

Left ventricular function monitoring in heart failure

Jelena Čelutkienė^{1*}, Ilaria Spoletini², Andrew J.S. Coats², and Ovidiu Chioncel³

¹*Clinic of Cardiac and Vascular Diseases, Institute of Clinical Medicine, Medical Faculty of Vilnius University, Santariskiu str. 2, Vilnius, Lithuania*

²*Department of Medical Sciences, Centre for Clinical and Basic Research, IRCCS San Raffaele Pisana, Rome, Italy; and*

³*Emergency Institute for Cardiovascular Diseases-“Prof. C.C.Iliescu”, Bucharest; University of Medicine Carol Davila, Bucharest, Romania*

KEYWORDS

Heart failure;
Echocardiography;
LV function

Imaging modalities are used for screening, risk stratification and monitoring of heart failure (HF). In particular, echocardiography represents the cornerstone in the assessment of left ventricular (LV) dysfunction. Despite the well-known limitations of LV ejection fraction, this parameter, repeated assessment of LV function is recommended for the diagnosis and care of patients with HF and provides prognostic information. Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) has an essential role in phenotyping and appropriate guiding of the therapy of patients with chronic HF. This document reflects the key points concerning monitoring LV function discussed at a consensus meeting on physiological monitoring in the complex multi-morbid HF patient under the auspices of the Heart Failure Association of the ESC.

Introduction

Serial imaging evaluation of heart failure (HF) patients is an established part of routine clinical practice. Multiple imaging modalities are used in the screening, risk stratification, and monitoring of HF patients, although echocardiography remains the mainstay of imaging in these settings.¹⁻³ In particular, left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), is the most common parameter used in patient classification and to guide management, and to assess patient prognosis.^{4,5} Heart failure patients stratified according to different categories of LVEF (i.e. <40%, 40–50% and >50%) represent diverse phenotypes of demography, clinical presentation, aetiology and outcomes at 1 year. Furthermore, echocardiography follow-up is crucial because a substantial proportion of HF patients may show dynamic changes in LVEF over time, especially those with an ischaemic aetiology, and patients may transition from one category to another. However, LVEF presents considerable limitations, such as variability between different imaging techniques, poor reproducibility, and moderate correlation with functional capacity.^{4,6}

Guidelines-based indications for left ventricular ejection fraction monitoring

Repeated assessment of cardiac morphometry, function, and myocardial status helps in risk stratification and therapeutic decision-making, in particular, when assessing changes in a patient's clinical condition.⁷⁻⁹ Routine echocardiography in the absence of any clinical status change is rarely recommended in the management of a HF patient, particularly with a frequency or more than once per year. In the long-term follow-up of a patient with HF or significantly reduced LVEF, many experts feel an annual or biannual assessment by echocardiography is reasonable but more frequently than that cannot be justified. There are certain circumstances where repeat echocardiography can be supported. *Table 1* summarizes the clinical situations in which regular imaging evaluation is advised.

For example, according to the ESC STEMI guidelines, patients with LVEF <40% on discharge should undergo repeat echocardiography within 6-12 weeks of optimal medical treatment in order to evaluate the need for implantable cardioverter-defibrillator implantation (Class IC recommendation).^{10,11} In situations where echocardiographic images are difficult or inadequate, alternative

*Corresponding author. Tel: +370 5 236 5000,
Email: jelena.celutkiene@santa.lt

Table 1 Clinical situations when repeat imaging evaluation is useful

Clinical deterioration without a clear precipitating change in medication or diet
To determine candidacy for device therapy
LVEF 3 months after MI on optimal medical therapy
LVEF after revascularization
For scar tissue in CRT lead placement area
With implanted CRT and ICD devices
For CRT programming optimization
No improvement in symptoms/functional capacity
Changes in arrhythmia status and ICD discharges
To detect device complication
Ischaemia/viability for revascularization decision
Monitoring of cardiomyopathies during pregnancy
Monitoring of reversible cardiomyopathies
Peripartum cardiomyopathy
Takotsubo cardiomyopathy
Tachycardia-induced cardiomyopathy
Monitoring of cancer treatment-related cardiac dysfunction
Response to therapy in infiltrative myocardial diseases
Routine (≥ 2 years) surveillance of known HF or cardiomyopathies in stable settings
Left ventricular assist device decisions
To determine candidacy
For optimization of device settings
To detect device-related complications
For weaning decision
Monitoring of rejection in cardiac transplantation

