B_\delta = \{\underline{f}: \varrho(\underline{f}, \underline{0}) < \delta\}$ . Then by (1) for  $n > n_2$

$$\mathbb{P}(R_n \in B_\delta) > 0. \tag{4}$$

Let  $Q_n(A) = \mathbb{P}(R_n \in A)$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H(G_1, \dots, G_n))$ . Then we have that  $P = P_n * Q_n$ . Hence, from (3), (4) and Lemma 1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P(A_{2\delta}) &= \int_{H(G_1, \dots, G_n)} P_n(A_{2\delta} - \underline{g}) Q_n(d\underline{g}) \\ &\geq \int_{B_\delta} P_n(A_{2\delta} - \underline{g}) Q_n(d\underline{g}) \geq P_n(A_\delta) \int_{B_\delta} Q_n(d\underline{g}) = P_n(A_\delta) Q_n(B_\delta) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

for  $n \geq n_3 = \max(n_1, n_2)$ . This means that  $f_0 \in S_X$ . Therefore  $S_X \supseteq \lim S_{L_n}$ . This and (2) imply

$$S_X = \text{Lim } S_{L_n}. \quad (5)$$

Since  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent, by Lemma 2 we have that  $S_{L_n}$  is the closure of the set of all  $\underline{f} \in H(G_1, \dots, G_n)$  which can be written as a sum

$$\underline{f} = \sum_{m=1}^n \underline{f}_m, \quad \underline{f}_m \in S_{X_m}.$$

Now if  $f \in S_X$ , then there exists a sequence  $\{g_n: \underline{g}_n \in S_{L_n}\}$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \underline{g}_n = \underline{f}$ . This together with (5) yield the assertion of the lemma.

## References

- [1] J.B. Conway, *Functions of One Complex Variable*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1973).

## Apie $H(D)$ -reikšmius atsitiktinius elementus

A. Laurinčikas

Straipsnyje nagrinėjamas nepriklausomų  $H(G_1) \times \dots \times H(G_n)$ -reikšmių atsitiktinių elementų eilutės nešėjas. Čia  $H(D)$  yra funkcijų, analizinių srityje  $D$ , erdvė su tolygaus konvergavimo ant kompaktų topologija.