

On the universality of Dirichlet series of holomorphic cusp forms

A. Kačėnas* (VU), A. Laurinčikas* (VU), Kohji Matsumoto (Nagoya University)

1. Introduction

Let $F(z)$ be a holomorphic cusp form of weight κ for the full modular group $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Assume that $F(z)$ is a normalized eigenform. Then $F(z)$ has the Fourier series expansion

$$F(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c(m)e^{2\pi imz}, \quad c(1) = 1.$$

Let $s = \sigma + it$ be a complex variable. Consider the Dirichlet series

$$\varphi(s) = \varphi(s, F) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{c(m)}{m^s}.$$

E.Hecke proved [2] that this series absolutely converges for $\sigma > (\kappa + 1)/2$, and can be continued analytically to an entire function.

Let $D = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \kappa/2 < \sigma < (\kappa + 1)/2\}$, where \mathbb{C} denotes the complex plane. The purpose of this paper is to prove the following universality theorem for the function $\varphi(s)$.

We use the notation

$$\nu_T(\dots) = \frac{1}{T} \text{meas}\{\tau \in [0, T], \dots\}$$

for $T > 0$, where in place of dots we write a condition satisfied by τ , and $\text{meas}\{A\}$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of the set A .

THEOREM. *Let K be a compact subset of D with connected complement, and let $f(s)$ be a non-vanishing continuous function on K which is analytic in the interior of K . Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$*

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \nu_T \left(\sup_{s \in K} |\varphi(s + i\tau) - f(s)| < \varepsilon \right) > 0.$$

This theorem is proved in a preprint of the second and the third authors, and the proof is based on the idea of [3] with some new ideas of the third author.

*Partially supported by Grant from Lithuanian Foundation of Studies and Science.

Let $c_p = c(p)p^{(1-\kappa)/2}$. Note that in [3] the theorem was proved under the assumption of the existence of $\eta > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{\substack{p \\ |c_p| < \eta}} \frac{1}{p^\delta} < \infty \quad (1)$$

for $\delta > 1/2$. Now, the theorem assures the universality of the function $\varphi(s)$ unconditionally.

The method of the proof of the theorem is the same as in [3], but some new arguments to obtain the denseness of one set of convergent series are used. This allows to remove the condition (1).

2. A limit theorem for the function $\varphi(s)$

The function $\varphi(s)$ for $\sigma > (\kappa + 1)/2$ has the Euler product expansion

$$\varphi(s) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\alpha(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\beta(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1},$$

where $c(p) = \alpha(p) + \beta(p)$.

Let $N > 0$, $D_N = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \kappa/2 < \sigma < (\kappa + 1)/2, |t| < N\}$. Denote by $H(D_N)$ the space of analytic on D_N functions equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on compacta. Let $\mathcal{B}(S)$ stand for the class of Borel sets of the space S . Define on $(H(D_N), \mathcal{B}(H(D_N)))$ the probability measure

$$P_T(A) = \nu_T(\varphi(s + i\tau) \in A).$$

Let $\gamma = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : |s| = 1\}$, and let

$$\Omega = \prod_p \gamma_p,$$

where $\gamma_p = \gamma$ for all primes p . The infinite-dimensional torus Ω is a compact topological Abelian group. Denote by m_H the probability Haar measure on $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega))$. Thus we obtain the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), m_H)$. Let $\omega(p)$ be the projection of $\omega \in \Omega$ to the coordinate space γ_p . Define the $H(D_N)$ -valued random element $\varphi(s, \omega)$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), m_H)$ by the formula

$$\varphi(s, \omega) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\alpha(p)\omega(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\beta(p)\omega(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1}$$

for $s \in D_N$. Denote by P_φ the distribution of the random element $\varphi(s, \omega)$.

LEMMA 1. *The probability measure P_T converges weakly to P_φ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. In [3] the lemma was proved on the space $H(D)$, where $D = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \sigma > \kappa/2\}$. Clearly, from this the lemma follows immediately.

3. A denseness lemma

Let, for $|z| < 1$,

$$\log(1+z) = z - \frac{z^2}{2} + \frac{z^3}{3} - \dots$$

Define

$$f_p(s) = f_p(s; a_p) = -\log\left(1 - \frac{\alpha(p)a_p}{p^s}\right) - \log\left(1 - \frac{\beta(p)a_p}{p^s}\right)$$

for $s \in D_N$ and $a_p \in \gamma$.

LEMMA 2. *The set of all convergent series*

$$\sum_p f_p(s; a_p)$$

is dense in $H(D_N)$.

