

## GOPEN ACCESS

**Citation:** Pranckeviciene E, Hosid S, Liang N, Ioshikhes I (2020) Nucleosome positioning sequence patterns as packing or regulatory. PLoS Comput Biol 16(1): e1007365. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007365

Editor: Anna R Panchenko, Queen's University, CANADA

Received: August 28, 2019

Accepted: December 6, 2019

Published: January 27, 2020

**Copyright:** © 2020 Pranckeviciene et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the manuscript and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: The University of Ottawa Faculty of Medicine (https://med.uottawa.ca/en) Bridge Fund 2015-2016 to Ilya loshikhes. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

**Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

# Nucleosome positioning sequence patterns as packing or regulatory

#### Erinija Pranckeviciene 1,2\*, Sergey Hosid 1, Nathan Liang 1, Ilya loshikhes 1,3\*

1 Department of Biochemistry, Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Ottawa University, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, 2 Department of Human and Medical Genetics, Biomedical Science Institute, Faculty of Medicine, Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania, 3 Ottawa Institute of Systems Biology (OISB), Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

\* erinija.pranckeviciene@mf.vu.lt (EP); iioschik@uottawa.ca (II)

## Abstract

Nucleosome positioning DNA sequence patterns (NPS)—usually distributions of particular dinucleotides or other sequence elements in nucleosomal DNA-at least partially determine chromatin structure and arrangements of nucleosomes that in turn affect gene expression. Statistically, NPS are defined as oscillations of the dinucleotide periodicity with about 10 base pairs (bp) which reflects the double helix period. We compared the nucleosomal DNA patterns in mouse, human and yeast organisms and observed few distinctive patterns that can be termed as packing and regulatory referring to distinctive modes of chromatin function. For the first time the NPS patterns in nucleus accumbens cells (NAC) in mouse brain were characterized and compared to the patterns in human CD4+ and apoptotic lymphocyte cells and well studied patterns in yeast. The NPS patterns in human CD4+ cells and mouse brain cells had very high positive correlation. However, there was no correlation between them and patterns in human apoptotic lymphocyte cells and yeast, but the latter two were highly correlated with each other. By their dinucleotide arrangements the analyzed NPS patterns classified into stable canonical WW/SS (W = A or T and S = C or G dinucleotide) and less stable RR/YY (R = A or G and Y = C or T dinucleotide) patterns and anti-patterns. In the anti-patterns positioning of the dinucleotides is flipped compared to those in the regular patterns. Stable canonical WW/SS patterns and anti-patterns are ubiquitously observed in many organisms and they had high resemblance between yeast and human apoptotic cells. Less stable RR/YY patterns had higher positive correlation between mouse and normal human cells. Our analysis and evidence from scientific literature lead to idea that various distinct patterns in nucleosomal DNA can be related to the two roles of the chromatin: packing (WW/SS) and regulatory (RR/YY and "anti").

#### Author summary

Precise positioning of nucleosomes on DNA sequence is essential for gene regulatory processes. Two main classes of nucleosome positioning sequence (NPS) patterns with a periodicity of 10bp for their sequence elements were previously described. In the 1st class AA, TT and other WW dinucleotides (W = A or T) tend to occur together in the major groove of DNA closest to the histone octamer, while SS dinucleotides (S = G or C) are primarily positioned in the major groove facing outward. In the 2nd class AA and TT are structurally separated (AA backbone near the histone octamer, and TT backbone further away), but grouped with other RR (R is purine A or G) and YY (Y is pyrimidine C or T) dinucleotides. We also described novel anti-NPS patterns, inverse to the conventional NPS patterns: WW runs inverse to SS, RR inverse to YY. We demonstrated that Yeast nucleosomes in promoters show higher correlation to the RR/YY pattern whereas novel anti-NPS patterns are viable for nucleosomes in the promoters of stress associated genes related to active chromatin remodeling. In the present study we attribute different functions to various NPS patterns: packing function to WW/SS and regulatory—to RR/YY and anti-NPS patterns.

#### Introduction

Nucleosomes bring order to eukaryote genome and serve three primary functions [1]: provide measures of packaging and stabilize negative super coiling of DNA in vivo; provide epigenetic layer of information guiding interactions of trans-acting proteins with the genome through their histone modification; directly regulate access to the functional elements of the genome by their positioning. Nucleosomes have inhibitory effects on transcription by reducing access of transcription machinery to genomic DNA. Positioning and occupancy of nucleosomes contribute to the heterogeneity and flexibility of gene expression [2] and take part in chromatin activity. Biological consequences of nucleosome positioning and occupancy vary between cell types and conditions [3].

Negatively charged DNA backbone and positively charged histone octamer do not require DNA sequence specificity to make bonds. Core histone sequences are conserved among different species, therefore the biophysical principles of the histone assembly that determine histone preferences to certain DNA sequences should be universal across organisms [4]. However, it is thought that certain numbers of nucleosomes [5] in genomes are positioned by a preference of some DNA sequence patterns over the other. Certain features of the DNA sequence, such as in Widom 601 sequence, have much higher affinity to the histone octamer in vitro [6]. Such high affinity DNA sequence pattern is composed from WW (AA,TT,AT) dinucleotide steps in which a minor groove faces the histone occurring at 10 base pairs (bp) periodicity. In addition, in between those steps where the major groove faces the octamer, the SS dinucleotides occur—in particular G or C followed by C or G [7], [8]. Within 147 bp that are wrapped around the histone octamer such periodical recurrence of distinctive dinucleotides facilitates a sharp bending of DNA around the nucleosome [9]. It is already known that specific compositions of dinucleotides make DNA more bendable [10] and that nucleosome linker regions show strong preference to sequences that resist DNA bending and disfavor nucleosome formation [11]. The GC rich nucleosome regions have higher nucleosome density while AT regions are more nucleosome depleted [12].

Generally, it is thought that nucleosome organization is encoded by a DNA sequence in eukaryote genomes [13–16]. Specifically arranged nucleosome favoring and deterring sequences in vivo may act as containers attracting nucleosomes [1]. In vitro and in vivo nucleosome maps are noticeably different by the absence of periodically positioned and phased nucleosomal arrays in the in vivo maps where an ATP-dependent chromatin re-modeler is responsible for the well-spaced nucleosome array [17]. In addition to the sequence

composition there are other factors in vivo such as post-translational modifications (PTM) of histone tails, transcription factor binding and remodeling complexes that influence positioning of nucleosomes and in turn tune chromatin accessibility and gene expression [5, 17].

