

## Zeros of the extended Selberg class zeta-functions and of their derivatives

Ramūnas GARUNKŠTIS\* 

Institute of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, Vilnius University,  
Vilnius, Lithuania

Received: 05.04.2019

Accepted/Published Online: 27.10.2019

Final Version: 22.11.2019

**Abstract:** Levinson and Montgomery proved that the Riemann zeta-function  $\zeta(s)$  and its derivative have approximately the same number of nonreal zeros left of the critical line. Spira showed that  $\zeta'(1/2 + it) = 0$  implies that  $\zeta(1/2 + it) = 0$ . Here we obtain that in small areas located to the left of the critical line and near it the functions  $\zeta(s)$  and  $\zeta'(s)$  have the same number of zeros. We prove our result for more general zeta-functions from the extended Selberg class  $S$ . We also consider zero trajectories of a certain family of zeta-functions from  $S$ .

**Key words:** Riemann zeta-function, extended Selberg class, nontrivial zeros, Speiser's equivalent for the Riemann hypothesis

### 1. Introduction

Let  $s = \sigma + it$ . In this paper,  $T$  always tends to plus infinity.

Speiser [17] showed that the Riemann hypothesis (RH) is equivalent to the absence of nonreal zeros of the derivative of the Riemann zeta-function  $\zeta(s)$  left of the critical line  $\sigma = 1/2$ . Later on, Levinson and Montgomery [11] obtained the quantitative version of the Speiser's result:

**Theorem 1.1** (*Levinson-Montgomery*) Let  $N^-(T)$  be the number of zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  in  $R : 0 < t < T, 0 < \sigma < 1/2$ . Let  $N_1^-(T)$  be the number of zeros of  $\zeta'(s)$  in  $R$ . Then  $N_1^-(T) = N(T) + O(\log T)$ .

Unless  $N^-(T) > T/2$  for all large  $T$  there exists a sequence  $\{T_j\}$ ,  $T_j \rightarrow \infty$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $N_1^-(T_j) = N^-(T_j)$ .

Here we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.2** *There is an absolute constant  $T_0 > 0$  such that, for any  $T > T_0$  and any  $A > 0.17$ , there is a radius  $r$ ,*

$$\exp(-T^A) \leq r \leq \exp(-T^{A-0.17}),$$

such that in the region

$$\{s : |s - (1/2 + iT)| \leq r \text{ and } \sigma < 1/2\}$$

the functions  $\zeta(s)$  and  $\zeta'(s)$  have the same number of zeros.

\*Correspondence: Ramunas.Garunkstis@mif.vu.lt

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 11M26, 11M41

Nonreal zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  lie symmetrically with respect to the critical line. In this sense, the result of Spira [18, Corollary 3] that  $\zeta(1/2 + it) = 0$  if  $\zeta'(1/2 + it) = 0$  can be regarded as a border case of the above theorem when  $r = 0$ .

Note that for both  $\zeta(s)$  and  $\zeta'(s)$  the average gap between zeros is  $2\pi/\log T$  around height  $T$  (Titchmarsh [21, Section 9.4] and Berndt [2]). This is much larger than the radius  $r$  in Theorem 1.2.

In Theorem 1.2, the constant 0.17 is related to the number of zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  in the strip  $|t - T| \leq 1/T$ . For details see Section 3 which contains the proof of Theorem 1.2. Moreover, in Section 2 we consider a more general version of Theorem 1.2 devoted to the extended Selberg class  $S$ . The extended Selberg class contains most of the classical  $L$ -functions (Kaczorowski [7]). This class also includes zeta-functions for which RH is not true, a well-known example being the Davenport-Heilbronn zeta-function, which is defined as a suitable linear combination of two Dirichlet  $L$ -functions (Titchmarsh [21, Section 10.25], see also Kaczorowski and Kulas [8]). In the next section we also investigate zero trajectories of the following family of zeta-functions from  $S$ :

$$f(s, \tau) := (1 - \tau)(1 + \sqrt{5}/5^s)\zeta(s) + \tau L(s, \psi), \tag{1.1}$$

where  $\tau \in [0, 1]$  and  $L(s, \psi)$  is the Dirichlet  $L$ -function with the Dirichlet character  $\psi \pmod{5}$ ,  $\psi(2) = -1$ .

