

On zeros of the Lerch zeta-function. III

Ramūnas GARUNKŠTIS* (VU)
e-mail: ramunas.garunkstis@maf.vu.lt

1. Introduction

Let $s = \sigma + it$ be a complex variable. The Lerch zeta-function $L(\lambda, \alpha, s)$, for $\sigma > 1$, is defined by the following Dirichlet series

$$L(\lambda, \alpha, s) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2\pi i \lambda m}}{(m + \alpha)^s},$$

where λ, α are real numbers, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, and by analytic continuation otherwise (see [5], [6]). Further we suppose that $0 < \lambda < 1$.

In [4] A. Laurinćikas for the function

$$Z(s, \lambda) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{2\pi i \lambda m} m^{-s} = e^{2\pi i \lambda} L(\lambda, 1, s), \quad \sigma > 1,$$

$\lambda = a/q$, $(a, q) = 1$, $0 < a < q$, obtained the following zero-distribution results.

Theorem A. *Suppose that q is a prime number. Then there exists a constant $c = c(\lambda)$ such that for sufficiently large T the function $Z(s, \lambda)$ has more than cT zeros in the region*

$$\sigma > 1, \quad |t| < T.$$

Theorem B. *Suppose there exist at least two primitive characters modulo q . Then for any σ_1, σ_2 , $1/2 < \sigma_1 < \sigma_2 < 1$, there exists a constant $c = c(\lambda, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) > 0$ such that for sufficiently large T the function $Z(s, \lambda)$ has more than cT zeros in the region*

$$\sigma_1 < \sigma < \sigma_2, \quad |t| < T.$$

By $A_T(\lambda, \alpha; a, b)$ we will denote the following assertion: For any σ_1, σ_2 , $a < \sigma_1 < \sigma_2 < b$, there exists a constant $c = c(\lambda, \alpha, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) > 0$ such that for sufficiently large T the function $L(\lambda, \alpha, s)$ has more than cT zeros in the rectangle

$$\sigma_1 < \sigma < \sigma_2, \quad |t| < T.$$

*Partially supported by Lithuanian State Studies and Science Foundation.

In [1] for the Lerch zeta function the following results were obtained.

Theorem C. *Let α be a nonrational number. Then there exists $\delta = \delta(\lambda, \alpha)$, $0 < \delta < \alpha$, such that the assertion $A_T(\lambda, \alpha; 1, 1 + \delta)$ is true.*

If α is a transcendental number, then we can take $\delta = 0.6\alpha$.

Theorem D. *Let α be a transcendental number. Then the assertion $A_T(\lambda, \alpha; 1/2, 1)$ is true.*

Let $N(\lambda, \alpha, \sigma, T)$ denote the number of zeros of $L(\lambda, \alpha, s)$ in the region $\{s \mid \operatorname{Re} s > \sigma, 0 < \operatorname{Im} s \leq T\}$. In [2] it is proved that

$$L(\lambda, \alpha, s) \neq 0, \quad \text{for } \sigma \geq 1 + \alpha. \quad (1)$$

In this note we investigate the upper bounds for the number of zeros of the Lerch zeta function. Let B_η denote a number bounded by a constant depending on η .

Theorem 1. *Let $1/2 \leq \sigma_0 \leq 1 + \alpha$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\sigma_0}^{1+\alpha} N(\lambda, \alpha; \sigma, T) d\sigma &= \sigma_0 T \log \alpha + \int_0^T \log |L(\lambda, \alpha, \sigma_0 + it)| dt \\ &\quad + B \log T, \quad T \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By $\zeta(s, \alpha)$ we denote the Hurwitz zeta-function, i.e.

$$\zeta(s, \alpha) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m + \alpha)^s}, \quad \sigma > 1,$$

where $0 < \alpha \leq 1$.

Theorem 2. *Let $\sigma > 1/2$, then for any fixed $1/2 < \sigma_1 < \sigma$ and $T \rightarrow \infty$, we have*

$$N(\lambda, \alpha; \sigma, T) \leq \frac{\log(\alpha^{2\sigma_1} \zeta(2\sigma_1, \alpha))}{2(\sigma - \sigma_1)} T + R(\sigma_1, T),$$

where

$$R(\sigma_1, T) = \begin{cases} B_{\sigma_1} T^{2-2\sigma_1} & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} < \sigma_1 < 1, \\ B_{\sigma_1} \log T & \text{for } \sigma_1 \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

2. Lemmas

We will use a lemma of Littlewood [7, §9.9]. Let $\varphi(s)$ is a meromorphic function on the rectangle D with vertices $\alpha+i0$, $\beta+i0$, $\beta+iT$, $\alpha+iT$, and let $\varphi(s)$ be regular and nonvanishing on the line $\sigma = \beta$. Then $\varphi(s)$ be regular in some neighbourhood of the line $T = \beta$. In this neighbourhood we define a function $F(s) = \log \varphi(s)$, by choosing some branch of the $\log \varphi(s)$. On other points of the rectangle we define $F(s)$ by continuation of the $\log(\beta + it)$ left from $\beta + it$ to $\sigma + it$. If a zero is reached, we use

$$F(s) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} F(\sigma + it + i\varepsilon).$$

Let $\nu(\sigma', T)$ mean a difference between a number of zeros and a number of poles of $\varphi(s)$ in a rectangle $\sigma' < \sigma \leq \beta$, $0 < t \leq T$. Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 1.

$$\int F(s) \, ds = -2\pi i \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \nu(\sigma, T) \, d\sigma$$

where the integral on the left we take around the contour of D .