It was shown that nucleosome remodeling takes place in response to stress and alters a gene expression. A single cell study performed on nucleosomes in yeast under glucose rich (PHO5 gene is silenced) and glucose starvation (PHO5 gene is expressed) conditions revealed that under starvation yeast cells lose nucleosomes in the PHO5 promoter [2]. However, mutants with enhanced AA/TT/TA periodicity in PHO5 gene promoter did not lose nucleosomes under starvation—the nucleosomes were positioned as in wild type under the nutrient rich conditions. This data showed that the periodicity enhancing mutations stabilized nucleosomes in such way that alienated chromatin re-modelers [2]. Another comparative study of nucleosomal DNA sequences in human CD4+ and apoptotic lymphocyte cells revealed a loss of GC rich nucleosomes in apoptotic cells [18]. Remodeling and reduced nucleosome occupancy was also observed in nucleus accumbens cells (NAC) of mouse brain in response to stress in chronic social defeat conditions [19]. Altered occupancy and positioning of nucleosomes was associated with a deactivation of genes implicated in stress susceptibility and also was significantly correlated with altered binding activity of TAP-utilizing chromatin assembly and remodeling factor-ATF complex.

Ensembles of nucleosomal DNA can differ between species and so the usage of nucleosomes in gene regulation [5]. Genomes are programmed to organize their own nucleosome occupancy. However, intrinsic histone preferences of specific k-mer sequences might be species specific [7, 20]. In higher eukaryotes genomic elements are closed by nucleosome unless active nucleosome displacement leads to the activation of this element. In unicellular organisms the genomic sites are open allowing transcription factor (TF) binding unless a nucleosome is actively repositioned there. Promoters of multicellular organisms are characterized by sequences favoring nucleosomes and in unicellular organisms by the disfavoring sequences [21].

Sequence based mechanisms governing nucleosome positioning and stability in different conditions and organisms genome-wide can be statistically characterized as patterns of periodically occurring k-mers in nucleosomal DNA [22]. The most prominent patterns observed in nucleosomal DNA across various organisms and particularly in yeast consist of the dinucleotides WW and SS (W = A or T and S = C or G) and RR and YY (R = A or G and Y = C or T) occurring at steps of average 10.1-10.4 base pairs that reflect the double helix period. A variety of such patterns was elucidated from nucleosomal DNA and shown that distinct pattern classes (termed pattern and anti-pattern) occur in nucleosomal DNA of nucleosomes modulating chromatin accessibility in promoters [8, 23, 24]. A signal of a periodical occurrence of specific dinucleotides in these patterns results from the averaging of a batch of nucleosomal DNA sequences and not necessarily this average pattern is found in any one given individual nucleosome. The analogy for such signal in a different biomedical domain is evoked potential of electroencephalogram which can be seen only from average signal of multiple experiments. The signals in nucleosomal DNA were shown not to be random by shuffling dinucleotides in nucleosomal DNA were shown not to be random by shuffling dinucleotides in nucleosomal DNA sequences [18].

We hypothesize that patterns originating from nucleosomal DNA in different experimental conditions may provide descriptive means to characterize and distinguish different states of chromatin function. In our study for the first time nucleosomal DNA patterns in nucleus accumbens cells (NAC) of stress-susceptible, stress-resilient and control mice brain [19] were characterized and compared to the dinucleotide frequency distribution patterns in human CD4+ and apoptotic lymphocyte cells. In these distinct organisms and very distinct biological conditions we aimed to discover fundamental similarities and differences in their nucleosomal

DNA patterns and compared them to yeast. The nucleosomal DNA patterns in human and yeast cells were taken from the previously published studies [8, 18]. Comparing nucleosomal DNA patterns in mouse, human and yeast revealed that several distinct modes of chromatin function can be characterized by the few distinctive patterns which arguably can be named as packing and regulatory.

#### Materials and methods

# Nucleosomal DNA patterns in human CD4+ and apoptotic lymphocyte cells

Sequences of nucleosomal DNA of +1 nucleosome in human CD4+ cells [25] in apoptotic lymphocyte cells [26] and their patterns characterized previously [18] were obtained from [18]. The original sequences of nucleosomes in human CD4+ cells (total 581507) and in apoptotic lymphocyte cells (total 711873) were flanked by 200bp on both sides of the dyad.

#### Nucleosomal DNA patterns in nucleus accumbens cells of mouse brain

**Original sequencing data.** The original nucleosome sequencing data of mouse brain NAC cells [19] were obtained from NCBI GEO archive under accession GSE54263. The short read sequence files accessioned by SRR113826[1-9] were downloaded from Short Read Sequences Archive (SRA) by NCBI SRA toolkit as fastq files. The fastq files contain paired MNase-seq (MNase digested histone H3) reads sequenced on Illumina HiSeq with 99bp read length in three biological replicates of control, stress-resilient and stress-susceptible mice from [19].

**Alignment.** The reads were aligned to the mouse reference genome *mm9* by bwa mem [27]. The 95%-98% reads were uniquely aligned and overall genome-wide mean coverage was 4 reads. The bam files were converted into bed format and genome-wide coverage was computed by BEDTools [28]. Mean length of covered regions was 279.29±8.45 and mean length of zero-coverage regions was 99.48±12.52.

**Determination of nucleosome sequences.** Sequences of nucleosomal DNA were obtained using algorithm as in [18] from information in the genome-wide coverage profile. The coverage profile peaks identify start positions of nucleosomes [29]. Genomic positions in which peaks attain maximum were identified by applying Gaussian smoothing to the coverage profile and taking a position in which smoothed profile has maximum. The choice of smoothing window depends on the data. We investigated several window sizes. Optimal size for this data was 70bp which is also a recommended window size for this type of data [30]. Peaks that are twice of average coverage [29] usually identify nucleosome positions. In this data average coverage was 4, therefore genome-wide peak summits attaining a height less than 10 were discarded. Genomic coordinates of nucleosome bound sequences were calculated from the mapped reads overlapping the summit positions such that a distance between the summit and either end of the read is no less than 30 bp. As in [31] The 5' start positions of the summit overlapping reads were extended by 20 bp upstream and the 3' end positions by 100 bp downstream flanking the146 bp nucleosome and it dyad position from both sides. The upstream flanking is shorter since it is expected to capture a cleavage site which will determine a most likely nucleosome start position. The DNA sequences of these intervals were extracted from mouse mm9 reference genome by BEDTools, aligned by the experimental 5'-end and formatted into fasta files. The nucleosome sequences of the first best phased nucleosome within 1000bp downstream of gene TSS were retained for further analysis. The mm9 gene TSS coordinates were downloaded from UCSC Genome Table Browser [32]. Variable number of sequences and associated RefSeq genes were obtained in three replicates in each biological condition. On average from 6 to 8

SRA name	Condition	GEO ID	Raw reads	Uniquely aligned	Nucleosome sequences	RefSeq Genes	
SRR1138261	control	GSM1311267 Con_H3	112,379,273	110,283,095	58658	8418	
SRR1138262	control	GSM1311267 Con_H3	127,059,884	122,696,395	65909	9294	
SRR1138263	control	GSM1311267 Con_H3	120,053,422	116,102,876	85433	11860	
SRR1138264	resilient	GSM1311268 Res_H3	102,811,190	99,612,874	40464	5775	
SRR1138265	resilient	GSM1311268 Res_H3	124,676,340	120,399,602	65642	9084	
SRR1138266	resilient	GSM1311268 Res_H3	127,632,626	123,374,019	93327	12865	
SRR1138267	susceptible	GSM1311269 Sus_H3	105,995,184	102,501,729	42631	5985	
SRR1138268	susceptible	GSM1311269 Sus_H3	115,654,263	111,596,579	57352	7940	
SRR1138269	susceptible	GSM1311269 Sus_H3	130,984,076	126,572,005	98886	13661	

Table 1. Summary of aligned GSE54263 original sequences from [19] and total counts of RefSeq genes and sequences of first well phased nucleosome within 1000bp downstream of mm9 Refseq gene TSS.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007365.t001

sequences aligned by the experimental 5' end represented a well phased nucleosome in the downstream vicinity of RefSeq gene TSS. Table 1 provides summary of the aligned raw data and sequences. To analyze patterns in the nucleosomal DNA in control, susceptible to stress and resilient to stress mice the sequences from the biological replicates in each condition were aggregated into one fasta file.

