

On joint universality for general Dirichlet series

Jonas GENYS (ŠU)

e-mail: mat.kat@fm.su.lt

Let $s = \sigma + it$ be a complex variable, and let \mathbb{C} denote the complex plane. The series

$$f(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m e^{-\lambda_m s}, \quad \sigma > \sigma_a,$$

is called the general Dirichlet series. Here $a_m \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\{\lambda_m\}$ is an increasing sequence of positive numbers, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_m = +\infty$. Let

$$\nu_T(\dots) = \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \{ \tau \in [0, T]: \dots \},$$

where $T > 0$, $\text{meas}\{A\}$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of the set A , and in place of dots a condition satisfied by τ is to be written. Note that the problem of the universality for zeta-functions comes back to S.M. Voronin. In 1975 he proved [6] that any analytic function can be approximated by translations $\zeta(s + i\tau)$ of the Riemann zeta-function $\zeta(s)$. The Voronin theorem states [2] that if K is a compact subset of the strip $\{s \in \mathbb{C}: \frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1\}$ with connected complement, and $g(s)$ is a non-vanishing continuous function on K which is analytic in the interior of K , then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \nu_T \left(\sup_{s \in K} |\zeta(s + i\tau) - g(s)| < \varepsilon \right) > 0.$$

For the universality of general Dirichlet series theorem we need some conditions.

We suppose that the system of exponents $\{\lambda_m\}$ is linearly independent over the field of rational numbers, the function $f(s)$ is meromorphically continuable to the half-plane $\sigma > \sigma_1$ with some $\sigma_1 < \sigma_a$ and it is analytic in the strip

$$D = \{s \in \mathbb{C}: \sigma_1 < \sigma < \sigma_a\}.$$

We also require that, for $\sigma > \sigma_1$, the estimates

$$f(\sigma + it) = B|t|^\alpha, \quad |t| \geq t_0, \quad \alpha > 0,$$

and

$$\int_{-T}^T |f(\sigma + it)|^2 dt = BT, \quad T \rightarrow \infty,$$

should be satisfied. Here and in the sequel B denotes a quantity bounded by a constant. Denote, for $x > 0$,

$$r(x) = \sum_{\lambda_m \leq x} 1,$$

and let $c_m = a_m e^{-\lambda_m \sigma_a}$. Suppose that, for some $\theta > 0$,

$$\sum_{\lambda_m \leq x} |c_m|^2 = \theta r(x)(1 + o(1))$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$, $|c_m| \leq d$ with some $d > 0$, and

$$r(x) = C_1 x^\varkappa + B, \quad (1)$$

where $\varkappa \geq 1$, $C_1 > 0$ and $|B| \leq C_2$. Finally, we assume that $f(s)$ cannot be represented in the region $\sigma > \sigma_a$ by an Euler product over primes. Then we have the following statement [5].

THEOREM A. *Suppose that the function $f(s)$ satisfies all the conditions stated above. Let K be a compact subset of the strip D with connected complement, and let $g(s)$ be a continuous function on K which is analytic in the interior of K . Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \nu_T \left(\sup_{s \in K} |f(s + i\tau) - g(s)| < \varepsilon \right) > 0.$$

For simplicity, we will consider a collection of two functions, only. Let, for $\sigma > \sigma_{aj}$, the series

$$f_j(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{mj} e^{-\lambda_m s}$$

converges absolutely, $j = 1, 2$. As above, suppose that $f_j(s)$ is meromorphically continuable to the half-plane $\sigma > \sigma_{1j}$ with some $\sigma_{1j} < \sigma_{aj}$, all poles being included in a compact set, it is analytic in the strip $\{s \in \mathbb{C}: \sigma_{1j} < \sigma < \sigma_{aj}\}$, and that $f_j(s)$ cannot be represented by an Euler product over primes in the region $\sigma > \sigma_{aj}$, $j = 1, 2$. Moreover, let, for $\sigma > \sigma_{1j}$, the estimates

$$f_j(\sigma + it) = B|t|^{\alpha_j}, \quad |t| \geq t_0, \quad \alpha_j > 0, \quad (2)$$

and

$$\int_{-T}^T |f_j(\sigma + it)|^2 dt = BT, \quad T \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3)$$

be satisfied. Let $c_{mj} = a_{mj} e^{-\lambda_m \sigma_{aj}}$, $j = 1, 2$. Then we suppose that there exist $r \geq 2$ sets \mathbb{N}_k , $\mathbb{N}_{k_1} \cap \mathbb{N}_{k_2} = \emptyset$, for $k_1 \neq k_2$, $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{k=1}^r \mathbb{N}_k$, such that $c_{mj} = b_{kj}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}_k$,

$k = 1, \dots, r, j = 1, 2$. Let

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ \dots & \dots \\ b_{r1} & b_{r2} \end{pmatrix},$$

and we assume that the sequence $\{\lambda_m\}$ satisfies (1), and that

$$\sum_{\lambda_m \leq x, m \in \mathbb{N}_k} 1 = \varkappa_k r(x)(1 + o(1)), \quad x \rightarrow \infty, \tag{4}$$

with positive $\varkappa_k, k = 1, \dots, r$. Then in [3] the following assertion was obtained.

THEOREM B. *Suppose that conditions (1)–(4) are satisfied, the set $\{\log 2\} \cup \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \{\lambda_m\}$ is linearly independent over the field of rational numbers, and that $\text{rank}(L) = 2$. Let K_j be a compact subset of the strip D_j with connected complement, and let $g_j(s)$ be a continuous function on K_j which is analytic in the interior of $K_j, j = 1, 2$. There, for every $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \nu_T \left(\sup_{1 \leq j < 2} \sup_{s \in K_j} |f_j(s + i\tau) - g_j(s)| < \varepsilon \right) > 0.$$

The requirement that the set $\{\log 2\} \cup \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \{\lambda_m\}$ should be linearly independent over the field of rational numbers is not natural. It turns out that the number $\log 2$ can be removed from the later set. The aim of this paper is the following statement.

