

# Partial cut elimination for propositional discrete linear time temporal logic

Jūratė Sakalauskaitė

*Institute of Mathematics and Informatics*  
Akademijos 4, LT-08663 Vilnius  
E-mail: jurates@ktl.mii.lt

**Abstract.** We consider propositional discrete linear time temporal logic with future and past operators of time. For each formula  $\varphi$  of this logic, we present Gentzen-type sequent calculus  $G_r(\varphi)$  with a restricted cut rule. We sketch a proof of the soundness and the completeness of the sequent calculi presented. The completeness is proved via construction of a canonical model.

**Keywords:** sequent calculi, cut rule, temporal logic, past temporal operators, completeness.

## 1 Introduction

One of the simplest temporal logics which is still widely applicable is the propositional discrete linear time temporal logic  $LTL$ . This logic is an extension of propositional logic with two future operators:  $\bigcirc$  (next, tomorrow) and  $U$  (until). The models of  $LTL$  are sequences of states which are infinite to the future and has the first state. Each state is a set of primitive propositions (which are true in the considered state). We use the following standard propositional connectives:  $\neg$  (negation),  $\vee$  (or),  $\wedge$  (and),  $\supset$  (implies) and propositional constants **t** (true), **f** (false). We use the standard abbreviation of  $\equiv$  (equivalence).

In this paper, we consider an extension of  $LTL$  with past temporal operators:  $\bigcirc_W$  (weak yesterday) and  $S$  (since). We denote this extension by  $LTL^-$ . We recall the semantics of the past temporal operators. For an infinite set of states  $\sigma = s_0, s_1, s_2, \dots$ , and a natural number  $n$  we define

- $\sigma, n \models \bigcirc_W \phi$  iff  $n = 0$  or  $\sigma, n - 1 \models \phi$ ,
- $\sigma, n \models \phi S \psi$  iff  $\exists n' \in N: n' \leq n$  and  $\sigma, n' \models \psi$ , and  $\forall k \in N$  (if  $n' < k \leq n$  then  $\sigma, k \models \phi$ ).

We use the following temporal abbreviation:  $\ominus \phi = \neg \bigcirc_W \neg \phi$  (strong next). We define that  $\phi$  is *globally valid* ( $\models \phi$ ) iff  $\forall \sigma \forall n: \sigma, n \models \phi$ .

There are several reasoning methods developed for  $LTL^-$ . The Hilbert-type axiomatic systems are presented in [7] and [4]. In the latter the tableaux-based completeness and a decision procedure are presented for the validity with respect to  $s_0$  (anchored version).

The aim of this paper is to present the Gentzen-type sequent calculi for  $LTL^-$  which are an improvement of the temporal part of the calculi in [5]. In our paper,

for each formula  $\varphi$  of  $LTL^-$ , we present Gentzen-type sequent calculus  $G_r(\varphi)$  with a restricted cut rule. We call a cut rule *restricted* if cut formulas used in the rule are taken from some finite set (say,  $\Pi(\varphi)$ ). We denote such a rule ( $\Pi(\varphi)$ -cut). Note that the temporal rules of inference ( $\circ$ ) and ( $\circ_W$ ) (from  $G_r(\phi)$ ) are simpler than in [5]. The definition of the set of formulas  $\Pi(\varphi)$  is new as well. The main results of this paper are the following: 1) we prove the soundness of  $G_r(\varphi)$  using Hilbert-type calculus for  $LTL^-$ ; 2) we sketch the proof of the completeness of  $G_r(\varphi)$ . The completeness is proved via construction of a canonical model.

## 2 Hilbert-type calculus for temporal logic $LTL^-$

We recall the Hilbert-type calculus  $HLTL^-$  for  $LTL^-$  [7].  $HLTL^-$  contains the following axioms and rules of inference:

**Ax** All propositional tautologies and **t**,

**F1**  $\circ(\phi \supset \psi) \supset (\circ\phi \supset \circ\psi)$ ,      **P1**  $\circ_W(\phi \supset \psi) \supset (\circ_W\phi \supset \circ_W\psi)$ ,

**F2**  $\circ(\neg\phi) \equiv (\neg\circ\phi)$ ,      **P2**  $\circ(\neg\phi) \supset (\neg\circ\phi)$ ,

**F3**  $\phi U \psi \equiv \psi \vee (\phi \wedge \circ(\phi U \psi))$ ,      **P3**  $\phi S \psi \equiv \psi \vee (\phi \wedge \circ(\phi S \psi))$ ,

**P4**  $tS \circ_W \mathbf{f}$ ,

**FP**  $\phi \supset \circ \circ \phi$ ,      **PF**  $\phi \supset \circ_W \circ \phi$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{R1} \frac{\phi \quad \phi \supset \psi}{\psi} & \mathbf{RF1} \frac{\phi}{\circ\phi} & \mathbf{RF2} \frac{\phi' \supset (\neg\psi \wedge \circ\phi')}{\phi' \supset \neg(\phi U \psi)} \\ & \mathbf{RP1} \frac{\phi}{\circ_W\phi} & \mathbf{RP2} \frac{\phi' \supset (\neg\psi \wedge \circ_W\phi')}{\phi' \supset \neg(\phi S \psi)} \end{array}$$

**Proposition 1 [Soundness and completeness of  $HLTL^-$ ].** (See [7].) For each formula  $\varphi$  of  $LTL^-$ ,  $\varphi$  is provable in  $HLTL^-$  iff  $\varphi$  is globally valid.

