

## The Joint Universality for $L$ -Functions of Elliptic Curves\*

V. Garbaliuskienė<sup>1</sup>, R. Kačinskaitė<sup>1</sup>, A. Laurinčikas<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Šiauliai University, P. Višinskio st. 19, LT-77156 Šiauliai, Lithuania

<sup>2</sup>Vilnius University, Naugarduko st. 24, LT-03225 Vilnius, Lithuania  
antanas.laurincikas@maf.vu.lt

Received: 15.09.2004

Accepted: 13.10.2004

**Abstract.** A joint universality theorem in the Voronin sense for  $L$ -functions of elliptic curves over the field of rational numbers is proved.

**Keywords:** elliptic curve, function of exponential type, limit theorem, probability measure, random element, universality.

### 1 Introduction

Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over the field of rational numbers given by the Weierstrass equation

$$y^2 = x^3 + ax + b,$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  are rational integers. Suppose that the discriminant of  $E$   $\Delta = -16(4a^3 + 27b^2) \neq 0$ . It is known that then  $E$  is non-singular.

For each prime  $p$ , denote by  $\nu(p)$  the number of solutions of the congruence

$$y^2 \equiv x^3 + ax + b \pmod{p},$$

and denote  $\lambda(p) = p - \nu(p)$ . By the classical result of H. Hasse

$$|\lambda(p)| \leq 2\sqrt{p}. \tag{1}$$

---

\*Partially supported by grant from Lithuanian Foundation of Studies and Science.

To study the numbers  $\lambda(p)$ , H. Hasse and H. Weil introduced and studied the  $L$ -function attached to  $E$ . Let  $s = \sigma + it$  be a complex variable. Then the later  $L$ -function is defined by

$$L_E(s) = \prod_{p \nmid \Delta} \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda(p)}{p^s} + \frac{1}{p^{2s-1}} \right)^{-1} \prod_{p|\Delta} \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda(p)}{p^s} \right)^{-1},$$

in view of (1) the product being absolutely convergent for  $\sigma > \frac{3}{2}$ . By the Shimura-Taniyama theorem proved in [1] the function  $L_E(s)$  is analytically continuable to an entire function and satisfies the functional equation

$$\left( \frac{\sqrt{q}}{2\pi} \right)^s \Gamma(s) L_E(s) = \eta \left( \frac{\sqrt{q}}{2\pi} \right)^{2-s} \Gamma(2-s) L_E(2-s),$$

where  $q$  is a positive integer composed of prime factors of the discriminant  $\Delta$ ,  $\eta = \pm 1$  is the root number, and  $\Gamma(s)$  denotes the Euler gamma-function.

In [2] the universality in the Voronin sense of the function  $L_E(s)$  has been obtained. Denote by  $\text{meas}\{A\}$  the Lebesgue measure of the set  $A$ , and let, for  $T > 0$ ,

$$\nu_T(\dots) = \frac{1}{T} \text{meas}\{\tau \in [0, T]: \dots\},$$

where in place of dots a condition satisfied by  $\tau$  is to be written. Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be the complex plane, and  $D = \{s \in \mathbb{C}: 1 < \sigma < \frac{3}{2}\}$ .

**Theorem A.** *Suppose that  $E$  is a non-singular elliptic curve over the field of rational numbers. Let  $K$  be a compact subset of the strip  $D$  with connected complement, and let  $f(s)$  be a continuous non-vanishing on  $K$  function which is analytic in the interior of  $K$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,*

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \nu_T \left( \sup_{s \in K} |L_E(s + i\tau) - f(s)| < \varepsilon \right) > 0.$$

In [2] also the universality of  $L_E^k(s)$ ,  $k = 2, 3, \dots$ , and, under the analogue of the Riemann hypothesis for  $L_E(s)$ , of  $L_E^{-k}(s)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , was considered.

The aim of this paper is to obtain the joint universality for  $L$ -functions of elliptic curves.

