

# Value-distribution of twisted $L$ -functions of normalized cusp forms

Alesia Kolupayeva

*Vilnius University, Department of Mathematics and Informatics*  
Naugarduko 24, LT-03225 Vilnius, Lithuania  
*Šiauliai University, Department of Mathematics and Informatics*  
P. Višinskio 19, LT-77156 Šiauliai, Lithuania  
E-mail: alesia.su@gmail.com

**Abstract.** A limit theorem in the sense of weak convergence of probability measures on the complex plane for twisted with Dirichlet character  $L$ -functions of holomorphic normalized Hecke eigen cusp forms with an increasing modulus of the character is proved.

**Keywords:** Dirichlet character; Hecke eigen form; twisted  $L$ -functions.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\chi(m)$  denote a Dirichlet character modulo  $q$ . Then the twisted  $L$ -function  $L(s, F, \chi)$  attached to the holomorphic normalized Hecke eigen cusp form  $F(z)$  of weight  $\kappa$  for the full modular group is defined, in the half-plane  $\sigma > \frac{\kappa+1}{2}$ , by the Dirichlet series

$$L(s, F, \chi) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{c(m)\chi(m)}{m^s}, \quad s = \sigma + it.$$

Here

$$F(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c(m)e^{2\pi imz}, \quad c(1) = 1,$$

is the Fourier series expansion for  $F(z)$ . The function  $L(s, F, \chi)$  can be analytically continued to an entire function. Also, in the half-plane  $\sigma > \frac{\kappa+1}{2}$ , it can be represented by the Euler product

$$L(s, F, \chi) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\alpha(p)\chi(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\beta(p)\chi(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \quad (1)$$

over primes  $p$ . The complex numbers  $\alpha(p)$  and  $\beta(p)$  satisfy  $\alpha(p)\beta(p) = 1$ ,  $\beta(p) = \overline{\alpha(p)}$ , and  $\alpha(p) + \beta(p) = c(p)$ .

For  $Q \geq 2$ , define

$$M_Q = \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{\chi = \chi(\bmod q) \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} 1,$$

where  $\chi_0$  denotes the principal character mod  $q$ . For brevity, let

$$\mu_Q(\dots) = M_Q^{-1} \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{\chi = \chi(\text{mod } q) \\ \chi \neq \chi_0 \\ \dots}} 1,$$

where in place of dots a condition satisfied by a pair  $(q, \chi(\text{mod } q))$  is to be written.

The aim of this note is a generalization to the space  $(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}))$  of limit theorems with an increasing prime modulus  $q$  for  $|L(s, F, \chi)|$  and  $\arg L(s, F, \chi)$  (see, [3] and [4], respectively). We recall that the function

$$w(\tau, k) = \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}} |z|^{i\tau} e^{ik \arg z} dP, \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

is a characteristic transform of the probability measure  $P$  on  $(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}))$  and the measure  $P$  is uniquely determined by its characteristic transform  $w(\tau, k)$ .

Let  $P$  and  $P_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , be a probability measures on  $(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}))$ . We say that  $P_n$  converges weakly in sense of  $\mathbb{C}$  to  $P$  if  $P_n$  converges weakly to  $P$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and, additionally,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n(\{0\}) = P(\{0\})$ .

For  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let

$$\xi = \xi(\tau, \pm k) = \frac{i\tau \pm k}{2},$$

and, for primes  $p$  and  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$d_{\tau, \pm k}(p^l) = \frac{\xi(\xi + 1) \dots (\xi + l - 1)}{l!}, \quad d_{\tau, k}(1) = 1.$$

Define

$$a_{\tau, k}(p^l) = \sum_{j=0}^l d_{\tau, k}(p^j) \alpha^j(p) d_{\tau, k}(p^{l-j}) \beta^{l-j}(p),$$

$$b_{\tau, k}(p^l) = \sum_{j=0}^l d_{\tau, -k}(p^j) \bar{\alpha}^j(p) d_{\tau, -k}(p^{l-j}) \bar{\beta}^{l-j}(p),$$

and for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$a_{\tau, k}(m) = \prod_{p^l \parallel m} a_{\tau, k}(p^l), \quad b_{\tau, k}(m) = \prod_{p^l \parallel m} b_{\tau, k}(p^l).$$

Thus  $a_{\tau, k}(m)$  and  $b_{\tau, k}(m)$  are multiplicative arithmetical functions.