Let μ be a complex measure on $(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}))$ with compact support contained in D_N , $D_{1,N} = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : 1/2 < \delta < 1, |t| < N\}$, and let $h(s) = s - (\kappa - 1)/2$. Then

$$\mu h^{-1}(A) = \mu(h^{-1}A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}),$$

is a complex measure with compact support contained in $D_{1,N}$. Define

$$\varrho(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{-sz} d\mu h^{-1}(s), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

LEMMA 3. *Suppose that*

$$\sum_p |c_p| |\varrho(\log p)| < \infty.$$

Then $\varrho(z) \equiv 0$.

Proof of the theorem is based on the following variant of the Bernstein theorem.

LEMMA 4. *Let $f(s)$ be an entire function of exponential type, and let $\{\lambda_m\}$ be a sequence of complex numbers. Let α, β and δ be positive real numbers such that*

$$1^0 \quad \limsup_{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |f(\pm iy)|}{y} \leq \alpha;$$

$$2^0 \quad |\lambda_m - \lambda_n| \geq \delta |m - n|;$$

$$3^0 \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_m}{m} = \beta;$$

$$4^0 \quad \alpha\beta < \pi.$$

Then

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |f(\lambda_m)|}{|\lambda_m|} = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |f(r)|}{r}.$$

Proof is given in [4].

We also need the following lemma.

LEMMA 5. Let μ be a complex measure on $(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}))$ with compact support contained in the half-plane $\sigma > \sigma_0$, and let

$$f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{sz} d\mu(s).$$

If $f(z) \not\equiv 0$, then

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |f(r)|}{r} > \sigma_0.$$

Proof of the lemma can be found in [4].

Proof of Lemma 3. We apply Lemma 4 with $f = \varrho$. Since the support of the measure μh^{-1} is included in $D_{1,N}$, we obtain that

$$|\varrho(\pm iy)| \leq e^{Ny} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |d\mu h^{-1}(s)|$$

for $y > 0$. Therefore we can take $\alpha = N$ in the condition 1⁰ of Lemma 4. Let a fixed positive number β satisfy $\beta < \pi/N$. Consider the set A of all positive integers m such that there exists a real number $r \in ((m - 1/4)\beta, (m + 1/4)\beta)$ with $|\varrho(r)| \leq e^{-r}$.

We fix a number μ , satisfying $0 < \mu < 1$, and put $\mathcal{P}_\mu = \{p \text{ is primes, } |c_p| > \mu\}$. Then the condition of the lemma implies

$$\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_\mu} |\varrho(\log p)| < \infty. \quad (2)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_\mu} |\varrho(\log p)| \geq \sum_{m \notin A} \sum'_m |\varrho(\log p)| \geq \sum_{m \notin A} \sum'_m \frac{1}{p}, \quad (3)$$

where \sum'_m denotes the sum running over all primes $p \in \mathcal{P}_\mu$ satisfying $\log a \leq \log p \leq \log b$ with $a = \exp\{(m - 1/4)\beta\}$, $b = \exp\{(m + 1/4)\beta\}$. Thus (2) and (3) yield

$$\sum_{m \notin A} \sum_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{P}_\mu \\ a < p \leq b}} \frac{1}{p} < \infty. \quad (4)$$

Let $\pi_\mu(x)$ be the number of primes $p \in \mathcal{P}_\mu$ up to x . It is known [1] that $|c_p| \leq 2$. Therefore, for $a \leq u \leq b$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a < p \leq u} c_p^2 &\leq 4 \sum_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{P}_\mu \\ a < p \leq u}} 1 + \mu^2 \sum_{\substack{p \notin \mathcal{P}_\mu \\ a < p \leq u}} 1 \\ &= (4 - \mu^2)(\pi_\mu(u) - \pi_\mu(a)) + \mu^2(\pi(u) - \pi(a)). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

On the other hand, by Rankin's formula [5]

$$\sum_{p \leq x} c_p^2 = \pi(x)(1 + o(1)), \quad x \rightarrow \infty,$$

we have

$$\sum_{a < p \leq u} c_p^2 = \pi(u)(1 + o(1)) - \pi(a)(1 + o(1)), \quad m \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6)$$