Computation of patterns in nucleosomal DNA sequences. Patterns of positional dinucleotide frequency distributions along nucleosomal DNA sequences from a bulk of sequences were computed utilizing a previously described algorithm [18]. Given a binary matrix of dinucleotide occurrences in sequences coded as 1 and else as zero, a pattern of dinucleotide frequency of occurrence is a sum of occurrences of the selected dinucleotide at every position along a sequence normalized by a number of sequences. Peaks in dinucleotide frequency patterns along the nucleosomal DNA sequence have a recognizable dyad-symmetry [33]. The dyad-symmetry feature helps to determine a nucleosome's position in a bulk of sequences. At the nucleosome position centered on dyad the dinucleotide distribution patterns on forward and reverse complementary strands will have a maximum positive correlation. To determine a position of a nucleosome, a Pearson correlation between the dinucleotide frequency distribution profiles on forward and reverse complementary strand is computed at each position of the nucleosome sequence within a sliding 146 base pair long window. Positions in which Pearson CC attains maximum for each dinucleotide are examined. Identification of the nucleosome position can't be fully automated and has to be verified for proximity to a cleavage site, because of varying nature of correlations across conditions. Once the nucleosome's position is identified the corresponding computed dinucleotide patterns are symmetrized. Subsequently composite patterns of Weak-Weak/Strong-Strong WW/SS (W = A or T, S = C or G) and Purine-Purine/Pyrimidine-Pyrimidine RR/YY (R = A or G, Y = C or T) dinucleotides are computed. The patterns can be normalized and smoothed to reduce small noisy peaks to compute their periodograms. This algorithm is implemented as dnpatterntools v1.0 suite of binary C++ programs and shell scripts. By using dnpatterntools we reproduced previously determined patterns in human cells and computed new patterns in mouse. More details on methods used in this study are outlined in S1 Appendix.

#### Patterns in yeast

The patterns in yeast's nucleosomal DNA used in this study were obtained from [8].

#### Computational nucleosome mapping method and data

The genomic coordinates of genomic loci of nucleosome occupancy change events associated with altered gene expression in mice brain NAC in response to stress are provided in [19]. The BED files containing coordinates of these loci were downloaded from GEO repository data accession GSE54263. The sequences 20 bp upstream and 200 bp downstream of the single bp event were extracted from mm9 genome using BEDTools getfasta function. The true nucleosome position can't be determined very accurately at the location of the occupancy change event. It can be determined only from a coverage profile in which peaks indicate a start position of a nucleosome, therefore we extend 20 and 200 bp upstream and downstream of the occupancy change event and extracted sequences from mm9 reference at these coordinates. The extracted sequences were coverted into binary strings of occurrences of each dinucleotide in each sequence. We used dnpatterntools program dnp-binstrings for this conversion. Stresssusceptible mice data had 1872 nucleosome occupancy change events in promoters and 15131 events in gene body. Stress-resilient mice had 740 nucleosome occupancy change events in promoters and 5179 events in gene body. In addition a single subset of 15000 sequences of +1 nucleosome sequences were randomly sampled from fasta files of stress-resilient and susceptible mice and converted into binary strings. The events of nucleosome occupancy change and their coverage profiles in GSE54263 were visualized in UCSC Genome browser and made available in Public Sessions as "mouse NAC nucleosome events (mm9)". For each position in the binary string a Pearson correlation coefficient was computed between each pattern of this study and binary string by sliding a window of 146bp length comprising a pattern. Each fasta sequence in which mapping is performed is represented by 16 binary strings (a total number of dinucleotides). Only those binary strings were used that had more than 12 occurrences of a dinucleotide. For each fasta sequence only a single maximum positive correlation out of all correlations between binary strings and patterns was retained. The ties were resolved randomly. Therefore for each fasta sequence a single pattern was obtained that maximally correlated with the dinucleotide patterns in the sequence. This pattern was resolved into WW/SS 1,2 or RR/YY 1,2 according to classification resulting from this study. R scripts and original fasta and binary strings used in this mapping experiment are available in dnpatterntools github repository under mapping.

#### Availability of tools and data

The dnpatterntools v1.0 software and example fasta files of processed mouse sequences are available from GitHub (https://github.com/erinijapranckeviciene/dnpatterntools). An example of analysis workflow in Galaxy [34] using a toy control mouse nucleosomal DNA as an example is freely available from dockerized dnpatterntools-galaxy instance from the docker hub (https://hub.docker.com) in a repository dnpatterntools-galaxy based on a galaxy-stable base image (https://zenodo.org/record/2579276).

#### Results

#### Baseline and periodicity

DNA sequences in nucleosomes are characterized by a periodical 10 base pairs AA/TT dinucleotide frequency distributions most clearly manifesting in yeast. At various extents it is seen also in other model organisms—human, mouse, worm [35] and fruit fly [36]. We investigated patterns formed by WW/SS and RR/YY pairs of dinucleotides and individual AA/TT/TA and CC/GG dinucleotides which carry nucleosome positioning signals by their periodical arrangements. Frequency analysis (Fourier transform) of dinucleotide profiles in mouse brain NAC showed peaks at 10.1-10.4 base period in agreement with the anticipated 10bp periodicity. Dinucleotide frequency distributions in mouse and human cells had a slightly different baseline possibly because of G+C content differences in human versus mouse genome (in main chromosomes of hg19 excluding random, unmapped, MT and Y it is 40.398% and in mouse mm9 it is 38.345% as calculated with BEDTools *nuc* function). We observed very different pattern of nucleosome sequence composition in apoptotic cells compared to the pattern in mouse and normal human cells, suggesting a different sequence-based mechanism governing dynamics of nucleosomes in cells undergoing apoptosis. How the patterns compare in mouse brain NAC, human CD4+ and apoptotic cells is shown in Section 1 Figures A-E in S2 Appendix.

#### Comparison of nucleosomal DNA patterns between human and mouse

Global similarity and difference between the dinucleotide frequency profiles in different conditions was quantified by computing Pearson correlation coefficient between the patterns pair-wise. Fig 1 shows heatmaps of correlation magnitudes between nucleosomal DNA patterns of human and mouse and dendrograms derived from these correlation matrices.

