

The distributions of additive functions with finite supports

Jonas ŠIAULYS (VU)

e-mail: jonas.siaulys@maf.vu.lt

1. Introduction

Let f_x be a set ($x \geq 2$) of integer-valued strongly additive functions (s. a. f) and $f_x(p) \in \{0, 1\}$ for each prime number p . Let

$$\nu_x(f_x(m) < u) = [x]^{-1} \#\{m \leq x, f_x(m) < u\}$$

be the distribution function of a s. a. f. f_x from this set. The distributions $\nu_x(f_x(m) < u)$ we will call having finite support if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \nu_x(f_x(m) > c) = 0,$$

for some constant c .

In this work we will show that finite support of distributions $\nu_x(f_x(m) < u)$ separate the values $f_x(p)$ for small primes p ($p \leq \text{const}$) from the values $f_x(p)$ for large primes p ($p \geq x^\alpha$).

Theorem. *Let f_x , $x \geq 2$, be a set of s. a. f., $f_x(p) \in \{0, 1\}$ for each prime number. The next two conditions are equivalent.*

(a) *It exists a constant c such that*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \nu_x(f_x(m) > c) = 0.$$

(b) *There exists constants D and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ for which*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{D < p \leq x^\alpha \\ f_x(p)=1}} \frac{1}{p} = 0.$$

2. Preliminary results

Lemma 1 [1]. *Let $h(m)$ be an arbitrary real-valued additive function. There is an absolute constant c_1 such that*

$$\sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ h(m)=a}} 1 \leq c_1 x \left(\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ h(p) \neq 0}} \frac{1}{p} \right)^{-1/2}.$$

Lemma 2 [2]. *Let f_x be a set of s. a. f. and $f_x(p) \in \{0, 1\}$ for each prime number p . Let \hat{x}_n be an arbitrary unbounded increasing sequence. The distribution $\nu_{\hat{x}_n}(f_{\hat{x}_n}(m) < u)$ converges weakly if and only if the limits*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p_1 \leq \hat{x}_n}^* \sum_{\substack{p_2 \leq \hat{x}_n \\ p_2 \neq p_1}}^* \dots \sum_{\substack{p_{l-1} \leq \hat{x}_n \\ p_{l-1} \neq p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{l-2}}}^* \sum_{\substack{p_l \leq \hat{x}_n \\ p_l \neq p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{l-1}}}^* \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 \dots p_l} = g_l$$

exist for each natural number l . Here the superscript $*$ over the sign of sum means that the summation is expanded over primes for which $f_{\hat{x}_n}(p) = 1$. Moreover, the limiting distribution has characteristic function

$$1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{g_l}{l!} (e^{it} - 1)^l.$$

3. Proof of Theorem

I. Suppose that condition (a) is satisfied. Let x_n be unbounded increasing sequence. There exists a subsequence \hat{x}_n such that $\nu_{\hat{x}_n}(f_{\hat{x}_n}(m) < u)$ converges weakly to some distribution function $F(u)$. From Lemma 2 we obtain that limits

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p_1 \leq \hat{x}_n}^* \sum_{\substack{p_2 \leq \hat{x}_n \\ p_2 \neq p_1}}^* \dots \sum_{\substack{p_{l-1} \leq \hat{x}_n \\ p_{l-1} \neq p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{l-2}}}^* \sum_{\substack{p_l \leq \hat{x}_n \\ p_l \neq p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{l-1}}}^* \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 \dots p_l} = \varphi_l$$

exist for each $l = 1, 2, \dots$, and $F(u)$ has the characteristic function

$$1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_l}{l!} (e^{it} - 1)^l.$$

It follows from condition (a) that for $L = [c]$

$$\varphi_{L+1} = \varphi_{L+2} = \dots = 0.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p_1 \leq \hat{x}_n}^* \sum_{\substack{p_2 \leq \hat{x}_n \\ p_2 \neq p_1}}^* \dots \sum_{p_L \leq \hat{x}_n}^* \sum_{\substack{p_{L+1} \leq \hat{x}_n \\ p_{L+1} \neq p_1, p_2, \dots, p_L}}^* \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 \dots p_{L+1}} = 0.$$

Since x_n is an arbitrary unbounded increasing sequence, we obtain

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p_1 \leq x}^* \sum_{\substack{p_2 \leq x \\ p_2 \neq p_1}}^* \dots \sum_{p_L \leq x}^* \sum_{\substack{p_{L+1} \leq x \\ p_{L+1} \neq p_1, p_2, \dots, p_L}}^* \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 \dots p_{L+1}} = 0. \quad (1)$$

Here and later the superscript * over the sign of sum means that the summation is expanded over primes for which $f_x(p) = 1$.