Let  $P_{\mathbb{C}}$  be a probability measure on  $(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}))$  defined by the characteristic transform

$$w(\tau, k) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\tau, k}(m) b_{\tau, k}(m)}{m^{2\sigma}}, \quad \sigma > \frac{\kappa + 1}{2},$$

and let the modulus  $q$  of  $\chi$  be prime.

Define

$$P_{Q, \mathbb{C}}(A) = \mu_Q(L(s, F, \chi) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}).$$

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\sigma > \frac{\kappa + 1}{2}$ . Then the probability measure  $P_{Q, \mathbb{C}}$  converges weakly in sense of  $\mathbb{C}$  to the measure  $P_{\mathbb{C}}$  as  $Q \rightarrow \infty$ .*

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1

We give a shortened proof of Theorem 1. At first, we define the characteristic transformation  $w_Q(\tau, k)$  of the probability measure  $P_{Q, \mathbb{C}}$ , and later we give its asymptotic formula. The definition of  $P_{Q, \mathbb{C}}$  implies that, for  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$w_Q(\tau, k) = \frac{1}{M_Q} \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{\chi = \chi(\bmod q) \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} |L(s, F, \chi)|^{i\tau} e^{ik \arg L(s, F, \chi)}. \tag{2}$$

Note that, in view of the Euler product (1) for  $L(s, F, \chi)$  and Deligne's estimates

$$|\alpha(p)| \leq p^{\frac{\kappa-1}{2}}, \quad |\beta(p)| \leq p^{\frac{\kappa-1}{2}}, \tag{3}$$

$L(s, F, \chi) \neq 0$  for  $\sigma > \frac{\kappa+1}{2}$ . For  $\delta > 0$ , let  $R = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \sigma \geq \frac{\kappa+1}{2} + \delta\}$ . Since

$$|L(s, F, \chi)| = (L(s, F, \chi) \overline{L(s, F, \chi)})^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad e^{i \arg L(s, F, \chi)} = \left( \frac{L(s, F, \chi)}{\overline{L(s, F, \chi)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

from (1) we have that, for  $s \in R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & |L(s, F, \chi)|^{i\tau} e^{ik \arg L(s, F, \chi)} \\ &= \prod_p \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha(p)\chi(p)}{p^s} \right)^{-\frac{i\tau+k}{2}} \left( 1 - \frac{\beta(p)\chi(p)}{p^s} \right)^{-\frac{i\tau+k}{2}} \\ & \quad \times \prod_p \left( 1 - \frac{\overline{\alpha(p)\overline{\chi}(p)}}{p^s} \right)^{-\frac{i\tau-k}{2}} \left( 1 - \frac{\overline{\beta(p)\overline{\chi}(p)}}{p^s} \right)^{-\frac{i\tau-k}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Here the multi-valued functions  $\log(1-z)$  and  $(1-z)^{-w}$ ,  $w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , in the region  $|z| < 1$  are defined by continuous variation along any path in this region from the values  $\log(1-z)|_{z=0} = 0$  and  $(1-z)^{-w}|_{z=0} = 1$ , respectively.

Using the above notation, we have that, for  $|z| < 1$ ,

$$(1-z)^{-\xi} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} d_{\tau, \pm k}(p^l) z^l.$$

Therefore, (4) shows that, for  $s \in R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |L(s, F, \chi)|^{i\tau} e^{ik \arg L(s, F, \chi)} &= \prod_p \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{d_{\tau, k}(p^j) \alpha^j(p) \chi(p^j)}{p^{js}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{d_{\tau, k}(p^l) \beta^l(p) \chi(p^l)}{p^{ls}} \\ & \quad \times \prod_p \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{d_{\tau, -k}(p^j) \overline{\alpha}^j(p) \overline{\chi}(p^j)}{p^{j\overline{s}}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{d_{\tau, -k}(p^l) \overline{\beta}^l(p) \overline{\chi}(p^l)}{p^{l\overline{s}}} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{a}_{\tau, k}(m)}{m^s} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{b}_{\tau, k}(n)}{n^{\overline{s}}}, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where  $\hat{a}_{\tau,k}(m)$  and  $\hat{b}_{\tau,k}(m)$  are multiplicative functions defined, for primes  $p$  and  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , by