### 2. Extended Selberg class

We consider Theorem 1.2 in the broader context of the extended Selberg class. Note that Levinson and Montgomery’s [11, Theorem 1] approach, which is used here, usually works for zeta-functions having nontrivial zeros distributed symmetrically with respect to the critical line. See Yıldırım [23] for Dirichlet  $L$ -functions; Šleževičienė [20] for the Selberg class; Luo [12], Garunkštis [3], Minamide [13–15], Jorgenson and Smailović [6] for Selberg zeta-functions and related functions; Garunkštis and Šimėnas [5] for the extended Selberg class. In Garunkštis and Tamošiūnas [4] the Levinson and Montgomery result was generalized to the Lerch zeta-function with equal parameters. Such function has an almost symmetrical distribution of nontrivial zeros with respect to the line  $\sigma = 1/2$ . Insights which helped to overcome difficulties raised by “almost symmetry” in [4] led to Theorem 1.2 of this paper, although  $\zeta(s)$  has a strictly symmetrical zero-distribution.

We recall the definition of the extended Selberg class (see [7, 9, 19]). A not identically vanishing Dirichlet series

$$F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s},$$

which converges absolutely for  $\sigma > 1$ , belongs to the *extended Selberg class*  $S$  if

- (i) (Meromorphic continuation) There exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(s - 1)^k F(s)$  is an entire function of finite order.
- (ii) (Functional equation)  $F(s)$  satisfies the functional equation:

$$\Phi(s) = \omega \overline{\Phi(1 - \bar{s})}, \tag{2.1}$$

where  $\Phi(s) := F(s)Q^s \prod_{j=1}^r \Gamma(\lambda_j s + \mu_j)$ , with  $Q > 0$ ,  $\lambda_j > 0$ ,  $\Re(\mu_j) \geq 0$  and  $|\omega| = 1$ .

The data  $Q$ ,  $\lambda_j$ ,  $\mu_j$ , and  $\omega$  of the functional equation are not uniquely determined by  $F$ , but the value  $d_F = 2 \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j$  is an invariant. It is called the *degree* of  $F$ .

If the element of  $S$  also satisfies the Ramanujan hypothesis ( $a_n \ll_\varepsilon n^\varepsilon$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ) and has a certain Euler product, then it belongs to the Selberg class introduced by Selberg [16].

We collect several properties of  $F(s) \in S$ . The functional equation (2.1) gives, for  $F(1/2 + it) \neq 0$ ,

$$\Re \frac{F'}{F}(1/2 + it) = -\Re \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(\lambda_j(1/2 + it) + \mu_j) - \log Q.$$

Then by the formula

$$\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(s) = \log s + O(|s|^{-1}) \quad (\Re(s) \geq 0, |s| \rightarrow \infty)$$

we get, for  $F(1/2 + it) \neq 0$  and  $d_F > 0$ ,

$$\Re \frac{F'}{F}(1/2 + it) = -\frac{d_F}{2} \log t - \log Q + O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \quad (t \rightarrow \infty), \tag{2.2}$$

where the implied constant may depend only on  $\lambda_j, \mu_j, j = 1, \dots, r$ .

Every  $F \in S$  has a zero-free half-plane, say  $\sigma > \sigma_F$ . By the functional equation,  $F(s)$  has no zeros for  $\sigma < -\sigma_F$ , apart from possible trivial zeros coming from the poles of the  $\Gamma$ -factors. Let  $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$  denote a generic zero of  $F(s)$  and

$$N_F(T) = \# \{ \rho : F(\rho) = 0, |\beta| \leq \sigma_F, |\gamma| < T \}.$$

Then (Kaczorowski and Perelli [9, Section 2])

$$N_F(T) = \frac{d_F}{\pi} T \log T + c_F T + O(\log T) \tag{2.3}$$

with a certain constant  $c_F$ , for any fixed  $F \in S$  with  $d_F > 0$ .