Let now d be natural number and

$$a_d = \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \#\{p \leq d: f_x(p) = 1\}.$$

If $d^{L+1} \leq x$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{p_1 \leq d}^* \sum_{\substack{p_2 \leq d \\ p_2 \neq p_1}}^* \dots \sum_{\substack{p_{L+1} \leq d \\ p_{L+1} \neq p_1, p_2, \dots, p_L}}^* \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 \dots p_{L+1}} \\ & \leq \sum_{p_1 \leq x}^* \sum_{\substack{p_2 \leq x \\ p_2 \neq p_1}}^* \dots \sum_{p_L \leq x}^* \sum_{\substack{p_{L+1} \leq x \\ p_{L+1} \neq p_1, p_2, \dots, p_L}}^* \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 \dots p_L}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence from (1) we obtain

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p_1 \leq d}^* \sum_{\substack{p_2 \leq d \\ p_2 \neq p_1}}^* \dots \sum_{\substack{p_{L+1} \leq d \\ p_{L+1} \neq p_1, p_2, \dots, p_L}}^* \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 \dots p_{L+1}} = 0.$$

It follows from the last equality that $a_d \leq L$. The sequence a_d is not decreasing and bounded. Consequently, it exists

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} a_d = a^*.$$

Since the sequence a_d is integer-valued, there exists a natural number D for which $a_d = a_D = a^*$ if $d \geq D$. Hence for each $d \geq D$ we have

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \#\{p \leq d: f_x(p) = 1\} = \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \#\{p \leq D: f_x(p) = 1\} \leq L.$$

Using the last equality we obtain that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f_x(p) = 0$$

for each fixed prime number $p > D$. Thus

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \max_{\substack{D < p \leq x \\ f_x(p)=1}} \frac{1}{p} = 0. \tag{2}$$

Let as above x_n is the unbounded increasing sequence. There exists a subsequence \hat{x}_n such that $\nu_{\hat{x}_n}(f_{\hat{x}_n}(m) < u)$ converge weakly. According to the Lemma 1

$$\nu_{\hat{x}_n}(f_{\hat{x}_n}(m) = l) \ll \left(\sum_{\substack{p \leq \hat{x}_n \\ f_{\hat{x}_n}(p)=1}} \frac{1}{p} \right)^{-1/2}$$

for $l = 0, 1, \dots, L$.

From (a) follows the existence of l^* for which

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_{\hat{x}_n}(f_{\hat{x}_n}(m) = l^*) \geq \frac{1}{L+1}.$$

Consequently,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{p \leq \hat{x}_n \\ f_{\hat{x}_n}(p)=1}} \frac{1}{p} \ll (L+1)^2.$$

Since x_n is an arbitrary unbounded increasing sequence, then the last inequality implies

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p \leq x}^* \frac{1}{p} \ll (L+1)^2. \tag{3}$$

Using the equality (2) and the estimation (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{D < p_1 \leq x^{1/L+1}}^* \dots \sum_{D < p_i \leq x^{1/L+1}}^* \dots \sum_{\substack{D < p_j \leq x^{1/L+1} \\ p_j = p_i}}^* \dots \sum_{D < p_{L+1} \leq x^{1/L+1}}^* \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 \dots p_{L+1}} \\ & \leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \max_{\substack{D < p \leq x \\ f_x(p)=1}} \frac{1}{p} \left(\sum_{p \leq x}^* \frac{1}{p} \right)^L = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for every pair $i, j, 1 \leq i < j \leq L+1$. Thus we get that