imaging modalities, preferably cardiac magnetic resonance, can be used.¹²⁻¹⁴

However, the optimal timing of repeat echocardiography to detect treatment-induced changes in LV structure or function remains uncertain, given variability in the extent and timing of the full effects of pharmacological or device treatments on LV function.¹⁵⁻¹⁹ The anti-remodelling effect of mineralo-corticoid receptor antagonists, for example, in terms of patients crossing the threshold of 35% in LVEF was observed after 6-9 months of medication use²⁰⁻²² though investigators of a study with eplerenone concluded that even 9 months may be too short a period to detect the full extent of changes in LV volumes and LVEF in stable well-treated HF patients. Meanwhile, the effect of revascularization on the LV remodelling has been demonstrated within 9-12 months.^{23,24}

In an attempt to reduce variability in repeat evaluation of LVEF, three-dimensional echocardiography has been recommended as preferred over classical two-dimensional imaging.²⁵ Cardiac magnetic resonance also serves as an alternative technique of high precision and excellent reproducibility for longitudinal assessment of ventricular volumes and function, it being limited mainly by cost and relatively less frequent availability for routine clinical purposes.

In good responders to treatment on stable optimally titrated medications and no changes in clinical status, reassessment of cardiac structure and function more frequently than every 1-2 years is unlikely to yield any additional value. Regular surveillance should include not only systolic

but also evaluate diastolic functional parameters according to a proposed algorithm,²⁶ which has validated against invasively measured LV filling pressures.²⁷ Serial echocardiographic measurement of E/e' in the HOMEOSTASIS study was shown to reliably detect raised left atrial pressure in stable outpatients with HF.²⁸ The value of average $E/e' \geq 15$ accurately detected a left atrial pressure elevated ≥ 20 mmHg, suggesting pre-emptive treatment adjustment, while an E/e' lower than 12 essentially excluded an elevated filling pressure.^{29,30} Quantitative measures of right ventricular function and biventricular deformation indices hold promise to reflect the dynamics of myocardial performance across the spectrum of HF phenotypes.^{31,32}

Conclusions

Despite the well-known technical and clinical limitations of LVEF, this parameter remains a cornerstone for the classification, stratification, management, and monitoring of HF.⁴

However, quality-improvement strategies are needed to optimize the value of routine monitoring in this population.

Finally, telemedicine for LV monitoring and implantable LV assist systems have been shown to be capable of facilitating improved outcomes in HF.^{33,34} Despite this, devices for remote monitoring are still under-utilized in clinical practice and further studies are needed to ascertain whether they may represent a new standard of care in HF. A new diagnostic algorithm for HFpEF may help advance diagnosis and monitoring of this condition.³⁵

Conflict of interest: none declared.

References

1. Metra M. December 2017 at a glance: left ventricular ejection fraction, exercise capacity, peripartum cardiomyopathy. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2017;19:1562-1563.
2. Nagueh SF. Non-invasive assessment of left ventricular filling pressure. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2018;20:38-48.
3. Merlo M, Cannata A, Gobbo M, Stolfo D, Elliott PM, Sinagra G. Evolving concepts in dilated cardiomyopathy. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2018;20:228-239.
4. Lupón J, Bayés-Genís A. Left ventricular ejection fraction in heart failure: a clinician's perspective about a dynamic and imperfect parameter, though still convenient and a cornerstone for patient classification and management. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2018;20:433-435.
5. Modin D, Andersen DM, Biering-Sørensen T. Echo and heart failure: when do people need an echo, and when do they need natriuretic peptides? *Echo Res Pract* 2018;5:R65-R79.
6. Sanderson JE, Fang F, Wei YX. The fallacy of resting echocardiographic parameters of cardiac function in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2018;20:619.
7. Steeds RP, Garbi M, Cardim N, Kasprzak JD, Sade E, Nihoyannopoulos P, Popescu BA, Stefanidis A, Cosyns B, Monaghan M, Aakhus S, Edvardsen T, Flachskampf F, Galiuto L, Athanassopoulos G, Lancellotti P, Delgado V, Donal E, Galderisi M, Lombardi M, Muraru D, Haugaa K. EACVI appropriateness criteria for the use of transthoracic echocardiography in adults: a report of literature and current practice review. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2017;18:1191-1204.
8. Patel MR, White RD, Abbara S, Bluemke DA, Herfkens RJ, Picard M, Shaw LJ, Silver M, Stillman AE, Udelson J. 2013 ACCF/ACR/ASE/ASNC/SCCT/SCMR appropriate utilization of cardiovascular imaging in heart failure: a joint report of the American College of Radiology Appropriateness Criteria Committee and the American College of