The patterns in normal human CD4+ cells and mouse brain NAC are strikingly similar even though their original experimental and functional contexts are very different. On the contrary, the patterns in apoptotic human cells were very different from those in mouse NAC and human CD4+ cells. However a high degree of similarity exists between the apoptotic patterns and the patterns observed in yeast [8]. The WW, AA and TT patterns in mouse NAC and



**Fig 1. Similarities between dinucleotide patterns in nucleosomal DNA in mouse and human cells.** For each composite (WW, SS, RR, YY) and individual (AA, TT, CC, GG) dinucleotide a similarity between the patterns in human CD4+ cells as cd4, human apoptotic lymphocyte cells as apo and NAC cells of mouse brains in control as con, stress-resilient as res and stress-susceptible as sus is shown. The similarity is quantized by a Pearson correlation coefficient a magnitude of which is shown in each cell of correlation matrix. Two representations of similarity are depicted for each dinucleotide: a heatmap in which color-coded cells show a Pearson correlation coefficient between two profiles and color provides a direction—red is positive, blue is negative. The similarity dendrograms are computed from the correlation matrix shown in heat map and illustrate hierarchical complete linkage clustering of the patterns.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007365.g001

human CD4+ cells have significant positive correlations (> 0.8). The CC, GG and SS patterns are less correlated (> 0.49). In all cases, the dinucleotide patterns in apoptotic cells have either no correlation or a negative correlation (WW is < -0.27 and SS is < -0.5) with the patterns in mouse and human CD4+ cells.

#### Peak synchronization and positional preferences

Positional preferences of nucleosomes to some sequence signatures manifest statistically as peaks of certain dinucleotides at certain positions in the frequency profiles computed from a large set of aligned sequences of nucleosomal DNA. We observed the maxima and minima (peaks and valleys) of AA/TT, CC/GG, WW/SS, and RR/YY dinucleotide patterns in mouse and human cells occur at a very high proximity. Positions in which a majority of dinucleotides in sequences from different conditions have well defined peaks can indicate *hubs* that may have special meaning in nucleosome dynamics. To locate hub positions, we use dinucleotide profiles transformed into a unit indicator sequence in which a +1 specifies a position of a maximum and a -1 specifies a position of a minimum and all other positions have zero values. We observed that the peaks mostly co-occur at intervals separated by a step of 10 ±1 base pairs. The sites in nucleosomal DNA in mouse and human cells were used differently by dinucleotides. In human cells the positions of multiple coinciding peaks along the nucleosomal DNA were ±69, ±47, ±46, ±43, ±28, ±23, ±19, ±13, ±7. In mouse cells they were: ±64, ±60, ±44, ±39, ±16, ±11. The human and mouse organisms also differed by identities of simultaneously occurring dinucleotide peaks.

Mutually exclusive dinucleotides such as WW and SS can't simultaneously occupy same position. However, we observed that the peaks of CC and GG and the peaks of TA and YY simultaneously occur in mouse. In human CD4+ cells simultaneously occurring peaks were TA and GG and WW and SS. This means that analyzed sequences of nucleosomal DNA contain several different pattern classes. The single cell analysis study [2] showed that at any single moment single cells are in binary status: some have nucleosomes remodeled and some have not. This may explain presence of incompatible dinucleotide peaks in aligned nucleosomal DNA sequences from the same source. In human CD4+ cells nucleosomal DNA sequences formed two distinct clusters each favoring either WW or SS patterns as shown previously [18]. The *hub* sites in which a majority of dinucleotides including incompatible had peaks were  $\pm 45$ ,  $\pm 31$ ,  $\pm 20$ . These *hub* sites are located in  $\pm 2$ ,  $\pm 3$  and  $\pm 4$  super helical locations (SHL) at which DNA interacts with histone octamer in a processes of remodeling that either stabilizes or destabilizes nucleosomes [37]. More details on synchronization of peaks, dinucleotides and the *hub* positions are provided in Section 2, Figures F,G,H and Table A in S2 Appendix.

#### Structural signatures of dinucleotide patterns in various conditions

We investigated further how nucleosomal DNA patterns in higher human and mouse organisms compare to the well-established patterns [8] in unicellular yeast organism. As an additional dimension to our comparison we added a generalized distribution of superhelical locations (SHL). The SHL comprising minor and major grooves in nucleosomal DNA were derived by Cui and Zhurkin from roll angles of crystal structures of nucleosome core particle (NCP) illustrated in Fig. 3 in [10]. We overlapped the distributions of WW/SS, RR/YY, AA/ TT/TA and CC/GG peaks in three organisms (yeast, mouse and human) on a map of SHL zones from the cartoon in Fig. 3 in [10] that corresponds to a half of nucleosomal DNA. A colour-coded ladder diagram of WW/SS and RR/YY peaks is shown in Fig 2. The WW and SS steps and the RR and YY steps are represented opposite to each other to make it it easier to see an order in arrangement of these steps.

NPS patterns as packing or regulatory



**Fig 2. Distribution of WW/SS and RR/YY peaks in human, mouse and yeast.** The ladder diagrams represent half of nucleosome with a dyad position at the bottom and proceeding up from -1 base pair position to the -72 base pair. The positions corresponding to the major grooves are shown in red and the minor are blue (as in cartoon of Fig. 3 in [10] labeled by SHL numbers. The WW peaks are coded in green, the SS in red, the RR are coded in magenta shades and YY in orange shades. Each indicated peak inside the cell is labeled by a letter indicating which organism it belongs to

(H- for human CD4+ cells, M- for NAC cell of control mouse, Y yeast and A for human apoptotic lymphocyte cells). The SS1/WW1 and RR1/YY1 diagrams show the peak distributions in human and mouse. The SS2/WW2 and RR2/YY2 diagram show peak distributions in yeast and human apoptotic cells. The numbers 1 and 2 designate these separate pattern classes. The ladder diagrams illustrate a synchronization between the peak occurrences. The WW peaks in human and mouse have SS peak counterparts in yeast and apoptotic cells and vice versa. The RR1/YY1 patterns appear to be very regular. The RR2/YY2 has less regular appearance in which peaks are mostly concentrated in SHL zones ±2.5, ±3.5 and ±4.5.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007365.g002

In human CD4+ cells and mouse NAC cells most of WW peak sites coincide and are located in the major grooves. However, the WW peaks in yeast and human apoptotic cells mostly appear as opposite with respect to the same peak in human CD4+ cells and mouse NAC. The WW peaks in human CD4+ cells and mouse NAC occur in SHL major groove zones  $\pm 6$ ,  $\pm 5$ ,  $\pm 4$ ,  $\pm 3$ ,  $\pm 2$ , but in yeast and human apoptotic cells have SS peaks in these zones. And the opposite: the zones  $\pm 4.5$  and  $\pm 2.5$  have SS peaks in human CD4+ cells and mouse NAC, but in yeast and human apoptotic cells these locations contain WW peaks. The SS peaks proximal to the dyad in  $\pm 1.5$  occur in mouse only. Most SS peaks in mouse and human are apart by  $\pm 1$ ,  $\pm 2$  base pairs but the central SS peak at the dyad coincides in both organisms. Generally, in all organisms peaks in SHL zone -1 have a smaller magnitude. Mouse and human at the dyad have SS peaks and yeast has both: SS and WW peaks. There are significant similarities of positional peak distributions in mouse NAC and normal human CD4+ cells. However, positional peak distribution in human apoptotic is significantly different and highly resembles peak distribution in yeast.