Let  $n > 1$  be an positive integer. Consider  $n$  elliptic curves  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  given by the Weierstrass equations

$$y^2 = x^3 + a_j x + b_j,$$

with  $\Delta_j = -16(4a_j^3 + 27b_j^2) \neq 0$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Let, as above,

$$\lambda_j(p) = p - \nu_j(p),$$

where  $\nu_j(p)$  is the number of solutions of the congruence

$$y^2 \equiv x^3 + a_j x + b_j \pmod{p}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Define

$$L_{E_j}(s) = \prod_{p \nmid \Delta_j} \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda_j(p)}{p^s} + \frac{1}{p^{2s-1}} \right)^{-1} \prod_{p \mid \Delta_j} \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda_j(p)}{p^s} \right)^{-1}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

To state a joint universality theorem for the functions  $L_{E_j}(s)$  we need some additional conditions. Let  $P$  be the set of all prime numbers and let  $P_l$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, r$ ,  $r \geq n$ , be sets of prime numbers such that  $P_{l_1} \cap P_{l_2} = \emptyset$  for  $l_1 \neq l_2$ , and

$$P = \bigcup_{l=1}^r P_l.$$

Moreover, we suppose that, for  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in P_l}} \frac{1}{p} = \varkappa_l \log \log x + b_l + \rho_l(x), \tag{2}$$

where  $\varkappa_1 + \dots + \varkappa_r = 1$ ,  $\varkappa_l > 0$ ,  $\rho_l(x) = O(\log^{-\theta_l} x)$  with  $\theta_l > 1$ , and  $b_l$  is some real number,  $l = 1, \dots, r$ . Denote

$$B_j(p) = \frac{\lambda_j(p)}{\sqrt{p}},$$

and suppose that  $B_j(p)$  is constant for  $p \in P_l$ , i. e., for  $p \in P_l$

$$\begin{aligned} B_1(p) &= B_{l_1}, \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ B_n(p) &= B_{l_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$B_{rn} = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & \dots & B_{1n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ B_{r1} & \dots & B_{rn} \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that  $\text{rank}(B_{rn}) = n$ . Let  $K_j$  be a compact subset of the strip  $D$  with connected complement, and let  $f_j(s)$  be a continuous non-vanishing on  $K_j$  function which is analytic in the interior of  $K_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,*

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \nu_T \left( \sup_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sup_{s \in K_j} |L_{E_j}(s + i\tau) - f_j(s)| < \varepsilon \right) > 0.$$

Joint universality theorems for Dirichlet  $L$ -functions independently were proved by S.M. Voronin [3], S.M. Gonek [4] and B. Bagchi [5], [6]. For Dirichlet series with multiplicative coefficients they were obtained in [7]. The joint universality for Lerch zeta-functions, for Matsumoto zeta-functions, and for zeta-functions attached to certain cusp forms were proved in [8], [9] and [10], respectively. Joint universality theorems for twists of Dirichlet series with Dirichlet characters were investigated in [11] and [12]. Finally, theorems of a such type for some classes of general Dirichlet series were obtained in [13] and [14]. A survey on universality is given in [15] and [16]. A large part of the work [17] is also devoted to universality of Dirichlet series.

## 2 A limit theorem

Let  $V > 0$ , and

$$D_V = \left\{ s \in \mathbb{C} : 1 < \sigma < \frac{3}{2}, \quad |t| < V \right\}.$$

In this section we state a joint limit theorem for functions  $L_{E_1}, \dots, L_{E_n}$  on the space of analytic on  $D_V$  functions. Denote by  $H(G)$  the space of analytic on the region  $G$  functions equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on compacta, and let

$$H^m(G) = \underbrace{H(G) \times \dots \times H(G)}_m, \quad m \geq 2.$$

Moreover, by  $\mathcal{B}(S)$  we denote the class of Borel sets of the space  $S$ . We will consider the weak convergence of the probability measure

$$P_T(A) = \nu_T \left( (L_{E_1}(s + i\tau), \dots, L_{E_n}(s + i\tau)) \in A \right), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H^n(D_V)),$$

as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let  $\gamma = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : |s| = 1\}$  be the unit circle on the complex plane, and

$$\Omega = \prod_p \gamma_p,$$

where  $\gamma_p = \gamma$  for any prime  $p$ . With the product topology and operation of point-wise multiplication the set  $\Omega$  is a compact topological Abelian group, therefore the probability Haar measure  $m_H$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega))$  exists. This gives a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), m_H)$ . Denote by  $\omega(p)$  the projection of  $\omega \in \Omega$  to the coordinate space  $\gamma_p$ , and define on the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), m_H)$  the  $H^n(D_V)$ -valued random element  $L(s, \omega)$  by

$$L(s, \omega) = (L_{E_1}(s, \omega), \dots, L_{E_n}(s, \omega)), \tag{3}$$

where

$$L_{E_j}(s, \omega) = \prod_{p \nmid \Delta_j} \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda_j(p)\omega(p)}{p^s} + \frac{\omega^2(p)}{p^{2s-1}} \right)^{-1} \prod_{p \mid \Delta_j} \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda_j(p)\omega(p)}{p^s} \right)^{-1},$$