From the Dirichlet series expression for  $F$  we see that there are constants  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_1(F) > 1$  and  $c = c(\sigma_1, F) > 0$  such that

$$|F(\sigma_1 + it)| \geq c, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.4}$$

It is known (Garunkštis and Šimėnas [5, formula (12)]) that there is  $B = B(F, \sigma_1) > 0$  such that

$$|F(\sigma + iT)| < T^B, \quad (T > 10), \tag{2.5}$$

for  $\sigma \geq -4\sigma_1$ . The specific constant  $-4\sigma_1$  will be useful in the proof of Theorem 2.1 below.

In view of above, for given positive constants  $\sigma_1, c, B, \varepsilon, \delta, \bar{T}, \lambda_j$ , and complex constants  $\mu_j$  ( $\Re(\mu_j) > 0, j = 1, \dots, r$ ), we define a subclass  $\bar{S} \subset S$  as follows: it consists of functions satisfying (2.4), (2.5), (2.1), with any  $|\omega| = 1$ ; we require that any function from  $\bar{S}$  has no more than

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{\log(2 + \delta)} \log T - 2 \tag{2.6}$$

zeros in the area  $|t - T| \leq 1/T, T > \bar{T}$ . For each function from  $S$  the Riemann-von Mangoldt type formula (2.3) yields the existence of  $\varepsilon, \delta$ , and  $\bar{T}$  such that the zero number bound (2.6) is fulfilled.

Theorem 1.2 will be derived from the following more general statement.

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $F(s)$  be an element of  $\bar{S}$  with  $d_F > 0$ . Then there is a constant  $T_0 = T_0(\bar{S}) > 0$  for which the following statement is true.*

*If  $A$  and  $s_0 = \sigma_0 + iT$  satisfy the inequalities*

$$A > \varepsilon, \quad T > T_0, \quad 1/2 - \exp(-T^A) < \sigma_0 \leq 1/2, \tag{2.7}$$

*then there is a radius  $r = r(F)$ ,*

$$\exp(-T^A) \leq r \leq \exp(-T^{A-\varepsilon}),$$

*such that in the area*

$$\{s : |s - s_0| \leq r \text{ and } \sigma < 1/2\} \tag{2.8}$$

*functions  $F(s)$  and  $F'(s)$  have the same number of zeros.*

Note that in Theorem 2.1 the constant  $T_0$  is independent of  $A$  and  $\sigma_0$ . This will be important in the proof of Theorem 2.2 below.

In [5] zeta-functions  $f(s, \tau)$  defined by (1.1) were considered. By Kaczorowski and Kulas [8, Theorem 2] we have that for any  $0 < \tau < 1$  and any interval  $(a, b) \subset (1/2, 1)$  the function  $f(s, \tau)$  has infinitely many zeros in the half-strip  $a < \sigma < b, t > 0$ . Let  $\theta > 0$  and let

$$\rho : (\tau_0 - \theta, \tau_0 + \theta) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

be a continuous function such that  $f(\rho(\tau), \tau) = 0$  for  $\tau \in (\tau_0 - \theta, \tau_0 + \theta)$ . We say that  $\rho(\tau)$  is a zero trajectory of the function  $f(s, \tau)$ . Analogously we define a zero trajectory  $\tilde{\rho}(\tau)$  of the derivative  $f'_s(s, \tau)$ . See also the discussion below the formula (6) in [5]. In [5] several zero trajectories  $\rho(\tau)$  of  $f(s, \tau)$  and  $\tilde{\rho}(\tau)$  of  $f'_s(s, \tau)$  were computed. The behavior of these zero trajectories correspond well to Theorem 2.1. Computations in [5] should be considered as heuristic because the accuracy was not controlled explicitly. Next we present a rigorous statement concerning zero trajectories of  $f(s, \tau)$  and  $f'_s(s, \tau)$ .

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $\tau_0 \in [0, 1]$ . Let  $s = \rho_0$  be a second-order zero of  $f(s) = f(s, \tau_0)$  with  $\Re(\rho_0) = 1/2$  and sufficiently large  $\Im(\rho_0)$ . Then the following two statements are equivalent.*

1) *There is a zero trajectory  $\rho(\tau), \tau \in (\tau_0 - \theta, \tau_0 + \theta), \theta > 0$ , of  $f(s, \tau)$  such that*

- (i)  $\rho(\tau_0) = \rho_0$ ;
- (ii)  $\Re(\rho(\tau)) = 1/2$  if  $\tau < \tau_0$ ;
- (iii)  $\Re(\rho(\tau)) < 1/2$ , if  $\tau > \tau_0$ .