THEOREM. *Suppose that conditions (1)–(4) are satisfied, the system $\{\lambda_m\}$ is linearly independent over the field of rational numbers, and that $\text{rank}(L) = 2$. Then the assertion of Theorem B is true.*

Let G be a region on the complex plane. Denote by $H(G)$ the space of analytic on G functions equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on compacta. Let, for $N > 0$,

$$D_{j,N} = \{s \in \mathbb{C}: \sigma_{1j} < \sigma < \sigma_{aj}, |t| < N\}, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

and

$$H_{2,N} = H_2(D_{1,N}, D_{2,N}) = H(D_{1,N}) \times H(D_{2,N}).$$

Denote by $\mathcal{B}(S)$ the class of Borel sets of the space S . Let

$$P_T(A) = \nu_T(f_1(s_1 + i\tau), f_2(s_2 + i\tau) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H_{2,N}),$$

and let γ be the unit circle on the complex plane, and

$$\Omega = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \gamma_m,$$

where $\gamma_m = \gamma$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since Ω is a compact topological Abelian group, the probability Haar measure m_H on $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega))$ exists. Denote by $\omega(m)$ the projection of $\omega \in \Omega$ onto the coordinate space γ_m .

On the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), m_H)$ define an $H_{2,N}$ -valued random element

$$f(s_1, s_2; \omega) = (f_1(s_1, \omega), f_2(s_2, \omega)),$$

where

$$f_j(s_j, \omega) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{mj} \omega(m) e^{-\lambda_m s}, \quad s_j \in D_{j,N}, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Let P_f stand for the distribution of the random element $f(s_1, s_2; \omega)$, i.e.,

$$P_f(A) = m_H(\omega \in \Omega: f(s_1, s_2; \omega) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H_{2,N}).$$

LEMMA 1. *The probability measure P_T converges weakly to the measure P_f as $T \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof is based on a limit theorem from [1].

We consider the support S of the measure P_f in Lemma 1. The support S is the minimal closed set of $H_{2,N}$ such that $P_f(S_{P_f}) = 1$.

LEMMA 2. *The support of the random element $f(s_1, s_2; \omega)$ is the whole of $H_{2,N}$.*

Proof uses lemmas from [2] and [4]. A full proof of the lemma is sufficiently long, it will be given elsewhere.

Proof of the theorem. First we suppose that the functions $g_1(s), g_2(s)$ have analytical continuation to the regions $D_{1,N}, D_{2,N}$, respectively. Let G consist of $(y_1, y_2) \in H_{2,N}$ satisfying the inequality

$$\sup_{1 \leq j \leq 2} \sup_{s \in K_j} |y_j(s) - g_j(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

Clearly, the set G is open. Therefore, properties of the weak convergence of probability measures, Lemmas 1 and 2 yield

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \nu_T \left(\sup_{1 \leq j \leq 2} \sup_{s \in K_j} |f_j(s + i\tau) - g_j(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \right) \geq P_f(G) > 0.$$

Now let the functions $g_1(s)$, $g_2(s)$ and the sets K_1 , K_2 satisfy the conditions of the theorem. Then by the Mergelyan theorem, see, for example, [7], there exist polynomials $p_1(s)$, $p_2(s)$ such that

$$\sup_{1 \leq j \leq 2} \sup_{s \in K_j} |p_j(s) - g_j(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \quad (5)$$

By the beginning of the proof

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \nu_T \left(\sup_{1 \leq j \leq 2} \sup_{s \in K_j} |f_j(s + i\tau) - p_j(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) > 0. \quad (6)$$

In virtue of (5)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \tau: \sup_{1 \leq j \leq 2} \sup_{s \in K_j} |f_j(s + i\tau) - p_j(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \\ & \subseteq \left\{ \tau: \sup_{1 \leq j \leq 2} \sup_{s \in K_j} |f_j(s + i\tau) - g_j(s)| < \varepsilon \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (6) shows that

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \nu_T \left(\sup_{1 \leq j \leq 2} \sup_{s \in K_j} |f_j(s + i\tau) - g_j(s)| < \varepsilon \right) > 0.$$

The theorem is proved.

References

1. J. Genys, A. Laurinčikas, On joint limit theorem for general Dirichlet series, *Nonlinear Analysis: Modeling and Control*, **8**(2), 27–39 (2003).
2. A. Laurinčikas, *Limit Theorems for the Riemann Zeta-Function*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, London (1996).
3. A. Laurinčikas, The joint universality for general Dirichlet series, *Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest, Sect. Comp.*, **22**, 235–251 (2003).
4. A. Laurinčikas, K. Matsumoto, The joint universality of zeta-function attached to certain cusp forms, *Proc. Sci. Seminar Faculty of Phys. and Math.*, Šiauliai University, **5**, 57–75 (2002).
5. A. Laurinčikas, N. Schwarz, J. Steuding, The universality of general Dirichlet series, *Analysis*, **23**, 13–26 (2003).
6. S.M. Voronin, Theorem on the “universality” of the Riemann zeta-function, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, ser. matem.*, **39**(2), 475–486 (1975) (in Russian).
7. J.L. Walsch, Interpolation and approximation by rational functions in the complex domain, *Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ.*, **20** (1960).

REZIUOMĖ

J. Genys. Apie bendrųjų Dirichle eilučių jungtinį universalumą

Patikslinta viena bendrųjų Dirichle eilučių jungtinė universalumo teorema.