## 3 Sequent calculi

In this section, we describe Gentzen-type sequent calculus  $G_r(\varphi)$  which consists of the calculus  $GLTL^-$  (without cut rule) and ( $\Pi(\varphi)$ -cut) rule.

### 3.1 Preliminaries

As usually,  $p, q, \dots$  stand for primitive propositions and small Greek letters for arbitrary formulas. Further, the capital Greek letters  $\Gamma, \Delta, \Sigma, \dots$  stand for finite sets (possibly, empty) of formulas of  $LTL^-$ .  $\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$  is called a *sequent*. The semantical meaning of a sequent  $\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_l\} \rightarrow \{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n\}$  is  $\bigwedge_{i=1}^l \phi_i \supset \bigvee_{i=1}^n \psi_i$ . For any sets  $\Gamma, \Delta$  and a formula  $\phi$ , the set  $\Gamma \cup \{\phi\}$  is denoted by  $\phi, \Gamma$  or  $\Gamma, \phi$ ;  $\Gamma \cup \Delta$  is denoted by  $\Gamma, \Delta$ . For a set of formulas  $\Gamma = \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n\}$ , we use the following convenient abbreviations:  $\circ\Gamma = \{\circ\phi_1, \dots, \circ\phi_n\}$ ,  $\circ \in \{\circ, \circ_W\}$ .

### 3.2 Construction of closure sets $\widetilde{FL}(\varphi)$ and $\Pi(\varphi)$

In the introduction we have presented the notion of ( $\Pi(\varphi)$ -cut) rule. Now we define the finite set of formulas  $\Pi(\varphi)$ .

At first, for each formula  $\varphi$  of  $LTL^-$ , we define the set  $FL(\varphi)$  which is called the *Fisher-Ladner closure* (of  $\varphi$ ). This set is defined to be the smallest set such that:  $\varphi$  belongs to  $FL(\varphi)$ ; if  $\neg\psi \in FL(\varphi)$  then  $\psi \in FL(\varphi)$ ; if  $\phi \vee \psi \in FL(\varphi)$  then  $\phi, \psi \in FL(\varphi)$ ; if  $\phi \wedge \psi \in FL(\varphi)$  then  $\phi, \psi \in FL(\varphi)$ ; if  $\phi \supset \psi \in FL(\varphi)$  then  $\phi, \psi \in FL(\varphi)$ ; if  $\bigcirc\psi \in FL(\varphi)$  then  $\psi \in FL(\varphi)$ ; if  $\phi U \psi \in FL(\varphi)$  then  $\psi, \phi \wedge \bigcirc(\phi U \psi) \in FL(\varphi)$ ; if  $\bigcirc_W \psi \in FL(\varphi)$  then  $\psi \in FL(\varphi)$ ; if  $\phi S \psi \in FL(\varphi)$  then  $\psi, \phi \wedge \bigcirc(\phi S \psi), \bigcirc_W(\phi S \psi) \in FL(\varphi)$ ;  $\mathbf{t}S \bigcirc_W \mathbf{f} \in FL(\varphi)$ .

Let  $|\varphi|$  denote the complexity of  $\varphi$ . As in [2], one can show the following:

**Proposition 2.** *The number of elements in  $FL(\varphi)$  is  $c|\varphi|$ , where  $c$  is a constant.*

We define the finite extensions  $FL'(\varphi) \subseteq \widetilde{FL}(\varphi) \subseteq \Pi(\varphi)$  of the Fisher-Ladner closure  $FL(\varphi)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} FL'(\varphi) &=_{df} FL(\varphi) \cup \{\bigcirc\neg\psi \mid \bigcirc\psi \in FL(\varphi)\} \cup \{\bigcirc_W\neg\psi \mid \bigcirc_W\psi \in FL(\varphi)\}, \\ \widetilde{FL}(\varphi) &=_{df} FL'(\varphi) \cup \{\neg\psi \mid \psi \in FL'(\varphi)\}, \\ \Pi(\varphi) &=_{df} \{(\wedge M_1) \vee \dots \vee (\wedge M_k), ((\wedge M_1) \vee \dots \vee (\wedge M_k)) \wedge \phi_1 U \phi_2, ((\wedge M_1) \vee \dots \vee (\wedge M_k)) \wedge \phi_1 S \phi_2, \bigcirc((\wedge M_1) \vee \dots \vee (\wedge M_k)), \bigcirc_W((\wedge M_1) \vee \dots \vee (\wedge M_k)) \mid M_1, \dots, M_k \subseteq \widetilde{FL}(\varphi), k \geq 1, \phi_1 U \phi_2, \phi_1 S \phi_2 \in \widetilde{FL}(\varphi)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that we get the set  $\Pi(\varphi)$  by looking through the proofs of statements used to prove the Truth Theorems 2 and 3.