- Cardiology Foundation Appropriate Use Criteria Task Force. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2013;**61**:2207-2231.
9. Douglas PS, Garcia MJ, Haines DE, Lai WW, Manning WJ, Patel AR, Picard MH, Polk DM, Ragosta M, Ward RP, Weiner RB. ACCF/AHA/AHA/ASNC/HFSA/HRS/SCAI/SCCM/SCCT/SCMR 2011 appropriate use criteria for echocardiography: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Appropriate Use Criteria Task Force, American Society of Echocardiography, American Heart Association, American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, Heart Failure Society of America, Heart Rhythm Society, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Critical Care Medicine, Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, and Society. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2011;**57**:1126-1166.
 10. Ibanez B, James S, Agewall S, Antunes MJ, Bucciarelli-Ducci C, Bueno H, Caforio AL, Crea F, Goudevenos JA, Halvorsen S, Hindricks G. 2017 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation: the Task Force for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur Heart J* 2017;**39**:119-177.
 11. Tubaro M. ESC 2017 STEMI Guidelines: a step forward for a better cure. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother* 2018;**4**:133-135.
 12. Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, Bueno H, Cleland JG, Coats AJ, Falk V, González-Juanatey JR, Harjola VP, Jankowska EA, Jessup M, Linde C, Nihoyannopoulos P, Parissis JT, Pieske B, Riley JP, Rosano GM, Rusilope LM, Ruschitzka F, Rutten FH, van der Meer P; Authors/Task Force Members; Document Reviewers. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: the Task Force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Developed with the special contribution of the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2016;**18**:891-975.
 13. Perk J. The 2016 version of the European guidelines on cardiovascular prevention. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother* 2017;**3**:9-10.
 14. Rosano GM. The Heart Failure Association Congress confirms itself as the largest European Society of Cardiology sub-specialty congress. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother* 2017;**3**:5-6.
 15. Agewall S. Cardiovascular pharmacotherapy and real-world data. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother* 2018;**4**:65-66.
 16. Agewall S. Adherence to guidelines and registry data. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother* 2017;**3**:183-184.
 17. Tamargo J, Rosano G, Walther T, Duarte J, Niessner A, Kaski JC, Ceconi C, Drexler H, Kjeldsen K, Savarese G, Torp-Pedersen C, Atar D, Lewis BS, Agewall S. Gender differences in the effects of cardiovascular drugs. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother* 2017;**3**:163-182.
 18. Agewall S. Cardiovascular pharmacotherapy. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother* 2018;**4**:1.
 19. Agewall S. Anticoagulation, atherosclerosis, and heart failure. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother* 2017;**3**:1-2.
 20. Vizzardi E, D'Aloia A, Giubbini R, Bordonali T, Bugatti S, Pezzali N, Romeo A, Dei Cas A, Metra M, Dei Cas L. Effect of spironolactone on left ventricular ejection fraction and volumes in patients with class I or II heart failure. *Am J Cardiol* 2010;**106**:1292-1296.
 21. Udelson JE, Feldman AM, Greenberg B, Pitt B, Mukherjee R, Solomon HA, Konstam MA. Randomized, double blind, multicenter, placebo-controlled study evaluating the effect of aldosterone antagonism with eplerenone on ventricular remodeling in patients with mild-to-moderate heart failure and left ventricular systolic dysfunction. *Circ Heart Fail* 2010;**3**:347-353.
 22. Pitt B, Pedro Ferreira J, Zannad F. Mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists in patients with heart failure: current experience and future perspectives. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother* 2017;**3**:48-57.
 23. Rizzello V, Poldermans D, Biagini E, Schinkel AFL, Boersma E, Bocconelli A, Marwick T, Roelandt JRTC, Bax JJ. Prognosis of patients with ischaemic cardiomyopathy after coronary revascularisation: relation to viability and improvement in left ventricular ejection fraction. *Heart* 2009;**95**:1273-1277.
