

## A note about the deterministic property of characteristic functions

Saulius Norvidas

Institute of Data Science and Digital Technologies, Vilnius University  
Akademijos str. 4, Vilnius LT-04812, Lithuania  
norvidas@gmail.com

**Received:** May 30, 2018 / **Revised:** October 15, 2018 / **Published online:** December 14, 2018

**Abstract.** We study an extension property for characteristic functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  of probability measures. More precisely, let  $f$  be the characteristic function of a probability density  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $U_\sigma = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \min_k |x_k| > \sigma\}$ ,  $\sigma > 0$ , be a neighborhood of infinity. We say that  $f$  has the  $\sigma$ -deterministic property if for any other characteristic function  $g$  such that  $f = g$  on  $U_\sigma$ , it follows that  $f \equiv g$ . A sufficient condition on  $f$  to have the  $\sigma$ -deterministic property is given. We also discuss the question about how precise our sufficient condition is? These results show that the  $\sigma$ -deterministic property of  $f$  depends on an arithmetic structure of the support of  $\varphi$ .

**Keywords:** characteristic function, density function, entire function, probability measure, Bernstein space.

### 1 Introduction

Let  $M(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be the family of finite complex-valued regular Borel measures on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Given a measure  $\mu \in M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we define its Fourier transform by

$$\widehat{\mu}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i(x,t)} d\mu(t),$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Here and subsequently,  $(x, t)$  denotes the scalar product  $\sum_{k=1}^n x_k t_k$  of vectors  $x, t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . If the norm in  $M(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is given by the total variation of  $\mu \in M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then this allows us to identify the usual Lebesgue Banach space  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with the closed ideal in  $M(\mathbb{R}^n)$  of all measures, which are absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure  $dt = dt_1 \cdots dt_n$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Assume that  $\mu \in M(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a positive measure. If, in addition,  $\|\mu\| = 1$ , then in the language of probability theory, this  $\mu$  and the function  $f(x) := \widehat{\mu}(-x)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , are called a probability measure and its characteristic function, respectively. In particular, if  $\mu = \varphi dt$  with  $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $\|\varphi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1$  and  $\varphi \geq 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $\varphi$  is called the probability density function of  $\mu$ , or the probability density for short. Let us note that

if  $\varphi$  is a measurable function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then we write here and in the sequel  $\varphi \geq 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if  $\varphi \geq 0$   $dt$ -almost everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

For a characteristic function  $f$  and a subset  $U$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we study the problem: is it true that there exists a characteristic function  $g$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $g = f$  on  $U$  but  $g \neq f$ ? Our interest to this question is initiated by a similar problem posed by N.G. Ushakov in [9, p. 276]: Is it true that for any neighborhoods of infinity  $U \subset \mathbb{R}$  with  $0 \notin U$ , there exists the characteristic function  $g$  such that  $g \neq e^{-x^2/2}$  but  $g(x) = e^{-x^2/2}$  for all  $x \in U$ ? A positive answer to this question was given by Gneiting [1, p. 360]:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the characteristic function of a distribution with a continuous and strictly positive density. Then there exists, for each  $\sigma > 0$ , a characteristic function  $g$  such that  $f(x) = g(x)$  if  $x = 0$  or  $|x| \geq \sigma$  and  $f(x) \neq g(x)$  otherwise.*

Moreover, in [1, p. 361], the author also conjectured that the same statement holds for any characteristic function with an absolutely continuous component. This conjecture was disproved in [3]. Indeed, for  $a > 0$ ,

$$\varphi(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{2(a-2|t|)}{a^2}, & |t| \leq \frac{a}{2}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

is the density of the usual triangular probability distribution. Let  $\sigma > 0$  and assume that  $g$  is a characteristic function such that  $g(x) = \widehat{\varphi}(-x)$  for  $|x| > \sigma$ . If

$$a\sigma \leq \pi, \tag{2}$$

then  $g(x) = \widehat{\varphi}(-x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  (see [3, Ex. 1]).

In this paper, a problem of uniqueness for extensions of characteristic functions of several variables is studied. More precisely, given a characteristic function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , we consider characteristic extensions of  $f$  in a manner indicated by the above mentioned Ushakov’s problem, from a neighborhoods  $U$  of infinity to the whole  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In particular, we obtain that estimate (2) can be weakened. Our Theorems 2 and 3 show that the exact estimate in (2) is  $a\sigma \leq 2\pi$ . Any characteristic function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfies  $f(-x) = \overline{f(x)}$  for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Hence, it is enough to study the extensions only from symmetric neighborhoods  $U$ . For  $\sigma > 0$ , set  $Q_\sigma^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x_k| \leq \sigma, k = 1, \dots, n\}$ . Then

$$U_\sigma = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus Q_\sigma^n$$

denotes such a neighborhood. Also, we say that  $f$  has the  $\sigma$ -deterministic property if there exists no other characteristic function  $g$  such that  $f(x) = g(x)$  for all  $x \in U_\sigma$ .