2) *There is a zero trajectory  $\tilde{\rho}(\tau), \tau \in (\tau_0 - \eta, \tau_0 + \eta), \eta > 0$ , of  $f'_s(s, \tau)$  such that*

- (i)  $\tilde{\rho}(\tau_0) = \rho_0$ ;
- (ii)  $\Re(\tilde{\rho}(\tau)) > 1/2$  if  $\tau < \tau_0$ ;
- (iii)  $\Re(\tilde{\rho}(\tau)) < 1/2$ , if  $\tau > \tau_0$ .

From the proof we see that Theorem 2.2 remains true if all inequalities  $\tau < \tau_0$  and  $\tau > \tau_0$  are simultaneously replaced by opposite inequalities.

According to computations of [5] there are 1452 zero trajectories  $\rho(\tau)$  of  $f(s, \tau)$  with  $0 < \Im\rho(0) \leq 1500$ , 1166 of these trajectories stay on the critical line, while the remaining 286 leave it. The points at which mentioned trajectories leave the critical line are double zeros of  $f(s) = f(s, \tau)$  (see also a discussion at the end of Section 3 in Balanzario and Sánchez-Ortiz [1]). In view of this we expect that the family  $f(s, \tau)$ ,  $\tau \in [0, 1]$  has infinitely many double zeros lying on the line  $\sigma = 1/2$ . Moreover, we think that the similar statement to Theorem 2.2 can also be proved in the case where  $s = \rho$  is a higher order zero of  $f(s) = f(s, \tau)$  with  $\Re\rho = 1/2$ ; however, there is no evidence that such zeros exist.

The next section is devoted to the proofs of Theorems 1.2, 2.1, and 2.2.

### 3. Proofs

Proof of Theorem 2.1 is based on the next lemma. Recall that the subclass  $\bar{S}$  depends on constants  $\sigma_1, c, B, \varepsilon, \delta, \bar{T}, \lambda_j, \mu_j, (j = 1, \dots, r)$ .

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $F(s)$  be an element of  $\bar{S}$  with  $d_F > 0$ . Suppose that  $s_0 = \sigma_0 + iT$  satisfies the inequality  $1/2 - \exp(-T^A) < \sigma_0 \leq 1/2$ , where  $T > \bar{T}$  and  $A > \varepsilon$ . Then there is a radius  $r = r(F)$ ,*

$$\exp(-T^A) \leq r \leq \exp(-T^{A-\varepsilon}), \tag{3.1}$$

such that, for  $|s - s_0| = r, \sigma \leq 1/2$ ,

$$\Re \frac{F'}{F}(s) \leq -\frac{d_F}{2} \log T - \log Q + O\left(\frac{1}{T}\right), \tag{3.2}$$

uniformly for  $F(s) \in \bar{S}$ .

**Proof** We repeat the steps of the proof of Proposition 4 in [4]. Contrary to Proposition 4, here we do not need the upper bound for  $\varepsilon$  (see (2.6)). This is because the “symmetric” functional equation (2.1) leads to the convenient formula (2.2), while the “almost symmetric” functional equation of the Lerch zeta-function with equal parameters in [4] leads to a more restricted version of (2.2) (see [4, Lemma 3]).

Let  $T > \bar{T}$  and  $r_k = \exp(-(2 + \delta)^{-k}T^A)$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, \lceil \frac{\varepsilon}{\log(2+\delta)} \log T \rceil$ . By (2.6) and Dirichlet’s box principle there is  $j = j(F) \in \{2, \dots, \lceil \frac{\varepsilon}{\log(2+\delta)} \log T \rceil\}$  such that the region

$$r_{j-1} < |s - s_0| \leq r_j \tag{3.3}$$

has no zeros of  $F(s)$ . Then the auxiliary function

$$g(s) := \frac{F'}{F}(s) - \sum_{\rho: |\rho - s_0| \leq r_{j-1}} \frac{1}{s - \rho} \tag{3.4}$$

is analytic in the disc  $|s - s_0| \leq r_j$  and in this disc we have

$$g(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (s - s_0)^n \quad \text{and} \quad a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|s - s_0| = r_j} \frac{g(s) ds}{(s - s_0)^{n+1}}. \tag{3.5}$$