 24. Albani S, Fabris E, Doimo S, Barbati G, Perkan A, Merlo M, Gatti G, Di Lenarda A, van't Hof AWJ, Maras P, Sinagra G. Early occurrence of drug intolerance as risk factor during follow-up in patients with acute coronary syndrome or coronary revascularization. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother* 2018;**4**:195-201.
 25. Thavendiranathan P, Grant AD, Negishi T, Plana JC, Popović ZB, Marwick TH. Reproducibility of echocardiographic techniques for sequential assessment of left ventricular ejection fraction and volumes: application to patients undergoing cancer chemotherapy. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2013;**61**:77-84.
 26. Nagueh SF, Smiseth OA, Appleton CP, Byrd BF 3rd, Dokainish H, Edvardsen T, Flachskampf FA, Gillebert TC, Klein AL, Lancellotti P, Marino P, Oh JK, Alexandru Popescu B, Waggoner AD. Recommendations for the evaluation of left ventricular diastolic function by echocardiography: an update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2016;**17**:1321-1360.
 27. Lancellotti P, Galderisi M, Edvardsen T, Donal E, Goliaš G, Cardim N, Magne J, Laginha S, Hagendorff A, Haland TF, Aaberge L, Martinez C, Rapacciuolo A, Santoro C, Ilardi F, Postolache A, Dulgheru R, Mateescu AD, Beladan CC, Deleanu D, Marchetta S, Auffret V, Schwammenthal E, Habib G, Popescu BA. Echo-Doppler estimation of left ventricular filling pressure: results of the multicentre EACVI Euro-Filling study. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2017;**18**:961-968.
 28. Ritzema JL, Richards AM, Crozier IG, Frampton CF, Melton IC, Doughty RN, Doughty RN, Stewart JT, Eigler N, Whiting J, Abraham WT, Troughton RW. Serial Doppler echocardiography and tissue Doppler imaging in the detection of elevated directly measured left atrial pressure in ambulant subjects with chronic heart failure. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2011;**4**:927-934.
 29. Arques S. Clinical relevance of spectral tissue Doppler-derived E/e' in the diagnosis of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2018;**20**:941.
 30. Donal E, Galli E, Fraser AG. Non-invasive estimation of left heart filling pressures: another nail in the coffin for E/e'? *Eur J Heart Fail* 2017;**19**:1661-1663.
 31. Triposkiadis F, Giamouzis G, Boudoulas KD, Karagiannis G, Skolarigis J, Boudoulas H, Parissis J. Left ventricular geometry as a major determinant of left ventricular ejection fraction: physiological considerations and clinical implications. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2018;**20**:436-444.
 32. Hummel YM, Liu LCY, Lam CSP, Fonseca-Munoz DF, Damman K, Rienstra M, van der Meer P, Rosenkranz S, van Veldhuisen DJ, Voors AA, Hoendermis ES. Echocardiographic estimation of left ventricular and pulmonary pressures in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction: a study utilizing simultaneous echocardiography and invasive measurements. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2017;**19**:1651-1660.
 33. Sponga S, Bagur R, Livi U. Teleconsultation for left ventricular assist device patients: a new standard of care. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2018;**20**:818-821.
 34. Lund LH, Trochu JN, Meyns B, Caliskan K, Shaw S, Schmitto JD, Schibilsky D, Damme L, Heatley J, Gustafsson F. Screening for heart transplantation and left ventricular assist system: results from the ScEEning for advanced Heart Failure treatment (SEE-HF) study. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2018;**20**:152-160.
 35. Pieske B, Tschöpe C, de Boer RA, Fraser AG, Anker SD, Donal E, Edelmann F, Fu M, Guazzi M, Lam CS, Lancellotti P, Melenovsky V, Morris DA, Nagel E, Pieske-Kraigher E, Ponikowski P, Solomon SD, Vasan RS, Rutten FH, Voors AA, Ruschitzka F, Paulus WJ, Seferovic P, Filippatos G. How to diagnose heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: the HFA-PEFF diagnostic algorithm: a consensus recommendation from the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur Heart J* 2019;doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehz641.