The distributions of RR/YY peaks have similar characteristics in human CD4+ and mouse. However, it is different in yeast cells and considerably different in human apoptotic cells. The RR2/YY2 pattern in yeast has RR (Purine-Purine) peaks occurring in SHL minor zones interchanging with YY (Pyrimidine-Pyrimidine) steps occurring in all SHL zones; whereas in human CD4+ cells and mouse NAC both the RR and YY steps occurr in major zones and are arranged in close proximity opposite to each other. The RR2/YY2 pattern in human apoptotic cells has a regular structure which is very different from other patterns. The observed WW/SS pattern configuration is a classical nucleosome favoring sequence configuration [7, 8]. As to observed RR/YY patterns—DNA structures containing these configurations of dinucleotides do not persist because of stiffness of RR and YY dinucleotide steps [8] and [38]. Peak site localization in SHL zones differ between mouse (control, susceptible and resilient to stress mice) and human (CD4+ and apoptotic cells). All sites that had either one or multiple peaks were counted in each SHL zone for mouse and for human. The distribution of counts is shown in Fig 3. The peak positions of all dinucleotides in this study and their corresponding structural zones are summarized in Table 1 in S1 Table.

Peak counts across SHL in human and mouse had a statistically significant difference (paired Wilcoxon rank sum test p-value = 0.02). The SHL zone -1.5 have 4 peak sites in mouse but in human it has just one peak site in the apoptotic cells. The SHL zones  $\pm 4$ ,  $\pm 4.5$  and -3.5 in human have more peak sites compared to mouse. In SHL -6.5 zone only susceptible to stress mouse had a peak. SHL zones  $\pm 1.5$ ,  $\pm 4$  and  $\pm 4.5$  that differ between human and mouse in terms of positional preferences play roles in nucleosome dynamics. Most of DNA deformations take place in the SHL  $\pm 1.5$  zone. It has an increased binding of DNA proteins and it is most susceptible to DNA damage [39]. Other important zones in which multiple peaks from all organisms were observed are SHL zones  $\pm 2$ ,  $\pm 3$  and  $\pm 4$ . The SHL  $\pm 2$ ,  $\pm 3$  are zones in which chromatin remodelers interact with DNA and in SHL  $\pm 4$  zone histone H2A tail interacts with DNA which makes it a less stable zone [37]. Statistically defined relations between nucleosome positioning and covalent chromatin modification were noted—but there is no



Fig 3. Comparison of peak counts across SHL zones in human and mouse. The bars show number of counts of peak sites across SHL in human CD4+ and apoptotic lymphocyte cells compared to the counts in mouse NAC in control, susceptible and resilient to stress mice.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007365.g003

relationship established between histone modifications and specific nucleotides observed at a given location [5]. It is not clear yet how specific composition of DNA sequence might interact with these processes and affect nucleosome positioning and remodeling. A brief summary of current knowledge about importance of specific SHL zones trying to provide more context to the regularities observed in this work is provided in Section 3 in S2-Appendix.

## Computational mapping of nucleosomes in nucleosome occupancy change locations in stress-susceptible and stress-resilient mice

Patterns in an aggregate dinucleotide profile not necessarily are observed in any significant number of individual sequences [40]. In this case they likely reflect relatively weak sequence preferences of the histone core, rather than a mechanism of nucleosome placement that is meaningful for biological regulation at the level of individual loci such as gene promoters or enhancers.

To verify whether the RR/YY patterns are frequently observed in individual sequences in the loci in which regulatory events took place in response to stress in stress-susceptible and stress-resilient mice we performed a computational mapping of nucleosomes in those sequences using patterns derived in this study. In addition, we mapped nucleosomes in a subset of randomly sampled +1 nucleosome sequences from fasta sequences stress affected mice. Table 2 shows pattern proportions of highest positive Pearson correlations with the dinucleotide distributions in the sequences from the loci in which nucleosome occupancy changes took place in response to stress in stress affected mice. The RR/YY and SS2 patterns dominate significantly in these sequences in both-stress-susceptible and stress-resilient mice. Similar pattern proportions were observed in randomly sampled sequences of +1 nucleosome genomewide from the stress affected mice. Distributions of maximum Pearson correlation coefficients between patterns and sequences do not differ significantly across promoter, gene body and random +1 nucleosome sequences. Only slightly higher correlations were observed in promoters and gene body loci for YY2 pattern. Figures comparing empirical cumulative distribution functions (ECDF) of Pearson correlation coefficients obtained in mapping nucleosomes in promoter, gene body and randomly sampled +1 nucleosome sequences are in Supplementary S3 Appendix. Even though we observed a prevalence of less stable RR/YY patterns in the sequences from the loci in which the regulatory events of nucleosome occupancy change took place, more rigorous directed experiment is needed to establish whether these patterns might interfere or influence biologically meaningful regulatory chromatin activity.

Nucleosome sequence / Pattern	RR1	RR2	<b>SS1</b>	SS2	WW1	WW2	YY1	YY2	Total				
Stress-susceptible percentage proportions (%)													
Random +1 nucleosome	8.89	17.47	3.3	23.09	4.42	4.7	23.69	14.43	100				
Occupacy change in promoter	8.6	16.56	5.18	20.57	3.95	5.29	21.69	18.16	100				
Occupacy change in gene body	8.8	16.77	4.85	20.11	3.83	5.43	21.12	19.08	100				
		Str	ess-resilient p	percentage prop	portions (%)								
Random +1 nucleosome	8.22	16.97	3.6	22.96	4.47	5.09	23.99	14.71	100				
Occupacy change in promoter	8.65	14.73	4.73	23.24	4.86	4.05	21.22	18.51	100				
Occupacy change in gene body	8.26	18.27	4.58	20.51	4	5.39	20.35	18.65	100				
		Str	ess-susceptibl	e sequence pro	portions (#)		•						
Random +1 nucleosome	1334	2621	495	3464	663	705	3553	2165	15000				
Occupacy change in promoter	161	310	97	385	74	99	406	340	1872				
Occupacy change in gene body	1332	2538	734	3043	580	821	3196	2887	15131				
		S	tress-resilient	sequence prop	ortions (#)								
Random +1 nucleosome	1233	2546	540	3444	670	763	3598	2206	15000				
Occupacy change in promoter	64	109	35	172	36	30	157	137	740				
Occupacy change in gene body	428	946	237	1062	207	279	1054	966	5179				

Table 2. Proportions of patterns best mapping the nucleosomes in nucleosome occupancy change locations in promoters and gene body in stress-susceptible and stress-resilient mice.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007365.t002

#### Discussion

We performed extensive descriptive and comparative study on dinucleotide patterns in nucleosomal DNA originating from cells in very different conditions: human CD4+ cells and human apoptotic lymphocytes, and NAC from brains of susceptible to stress, resilient to stress and control mice. The found patterns were compared to patterns in yeast. We used already published patterns for yeast and human organisms and characterized patterns in mouse NAC for the first time. Essentially, we aimed to find how patterns of nucleosome sequences are different or similar in these organisms and found important regularities.