We fix a positive parameter δ satisfying $1 + \delta < e^{\beta/2}$, and let $0 < \varepsilon < \delta/100$. If $m \geq m_0(\varepsilon)$, then, for any $u \geq a(1 + \delta)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(u)(1 + o(1)) &\geq \pi(u)(1 - \varepsilon), \\ \pi(a)(1 + o(1)) &\leq \pi(a)(1 + \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\pi(u)(1 + o(1)) - \pi(a)(1 + o(1)) \geq (\pi(u) - \pi(a)) - \varepsilon(\pi(u) + \pi(a)). \quad (7)$$

Since $u \geq a(1 + \delta)$, we easily find, for $m \geq m_0(\varepsilon)$

$$\pi(u) - \pi(a) \geq \frac{u}{\log u}(1 - \varepsilon) - \frac{a}{\log a}(1 + \varepsilon) \geq \frac{a}{\log a} \frac{\delta}{2}. \quad (8)$$

On the other hand, if $u \leq b = Ba$, $B = e^{\beta/2}$, then

$$\pi(u) + \pi(a) \leq \pi(b) + \pi(a) \leq \frac{a}{\log a}(2B + 2).$$

Therefore this and (8) yield

$$\pi(u) + \pi(a) \leq \frac{4B + 2}{\delta} (\pi(u) - \pi(a)).$$

Hence and from (6) we find

$$\pi(u)(1 + o(1)) - \pi(a)(1 + o(1)) \geq (\pi(u) - \pi(a))(1 + o(1)), \quad m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, in view of (5)

$$\pi_\mu(u) - \pi_\mu(a) \geq \frac{1 - \mu^2}{4 - \mu^2} (\pi(u) - \pi(a))(1 + o(1)), \quad m \rightarrow \infty,$$

for $u \geq a(1 + \delta)$. Therefore, using partial summation,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{P}_\mu \\ a < p \leq b}} \frac{1}{p} &\geq (\pi_\mu(b) - \pi_\mu(a)) \frac{1}{b} + \int_{a(1+\delta)}^b (\pi_\mu(u) - \pi_\mu(a)) \frac{du}{u^2} \\ &\geq \frac{1 - \mu^2}{4 - \mu^2} \left(\sum_{a(1+\delta) < p \leq b} \frac{1}{p} \right) (1 + o(1)), \quad m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Since

$$\sum_{a(1+\delta) < p \leq b} \frac{1}{p} = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\log(1+\delta)}{\beta} \right) \frac{1}{m} + o\left(\frac{1}{m^2}\right),$$

we find from (9)

$$\sum_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{P}_\mu \\ a < p \leq b}} \frac{1}{p} \geq \frac{1-\mu^2}{4-\mu^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\log(1+\delta)}{\beta} \right) \frac{1}{m} (1 + o(1)) + o\left(\frac{1}{m^2}\right). \quad (10)$$

Since $0 < \mu < 1$ and $1 + \delta < e^{\beta/2}$, we see that

$$\frac{1-\mu^2}{4-\mu^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\log(1+\delta)}{\beta} \right) > 0.$$

Therefore, from (4) and (10) we obtain

$$\sum_{m \notin A} \frac{1}{m} < \infty.$$

Now, we derive from Lemma 4 that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |\varrho(r)|}{r} \leq -1. \quad (11)$$

But if $\varrho(z) \not\equiv 0$, then Lemma 5 gives

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |\varrho(r)|}{r} > -1,$$

which contradicts with (11). Therefore $\varrho(z) \equiv 0$.

Now using Lemma 3 the proof of Lemma 2 runs in the same way as in [3].

The proof of the theorem uses Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 and is similar to that from [3].

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Deligne, La conjecture de Weil, *Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math.*, **43** (1974), 273–307.
- [2] E. Hecke, Über Modulfunktionen und die Dirichletschen Reihen mit Eulerscher Produktentwicklung I, *Math. Ann.*, **114** (1937), 1–28; II, *ibid.* 316–351.
- [3] A. Kačėnas, A. Laurinčikas, On Dirichlet series related to certain cusp forms, *Liet. Matem. Rink.*, **38**(1) (1998), 82–97.
- [4] A. Laurinčikas, *Limit Theorems for the Riemann Zeta-Function*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 1996.
- [5] R.A. Rankin, An Ω -result for the coefficients of cusp forms, *Math. Ann.*, **203** (1973), 239–250.

holomorfinių parabolinių formų Dirichlet eilučių universalumą

A. Kačėnas (VU), A. Laurinčikas (VU), Kohji Matsumoto (Nagoya u-tas)

Straipsnyje įrodyta universalumo teorema apie analizinės funkcijos tolygią aproksimaciją Dirichlet eilutės, susietos su holomorfine paraboline forma, postūmiais.