In view of bounds (2.4) and (2.5), Lemma  $\alpha$  from Titchmarsh [21, Section 3.9] gives that, for  $|s - s_0| \leq r_j$ ,

$$\frac{F'}{F}(s) = \sum_{\rho: |\rho - (\sigma_1 + iT)| \leq 2\sigma_1} \frac{1}{s - \rho} + O(\log T).$$

Recall that  $\sigma_1$  was defined before (2.4). Here and elsewhere in this proof the constants in big- $O$  and  $\ll$  notations may only depend on the subclass  $\bar{S}$ . By the last equality, the zero-free region (3.3), and (3.4) we get

$$g(s) = \sum_{\substack{\rho: |\rho - (\sigma_1 + iT)| \leq 2\sigma_1 \\ |\rho - s_0| > r_j}} \frac{1}{s - \rho} + O(\log T).$$

Using this expression in the integral for  $a_n$  we obtain that

$$a_n \ll r_j^{-n} \log T \quad (n \geq 1). \tag{3.6}$$

Let us choose

$$r = r_j^{1+\delta/3}.$$

Clearly, the bounds (3.1) are satisfied. By (3.5) and (3.6), for  $|s - s_0| = r$ , we have

$$g(s) = a_0 + O\left(r_j^{\delta/3} \log T\right).$$

Hence, for  $|s - s_0| = r$ , the expression (3.4) gives

$$\Re \frac{F'}{F}(s) = \Re a_0 + \sum_{\rho: |\rho - s_0| \leq r_{j-1}} \frac{\sigma - \beta}{|s - \rho|^2} + O\left(r_j^{\delta/3} \log T\right). \tag{3.7}$$

For  $|\rho - s_0| \leq r_{j-1}$ ,  $|s - s_0| = r$ ,  $1/2 - (\Re s_0 - 1/2 + r_{j-1}) \leq \sigma \leq 1/2$ , and large  $T$ , we have that  $|\sigma - \beta| \leq 4r_{j-1}$  and  $|s - \rho|^2 > r_j^{2+2\delta/3}/2$ . Then by (2.6) we get

$$\sum_{\rho: |\rho - s_0| \leq r_{j-1}} \frac{\sigma - \beta}{|s - \rho|^2} \ll r_j^{\delta/3} \log T.$$

Consequently, by (3.7),

$$\Re \frac{F'}{F}(s) = \Re a_0 + O\left(r_j^{\delta/3} \log T\right). \tag{3.8}$$

The region (3.3) is zero-free. Thus,  $F(s)$  does not vanish on the circle  $|s - s_0| = r$ . By instantiating (2.2) and (3.8) to a single  $s$  on the intersection of  $|s - s_0| = r$  and  $\sigma = 1/2$  we obtain that

$$\Re a_0 = -\frac{d_F}{2} \log T - \log Q + O\left(\frac{1}{T}\right) + O\left(r_j^{\delta/3} \log T\right). \tag{3.9}$$

Hence, for  $|s - s_0| = r$  and  $1/2 - (\Re s_0 - 1/2 + r_{j-1}) \leq \sigma \leq 1/2$ ,

$$\Re \frac{F'}{F}(s) = -\frac{d_F}{2} \log T - \log Q + O\left(\frac{1}{T}\right). \tag{3.10}$$

If  $|s - s_0| = r$  and  $\sigma < 1/2 - (\Re s_0 - 1/2 + r_{j-1})$ , then

$$\sum_{\rho: |\rho - s_0| \leq r_{j-1}} \frac{\sigma - \beta}{|s - \rho|^2} \leq 0$$

and, in view of formulas (3.7), (3.9),

$$\Re \frac{F'}{F}(s) \leq -\frac{d_F}{2} \log T - \log Q + O\left(\frac{1}{T}\right). \tag{3.11}$$

The expressions (3.10) and (3.11), together with the zero-free region (3.3), prove Lemma 3.1. □

**Proof of Theorem 2.1** Let

$$R = \{s : |s - s_0| \leq r \text{ and } \sigma < 1/2\},$$

where  $r$  is from Lemma 3.1. To prove the theorem, it is enough to consider the difference in the number of zeros of  $F(s)$  and  $F'(s)$  in the region  $R$ .