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{D < p \leq x^{1/L+1}}^* \frac{1}{p} \right)^{L+1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p_1 \leq x^{1/L+1}}^* \sum_{\substack{p_2 \leq x^{1/L+1} \\ p_2 \neq p_1}}^* \cdots \sum_{\substack{p_{L+1} \leq x^{1/L+1} \\ p_{L+1} \neq p_1, p_2, \dots, p_L}}^* \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{L+1}} \\ &\leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p_1 \leq x}^* \sum_{\substack{p_2 \leq x \\ p_2 \neq p_1}}^* \cdots \sum_{\substack{p_{L+1} \leq x \\ p_{L+1} \neq p_1, p_2, \dots, p_L \\ p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{L+1} \leq x}}^* \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{L+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

The condition (b) follows now from the last estimation and equality (1).

II. Suppose now that condition (b) holds. Let l be a natural number. For large x ($D^l \leq x$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ f_x(m) > l}} 1 &\leq \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{m \leq x} f_x(m)(f_x(m) - 1) \cdots (f_x(m) - l + 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{p_1 \leq x}^* \sum_{\substack{p_2 \leq x \\ p_2 \neq p_1}}^* \cdots \sum_{\substack{p_{l-1} \leq x \\ p_{l-1} \neq p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{l-2}}}^* \sum_{\substack{p_l \leq x \\ p_l \neq p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{l-1} \\ p_1 p_2 \cdots p_l \leq x}}^* \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_l} \\ &\ll_l \sum_{j=1}^l \left(\sum_{D < p \leq x^\alpha}^* \frac{1}{p} \right)^j \left(\max \left(\sum_{p \leq D}^* \frac{1}{p}, \sum_{x^\alpha < p \leq x}^* \frac{1}{p} \right) \right)^{l-j} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^l \sum_{p_1 \leq D}^* \sum_{\substack{p_2 \leq D \\ p_2 \neq p_1}}^* \cdots \sum_{\substack{p_j \leq D \\ p_j \neq p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{j-1}}}^* \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_j} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{x^\alpha < p_{j+1} \leq x}^* \cdots \sum_{\substack{x^\alpha < p_l \leq x \\ p_1 p_2 \cdots p_l \leq x}}^* \frac{1}{p_{j+1} \cdots p_l}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sum_{p \leq D}^* \frac{1}{p} \ll \ln \ln D, \quad \sum_{x^\alpha < p \leq x}^* \frac{1}{p} \ll \ln \frac{1}{\alpha},$$

then the last estimation and condition (b) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \nu_x(f_x(m) > l) \\ &\ll_l \sum_{j=0}^l \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p_1 \leq D}^* \sum_{\substack{p_2 \leq D \\ p_2 \neq p_1}}^* \cdots \sum_{\substack{p_j \leq D \\ p_j \neq p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{j-1}}}^* \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_j} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{x^\alpha < p_{j+1} \leq x}^* \cdots \sum_{\substack{x^\alpha < p_l \leq x \\ p_1 p_2 \cdots p_l \leq x}}^* \frac{1}{p_{j+1} \cdots p_l}. \end{aligned}$$

If $l = 2(\max(\pi(D), [\frac{1}{\alpha}]) + 2)$ all terms of the last sum are equal zero. Hence the condition (a) holds with $c = 2(\max(\pi(D), [\frac{1}{\alpha}]) + 2)$. This proves the theorem.

References

- [1] G. Halász, On the distribution of additive arithmetical functions, *Acta Arithm.*, **27**, 143–152 (1975).
- [2] J. Šiaulys, Factorial moments for distributions of additive functions, *Liet. Matem. Rink.*, **40**(4), 508–525 (2000) (in Russian).

Adityviųjų funkcijų skirstiniai su baigtinėmis atramomis

J. Šiaulys

Darbe tiriama, kokią įtaką skirstinių $\nu_x(f_x(m) < u)$ koncentracija baigtinėje gardelėje turi stipriai adityviųjų funkcijų šeimos f_x reikšmėms pirminiuose skaičiuose. Nagrinėjamos tos stipriai adityviosios funkcijos, kurioms $f_x(p) \in \{0, 1\}$ visiems pirminiams skaičiams p .