Let  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ , and let  $A$  and  $B$  be subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $A + B$  and  $\tau A$  denote the sets  $\{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$  and  $\{\tau a : a \in A\}$ , respectively. If, in addition,  $A$  is measurable, then we denote the Lebesgue measure of  $A$  by  $|A|$ . Given a measurable function  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we denote by  $S_\varphi$  the essential support of  $\varphi$ . By definition, a point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  belongs to  $S_\varphi$  if for any  $\delta > 0$ , the set

$$(x + Q_\delta^n) \cap \{t \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\varphi(t)| > 0\}$$

has positive Lebesgue measure. Note that if  $\varphi$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $S_\varphi$  coincides with the usual support of  $\varphi$ . As usual,  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  is the  $n$ -dimensional integer lattice.

The following theorem is the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the characteristic function of a probability density  $\varphi$ . Assume that there exist  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\varrho > 0$  and  $\tau > 0$  such that*

$$|S_\varphi \cap (a + Q_\varrho^n + \tau\mathbb{Z}^n)| = 0. \quad (3)$$

If

$$\sigma\tau \leq 2\pi, \quad (4)$$

then  $f$  has the  $\sigma$ -deterministic property.

Note that if a pair of positive numbers  $\varrho$  and  $\tau$  satisfy (3), then it is necessary that

$$\varrho < \frac{\tau}{2}. \quad (5)$$

Indeed, in the converse case, we have that  $Q_\varrho^n + \tau\mathbb{Z}^n = \mathbb{R}^n$ . On the other hand, it is clear that, for any probability density  $\varphi$ , we have  $|S_\varphi| > 0$ . Hence,  $|S_\varphi \cap (a + Q_\varrho^n + \tau\mathbb{Z}^n)| > 0$  contrary to condition (3).

The statement of Theorem 2 is sharp in the sense that the right-hand side of (4) cannot be replaced by  $2\pi + \varepsilon$  for any positive  $\varepsilon$ . This follows from the next theorem.

**Theorem 3.** *For any positive  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  such that*

$$\sigma\tau > 2\pi, \quad (6)$$

there exist  $\varrho > 0$  and a probability density  $\varphi$  such that (3) is satisfied but the characteristic function of  $\varphi$  has no the  $\sigma$ -deterministic property.

We conclude this section by presenting our previous paper [4], where a similar extension problem was studied in the case of continuous density functions of one variable. The main result of [4] states that if  $\varphi$  is a continuous probability density on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that there exist lattices  $A_j = \tau_j + \alpha_j\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\tau_j \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha_j > 0$ ,  $\alpha_j\sigma \leq 2\pi$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ ,  $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$ , and  $\varphi$  vanishes on  $A_1 \cup A_2$ , then, for any characteristic function  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that it coincides on  $U_\sigma$  with the characteristic function  $f$  of  $\varphi$ , we have that  $g \equiv f$ . It is easy to see that for continuous density  $\varphi$ , this statement is more general than our Theorem 2. On the other hand, the formulation of this statement (as also its proof) uses substantially the property that  $\varphi$  is continuous.

## 2 Preliminaries and proofs

As usual, we write  $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for the Schwartz space of test functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for the dual space of tempered distributions. We define the inverse Fourier transform

$$\check{\chi}(t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(t,x)} \chi(x) dx$$

so that the inversion formula  $\widehat{\widehat{\chi}} = \chi$  holds for suitable  $\chi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Given a closed subset  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , a function  $\omega : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called bandlimited to  $\Omega$  if  $\widehat{\omega}$  vanishes outside  $\Omega$ . Note that here we understand  $\widehat{\omega}$  in a distributional sense.