We consider the change of  $\arg F'/F(s)$  along the appropriately indented boundary  $R'$  of the region  $R$ . More precisely, the left side of  $R'$  coincides with the circle segment  $\{s : |s - s_0| = r, \sigma \leq 1/2\}$ . To obtain the right-hand side of the contour of  $R'$ , we take the right-hand side boundary of  $R$  and deform it to bypass the zeros of  $F(1/2 + it)$  by left semicircles with an arbitrarily small radius. In [5, proof of Theorem 1.2] it is showed that on the right-hand side of  $R'$  the inequality

$$\Re \frac{F'}{F}(s) < 0 \tag{3.12}$$

is true. Then, in view of Lemma 3.1, we have that the inequality (3.12) is valid on the whole contour  $R'$ . Therefore, the change of  $\arg F'/F(s)$  along the contour  $R'$  is less than  $\pi$ . This proves Theorem 2.1. □

**Proof of Theorem 1.2** The Riemann zeta-function is an element of degree 1 of the extended Selberg class (Kaczorowski [7]). By Trudgian [22, Corollary 1] we see that, for large  $T$ , the Riemann zeta-function has less than  $0.225 \log T$  zeros in the strip  $|t - T| \leq 1/T$ . Thus, in the formula (2.6) we choose  $\varepsilon = 0.17$  and  $\delta = 0.1$ . Then Theorem 1.2 follows from Theorem 2.1. □

**Proof of Theorem 2.2** We will use Theorem 2.1. Next we show that there is a subclass  $\bar{S}$  such that  $f(s, \tau) \in \bar{S}$  for all  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ . In view of the definition (1.1) of  $f(s, \tau)$  we see that there are constants  $c$ ,  $B$ , and  $\sigma_1$  independent of  $\tau$  for which the bounds (2.4) and (2.5) are valid. By this and Jensen's theorem, similarly as in Titchmarsh [21, Theorem 9.2], we get that there are constants  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\delta$ , and  $\bar{T}$  independent of  $\tau$  for which the zero number bound (2.6) is true. The function  $f(s) = f(s, \tau)$  satisfies the functional equation ([5, formula (3)])

$$f(s) = 5^{-s+1/2} 2(2\pi)^{s-1} \Gamma(1-s) \sin\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) f(1-s) \tag{3.13}$$

which is independent of  $\tau$ ; thus, the constants  $\lambda_j$ ,  $\mu_j$  are also independent of  $\tau$ . This proves the existence of required  $\bar{S}$ . Therefore, in Theorem 2.1 with  $F(s) = f(s, \tau)$  it is possible to choose  $T_0$ , which is independent of  $\tau$ . Further in this proof we assume that  $\Im(\rho_0) > T_0 + 10$ .

We consider a zero trajectory  $\rho(\tau)$  of  $f(s, \tau)$  which satisfies  $\rho(\tau_0) = \rho_0$ . The two variable function  $f(s, z)$  is holomorphic in a neighborhood of any

$$(s, z) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{(1, z) : z \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

By conditions of the theorem we have that  $\rho_0 \neq 1$ ,  $f(\rho_0, \tau_0) = 0$ ,

$$\frac{\partial f(\rho_0, \tau_0)}{\partial s} = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial^2 f(\rho_0, \tau_0)}{\partial s^2} \neq 0. \tag{3.14}$$

By (3.14) and by the Weierstrass preparation theorem (Krantz and Parks [10, Theorem 5.1.3]) there exists a polynomial

$$p(s, \tau) = s^2 + a_1(\tau)s + a_0(\tau),$$

where each  $a_j(\tau)$  is a holomorphic function in a neighborhood of  $\tau = \tau_0$  that vanishes at  $\tau = \tau_0$ , and there is a function  $u(s, \tau)$  holomorphic and nonvanishing in some neighborhood  $N$  of  $(\rho_0, \tau_0)$  such that

$$f(s, \tau) = u(s, \tau)p(s, \tau) \tag{3.15}$$

holds in  $N$ . Solving  $s^2 + a_1(\tau)s + a_0(\tau) = 0$  we get

$$s_{1,2} = s_{1,2}(\tau) = \frac{-a_1(\tau) \pm \sqrt{a_1(\tau)^2 - 4a_0(\tau)}}{2}, \tag{3.16}$$

where for the square-root we choose the branch defined by  $\sqrt{1} = 1$ . Note that in the neighborhood  $N$  the function  $f(s, \tau)$  has no other zeros except those described by (3.16).

