

# On approximation of stochastic integral equations driven by continuous $p$ -semimartingales

Kęstutis KUBILIUS (MII, VGTU)

*e-mail: kubilius@ktl.mii.lt*

## Introduction

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbf{P})$ ,  $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0\}$ , be a stochastic basis satisfying the usual conditions and let a standard Brownian motion  $W$  and a fractional Brownian motion (fBm)  $B^H$ , with the Hurst index  $1/2 < H < 1$ , be  $\mathbb{F}$ -adapted.

A fBm with the Hurst index  $0 < H < 1$  is a centered Gaussian process  $X = \{X_t, t \geq 0\}$  with  $X_0 = 0$  and with the covariance

$$\text{Cov}(X_t, X_s) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Var}(X_1)(t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H}),$$

for all  $t, s \geq 0$ . If  $\text{Var}(X_1) = 1$ , we write  $X = B^H$ . The case  $H = 1/2$  corresponds to the standard Brownian motion.

Consider the equation

$$X_t = \xi + \int_0^t f(X_s) dZ_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f f'(X_s) ds, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (1)$$

where  $Z = W + B^H$ ,  $1/2 < H < 1$ . For short, we shall write  $f f'(X_s)$  instead of  $f(X_s) f'(X_s)$ .

If  $f \in \mathbb{C}_b^2$  then there exists a unique adapted solution of the equation (1) having almost all sample paths in the space  $C\mathcal{W}_q([0, T])$ ,  $2 < q < 1/(1 - H)$ , where  $C\mathcal{W}_q([0, T])$  is the class of all continuous functions defined on  $[0, T]$  with a bounded  $q$ -variation. This result one can easily obtain from [4, 6]. (For definitions see [4, 6].)

Let  $\varkappa^n = \{t_k^n: 0 \leq k \leq m(n)\}$  be a sequence of partitions of the interval  $[0, T]$ , i.e.,  $0 = t_0^n < t_1^n < \dots < t_{m(n)}^n = T$ , such that  $\delta_n = \max_i |t_{i+1}^n - t_i^n|$  tends to 0 as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Let  $Z^n$  be a sequence of linear approximations of a process  $Z$ , i.e.,

$$Z^n(t) = Z(t_{k-1}^n) + \frac{t - t_{k-1}^n}{t_k^n - t_{k-1}^n} (Z(t_k^n) - Z(t_{k-1}^n)),$$

for  $t \in [t_{k-1}^n, t_k^n]$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq m(n)$ . Note that for any  $n$  process  $Z^n$  has bounded variation.

For partition  $\varkappa^n$  define  $\rho^n(t) = \max\{t_k^n: t_k^n \leq t\}$  and  $r^n(t) = \max\{k: t_k^n \leq t\}$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ . For every  $x \in D([0, T]) := D([0, T], \mathbb{R})$  the sequence  $\{x^{\varkappa^n}\}$  denotes the following discretizations of  $x$ :

$$x_t^{\varkappa^n} = x(t_k^n) \quad \text{for } t \in [t_k^n, t_{k+1}^n), \quad 0 \leq k \leq m(n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Define the approximation

$$X_t^n = \xi + \int_0^t f(X_{s-}^n) dZ_s^{\varkappa^n} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f f'(X_{s-}^n) d[Z^{\varkappa^n}]_s, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2)$$

If  $f$  is locally Lipschitz continuous and satisfies linear growth condition then for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a unique strong solution to

$$Y^n(t) = \xi + \int_0^t f(Y_s^n) dZ_s^n, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3)$$

Now we formulate our results.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $f \in \mathbb{C}_b^2$ . Then*

$$(X^n, W^{\varkappa^n}, B^{H, \varkappa^n}) \xrightarrow{D} (X, W, B^H) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $X$  is the unique solution of the equation (1). By  $\xrightarrow{D}$  we denote the weak convergence of corresponding probability measures on  $D([0, T], \mathbb{R}^3)$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Assume that  $X$  is a solution of (1) and  $\{Y^n\}$  is a sequence of solutions of (3). Then*

$$\sup_{t \leq T} |Y^n(t) - X(t)| \xrightarrow{P} 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

## 1. Auxiliary results and proofs

Since almost all sample paths of the processes  $B^H$ ,  $1/2 \leq H < 1$ , are Hölder continuous then

$$V_r(B^H; [s, t]) := v_r^{1/r}(B^H; [s, t]) \leq L^{H, 1/r} (t - s)^{1/r}, \quad (4)$$

where  $v_r(B^H; [s, t])$  is the  $r$ -variation of the  $B^H$ ,  $s < t$ ,  $r > 1/H$ ,

$$L^{H, \gamma} = \sup_{\substack{s \neq t \\ s, t \leq T}} \frac{|B_t^H - B_s^H|}{|t - s|^\gamma}, \quad 0 < \gamma < H, \quad \mathbf{E}(L^{H, \gamma})^k < \infty, \quad \forall k \geq 1.$$