$$\hat{a}_{\tau,k}(p^l) = \sum_{j=0}^l d_{\tau,k}(p^j) \alpha^j(p) \chi(p^j) d_{\tau,k}(p^{l-j}) \beta^{l-j}(p) \chi(p^{l-j}) \quad (6)$$

and

$$\hat{b}_{\tau,k}(p^l) = \sum_{j=0}^l d_{\tau,-k}(p^j) \bar{\alpha}^j(p) \bar{\chi}(p^j) d_{\tau,-k}(p^{l-j}) \bar{\beta}^{l-j}(p) \bar{\chi}(p^{l-j}). \quad (7)$$

For  $|\tau| \leq c$  and  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$|d_{\tau,\pm k}(p^l)| \leq \frac{|\xi|(|\xi|+1) \dots (|\xi|+l-1)}{l!} \leq \exp \left\{ |\xi| \sum_{v=1}^l \frac{1}{v} \right\} \leq (l+1)^{c_1}$$

with a suitable positive constant  $c_1$  depending on  $c$  and  $k$ , only. This, estimates (3), and (6)–(7) imply, for  $|\tau| \leq c$  and  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , the bounds

$$|\hat{a}_{\tau,k}(p^l)| \leq (l+1)^{c_2} p^{\frac{l(\kappa-1)}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad |\hat{b}_{\tau,k}(p^l)| \leq (l+1)^{c_2} p^{\frac{l(\kappa-1)}{2}}$$

with a positive constant  $c_2$  depending on  $c$  and  $k$ . Therefore, by the multiplicativity of  $\hat{a}_{\tau,k}(m)$  and  $\hat{b}_{\tau,k}(m)$ , for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$|\hat{a}_{\tau,k}(m)| = \prod_{p^l \parallel m} |\hat{a}_{\tau,k}(p^l)| \leq m^{\frac{\kappa-1}{2}} d^{c_2}(m), \quad (8)$$

and

$$|\hat{b}_{\tau,k}(m)| = \prod_{p^l \parallel m} |\hat{b}_{\tau,k}(p^l)| \leq m^{\frac{\kappa-1}{2}} d^{c_2}(m), \quad (9)$$

where  $d(m)$  is the classical divisor function.

Now we give an asymptotic formula for the characteristic transform  $w_Q(\tau, k)$  as  $Q \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $r = \log Q$ . It is well known that  $d(m) = O_\varepsilon(m^\varepsilon)$  with every positive  $\varepsilon$ . Therefore, for  $s \in R$ ,  $|\tau| \leq c$  and any fixed  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , estimates (8) and (9) yield

$$\sum_{m>r} \frac{\hat{a}_{\tau,k}(m)}{m^s} = O_\varepsilon(r^{-\delta+\varepsilon}) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{m>r} \frac{\hat{b}_{\tau,k}(m)}{m^s} = O_\varepsilon(r^{-\delta+\varepsilon}).$$

Substituting this in (5), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & |L(s, F, \chi)|^{i\tau} e^{ik \arg L(s, F, \chi)} \\ &= \left( \sum_{m<r} \frac{\hat{a}_{\tau,k}(m)}{m^s} + O_\varepsilon(r^{-\delta+\varepsilon}) \right) \left( \sum_{m<r} \frac{\hat{b}_{\tau,k}(m)}{m^s} + O_\varepsilon(r^{-\delta+\varepsilon}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in view of (2), for  $s \in R$ ,  $|\tau| \leq c$  and any fixed  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$w_Q(\tau, k) = \frac{1}{M_Q} \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{\chi = \chi(\text{mod } q) \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \left( \sum_{m \leq r} \frac{\hat{a}_{\tau,k}(m)}{m^s} \sum_{n \leq r} \frac{\hat{b}_{\tau,k}(n)}{n^{\bar{s}}} \right) + O_\varepsilon(r^{-\delta+\varepsilon}), \quad (10)$$

since the estimates

$$\sum_{m \leq r} \frac{\hat{a}_{\tau,k}(m)}{m^s} = O(1) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{m \leq r} \frac{\hat{b}_{\tau,k}(m)}{m^s} = O(1)$$