Lemma 2. For any σ_0 , $\sigma > \sigma_0$, we have

$$L(\lambda, \alpha, s) = B_{\lambda} |t|^k.$$

where $k = k(\sigma_0)$.

Lemma is proved in [2].

Lemma 3. Let $\sigma > 1/2$. Then, for $T \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\int_0^T |L(\lambda, \alpha, s)|^2 \, dt = \zeta(2\sigma, \alpha)T + r(\sigma, T),$$

where

$$r(\sigma, T) = \begin{cases} B_{\sigma} T^{2-2\sigma}, & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1, \\ B_{\sigma} \log T, & \text{for } \sigma = 1, \\ B_{\sigma}, & \text{for } \sigma > 1. \end{cases}$$

For the proof see [4].

3. Proofs of theorems

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\sigma_1 > 1 + \alpha$ be arbitrary large number and be not an ordinate of the zero of the $L(\lambda, \alpha, s)$. Then from Lemma 1 and (1) we have for $1/2 \leq \sigma_0 \leq 1 + \alpha$ that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi \int_{\sigma_0}^{1+\alpha} N(\sigma, T; \lambda, \alpha) d\sigma &= \int_0^T \log |L(\lambda, \alpha, \sigma_0 + it)| dt \\ &- \int_0^T \log |L(\lambda, \alpha, \sigma_1 + it)| dt + \int_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1} \arg L(\sigma + iT) d\sigma + K(\sigma_0, \sigma_1) \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + K(\sigma_0, \sigma_1) \end{aligned}$$

where $K(\sigma_0, \sigma_1)$ does not depend on T .

First we evaluate the integral I_2 .

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \int_0^T \log \left| \alpha^{-\sigma_1 - it} \left(1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2\pi i \lambda m}}{\left(\frac{m+\alpha}{\alpha}\right)^{\sigma_1 + it}} \right) \right| dt \\ &= -\sigma_1 T \log \alpha + \int_0^T \log \left| 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2\pi i \lambda m}}{\left(\frac{m+\alpha}{\alpha}\right)^{\sigma_1 + it}} \right| dt = I_{21} + I_{22}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

It is clear, that there exists $\sigma' > 1 + \alpha$, such that a modulo of the sum in I_{22} is less than 1 for $\sigma_1 > \sigma'$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. For such σ_1 by the Maclaurin formula we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_{22} &= \int_0^T \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2\pi i \lambda m}}{\left(\frac{m+\alpha}{\alpha}\right)^{\sigma_1 + it}} \right)^n \right] \right) dt \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m_2=1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{m_n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2\pi i \lambda (m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_n)}}{\left(\frac{(m_1 + \alpha)(m_2 + \alpha) \dots (m_n + \alpha)}{\alpha^n} \right)^{\sigma_1}} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^T \left(\frac{\alpha}{(m_1 + \alpha)(m_2 + \alpha) \dots (m_n + \alpha)} \right)^{it} dt \\ &= B \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha}{m + \alpha} \right)^{\sigma_1} \right)^n. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

We can choose σ_1 big enough such that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha}{m + \alpha} \right)^{\sigma_1} < 1.$$

From this and (3) we have that

$$I_{22} = B,$$

and from (2)

$$I_2 = -\sigma_1 T \log \alpha + B.$$

Now it remains to estimate the I_3 . We define two functions

$$\Phi(s) = e^{iT \log \alpha} L(\lambda, \alpha, s), \quad \Phi_1(s) = e^{iT \log \alpha} L(1 - \lambda, \alpha, s)$$

Then

$$I_3 = \int_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1} \arg \Phi(\sigma + it) d\sigma - (\sigma_1 - \sigma_0) T \log \alpha. \quad (4)$$

It is easily seen that the leading terms of the Dirichlet series for $\Phi(s)$ and $\Phi_1(s)$ are positive at $s = \sigma_1 + iT$. Denote by q the number of zeros of $\operatorname{Re} \Phi(s)$ on the interval $J = (\sigma_0 + iT, \sigma_1 + iT)$, and divide J into at most $q + 1$ subintervals in each of which $\operatorname{Re} \Phi(s)$ is of constant sign. Then the variation of $\arg \Phi(s)$ does not exceed π in each subinterval, and we obtain

$$\left| \arg \Phi(s) \right|_{\sigma_1 + iT}^{\sigma_0 + iT} \leq (q + 1)\pi. \quad (5)$$