$j = 1, \dots, n$ . Let  $P_L$  be the distribution of the random element  $L(s, \omega)$ , i. e.,

$$P_L(A) = m_H(\omega \in \Omega : L(s, \omega) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H^n(D_V)).$$

**Lemma 1.** *The probability measure  $P_T$  converges weakly to  $P_L$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* The function  $L_{E_j}(s)$ , for  $\sigma > \frac{3}{2}$ , can be written in the form

$$L_{E_j}(s) = \prod_{p \nmid \Delta_j} \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda_j(p)}{p^s} \right)^{-1} \prod_{p \mid \Delta_j} \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha_j(p)}{p^s} \right)^{-1} \left( 1 - \frac{\beta_j(p)}{p^s} \right)^{-1},$$

where

$$\alpha_j(p) + \beta_j(p) = \lambda_j(p),$$

and by (2)

$$|\alpha_j(p)| \leq 2\sqrt{p}, \quad |\beta_j(p)| \leq 2\sqrt{p} \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Therefore,  $L_{E_j}(s)$  is the Matsumoto zeta-function with  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$ , for definitions, see [18] and [19]. Since by the Shimura-Taniyama theorem  $L_{E_j}(s)$  coincides with  $L$ -function attached to a newform of level 2, we have that, for  $\sigma > 1$ , the estimates

$$L_{E_j}(\sigma + it) = O(|t|^{\alpha_j}), \quad |t| \geq t_0, \quad \alpha_j > 0,$$

and

$$\int_0^T |L_{E_j}(\sigma + it)|^2 dt = O(T), \quad T \rightarrow \infty,$$

are satisfied. Therefore, by Theorem 2 of [9] we have that the probability measure

$$\nu_T \left( (L_{E_1}(s + i\tau), \dots, L_{E_n}(s + i\tau)) \in A \right), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H^n(D)),$$

weakly converges to the distribution of the  $H^n(D)$ -valued random element defined by (3) as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . The function  $h: H^n(D) \rightarrow H^n(D_V)$  defined by the coordinatewise restriction is continuous, therefore by Theorem 5.1 of [20] hence we obtain the lemma.  $\square$

### 3 A denseness lemma

To prove Theorem 1 we need the support of the measure  $P_L$  in Lemma 1. For this we will consider the random element  $L(s, \omega)$  and its support.

Let  $a_p \in \gamma$ . For  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , we define

$$f_{jp}(s, a_p) = \begin{cases} -\log \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda_j(p)a_p}{p^s} + \frac{a_p^2}{p^{2s-1}} \right), & \text{if } p \nmid \Delta_j, \\ -\log \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda_j(p)a_p}{p^s} \right), & \text{if } p \mid \Delta_j, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\underline{f}_p(s, a_p) = (f_{1p}(s, a_p), \dots, f_{np}(s, a_p)).$$

**Lemma 2.** *Suppose that  $\text{rank}(B_{rn}) = n$ . Then the set of all convergent series  $\sum_p \underline{f}(s, a_p)$  is dense in  $H^n(D_V)$ .*

For the proof of the lemma we will use the following statements.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\mu$  be a complex Borel measure on  $(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}))$  with compact support contained in  $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \sigma > \sigma_0\}$ , and let*

$$f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{sz} d\mu(s), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

*If  $f(z) \not\equiv 0$ , then  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |f(x)|}{x} > \sigma_0$ .*

The lemma is Lemma 5.2.2 of [5]. Its proof is also given [21], Lemma 6.4.10.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $f(s)$  be a function of exponential type such that*

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |f(x)|}{x} > -1.$$

*Then, for  $l = 1, \dots, r$ ,*

$$\sum_{p \in P_l} |f(\log p)| = \infty.$$

The proof is based on the property (2) of the sets  $P_l$  as well as on the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $f(s)$  be an entire function of exponential type, and let  $\{\lambda_m\}$  be a sequence of complex numbers. Let  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\delta$  be positive real numbers such that*

- (i)  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |f(\pm ix)|}{x} \leq \alpha$ ;
- (ii)  $|\lambda_m - \lambda_n| \geq \delta |m - n|$ ;
- (iii)  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_m}{m} = \beta$ ;
- (iv)  $\alpha\beta < \pi$ .