Let  $B(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{\widehat{\mu} : \mu \in M(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$  denote the Fourier–Stieltjes algebra with the usual pointwise multiplication. The norm in  $B(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is inherited from  $M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , in such a way,

$$\|\widehat{\mu}\|_{B(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \|\mu\|_{M(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Note that the Fourier algebra  $A(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{\widehat{\varphi} : \varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$  is an ideal in  $B(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

The closed subspace  $B_\Omega^p$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , of all  $F \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $F$  is bandlimited to  $\Omega$ , is called the Bernstein space. The Banach space  $B_\Omega^p$  is equipped with the norm

$$\|F\|_p = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |F(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty,$$

and  $\|F\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |F(x)|$ . By the Paley–Wiener–Schwartz theorem (see [2, p. 181]), if  $\Omega$  is a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then any  $F \in B_\Omega^p$  is infinitely differentiable on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and has an extension onto the complex space  $\mathbb{C}^n$  to an entire function. For  $a > 0$  and each  $F \in B_{Q_\sigma^p}^p$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , there exists a positive number  $M$  such that the Plancherel–Polya–Nikol’skii-type inequality

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |F(x + ak)|^p \leq M \|F\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p \tag{7}$$

is satisfied for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  (see [8, p. 19]). If  $F \in B_{Q_\sigma^1}^1$ , then the Poisson summation formula

$$\sum_{\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^n} F(x + \nu\omega) = \frac{1}{\nu^n} \sum_{\theta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \widehat{F}\left(\frac{2\pi}{\nu}\theta\right) e^{2\pi i(x,\theta)/\nu} \tag{8}$$

holds for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and each  $\nu > 0$  (see, e.g., [5, p. 166]).

*Proof of Theorem 2.* We start with the simple observation that we can consider, without loss of generality, condition (3) with  $a = 0$ , i.e., the case if  $S_\varphi$ ,  $Q$  and  $\tau$  satisfy

$$|S_\varphi \cap (Q_\rho^n + \tau\mathbb{Z}^n)| = 0. \tag{9}$$

Indeed, define  $\varphi_a(x) := \varphi(x + a)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $\varphi_a$  is a probability density and satisfies (9) if and only if  $\varphi$  satisfies (3). Moreover,

$$\widehat{\varphi}_a(-x) = e^{-i(a,x)} \widehat{\varphi}(-x)$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Hence,  $\widehat{\varphi}_a$  has the  $\sigma$ -deterministic property if  $\widehat{\varphi}$  also has this property.

Assume that  $g$  is any characteristic function such that  $g = f$  on  $U_\sigma$ . It remains to prove that  $f \equiv g$ . Our proof starts with the observation that this  $g$  is also the characteristic function of a probability density. Indeed, let  $g(x) = \widehat{\mu}(-x)$  for certain probability measure  $\mu$ . Take any  $u \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $u(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in Q_\sigma^n$ . Then

$$\widehat{\mu} - \widehat{\varphi} \equiv u(\widehat{\mu} - \widehat{\varphi}). \tag{10}$$

Since  $S(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset A(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $A(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is an ideal in  $B(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we conclude from (10) that  $\widehat{\mu} \in A(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Hence, there is a probability density  $\psi$  such that  $g(x) = \widehat{\psi}(-x)$ .

Define

$$\xi = \varphi - \psi. \quad (11)$$

Using the fact that  $\text{supp}(f - g) \subset Q_\sigma^n$ , we see that  $\xi \in B_{Q_\sigma^n}^1$ . Moreover, from (11) it follows that

$$\xi \leq \varphi \quad (12)$$

almost everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \xi(x) dx = 0. \quad (13)$$

Now we claim that (9) implies

$$\int_{Q_\varrho^n} \xi(x) dx = 0. \quad (14)$$

To that end, we write  $E_k$  for the set  $Q_\varrho^n + \tau k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . According to (9) and (12), we have that

$$\int_{E_k} \xi(x) dx \leq 0 \quad (15)$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Since  $\xi \in B_{Q_\sigma^n}^1$ , the Poisson summation formula (8) holds for  $F = \xi$ ,  $\nu = \tau$  and all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \xi(x + \tau k) = \frac{1}{\tau^n} \sum_{\theta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \widehat{\xi}\left(\frac{2\pi}{\tau}\theta\right) e^{2\pi i(x, \theta)/\tau}. \quad (16)$$

$\widehat{\xi}$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\text{supp } \widehat{\xi} \subset Q_\sigma^n$ . Hence, condition (4) implies that

$$\widehat{\xi}\left(\frac{2\pi}{\tau}\theta\right) = 0$$

for all  $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$ . Moreover, from (13) it follows that also  $\widehat{\xi}(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \xi(x) dx = 0$ . Altogether, (16) reduces to

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \xi(x + \tau k) = 0, \quad (17)$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . From (7) it follows that this series converges absolutely on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Also, if we consider (16) only for  $x \in Q_\tau^n$ , i.e., on  $Q_\tau^n$ , then the left-hand side of (17) converges in the norm of  $L^1(Q_\tau^n)$  (see [7, p. 251]). According to (5), we see that the left-hand side of (17) converges also in the norm of  $L^1(Q_\varrho^n)$ . Then

$$0 = \int_{Q_\varrho^n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \xi(x + \tau k) dx = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \int_{Q_\varrho^n} \xi(x + \tau k) dx = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \int_{E_k} \xi(x) dx.$$

Combining this with (15) gives  $\int_{E_k} \xi(x) dx = 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Hence, this proves our claim (14) since  $Q_\varrho^n = E_0$ .