Statistically, dinucleotide patterns in nucleosomal DNA provide information about sequence preferences of histones in forming nucleosomes and packing DNA. Patterns in this study were computed from a multiple sequences of the best phased most proximal to gene TSS nucleosomes genome-wide. Since the best phased closest to TSS nucleosome (+1 nucleosome) is one of primary factors determining how the rest of the nucleosomes will assemble, we hypothesized that patterns characterizing the nucleosomal DNA of these nucleosomes genome-wide in different organisms and conditions may reflect sequence patterns affecting chromatin function and packaging.

In response to stress nucleosomes undergo remodeling to alter expression of response genes. The remodeling may comprise various events: nucleosomes may change their position or occupancy, they may be evicted or otherwise change their configuration. The patterns derived from sequences of nucleosomes sequenced in a specific experimental condition (i.e. after remodeling) will represent state of chromatin that is specific to that condition because these sequences to some extent statistically represent the general pattern in sequence that attracted histones and formed nucleosomes. However, as it was shown, a remodeling may occur in a fraction cells where in a remaining fraction the original nucleosome configuration hasn't changed. Therefore, in nucleosomal DNA sequence patterns there will be a mixture of patterns likely corresponding to the changed and unchanged condition and it is challenging to elucidate a very clear patterns. Nevertheless, some general trends can been observed.

We discovered that patterns in mouse nucleosomal DNA in control, stress-susceptible and stress-resilient conditions are almost identical. The stress affected mice differ slightly from a control in a spatial distribution of peaks in RR/YY patterns. The WW peaks in nucleosomal DNA in normal human CD4+ cells and in mouse NAC coincide and these peaks are located in a major grove SHL zones while the SS peaks are located in the minor grove SHL zones. We also observed that the patterns of WW and SS peak arrangement in human apoptotic cells are very similar to the patterns in yeast. The peaks in human apoptotic cells and in yeast are arranged in opposite way to the peaks observed in human CD4+ cells and mouse NAC. Namely, the WW maxima in human and mouse correspond to the SS maxima of yeast and human apoptotic cells and vice versa—the SS maxima in human CD4+ and mouse correspond to the WW maxima in yeast and human apoptotic cells. Namely, these patterns are inverse of each other. It is known that the WW/SS pattern as in yeast is the one that is favored by nucleosome formation: it is represented by stretches of WW dinucleotides (AA/ TT/TA) on the face of the helical repeat that can directly interact with the histone. If this DNA sequence is altered to fit 5-bp intervals of AA/TT/TA dinucleotides with GC dinucleotides, then the nucleosome occupancy has been observed to increase dramatically [7]. This pattern—WW with SS inserted each 5 base pairs is characterized as a very stable. It facilitates a rotational positioning and has been observed in nucleosomal DNA from chickens, yeast, fruit flies, nematodes and humans, suggesting that the structural rules for rotational positioning are the same across species [10].

In this study we observed that WW dinucleotides in yeast and human apoptotic cells are located in minor grove SHL zones, however human CD4+ cells and mouse NAC in those zones have SS dinucleotides. It was stated [38] that CC and GG dinucleotides have more influence to nucleosome formation. Our investigated organisms may have employed different nucleotides, however a spatial structure of the dinucleotide arrangement is still preserved. The existence of the patterns in which WW/SS dinucleotides are used in opposite ways termed pattern and anti-pattern was already predicted [8] and investigated in promoters of mammalian cells [23]. Here the pattern corresponds to a spatial distribution of WW/SS peaks as in yeast and human apoptotic cells (represented by WW2/SS2 ladder in Fig 2) and the anti-pattern corresponds to that in human and mouse (WW1/SS1 in Fig 2). It is also known that chromatin becomes highly condensed during apoptosis [41]. Since these WW/SS patterns are very stable and they are universally used in many species and also seen in human apoptotic cells they could be termed as packing.

The RR and YY in human, mouse and yeast alternate in 3 to 5 base pair steps and again the RR peaks in human and mouse patterns occur in major grove SHL zones, while in yeast they are in minor groove SHL zones. The RR/YY patterns in this study are in agreement with (i) the already characterized RR/YY patterns in which dinucleotides are by 5 bases apart and (ii) in arrangement of peaks agree with the universal linear nucleosome positioning pattern YRRRRRYYYYR [42]. The RR and YY dimers appear to be the most rigid dinucleotides, and therefore a DNA fragment consisting of the interchanging oligo R and oligo Y blocks that are 5–6 base pairs long should manifest a spectacular curvature in solution [43]. The RR/YY pattern in human apoptotic cells is very regular in which the RR and YY peaks occur in a close proximity to each other carrying a high resemblance to the in vitro Group 1 pattern of YR/ YYRR motifs at sites SHL ±3.5 and ±5.5 described by Cui and Zhurkin [10]. This pattern facilitates severe DNA deformations at those sites and the positioning of nucleosomes is likely to be determined by interactions between H2A/H2B and DNA at those sites. Because of the high DNA flexibility imposed by the RR/YY patterns and because of the important functional consequences of SHL zones associated with these patterns these RR/YY patterns could be termed as regulatory.

#### Conclusions

One of the novel contributions of this study to the nucleosome field is that we characterized patterns in mouse NAC for the first time. In addition we contributed software with which already characterized and published patterns can be reproduced and new patterns computed given fasta sequences of nucleosomal DNA. We described regularities observed in distributions of peaks in patterns on nucleosomal DNA in human, mouse and yeast and showed how these regularities in the arrangements of peaks classify dinucleotide patterns into WW/SS and RR/YY patterns and anti-patterns reported previously. We observed that multiple coinciding peaks in different patterns observed in different organisms and conditions are located in the nucleosome's SHL zones that have important roles in chromatin functioning.

Striking similarities were found between the WW/SS patterns in yeast and human cells under the conditions of apoptosis. Biological significance of this finding is unknown. However, taking into account that WW/SS is a very stable pattern that is strongly favoring formation of nucleosomes and also that chromatin under apoptosis becomes highly condensed, we argue that it may suggest existence of several modes of chromatin functions that can be characterized by a few classes of sequence patterns that may be related to the two chromatin roles: packing and regulatory.