*Then  $\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |f(\lambda_m)|}{|\lambda_m|} = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |f(r)|}{r}$ .*

The lemma is a special version of the Bernstein theorem. The proof is given in [21].

*Proof of Lemma 4.* Since  $f(s)$  is a function of exponential type, there exists an  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |f(\pm ix)|}{x} \leq \alpha.$$

We fix a positive number  $\beta$  such that  $\alpha\beta < \pi$ . Suppose, on the contrary, that for some  $l, 1 \leq l \leq r$ , the series

$$\sum_{p \in P_l} |f(\log p)| \tag{4}$$

converges.

Define the subset  $A$  of the set  $\mathbb{N}$  of positive integers by

$$A = \left\{ m \in \mathbb{N} : \exists r \in \left( (m - \frac{1}{4})\beta, (m + \frac{1}{4})\beta \right] \text{ and } |f(r)| \leq e^{-r} \right\}.$$

Then we have that

$$\sum_{p \in P_l} |f(\log p)| \geq \sum_{m \notin A} \sum'_m |f(\log p)| \geq \sum_{m \notin A} \sum'_m \frac{1}{p}, \tag{5}$$

where  $\sum'_m$  denotes a sum over prime numbers  $p \in P_l$  such that

$$(m - \frac{1}{4})\beta < \log p \leq (m + \frac{1}{4})\beta.$$

In view of (2) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \sum'_m \frac{1}{p} &= \sum_{\substack{p \in P_l \\ p \leq \exp\{(m + \frac{1}{4})\beta\}}} \frac{1}{p} - \sum_{\substack{p \in P_l \\ p \leq \exp\{(m - \frac{1}{4})\beta\}}} \frac{1}{p} \\ &= \varkappa_l \log \frac{m + \frac{1}{4}}{m - \frac{1}{4}} + O((m - \frac{1}{4})^{\theta_l}) = \frac{\varkappa_l}{2m} + O\left(\frac{1}{m^{\theta_l}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

This, the convergence of the series (4) and (5) yield

$$\sum_{m \notin A} \left( \frac{\varkappa_l}{2m} + O\left(\frac{1}{m^{\theta_l}}\right) \right) = \sum_{m \notin A} \sum'_m \frac{1}{p} \leq \sum_{p \in P_l} |f(\log p)| < \infty.$$



Hence, clearly, since  $\varkappa_l > 0$ ,

$$\sum_{m \notin A} \frac{1}{m} < \infty. \quad (6)$$

Suppose that  $A = \{a_m \in \mathbb{N} : a_1 < a_2 < \dots\}$ . Then (6) shows that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_m}{m} = 1. \quad (7)$$

Moreover, by the definition of the set  $A$ , there exists a sequence  $\{\lambda_m\}$  such that

$$(a_m - \frac{1}{4})\beta < \lambda_m \leq (a_m + \frac{1}{4})\beta, \quad (8)$$

and

$$|f(\lambda_m)| \leq e^{-\lambda_m}. \quad (9)$$

Therefore, by (7) and (8)

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_m}{m} = \beta,$$

and

$$|\lambda_m - \lambda_n| \geq \beta|a_m - a_n| - \frac{1}{2}\beta \geq \delta|m - n|$$

with some  $\delta > 0$ , and in view of (9)

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |f(\lambda_m)|}{|\lambda_m|} \leq -1. \quad (10)$$

So, all hypotheses of Lemma 5 are satisfied, and we have by (10) that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |f(r)|}{r} \leq -1.$$

However, this contradicts the hypothesis of the lemma. Hence, the series (4) must be divergent, and the lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $\{\underline{f}_m\} = \{(f_{1m}, \dots, f_{nm})\}$  be a sequence in  $H^n(D_V)$  which satisfies:*

- (i) If  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$  are complex Borel measures on  $(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}))$  with compact supports contained in  $D_V$  such that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{C}} f_{jm} \, d\mu_j \right| < \infty,$$

then

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} s^r \, d\mu_j(s) = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n \quad \text{and } r = 0, 1, 2, \dots;$$

- (ii) The series  $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \underline{f}_m$  converges in  $H^n(D_V)$ ;  
 (iii) For any compacts  $K_1, \dots, K_n \subset D_V$ ,

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \sup_{s \in K_j} |f_{jm}(s)|^2 < \infty.$$

Then the set of all convergent series  $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m \underline{f}_m$  with  $a_m \in \gamma$  is dense in  $H^n(D_V)$ .