Next, we claim that

$$\xi(x) = 0 \tag{18}$$

for all  $x \in Q_\varrho^n$ . Indeed, set

$$I_{(+)} = \{x \in Q_\varrho^n : \xi(x) > 0\}, \quad I_{(-)} = \{x \in Q_\varrho^n : \xi(x) < 0\}$$

and

$$I_0 = \{x \in Q_\varrho^n : \xi(x) = 0\}.$$

Take into account (9) and (12), we obtain

$$\int_{I_{(+)}} \xi(x) dx \leq \int_{I_{(+)}} \varphi(x) dx \leq \int_{Q_\varrho^n} \varphi(x) dx = 0.$$

Since  $\xi$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , it follows that  $I_{(+)}$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Hence,  $I_{(+)} = \emptyset$ . Using the obvious equality  $\int_{I_0} \xi(x) dx = 0$  and (14), we get  $\int_{I_{(-)}} \xi(x) dx = 0$ . Hence,  $I_{(-)} = \emptyset$ . This completes the proof of claim (18).

On the other hand, (18) shows that the entire function  $\xi$  vanishes on the nonempty and open subset  $Q_\varrho^n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In particular, this implies that the function  $\xi$  vanishes at  $z = 0$  together with all its partial derivatives. Thus, by the uniqueness theorem for analytic functions (see, e.g., [6, p. 21]), we have that  $\xi$  is the zero function. Hence,  $\varphi \equiv \psi$ . Thus  $f \equiv g$ . Theorem 2 is proved.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.* According to (6), we may take a number  $\theta$  such that

$$\frac{2\pi}{\sigma} < \theta < \tau. \tag{19}$$

Next, let  $\varrho$  be any positive number, which satisfies

$$\varrho < \frac{\tau - \theta}{2}. \tag{20}$$

Take an arbitrary continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  probability density  $\varphi$  with

$$S_\varphi = B_{\theta\sqrt{n}/2}^n, \tag{21}$$

where  $B_r^n$  denotes the ball  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_{k=1}^n x_k^2 \leq r^2\}$ . Combining (6) with (20) and (21), it is a simple calculation to see that for these  $\theta, \varrho, \varphi$  and

$$a = \left(\frac{\tau}{2}, \frac{\tau}{2}, \dots, \frac{\tau}{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

condition (3) is satisfied.

The next step of our proof consists in the construction of a function  $\xi \in B_{Q_\sigma}^1$ ,  $\xi \neq 0$ , satisfying (12) and (13). Put

$$\omega_1(t) = \left( \frac{\sigma}{2\pi} \cos \frac{\pi t}{\sigma} \right) \cdot \chi_{[-\sigma/2, \sigma/2]}(t) \quad (22)$$

and

$$\omega_2(t) = \left( \frac{i}{2} \sin \frac{\pi t}{\sigma} \right) \cdot \chi_{[-\sigma/2, \sigma/2]}(t), \quad (23)$$

where  $\chi_A$  is the indicator function of the subset  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ . It is straightforward to verify that

$$\widehat{\omega}_1(x) = \frac{\cos \frac{\sigma x}{2}}{\left(\frac{\pi}{\sigma}\right)^2 - x^2} \quad (24)$$

and

$$\widehat{\omega}_2(x) = x \widehat{\omega}_1(x). \quad (25)$$

According to our definitions of the Fourier transform and its inverse transform, the following Plancherel formula holds

$$2\pi \|\gamma\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 = \|\widehat{\gamma}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2$$

for each  $\widehat{\gamma} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Hence, using (22) and (23), we get

$$\|\widehat{\omega}_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 = 2\pi \|\omega_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{2\pi} \int_{-\sigma/2}^{\sigma/2} \cos^2 \frac{\pi t}{\sigma} dt = \frac{\sigma^3}{4\pi} \quad (26)$$

and

$$\|\widehat{\omega}_2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 = \frac{\pi}{2} \int_{-\sigma/2}^{\sigma/2} \sin^2 \frac{\pi t}{\sigma} dt = \frac{\pi\sigma}{4} \quad (27)$$

since  $\omega_k \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ .

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , let us define

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_0(x) &= \frac{\pi^2 n}{\sigma^2} \prod_{k=1}^n \widehat{\omega}_1^2(x_k) - \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ \widehat{\omega}_2^2(x_k) \cdot \prod_{j=1, j \neq k}^n \widehat{\omega}_1^2(x_j) \right] \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{\cos \frac{\sigma x_k}{2}}{\left(\frac{\pi}{\sigma}\right)^2 - x_k^2} \right)^2 \left[ \frac{\pi^2 n}{\sigma^2} - \sum_{k=1}^n x_k^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Obviously,  $\xi_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . On the other hand, from (22), (23), (24) and (25) it follows that  $\widehat{\xi}_0$  is supported on  $[-\sigma, \sigma]^n = Q_\sigma^n$ . Hence,  $\xi_0 \in B_{Q_\sigma}^1$ .

We claim that there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that the function

$$\xi := \varepsilon \cdot \xi_0 \tag{29}$$

satisfies (12) and (13). Indeed, using (26), (27) and (28), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \xi_0(x) \, dx \\ &= \frac{\pi^2 n}{\sigma^2} \prod_{k=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\omega}_1^2(x_k) \, dx_k - \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\omega}_2^2(x_k) \, dx_k \cdot \prod_{j=1, j \neq k}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\omega}_1^2(x_j) \, dx_j \right] \\ &= \frac{\pi^2 n}{\sigma^2} \left( \frac{\sigma^3}{4\pi} \right)^n - n \frac{\pi \sigma}{4} \left( \frac{\sigma^3}{4\pi} \right)^{n-1} = \left( \frac{\sigma^3}{4\pi} \right)^{n-1} \left[ \frac{\pi^2 n}{\sigma^2} \cdot \frac{\sigma^3}{4\pi} - n \frac{\pi \sigma}{4} \right] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \xi(x) \, dx = 0$ .

Next, from (19) it follows that

$$B_{\pi\sqrt{n}/\sigma}^n \subsetneq B_{\theta\sqrt{n}/2}^n.$$

This implies that we can take a continuous probability density  $\varphi$  such that it satisfies (21) and also the following additional condition:

$$\min\{\varphi(x) : x \in B_{\pi\sqrt{n}/\sigma}^n\} > 0.$$

Then, since  $\xi$  (see formula (29)) is also continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we have that there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  in (29) such that (12) is satisfied for this  $\xi$  and all  $x \in B_{\pi\sqrt{n}/\sigma}^n$ . On the other hand, from (28) and (29) it follows that  $\xi$  is nonpositive on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{\pi\sqrt{n}/\sigma}^n$ . Therefore,  $\xi$  satisfies (12) for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Finally, if we set  $\psi := \varphi - \xi$ , then (12) and (13) show that  $\psi$  is a probability density. Moreover, we have that  $\widehat{\psi} = \widehat{\varphi}$  on  $U_\sigma$  but  $\psi \neq \varphi$ . Theorem 3 is proved.  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** The author thanks to referees for pointing out several mistakes and making a few other remarks, which improved the exposition.

## References

1. T. Gneiting, Curiosities of characteristic functions, *Expo. Math.*, **19**(4):359–363, 2001.
2. L. Hörmander, *The Analysis of Linear Partial differential Operators. I: Distribution Theory and Fourier Analysis*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1990.
3. S. Norvidas, On extensions of characteristic functions, *Lith. Math. J.*, **57**(2):236–243, 2017.
4. S. Norvidas, A theorem of uniqueness for characteristic functions, *C. R., Math., Acad. Sci. Paris*, **355**(8):920–924, 2017.
5. M. Reiter, J.M. Stegeman, *Classical Harmonic Analysis and Locally Compact Groups*, Lond. Math. Soc. Monogr., New Ser., Vol. 22, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2000.



6. B.V. Shabat, *Introduction to Complex Analysis. Part II: Functions of Several Variables*, Transl. Math. Monogr., Vol. 110, AMS, Providence, RI, 1992.
7. E. Stein, G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*, Princeton Math. Ser. 32, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1971.
8. H. Triebel, *Theory of Function Spaces*, Mod. Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2010.
9. N.G. Ushakov, *Selected Topics in Characteristic Functions*, VSP, Utrecht, 1999.