Based on our results and evidence from scientific literature we draw following conclusions:

- Yeast bulk nucleosome sequences display and tend to be mapped by canonical stable WW/ SS patterns.
- Nucleosome sequences in mouse and human display and tend to be mapped by the canonical WW/SS anti-pattern and the RR/YY pattern.
- Promoter sequences in yeast tend to be mapped by the canonical RR/YY pattern and promoters of the yeast stress-related genes tend to be mapped by the canonical RR/YY anti-pattern [8].
- Patterns in nucleosomal DNA might be related to the two roles of the chromatin: packing (WW/SS) and regulatory (RR/YY and "anti"). The hypothesis of a regulatory role of the RR/YY patterns is based on literature analysis of their stability properties and partially on computational evidence. Therefore, this hypothesis will require more directed experiments.
- Our hypothesis for the future studies is that packing patterns tend to be preferred by evolutionary lower organisms and regulatory—by higher organisms.

#### **Supporting information**

S1 Appendix. Additional methodological details and examples of workflows to obtain nucleosome sequences and patterns.

(PDF)

**S2** Appendix. Additional details on nucleosomal DNA patterns and superhelical locations. This Appendix presents multiple plots of patterns in nucleosomal DNA of mouse and human with additional analysis and details on distributions of peaks. It contains a short summary on importance of superhelical locations. (PDF)

**S3** Appendix. Empirical cumulative distribution functions (ECFD) of maximal Pearson correlation coefficients (CC). This appendix shows ECDFs of maximal Person CC obtained in a computational mapping of nucleosome sequences by the dinucleotide distribution patterns analyzed in this study. The computational nucleosome mapping was done in sequences

from promoters and gene body loci (mm9 genome build) in which regulatory events of nucleosome occupancy change took place. The ECFDs are also shown for Pearson CC in random +1 nucleosome sequences. Computational nucleosome mapping data is presented for stresssusceptible (sus) and stress-resilient (res) mice sequences. (PDF)

**S1 Table. Dinucleotide patterns and peak distributions.** Tables in this spreadsheet comprise: Table 1. Distributions of dinucleotide peaks in human mouse and yeast. Table 2. Dinucleotide patterns in human and mouse. Table 3. Comparison of peaks between human and mouse. Complementary to Fig 3. Table 4. Excel representation of peak distributions in human, mouse and yeast patterns as a ladder. Complementary to Fig 2. (XLSX)

#### Acknowledgments

The authors thank Prof. Eric Nestler for providing mouse NAC data prior to publication.

#### **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: Erinija Pranckeviciene, Ilya Ioshikhes.

Data curation: Erinija Pranckeviciene, Nathan Liang.

Formal analysis: Erinija Pranckeviciene, Sergey Hosid, Nathan Liang.

Funding acquisition: Ilya Ioshikhes.

Investigation: Erinija Pranckeviciene, Sergey Hosid, Nathan Liang, Ilya Ioshikhes.

Methodology: Erinija Pranckeviciene, Sergey Hosid, Ilya Ioshikhes.

**Project administration:** Ilya Ioshikhes.

Resources: Erinija Pranckeviciene, Ilya Ioshikhes.

Software: Erinija Pranckeviciene, Sergey Hosid, Nathan Liang, Ilya Ioshikhes.

Supervision: Ilya Ioshikhes.

Validation: Erinija Pranckeviciene.

Visualization: Erinija Pranckeviciene, Sergey Hosid, Nathan Liang.

Writing - original draft: Erinija Pranckeviciene, Sergey Hosid, Nathan Liang, Ilya Ioshikhes.

Writing - review & editing: Erinija Pranckeviciene, Ilya Ioshikhes.

#### References

- 1. Iyer VR. Nucleosome positioning: bringing order to the eukaryotic genome. Trends Cell Biol. 2012; 22 (5):250–256. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2012.02.004 PMID: 22421062
- Small EC, Xi L, Wang JP, Widom J, Licht JD. Single-cell nucleosome mapping reveals the molecular basis of gene expression heterogeneity. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2014; 111(24):E2462–71. https://doi. org/10.1073/pnas.1400517111 PMID: 24889621
- Snyder MW, Kircher M, Hill AJ, Daza RM, Shendure J. Cell-free DNA Comprises an in vivo nucleosome footprint that informs its tissues-of-origin. Cell. 2016; 164(1-2):57–68. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.</u> 2015.11.050 PMID: 26771485
- Clapier CR, Chakravarthy S, Petosa C, Fernandez–Tornero C, Luger K, Muller CW. Structure of the Drosophila nucleosome core particle highlights evolutionary constraints on the H2A–H2B histone dimer. Proteins. 2008; 71(1):1–7. https://doi.org/10.1002/prot.21720 PMID: 17957772