The lemma is a special case of Lemma 5 from [10], where its proof is given. Now we are ready to prove Lemma 2.

*Proof of Lemma 2.* Let  $p_0$  be a fixed positive number. We define

$$\underline{f}_p(s) = \begin{cases} \underline{f}_p(s, 1), & \text{if } p > p_0, \\ 0, & \text{if } p \leq p_0. \end{cases}$$

First we observe that there exists a sequence  $\{\hat{a}_p : \hat{a}_p \in \gamma\}$  such that the series

$$\sum_p \hat{a}_p \underline{f}_p \tag{11}$$

converges in  $H^n(D_V)$ . Really, in view of (1)

$$f_{jp}(s, 1) = \frac{\lambda_j(p)}{p^s} + r_{jp}(s),$$

where  $r_{jp}(s) = O(p^{1-2\sigma})$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Hence we have that for compact subsets  $K_1, \dots, K_n$  of  $D_V$ ,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_p \sup_{s \in K_j} |r_{jp}(s)| < \infty.$$

In the proof that  $L_{E_j}(s, \omega)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , is an  $H(D_V)$ -valued random element it is proved that the series

$$\sum_p \frac{\lambda_j(p)\omega(p)}{p^s}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

converges uniformly on compact subsets of  $D_V$  for almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$ , see, for example, [19], where the Matsumoto zeta-functions were considered. Hence the series

$$\sum_p \left( \frac{\lambda_1(p)\omega(p)}{p^s}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_n(p)\omega(p)}{p^s} \right)$$

converges in  $H^n(D_V)$  for almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Consequently, there exists a sequence  $\{\hat{a}_p: \hat{a}_p \in \gamma\}$  such that the series (11) converges in  $H^n(D_V)$ .

Now we will prove that the set all convergent series

$$\sum_p a_p \underline{f}_p, \quad a_p \in \gamma, \tag{12}$$

is dense in  $H^n(D_V)$ . To prove this, it suffices to show that the set of all convergent series

$$\sum_p b_p \underline{g}_p, \quad b_p \in \gamma, \tag{13}$$

where  $\underline{g}_p = \hat{a}_p \underline{f}_p$ , is dense in  $H^n(D_V)$ . For this we will apply Lemma 6 for the sequence  $\{\underline{g}_p\}$ .

By the definition of  $\underline{g}_p$  we have that the series  $\sum_p \underline{g}_p$  converges in  $H^n(D_V)$ .

Moreover, in virtue of (1), for any compacts  $K_1, \dots, K_n \subset D_V$ ,

$$\sum_p \sum_{j=1}^n \sup_{s \in K_j} |g_{jp}(s)|^2 < \infty.$$

Therefore, the hypotheses ii) and iii) of Lemma 6 are satisfied, and it remains to verify the hypothesis i).

Let  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$  be complex Borel measures on  $(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}))$  with compact supports contained in  $D_V$  such that

$$\sum_p \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{C}} b_p g_{jp} \, d\mu_j \right| < \infty. \quad (14)$$

Let  $D_{0V} = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1, \quad |t| < V\}$ , and let  $h(s) = s - \frac{1}{2}$ . Define  $\mu_j h^{-1}(A) = \mu_j(h^{-1}A)$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Then, clearly,  $\mu_j h^{-1}$  is a complex measure on  $(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}))$  with compact support contained in  $D_{0V}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . This and (14) show that, for every  $l = 1, \dots, r$ ,

$$\sum_{p \in P_l} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n b_{lj} \int_{\mathbb{C}} p^{-s} \, d\mu_j h^{-1}(s) \right| < \infty. \quad (15)$$

We put

$$\nu_l(s) = \sum_{j=1}^n b_{lj} \mu_j h^{-1}(s).$$

Then (15) yields, for every  $l = 1, \dots, r$ ,

$$\sum_{p \in P_l} |\rho_l(\log p)| < \infty,$$

where

$$\rho_l(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{-sz} \, d\nu_l(s), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Clearly, we have that, for  $r > 0$ ,

$$|\rho_l(r e^{i\varphi})| \leq e^{Vr} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |d\nu_l(s)|.$$

Hence

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |\rho_l(r e^{i\varphi})|}{r} \leq V$$

uniformly in  $\varphi$ ,  $0 < \varphi \leq \pi$ . This shows that  $\rho_l(z)$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, r$ , is a function of exponential type.