Any local martingale is locally of bounded  $q$ -variation for each  $q > 2$ . Moreover, for  $q > 2$  and  $0 < r \leq 2$  there are a finite constants  $K_{q,r}$ ,  $\ell_r$  such that for continuous martingale  $M = \{M(t), 0 \leq t \leq T\}$

$$\mathbf{E}\{v_q(M; [0, T])\}^{r/q} \leq K_{q,r} \mathbf{E}\left\{\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |M(t)|\right\}^r \leq K_{q,r} \ell_r \mathbf{E}\{(M)_T\}^{r/2}. \quad (5)$$

**Lemma 3** (see [3, 5]). *Let  $\{M^n\}$ ,  $\{A^n\}$ , and  $\{\tilde{X}^n\}$  be a sequences of cadlag  $\mathbb{F}^n$  adapted processes, where  $M^n$  is a local martingale,  $A^n$  is a process with  $p$ -bounded variation,  $1 < p < 2$ ,  $\tilde{X}^n$  is a process with  $q$ -bounded variation,  $q > 2$ ,  $q^{-1} + p^{-1} > 1$ . Assume that*

$$\sup_n \mathbf{E} \sup_{t \leq T} |\Delta M_t^n| < +\infty,$$

$\{V_p(A^n; [0, T])\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\{V_q(\tilde{X}^n; [0, T])\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , are tight in  $\mathbb{R}$ . If

$$(\tilde{X}^n, M^n, A^n) \xrightarrow{D} (\tilde{X}, M, A) \text{ in } D([0, T], \mathbb{R}^3),$$

where  $\tilde{X}$ ,  $M$  and  $A$  are continuous processes, then  $M$  is a local martingale adapted to the natural filtration  $\mathbb{G}$  generated by  $(\tilde{X}, M, A)$ ,  $A$  is a process of  $p$ -bounded variation adapted to  $\mathbb{G}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \tilde{X}^n, M^n, A^n, \int_0^\cdot \tilde{X}_{s-}^n dM_s^n, \int_0^\cdot \tilde{X}_{s-}^n dA_s^n \right) \\ & \xrightarrow{D} \left( \tilde{X}, M, A, \int_0^\cdot \tilde{X}_s dM_s, \int_0^\cdot \tilde{X}_s dA_s \right) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

in  $D([0, T], \mathbb{R}^5)$ .

Define the approximation

$$\hat{X}_t^n = \xi + \int_0^t f(\hat{X}_s^n, x^n) dZ_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f f'(\hat{X}_s^n, x^n) ds, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (7)$$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $f \in C_b^1$ . Then the sequence  $\{\hat{X}^n\}$  is tight in  $C([0, T])$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $q > 2$ ,  $p > 1/H$ , and  $q^{-1} + p^{-1} > 1$ . First we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}V_q^{2r}(\hat{X}^n; [0, T]) & \leq 4^{2r-1} \frac{1}{(1-\alpha)^{2r}} \left( K_{q,2r} \ell_{2r} |f|_\infty^{2r} T^{2r} + |f|_\infty^{2r} |f'|_\infty^{2r} T^{2r} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + C_{p,q/\alpha}^{2r} |f|_\infty^{2r} \mathbf{E}V_p^{2r}(B^H; [0, T]) \right) \\ & \quad + 4^{2r-1} \mathbf{E} \left( C_{p,q/\alpha} |f|_\alpha V_p(B^H; [0, T]) \right)^{2r/(1-\alpha)}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The proof is similar as in Lemma 1 [6].

Now we prove the tightness of the sequence  $\{\widehat{X}^n\}$ .

At first we will show that there exists a nondecreasing continuous function  $F$  and  $\beta > 1$  such that for any  $s, t \in [0, T], s < t, t - s < 1$ ,

$$\mathbf{E}|\widehat{X}_t^n - \widehat{X}_s^n|^4 \leq |F(t) - F(s)|^\beta.$$

By the Love-Young inequality (see [4]), the inequality (4), and Lemma 4.11 [7] we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}|\widehat{X}_t^n - \widehat{X}_s^n|^4 &\leq 3^3 \cdot 36|f|_\infty^4(t-s)^2 \\ &\quad + 3^3 C_{p,q}^4 \mathbf{E}V_{q,\infty}^4(f(\widehat{X}^{n,x^n}; [0, T])V_p^4(B^H; [s, t]) \\ &\quad + 3^3 \cdot 2^{-4}|f|_\infty^4|f'|_\infty^4(t-s)^4 \leq C(t-s)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  is the constant not depending on  $n$ . Thus by Theorem 12.3 in [1] we get the tightness of the sequence  $\{\widehat{X}^n\}$  in the space  $C([0, T])$ .