To estimate q we set

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2} (\Phi(z + iT) + \overline{\Phi_1(\bar{z} + iT)}).$$

First we note that $f(z)$ is an entire function, and if $z = \sigma$ is real, then

$$f(\sigma) = \operatorname{Re} \Phi(\sigma + iT). \quad (6)$$

Let $n(\varrho)$ stand for the number of zeros of $f(z)$ in the disc $|z - \sigma_1| \leq \varrho$, and let $r = 2(\sigma_1 - \sigma_0)$, $r_1 = r/2$. Then, clearly,

$$\int_0^r \frac{n(\varrho)}{\varrho} d\varrho \geq n(r_1) \int_{r_1}^r \frac{ds}{s} = n(r_1) \log 2,$$

and the well-known Jensen theorem yield

$$n(r_1) \leq \frac{1}{2\pi \log 2} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f(re^{i\theta} + \sigma_1)| d\theta - \frac{1}{\log 2} \log |f(\sigma_1)|. \quad (7)$$

By (6)

$$f(\sigma_1) = \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{\sigma_1}} + \frac{1}{\alpha^{\sigma_1}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2\pi i \lambda m}}{\left(\frac{\alpha+m}{\alpha}\right)^{\sigma_1+iT}} \right) \geq \frac{1}{\alpha^{\sigma_1}} - \frac{1}{\alpha^{\sigma_1}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\alpha+m}{\alpha}\right)^{\sigma_1}}.$$

For sufficiently large σ_1 this is $\geq 1/(2\alpha)^{\sigma_1}$, say. Hence and from (7), using Lemma 2, we obtain that

$$n(r_1) = B \log T. \quad (8)$$

By (6), the number of zeros of $\operatorname{Re} \Phi(s)$ on J is equal to the same number of zeros of $f(z)$ on (σ_0, σ_1) . By the definition (σ_0, σ_1) is contained in the disc $|z - \sigma_1| \leq r_1$. This, (8), (5) and (4) show that

$$I_3 = -(\sigma_1 - \sigma_0)T \log \alpha + B \log T.$$

The theorem is proved for T is not an ordinate of the zero of the $L(\lambda, \alpha, s)$. For others T theorem is true in view of a continuity.

Proof of Theorem 2. Using the concavity of the logarithm, from Lemma 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \log |L(\lambda, \alpha, \sigma + it)| \, dt &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \log |L(\lambda, \alpha, \sigma + it)|^2 \, dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} T \log \left(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |L(\lambda, \alpha, \sigma + it)|^2 \, dt \right) = \frac{1}{2} T \log \left(\zeta(2\sigma, \alpha) + \frac{r(\sigma, T)}{T} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then in view of Theorem 1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N(\lambda, \alpha; \sigma, T) &\leq \frac{1}{\sigma - \sigma_1} \int_{\sigma_1}^{\sigma} N(\lambda, \alpha; \sigma, T) \, d\sigma \leq \frac{1}{\sigma - \sigma_1} \int_{\sigma_1}^{1+\alpha} N(\lambda, \alpha; \sigma, T) \, d\sigma \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma - \sigma_1} \left(\frac{T}{2} \log \left(\zeta(2\sigma_1, \alpha) + \frac{r(\sigma_1, T)}{T} \right) + \sigma_1 T \log \alpha + B \log T \right). \end{aligned}$$

From this the theorem follows.

References

- [1] R. Garunkštis, On zeros of the Lerch zeta-function. II. *Preprint* 98–23.
- [2] R. Garunkštis and A. Laurinčikas, On zeros of the Lerch zeta function, in: *Proceedings of the Conf. "Number Theory and its Applications"*, Kyoto (to appear (1999)).
- [3] A. Laurinčikas, On zeros of some Dirichlet series, *Liet. Matem. Rink.*, **24**(40), 116–126 (1984) (in Russian).

- [4] A. Laurinčikas, On the mean square of the Lerch zeta function, *LMD mokslo darbai: Specialus "Liet. Matem. Rink."* priedas, III tomas, (spaudoje).
- [5] M. Lerch, Note sur la fonction $K(w, x, s) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \exp\{2\pi i x\} (n \cdot w)^{-s}$, *Acta Math.*, **11**, 19–24 (1987).
- [6] M. Mikolás, New proof and extension of the functional equality of Lerch's zeta-function, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Sec. Math.*, **14**, 111–116 (1971).
- [7] E.C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*, Oxford (1951).

Apie Lercho dzeta funkcijos nulius. III

R. Garunkštis

Straipsnyje nagrinejami Lercho dzeta funkcijos nulių skaičiaus įverčiai iš viršaus.