In view of Lemmas 3 and 4 we find that  $\rho(z) \equiv 0$  for every  $l = 1, \dots, r$ . Hence it follows by differentiation that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} s^k \, d\nu_l(s) = 0 \tag{16}$$

for all  $l = 1, \dots, r$  and  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Now let

$$x_j = x_j(k) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} s^k \, d\mu_j h^{-1}(s).$$

Then the definition of  $\nu_j(s)$  and (16) give the following system of equations

$$\sum_{j=1}^n b_{lj} x_j = 0, \quad l = 1, \dots, r.$$

Since  $\text{rank}(B_{rn}) = n$ , the later system has only a solution  $x_j = 0$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Thus we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} s^k \, d\mu_j h^{-1}(s) = 0$$

for all  $j = 1, \dots, n$  and  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . From this it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} s^k \, d\mu_j(s) = 0$$

for all  $j = 1, \dots, n$  and  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . This shows that all hypotheses of Lemma 6 hold, therefore the set of all convergent series (13) is dense in  $H^n(D_V)$ , hence the same is true for the set of all convergent series (12).

Now let  $\underline{x}(s) = (x_1(s), \dots, x_n(s))$  be an arbitrary element of  $H^n(D_V)$ ,  $K_1, \dots, K_n$  be compact subsets of  $D_V$ , and let  $\varepsilon$  be an arbitrary positive number. We fix  $p_0$  such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \sup_{s \in K_j} \sum_{p > p_0} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{|\lambda_j(p)|^k}{k p^{k\sigma}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}. \tag{17}$$

The denseness of all convergent series implies the existence of the sequence  $\{\tilde{a}_m : \tilde{a}_m \in \gamma\}$  such that

$$\sup_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sup_{s \in K_j} \left| \underline{x}(s) - \sum_{p \leq p_0} \underline{f}_p(s) - \sum_{p \geq p_0} \tilde{a}_p \underline{f}_p(s) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \quad (18)$$

We take

$$a_p = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } p \leq p_0, \\ \tilde{a}_p, & \text{if } p > p_0. \end{cases}$$

Then (17) and (18) yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sup_{s \in K_j} \left| \underline{x}(s) - \sum_p \underline{f}_p(s, a_p) \right| \\ &= \sup_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sup_{s \in K_j} \left| \underline{x}(s) - \sum_{p \leq p_0} \underline{f}_p(s, a_p) - \sum_{p > p_0} \underline{f}_p(s, a_p) \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sup_{s \in K_j} \left| \underline{x}(s) - \sum_{p \leq p_0} \underline{f}_p(s) - \sum_{p \geq p_0} \tilde{a}_p \underline{f}_p(s) \right| \\ &\quad + \sup_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sup_{s \in K_j} \left| \sum_{p > p_0} \tilde{a}_p \underline{f}_p(s) - \sum_{p > p_0} \underline{f}_p(s, \tilde{a}_p) \right| < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\underline{x}(s)$ ,  $K_1, \dots, K_n$  and  $\varepsilon$  are arbitrary, the lemma is proved.  $\square$

#### 4 The support of $P_L$

Let

$$S = \{f \in H(D_V) : f(s) \neq 0 \text{ or } f(s) \equiv 0\}.$$

**Lemma 7.** *The support of the measure  $P_L$  is the set  $S^n$ .*

The proof of Lemma 7 relies on Lemma 2, the Hurwitz theorem and the following statement. We denote by  $S_X$  the support of the random element  $X$ .

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $\{X_n\}$  be a sequence of independent  $H^n(D_V)$ -valued random elements such that the series  $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} X_m$  converges almost surely. Then the support*

of the sum of the later series is the closure of the set of all  $\underline{f} \in H^n(D_V)$  which may be written as a convergent series

$$\underline{f} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \underline{f}_m, \quad \underline{f}_m \in S_{X_m}.$$

The lemma is a special case of Lemma 4 from [10], where its proof can be find.