Assume that the statement 1) of Theorem 2.2 is true. Then in some neighborhood  $U$  of  $\tau = \tau_0$  the first part of trajectory  $\rho(\tau)$  consists either of  $\{s_1(\tau) : \tau < \tau_0, \tau \in U\}$  or of  $\{s_2(\tau) : \tau < \tau_0, \tau \in U\}$ . Similarly, the remaining part of trajectory  $\rho(\tau)$  consists either of  $\{s_1(\tau) : \tau > \tau_0, \tau \in U\}$  or of  $\{s_2(\tau) : \tau > \tau_0, \tau \in U\}$ .

If  $\Re s_1(\tau) \neq 1/2$  or  $\Re s_2(\tau) \neq 1/2$  for some  $\tau$ , then by the functional equation (3.13) we see that  $s_2(\tau) = 1 - \overline{s_1(\tau)}$ . This and the condition (iii) give that

$$s_1(\tau) \neq s_2(\tau), \quad \text{if} \quad \tau > 0, \tau \in U. \tag{3.17}$$

Thus,  $a_1(\tau)^2 - 4a_0(\tau) \neq 0$  if  $\tau > 0, \tau \in U$ . By the condition (i) we see that  $\rho(\tau_0) = s_1(\tau_0) = s_2(\tau_0)$  is a double zero of  $P(s) = P(s, \tau)$ ; therefore,  $a_1(\tau_0)^2 - 4a_0(\tau_0) = 0$ . Hence,  $a_1(\tau)^2 - 4a_0(\tau)$  is a nonconstant holomorphic function. Then there is a neighborhood of  $\tau = \tau_0$ , where

$$s_1(\tau) \neq s_2(\tau), \quad \text{if} \quad \tau < 0. \tag{3.18}$$

In view of formulas (3.14), the implicit function theorem ([10, Theorem 2.4.1])) yields the existence of  $\eta > 0$  and of a continuous function

$$\tilde{\rho} : (\tau_0 - \eta, \tau_0 + \eta) \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

such that  $\tilde{\rho}(\tau_0) = \rho(\tau_0) = 0$  and  $f'_s(\tilde{\rho}(\tau), \tau) = 0$ . By this we get condition (a) of the second statement.



We assume that  $\eta > 0$  is such that the set

$$\{(\tilde{\rho}(\tau), \tau) : \tau \in (\tau_0 - \eta, \tau_0]\}$$

is a subset of the neighborhood  $N$  (defined by (3.15)). We have ([5, Proposition 1.4]) that  $f'_s(1/2 + it, \tau) = 0$  implies  $f(1/2 + it, \tau) = 0$ . Then in view of (3.18) we obtain that  $\Re \tilde{\rho}(\tau) \neq 1/2$  if  $\tau \in (\tau_0 - \eta, \tau_0)$ . By condition (ii) and by above there is a neighborhood of  $(\rho_0, \tau_0)$ , where  $f(s, \tau) \neq 0$  if  $\tau < \tau_0$ . Then condition (b) follows from Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.1 and condition (iii) lead to  $\Re(\tilde{\rho}(\tau)) < 1/2$  if  $\tau \in (\tau_0, \tau_0 + \eta)$  and  $\eta > 0$  is sufficiently small. We get condition (c). By this we proved that the statement 1) implies the statement 2).

Assume the second statement of Theorem 2.2. Then by applying Theorem 2.1 and reasoning similarly as above, we see that from the trajectories defined by (3.16) we can construct a trajectory  $\rho(\tau)$  which satisfies conditions of the first statement.  $\square$

### Acknowledgment

This research is funded by the European Social Fund according to the activity ‘Improvement of researchers’ qualification by implementing world-class R&D projects’ of Measure No. 09.3.3-LMT-K-712-01-0037.