- Teif VB, Clarkson CT. Nucleosome positioning. Encyclopedia of Bioinformatics and Computational Biology. 2019; Vol 2:308–317. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-809633-8.20242-2
- Lowary PT, Widom J. New DNA sequence rules for high affinity binding to histone octamer and sequence–directed nucleosome positioning. J Mol Biol. 1998; 276(1):19–42. https://doi.org/10.1006/ jmbi.1997.1494 PMID: 9514715
- Onufriev AV, Schiessel H. The nucleosome: from structure to function through physics. Curr Opin Struct Biol. 2019; 56:119–130. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2018.11.003 PMID: 30710748
- 8. Ioshikhes I, Hosid S, Pugh BF. (2011) Variety of genomic DNA patterns for nucleosome positioning. Genome Res. 2011; 21(11):1863–1871. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.116228.110 PMID: 21750105
- Struhl K, Segal E. (2013) Determinants of nucleosome positioning. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2013; 20 (3):267–273. https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.2506 PMID: 23463311
- Cui F, Zhurkin V. Structure–based Analysis of DNA Sequence Patterns Guiding Nucleosome Positioning in vitro. J Biomol Struct Dyn. 2010; 27(6):821–841. https://doi.org/10.1080/073911010010524947 PMID: 20232936
- Luque A, Ozer G, Schlick T. Correlation among DNA linker length, linker histone concentration, and histone tails in chromatin. Biophys J. 2016; 110(11):2309–2319. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2016.04.024 PMID: 27276249
- Gaffney DJ, McVicker G, Pai AA, Fondufe–Mittendorf YN, Lewellen N, Michelini K, Widom J, Gilad Y, Pritchard JK. Controls of nucleosome positioning in the human genome. PLoS Genet. 2012; 8(11): e1003036. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003036 PMID: 23166509
- Lowary P.T. and Widom J. New DNA sequence rules for high affinity binding to histone octamer and sequence–directed nucleosome positioning. J Mol Biol. 1998; 276(1):19–42. https://doi.org/10.1006/ jmbi.1997.1494 PMID: 9514715
- 14. Segal MR. Re–cracking the nucleosome positioning code. Stat Appl Genet Mol Biol. 2008; 7(1): Article14. https://doi.org/10.2202/1544-6115.1367 PMID: 18454729
- Scipioni A, De Santis P. Predicting nucleosome positioning in genomes: physical and bioinformatic approaches. Biophys Chem. 2011; 155(2–3):53–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpc.2011.03.006 PMID: 21482020
- Chung HR, Vingron M. Sequence–dependent nucleosome positioning. J Mol Biol. 2009; 386(5):1411– 1422. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2008.11.049 PMID: 19070622
- Hughes AL, Rando OJ. Mechanisms underlying nucleosome positioning in vivo. Annu Rev Biophys. 2014; 43:41–63. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biophys-051013-023114 PMID: 24702039
- Hosid S, Ioshikhes I. Apoptotic Lymphocytes of H. Sapiens lose nucleosomes in GC–rich promoters. PLoS Comput Biol. 2014; 10(7):e1003760. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003760 PMID: 25077608
- Sun H, Damez–Werno DM, Scobie KN, Shao NY, Dias C, Rabkin J, Koo JW, Korb E, Bagot RC, Ahn FH, et al. ACF chromatin–remodeling complex mediates stress–induced depressive–like behaviour. Nat Med. 2015; 21(10):1146–1153. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.3939 PMID: 26390241
- Giancarlo R, Rombo SE, Utro F. In vitro versus in vivo compositional landscapes of histone sequence preferences in eukaryotic genomes. Bioinformatics. 2018; 34(20):3454–3460. https://doi.org/10.1093/ bioinformatics/bty799 PMID: 30204840
- Tompitak M, Vaillant C, Schiessel H. Genomes of multicellular organisms have evolved to attract nucleosomes to promoter regions. Biophys J. 2017; 112(3):505–511. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2016.12. 041 PMID: 28131316
- Reynolds SM, Bilmes JA, Noble WS. Learning a weighted sequence model of the nucleosome core and linker yields more accurate predictions in Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Homo sapiens. PLoS computational biology. 2010 Jul; 6(7):e1000834. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000834</u> PMID: 20628623
- Wright GM, Cui F. The nucleosome position-encoding WW/SS sequence pattern is depleted in mammalian genes relative to other eukaryotes. Nucleic Acids Research. 2019 Jun 19. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz544</u>
- Ioshikhes I, Albert I, Zanton SJ, Pugh BF. Nucleosome positions predicted through comparative genomics. Nat Genet. 2006; 38(10): 1210–1215. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1878 PMID: 16964265
- Schones DE, Cui K, Cuddapah S, Roh TY, Barski A, Wang Z, Wei G, Zhao K. Dynamic regulation of nucleosome positioning in the human genome. Cell. 2008; 132(5):887–898. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.</u> cell.2008.02.022 PMID: 18329373
- Bettecken T, Frenkel ZM, Altmuller J, Nurnberg P, Trifonov EN. Apoptotic cleavage of DNA in human lymphocyte chromatin shows high sequence specificity. J. Biomol Struct Dyn. 2012; 30(2):211–216. https://doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2012.677772 PMID: 22702732

- Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics. 2010; 26(5):589–595. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp698 PMID: 20080505
- Quinlan AR. BEDTools: The Swiss–Army Tool for Genome Feature Analysis. Curr Protoc Bioinformatics. 2014; 47(11.12):1–34.
- Quintales L, Vázquez E, Antequera F. Comparative analysis of methods for genome-wide nucleosome cartography. Briefings in bioinformatics. 2014 Oct 8; 16(4):576–87. https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbu037 PMID: 25296770
- **30.** Tirosh I. Computational analysis of nucleosome positioning. Methods Mol Biol. 2012 833:443–449. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-61779-477-3\_27 PMID: 22183610
- Brunelle M, Rodrigue S, Jacques PÉ, Gévry N. High–resolution genome–wide mapping of nucleosome positioning and occupancy level using paired–end sequencing technology. Histones 2017 (pp. 229– 243). Humana Press, New York, NY.
- Rosenbloom KR, Armstrong J, Barber GP, Casper J, Clawson H, Diekhans M, Dreszer TR, Fujita PA, Guruvadoo L, Haeussler M, Harte RA. The UCSC genome browser database: 2015 update. Nucleic acids research. 2014 Nov 26; 43(D1):D670–81. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku1177 PMID: 25428374
- Luger K, Mader AW, Richmond RK, Sargent DF, Richmond TJ. Crystal structure of the nucleosome core particle at 2.8A resolution. Nature. 1997 Sep; 389(6648):251. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/38444</u> PMID: 9305837
- Afgan E, Baker D, Van den Beek M, Blankenberg D, Bouvier D, Cech M, Chilton J, Clements D, Coraor N, Eberhard C, Gruning B. The Galaxy platform for accessible, reproducible and collaborative biomedical analyses: 2016 update. Nucleic acids research. 2016 May 2; 44(W1):W3–10. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw343 PMID: 27137889</u>
- Radman–Livaja M, Rando OJ. Nucleosome positioning: how is it established, and why does it matter?. Dev Biol. 2010; 339(2):258–266. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2009.06.012 PMID: 19527704
- Yang D, Ioshikhes I. Drosophila H2A and H2A.Z Nucleosome Sequences Reveal Different Nucleosome Positioning Sequence Patterns. J Comput. Biol. 2017; 24(4):289–298. https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb. 2016.0173 PMID: 27992255
- Bowman GD, Poirier MG. Post-translational modifications of histones that influence nucleosome dynamics. Chem Rev. 2015; 115(6): 2274–2295. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr500350x PMID: 25424540
- Olson WK, Zhurkin VB. Working the kinks out of nucleosomal DNA. Current opinion in structural biology. 2011 Jun 1; 21(3):348–57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2011.03.006 PMID: 21482100
- Taylor JS. Design, synthesis, and characterization of nucleosomes containing site-specific DNA damage. DNA repair. 2015 Dec 1; 36:59–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dnarep.2015.09.025 PMID: 26493358
- **40.** Tolstorukov MY, Kharchenko PV, Goldman JA, Kingston RE, Park PJ. Comparative analysis of H2A. Z nucleosome organization in the human and yeast genomes. Genome research. 2009 Jun 1; 19(6):967–77. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.084830.108 PMID: 19246569
- 41. Wyllie AH, Beattie GJ, Hargreaves AD. Chromatin changes in apoptosis. The Histochemical Journal. 1981 Jul 1; 13(4):681–92. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01002719 PMID: 6975767
- Frenkel ZM, Trifonov EN, Volkovich Z, Bettecken T. Nucleosome positioning patterns derived from human apoptotic nucleosomes. Journal of Biomolecular Structure and Dynamics. 2011 Dec 1; 29 (3):577–83. https://doi.org/10.1080/073911011010524995 PMID: 22066542
- **43.** Zhurkin VB. Sequence-dependent bending of DNA and phasing of nucleosomes. Journal of Biomolecular Structure and Dynamics. **1985** Feb 1; 2(4):785–804. https://doi.org/10.1080/07391102.1985. 10506324 PMID: 3917119