*Proof of Lemma 7.* Since  $\{\omega(p)\}$  is a sequence of independent random variables,  $\{\underline{f}_p(s, \omega(p))\}$  is a sequence of independent  $H^n(D_V)$ -valued random elements defined on the probability space  $(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}), m_H)$ . The support of each  $\omega(p)$  is the unit circle  $\gamma$ . Therefore, the support of  $\underline{f}_p(s, \omega(p))$  is the set

$$\{\underline{f} \in H(D_V) : \underline{f}(s) = \underline{f}(s, a), a \in \gamma\}.$$

Hence by Lemma 8 the support of the  $H^n(D_V)$ -valued random element

$$(\log L_{E_1}(s, \omega), \dots, \log L_{E_n}(s, \omega)) \tag{19}$$

is the closure of the set of all convergent series  $\sum_p \underline{f}_p(s, a_p)$ . However, by Lemma 2, the latter set is dense in  $H^n(D_V)$ . Hence the support of the random element (19) is  $H^n(D_V)$ . The map  $h: H^n(D_V) \rightarrow H^n(D_V)$  given by the formula

$$h(f_1(s), \dots, f_n(s)) = (e^{f_1(s)}, \dots, e^{f_n(s)}), \quad f_1, \dots, f_n \in H^n(D_V),$$

is a continuous function which sends the element (19) to  $L(s, \omega)$ , and  $H^n(D_V)$  to  $(S \setminus \{0\})^n$ . Therefore, the support  $S_L$  of the random element  $L(s, \omega)$  contains the set  $(S \setminus \{0\})^n$ . However, the support of a random element is a closed set. In view of the Hurwitz theorem, see, for example, [22], Section 3.4.5, the closure of  $S \setminus \{0\}$  is  $S$ . Thus,  $S_L \supseteq S^n$ . On the other the factors of the product defining  $L_{E_j}(s, \omega)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , do not vanish for  $s \in D_V$ . Hence  $L_{E_j}(s, \omega)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , is an almost surely convergent product of non-vanishing factors, and therefore, the Hurwitz theorem shows that  $L_{E_j}(s, \omega) \in S$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , almost surely. Hence the relation  $S_L \subset S^n$  holds, and we have that  $S_L = S^n$ .  $\square$

## 5 Proof of Theorem 1

Proof of Theorem 1 is based on Lemmas 1 and 7 as well as on the Mergelyan theorem which is the following lemma.

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a compact subset with connected complement, and let  $f(s)$  be a continuous on  $K$  function which is analytic in the interior of  $K$ . Then  $f(s)$  can be approximated uniformly on  $K$  by polynomials in  $s$ .*

Proof of the lemma can be found in [23].

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Clearly, there exists  $V > 0$  such that the sets  $K_1, \dots, K_n$  are contained in  $D_V$ . First we suppose that the functions  $f_1(s), \dots, f_n(s)$  are non-zero analytically continuable to  $D_V$ . Let  $G = \{(g_1, \dots, g_n) : (g_1, \dots, g_n) \in H^n(D_V)\}$ , and

$$\sup_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sup_{s \in K_j} |g_j(s) - f_j(s)| < \varepsilon.$$

The set  $G$  is open. Therefore, the properties of the weak convergence of probability measures [20] and Lemma 1 show that

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \nu_T \left( (L_{E_1}(s + i\tau), \dots, L_{E_n}(s + i\tau)) \in 0 \right) \geq P_L(G).$$

However, the properties of the support and Lemma 7 show that  $P_L(G) > 0$ . Therefore, in this case

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \nu_T \left( \sup_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sup_{s \in K_j} |L_{E_j}(s + i\tau) - f_j(s)| < \varepsilon \right) > 0. \quad (20)$$

Now we suppose that the functions  $f_1(s), \dots, f_n(s)$  satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 1. By Lemma 9 there exist polynomials  $p_1(s), \dots, p_n(s)$  which are non-vanishing on  $K_1, \dots, K_n$ , respectively, such that

$$\sup_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sup_{s \in K_j} |p_j(s) - f_j(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}. \quad (21)$$

Each polynomial  $p_j(s)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , has finitely many zeros. Therefore, there exists a region  $G_j$  with connected complement such  $K_j \subset G_j$  and  $p_j(s) \neq 0$  for  $s \in G_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Thus we can consider a continuous branch of  $\log p_j(s)$



on  $G_j$ , and  $\log p_j(s)$  is analytic function in the interior of  $G_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . By Lemma 9 again there exist polynomials  $g_1(s), \dots, g_n(s)$  such that

$$\sup_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sup_{s \in K_j} |p_j(s) - e^{q_j(s)}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