hold. However, (6)–(7) and the definitions of  $a_{\tau,k}(m)$  and  $b_{\tau,k}(m)$ , show that

$$\hat{a}_{\tau,k}(m) = \prod_{p^l \parallel m} \chi^l(p) \sum_{j=0}^l d_{\tau,k}(p^j) \alpha(p^j) d_{\tau,k}(p^{l-j}) \beta(p^{l-j}) = a_{\tau,k}(m) \chi(m)$$

and

$$\hat{b}_{\tau,k}(m) = b_{\tau,k}(m) \bar{\chi}(m).$$

Therefore, by (10), for  $s \in R$ ,  $|\tau| \leq c$  and any fixed  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$w_Q(\tau, k) = \frac{1}{M_Q} \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{\chi = \chi(\text{mod } q) \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \chi(m) \bar{\chi}(n) \left( \sum_{m \leq r} \frac{a_{\tau,k}(m)}{m^s} \sum_{n \leq r} \frac{b_{\tau,k}(n)}{n^s} \right) + O_\varepsilon(r^{-\delta+\varepsilon}). \tag{11}$$

It is easily seen that, for  $m = n$ ,  $m \leq r$ , as  $Q \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\frac{1}{M_Q} \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{\chi = \chi(\text{mod } q) \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \chi(m) \bar{\chi}(n) = 1 - \frac{1}{M_Q} \sum_{\substack{q \mid m \\ q \leq r}} (q-2) = 1 + o(1), \tag{12}$$

since [2]

$$M_Q = \frac{Q^2}{2 \log Q} + O\left(\frac{Q^2}{\log^2 Q}\right).$$

If  $(m, q) = 1$ , then

$$\sum_{\chi = \chi(\text{mod } q)} \chi(m) \bar{\chi}(n) = \begin{cases} q-1 & \text{if } m \equiv n \pmod{q}, \\ 0 & \text{if } m \not\equiv n \pmod{q}. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, for  $m \neq n$ ,  $m, n \leq r$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{\chi = \chi(\text{mod } q) \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \chi(m) \bar{\chi}(n) &= \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{\chi = \chi(\text{mod } q) \\ q \mid (m-n)}} \chi(m) \bar{\chi}(n) + \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{\chi = \chi(\text{mod } q) \\ q \nmid (m-n)}} \chi(m) \bar{\chi}(n) \\ &+ O\left(\frac{Q}{\log Q}\right) + O\left(\sum_{q \leq r} q\right) = O\left(\frac{Q}{\log Q}\right). \end{aligned}$$

This together with (11) and (12) shows that, for  $s \in R$ ,  $|\tau| \leq c$  and any fixed  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$w_Q(\tau, k) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\tau,k}(m) b_{\tau,k}(m)}{m^{2\sigma}} + o(1), \tag{13}$$

as  $Q \rightarrow \infty$ .

The assertion of Theorem 1 follows from (13) and well-known continuity theorem for characteristic transforms of probability measures on  $(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}))$  [1].

## References

- [1] A. Laurinćikas. *Limit Theorems for the Riemann Zeta-Function*. Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1996.
- [2] P.D.T.A. Elliott. On the distribution of the values of  $L$ -series in the half-plane  $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ . *Indag. Math.*, **33**:222–234, 1971.
- [3] A. Kolupayeva and A. Laurinćikas. Value-distribution of twisted automorphic  $L$ -functions. *Lith. Math. J.*, **48**(2):203–211, 2008.
- [4] A. Kolupayeva and A. Laurinćikas. Value-distribution of twisted automorphic  $L$ -functions II. *Lith. Math. J.* (to appear).

## REZIUMĖ

### Normuotų parabolinių formų $L$ -funkcijų sąsūkų reikšmių pasiskirstymas

A. Kolupayeva

Straipsnyje įrodyta ribinė teorema tikimybinių matų silpno konvergavimo prasme normuotų parabolinių formų  $L$ -funkcijų sąsūkoms kompleksinėje plokštumoje.

*Raktiniai žodžiai:* Dirichlė charakteris; Hekės tikrinė forma;  $L$ -funkcijų sąsūka.