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $f \in \mathbb{C}_b^1$ . Then the sequence  $\{X^n\}$  is tight in  $D([0, T])$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $X^n(t_i^n) = \widehat{X}^n(t_i^n)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m(n)$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \leq T} |X_t^n - \widehat{X}_t^n| &\leq |f|_\infty \sup_{t \leq T} |Z(t) - Z^{x^n}(t)| \\ &\quad + |f|_\infty |f'|_\infty \sum_{i=1}^{m(n)} |W(t_i^n) - W(t_{i-1}^n)| \cdot |B^H(t_i^n) - B^H(t_{i-1}^n)| \\ &\quad + |f|_\infty |f'|_\infty \sum_{i=1}^{m(n)} |B^H(t_i^n) - B^H(t_{i-1}^n)|^2 + |f|_\infty |f'|_\infty \delta_n \\ &\quad + \sup_{t \leq T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{r^n(t)} f f'(\widehat{X}^n(t_{i-1}^n)) \left[ (W(t_i^n) - W(t_{i-1}^n))^2 - (t_i^n - t_{i-1}^n) \right] \right| = \sum_{i=1}^5 I_i. \end{aligned}$$

We may assume, without loss of generality, that  $\delta_n < 1$ . Note that

$$\mathbf{E} \sup_{t \leq T} |Z_t - Z_t^{x^n}| \leq \mathbf{E} \{ L^{1/2, 1/q} + L^{H, 1/p} \} \delta_n^{1/q},$$

where  $q > 2, p > 1/H$ , and  $q^{-1} + p^{-1} > 1$ . Further

$$\mathbf{E}I_2 \leq C|f|_\infty|f'|_\infty T \delta_n^{H-1/2}, \quad \mathbf{E}I_3 \leq |f|_\infty|f'|_\infty T \delta_n^{2H-1}.$$

By the Doob inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}I_5^2 &\leq 4|f|_\infty^2|f'|_\infty^2 \sum_{i=1}^{m(n)} \mathbf{E} \left[ (W(t_i^n) - W(t_{i-1}^n))^2 - (t_i^n - t_{i-1}^n) \right]^2 \\ &\leq 4CT|f|_\infty^2|f'|_\infty^2 \delta_n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\mathbf{E} \sup_{t \leq T} |X_t^n - \widehat{X}_t^n| \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . By Lemma 4 we have that the sequence  $\{\widehat{X}^n\}$  is tight. Thus by Lemma 3.31 in Section 6 in [2] we obtain that the sequence  $\{X^n\}$  is tight.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Define  $M^n = W^{\varkappa^n}$  and  $A^n = B^{H, \varkappa^n}$ . The process  $(M^n, \mathbb{F}^n)$  is a martingale, where  $\mathbb{F}^n = (\mathcal{F}_{\rho^n(t)})$ . The process  $A^n$  has bounded  $p$ -variation since  $V_p(A^n; [0, T]) \leq V_p(B^H; [0, T])$ . Note that  $M^n \rightarrow W$  a.s. and  $A^n \rightarrow B^H$  a.s. in  $C([0, T])$ . Moreover,

$$\sup_{t \leq T} |[M^n]_t - t| \xrightarrow{P} 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where

$$[M^n]_t = \sum_{k=1}^{m(n)} (W(t_k^n \wedge t) - W(t_{k-1}^n \wedge t))^2.$$

By Lemma 5, by Corollary 3.33 in Section 6 in [2], and facts obtained above it follows that the sequence  $\{(X^n, M^n, A^n, [M^n], \xi)\}$  is  $C$ -tight. Thus from every subsequence  $\{n'\} \subset \{n\}$  we can choose a further subsequence  $\{n''\}$  such that

$$(X^{n''}, M^{n''}, A^{n''}, [M^{n''}], \xi) \xrightarrow{D} (X^\infty, M^\infty, A^\infty, [M^\infty], \xi^\infty),$$

as  $n'' \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $(X^\infty, M^\infty, A^\infty, [M^\infty], \xi^\infty)$  is defined on some probability space  $(\overline{\Omega}, \overline{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{\mathbf{P}})$  and  $\mathcal{L}(\xi^\infty, M^\infty, [M^\infty], A^\infty) = \mathcal{L}(\xi, W, [W], B^H)$ . Since

$$\sup_{t \leq T} |[Z^{\varkappa^{n''}}]_t - [M^{n''}]_t| \xrightarrow{P} 0,$$

as  $n'' \rightarrow \infty$ , and functions  $f$  and  $ff'$  are continuous, then by the continuous mapping theorem

$$\begin{aligned} & (X^{n''}, f(X^{n''}), ff'(X^{n''}), M^{n''}, A^{n''}, [Z^{\varkappa^{n''}}]_t, \xi) \\ & \xrightarrow{D} (X^\infty, f(X^\infty), ff'(X^\infty), M^\infty, A^\infty, [M^\infty]_t, \xi^\infty). \end{aligned}$$