This and (21) show that

$$\sup_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sup_{s \in K_j} |f_j(s) - e^{q_j(s)}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \quad (22)$$

However,  $e^{q_j(s)}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Therefore, in view of (20),

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \nu_T \left( \sup_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sup_{s \in K_j} |L_{E_j}(s + i\tau) - e^{q_j(s)}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) > 0.$$

This together with (22) proves the theorem.  $\square$

## References

1. Breuil C., Conrad B., Diamond F., Taylor R. “On the modularity of elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$ : wild 3-adic exercises”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **14**, p. 843–939, 2001
2. Garbaliuskienė V., Laurinčikas A. *Some analytic properties for  $L$ -functions of elliptic curves*, Preprint **16**, Vilnius University, Department of Math. and Inform., 2003
3. Voronin S.M. “On functional independence of Dirichlet  $L$ -functions”, *Acta Arith.*, **27**, p. 493–503, 1975 (in Russian)
4. Gonek S.M. *Analytic properties of zeta and  $L$ -functions*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Michigan, 1979
5. Bagchi B. *The statistical behaviour and universality properties of the Riemann zeta-function and other allied Dirichlet series*, Ph.D. Thesis, Calcutta, Indian Statistical Institute, 1981
6. Bagchi B. “A joint universality theorem for Dirichlet  $L$ -functions,” *Math. Z.*, **181**, p. 319–334, 1982
7. Laurinčikas A. “On zeros of linear combinations of Dirichlet series”, *Liet. Matem. Rink.*, **26**, p. 468–477, 1986 (in Russian); *Lith. Math. J.*, **26**, p. 244–251, 1986
8. Laurinčikas A., Matsumoto K. “The joint universality and the functional independence for Lerch zeta-functions”, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **157**, p. 211–227, 2000

9. Laurinčikas A. “On the zeros of linear combinations of Matsumoto zeta-functions”, *Liet. Matem. Rink.*, **38**, p.185–204, 1998 (in Russian); *Lith. Math. J.*, **38**, p. 144–159, 1998
10. Laurinčikas A., Matsumoto K. “The joint universality of zeta-functions attached to certain cusp forms”, *Fiz. matem. fak. moksl. sem. darbai*, **5**, p. 58–75, Šiauliai University, 2002
11. Šleževičienė R. “The joint universality for twists of Dirichlet series with multiplicative coefficients”, In: *Analytic and Probab. Methods in Number Theory, Proc. of third Intern. Conf. in konow of J. Kubilius, Palanga 2001*, Dubickas A. et al. (Eds.), TEV, Vilnius, p. 303–319, 2002
12. Laurinčikas A., Matsumoto K. “The joint universality of twisted automorphic  $L$ -functions”, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **56**(3), p. 923–939, 2004 (to appear)
13. Laurinčikas A. “The joint universality for general Dirichlet series”, *Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp.*, **22**, p. 235–251, 2003
14. Laurinčikas A. “The joint universality of general Dirichlet series”, *Izv. ANR, ser. matem.* (in Russian) (to appear)
15. Laurinčikas A. “The universality of zeta-functions”, *Acta Appl. Math.*, **78**, p. 251–271, 2003
16. Grosse-Erdmann K.-G. “Universal families and hypercyclic operators”, *Bull Amer. Math. Soc.*, **36**, p. 345–381, 1999
17. Steuding J. *Value-distribution of  $L$ -functions and allied zeta-functions – with an emphasis on aspects of universality*, Habilitationsschrift, J.W.Goethe-Universitat Frankfurt, 2003
18. Matsumoto K. “Value-distribution of zeta-functions”, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **1434**, p. 178–187, Springer, 1990
19. Laurinčikas A. “On the Matsumoto zeta-function”, *Acta Arith.*, **84**, p. 1–16, 1998
20. Billingsley P. *Convergence of probability measures*, New York, John Wiley, 1968
21. Laurinčikas A. *Limit Theorems for the Riemann Zeta-Function*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 1996
22. Titchmarsh E.C. *The Theory of Functions*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1939
23. Walsh J.L. “Interpolation and Approximation by Rational Functions in the Complex Domain”, *Amer. Math. Soc. Collog. Publ.*, **20**, 1960