### References

- [1] Balanzario EP, Sánchez-Ortiz J. Zeros of the Davenport-Heilbronn counterexample. *Mathematics of Computation* 2007; 76 (260): 2045-2049.
- [2] Berndt, BC. The number of zeros for  $\zeta^{(k)}(s)$ . *Journal of the London Mathematical Society. Second Series* 1970; 2: 577-580.
- [3] Garunkštis R. Note on zeros of the derivative of the Selberg zeta-function. *Archiv der Mathematik* 2008; 91: 238-246. Corrigendum. *Archiv der Mathematik* 2009; 93: 143-143.
- [4] Garunkštis R, Tamošiūnas, R. Zeros of the Lerch zeta-function and of its derivative for equal parameters. *Bulletin Mathématique de la Société des Sciences Mathématiques de Roumanie* (in press).
- [5] Garunkštis R, Šimėnas R. On the Speiser equivalent for the Riemann hypothesis. *European Journal of Mathematics* 2015; 1: 337-350.
- [6] Jorgenson J, Smajlović L. On the distribution of zeros of the derivative of Selberg’s zeta function associated to finite volume Riemann surfaces. *Nagoya Mathematical Journal* 2017; 228: 21-71.
- [7] Kaczorowski J. Axiomatic theory of  $L$ -functions: the Selberg class. In: *Analytic number theory, volume 1891 of Lecture Notes in Math.* Springer, Berlin, 2006, pp. 133-209.
- [8] Kaczorowski J, Kulas M. On the nontrivial zeros off the critical line for  $L$ -functions from the extended Selberg class. *Monatshefte für Mathematik* 2007; 150 (3): 217-232.
- [9] Kaczorowski J, Perelli A. The Selberg class: a survey. In: *Number theory in progress, Vol. 2 (Zakopane-Kościełisko, 1997)*. De Gruyter, Berlin, 1999, pp. 953-992.
- [10] Krantz SG, Parks HR. *The implicit function theorem.* Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2013.
- [11] Levinson N, Montgomery H.L. Zeros of the derivatives of the Riemann zeta-function. *Acta Mathematica* 1974; 133: 49-65.
- [12] Luo W. On zeros of the derivative of the Selberg zeta function. *American Journal of Mathematics* 2005; 127: 1141-1151.

- [13] Minamide M. A note on zero-free regions for the derivative of Selberg zeta functions. In: Spectral analysis in geometry and number theory, vol. 484 of Contemp. Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009, pp. 117-125.
- [14] Minamide M. The zero-free region of the derivative of Selberg zeta functions. Monatshefte für Mathematik 2010; 160 (2): 187-193.
- [15] Minamide M. On zeros of the derivative of the modified Selberg zeta function for the modular group. The Journal of the Indian Mathematical Society. New Series 2013; 80 (3-4): 275-312.
- [16] Selberg, A. Old and new conjectures and results about a class of Dirichlet series. In: Proceedings of the Amalfi Conference on Analytic Number Theory (Maiori, 1989). Univ. Salerno, Salerno, 1992, pp. 367-385.
- [17] Speiser A. Geometrisches zur Riemannschen Zetafunktion. Mathematische Annalen 1934; 110 (1): 514-521.
- [18] Spira R. On the Riemann zeta function. Journal of the London Mathematical Society. Second Series 1976; 44: 325-328.
- [19] Steuding J. Value-distribution of  $L$ -functions. Volume 1877 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [20] Šleževičienė R. Speiser's correspondence between the zeros of a function and its derivative in Selberg's class of Dirichlet series. Fizikos ir Matematikos Fakulteto Mokslinio Seminaro Darbai. Proceedings of Scientific Seminar of the Faculty of Physics and Mathematics 2003; 6: 142-153.
- [21] Titchmarsh EC. The theory of the Riemann zeta-function. 2nd ed., rev. by Heath-Brown DR. Oxford Science Publications. Oxford, UK: Clarendon Press, 1986.
- [22] Trudgian TS. An improved upper bound for the argument of the Riemann zeta-function on the critical line II. Journal of Number Theory 2014; 134: 280-292.
- [23] Yıldırım CY. Zeros of derivatives of Dirichlet  $L$ -functions. Turkish Journal of Mathematics 1996; 20 (4): 521-534.