It is evident by the Doob inequality that  $\sup_n \mathbf{E} \sup_{t \leq T} |\Delta M_t^n| \leq 2\mathbf{E} \sup_{t \leq T} |W(t)| \leq 4\sqrt{T}$ . It is not difficult to show that  $V_q(X^n; [0, T])$  is tight in  $\mathbb{R}$  (see the proof of (8)). Thus the conditions of Lemma 3 are satisfied and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( X^{n''}, \int_0^\cdot f(X_{s-}^{n''}) dM_s^{n''}, \int_0^\cdot f(X_{s-}^{n''}) dA_s^{n''}, \int_0^\cdot ff'(X_{s-}^{n''}) d[Z^{\varkappa^{n''}}]_s, \xi \right) \\ & \xrightarrow{D} \left( X^\infty, \int_0^\cdot f(X_s^\infty) dM_s^\infty, \int_0^\cdot f(X_s^\infty) dA_s^\infty, \int_0^\cdot ff'(X_s^\infty) d[M^\infty]_s, \xi^\infty \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \leq T} \left| X_t^{n''} - \xi - \int_0^t f(X_s^{n''}) dZ_s^{x^{n''}} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f f'(X_s^{n''}) d[Z^{x^{n''}}]_s \right| \\ & \xrightarrow{D} \sup_{t \leq T} \left| X_t^\infty - \xi^\infty - \int_0^t f(X_s^\infty) dZ_s^\infty - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f f'(X_s^\infty) d[M^\infty]_s \right|. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence

$$X_t^\infty = \xi^\infty + \int_0^t f(X_s^\infty) dZ_s^\infty + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f f'(X_s^\infty) d[M^\infty]_s, \quad t \leq T.$$

Since on  $(\bar{\Omega}, \bar{\mathcal{F}}, \bar{\mathbb{P}})$  this equation has a unique solution and  $\mathcal{L}(\xi^\infty, M^\infty, [M^\infty], A^\infty) = \mathcal{L}(\xi, W, [W], B^H)$  then  $\mathcal{L}(X^\infty, \xi^\infty, M^\infty, [M^\infty], A^\infty) = \mathcal{L}(X, \xi, W, [W], B^H)$ .

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Since  $M^n \rightarrow W$  and  $A^n \rightarrow B^H$  a.s. in  $D([0, T])$  then similarly as in [8] one can prove that

$$\sup_{t \leq T} |X^n(t) - X(t)| \xrightarrow{P} 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since  $Y^n(t) = X^n(t)$  for  $t \in \kappa_n$ , the proof of Theorem 2 is completed.

## References

- [1] P. Billingsley, *Convergence of Probability Measures*, Wiley, New York (1968).
- [2] J. Jacod, A.N. Shiryaev, *Limit Theorems for Stochastic Processes*, Vol. 1, Fiziko-Matematicheskaja Literatura, Moscow (1994).
- [3] A. Jakubowski, J. Mémin, G. Pages, Convergence en loi des suites d'intégrales stochastiques sur l'espace  $D^1$  de Skorokhod, *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, **81**, 111–137 (1989).
- [4] K. Kubilius, The existence and uniqueness of the solution of the integral equation driven by fractional Brownian motion, *Lith. Math. J.* (special issue), **40**, 104–110 (2000).
- [5] K. Kubilius, *On Existence and Uniqueness of the Solution of the Integral Equation Driven by a Continuous  $p$ -semimartingale*. Preprint (2001).
- [6] K. Kubilius, The existence and uniqueness of the solution of the integral equation driven by a  $p$ -semimartingale of the special type, *Stochastic Processes Appl.* (to appear) (2002).
- [7] R.S. Liptser, A.N. Shiryaev, *Statistics of Random Processes*. Nauka, Moscow (1974).
- [8] L. Slominski, Stability of strong solutions of stochastic differential equations, *Stochastic Processes Appl.*, **31**, 173–202 (1989).

## Stochastinių integralinių lygčių, valdomų tolydžiuju $p$ -semimartingalų, aproksimacija

K. Kubilius

Nagrinėjama integralinių lygčių, valdomų tolydžiuju  $p$ -semimartingalų, Vong-Zakai tipo aproksimacija.