### 3.3 Gentzen-type sequent calculi with restricted cut rule

**Axioms of  $GLTL^-$ :**  $\phi, \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta, \phi; \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta, \mathbf{t}S \bigcirc_W \mathbf{f}; \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta, \mathbf{t}$ .

**Rules of inference for propositional logical connectives** (see Gentzen-type system  $G4$  in [3]).

**Rules of inference for temporal modalities  $\bigcirc, \bigcirc_W$ :**

$$\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta, \bigcirc_W \theta}{\Lambda, \bigcirc \Gamma \rightarrow \bigcirc \Delta, \theta, \Sigma}(\bigcirc), \quad \frac{\bigcirc \theta, \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta}{\Lambda, \theta, \bigcirc_W \Gamma \rightarrow \bigcirc_W \Delta, \Sigma}(\bigcirc_W).$$

In the rule ( $\bigcirc$ ), either ( $\theta = \emptyset$  and  $\Gamma \cup \Delta \neq \emptyset$ ) or ( $\theta = \{\phi\}$  and  $\bigcirc_W \theta \subseteq \text{Sub}(\Gamma \cup \Delta)$ ). In the rule ( $\bigcirc_W$ ),  $\Delta \neq \emptyset$  and either  $\theta = \emptyset$  or ( $\theta = \{\phi\}$  and  $\bigcirc \theta \subseteq \text{Sub}(\Gamma \cup \Delta)$ ). Here  $\text{Sub}(\Gamma \cup \Delta)$  denotes the set of subformulas of formulas from  $\Gamma \cup \Delta$ .

**Rules of inference for temporal operators  $U, S$ :**

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta, \psi, \phi \wedge \bigcirc(\phi U \psi)}{\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta, \phi U \psi}(\rightarrow U), & \quad \frac{\psi, \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta; \quad \phi \wedge \bigcirc(\phi U \psi), \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta}{\phi U \psi, \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta}(\rightarrow U), \\ \frac{\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta, \psi, \phi \wedge \bigcirc(\phi S \psi)}{\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta, \phi S \psi}(\rightarrow S), & \quad \frac{\psi, \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta; \quad \phi \wedge \bigcirc(\phi S \psi), \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta}{\phi S \psi, \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta}(\rightarrow S), \\ \frac{\phi' \rightarrow \neg\psi \wedge \bigcirc\phi'}{\phi', \phi U \psi, \Lambda \rightarrow \Sigma}(\text{Inv}U), & \quad \frac{\phi' \rightarrow \neg\psi \wedge \bigcirc_W\phi'}{\phi', \phi S \psi, \Lambda \rightarrow \Sigma}(\text{Inv}S). \end{aligned}$$

The Gentzen-type calculus  $GLTL^-$  is defined. For each formula  $\varphi$  (of the logic  $LTL^-$ ), we define the calculus  $G_r(\varphi)$  to be  $GLTL^- + (\Pi(\varphi)$ -cut) rule.

*Remark 1.* (Structural rules of inference) 1) one can verify that weakening rule is derivable in  $G_r(\varphi)$ ; 2) interchange and contraction rules are implicit.

Let  $\hat{G}$  be a Gentzen-type sequent calculus. We write  $\hat{G} \vdash \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$  iff there is a proof of  $\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$  in the calculus  $\hat{G}$  (the notions of proof and height of proof in a Gentzen-type sequent calculus are defined as usual (see [3]).

### 3.4 Soundness of sequent calculi

Let  $\wedge\Gamma$  ( $\vee\Delta$ ) stand for the conjunction (resp. the disjunction) of formulas from  $\Gamma$  (resp.  $\Delta$ ). We say that a sequent calculus  $\hat{G}$  is *sound* (for  $LTL^-$ ) iff  $\hat{G} \vdash \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$  implies  $\models (\wedge\Gamma) \supset (\vee\Delta)$  for any sequent  $\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$  of  $LTL^-$ . By the induction on the height of the given proof of  $\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$  one can verify the following:

**Proposition 3.** *For any sequent  $\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$  of  $LTL^-$ , if  $GLTL^- + (\text{Form-cut}) \vdash \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$  then  $HLTL^- \vdash (\wedge\Gamma) \supset (\vee\Delta)$ . Here *Form* is the set of formulas of  $LTL^-$ . (*Cut* formulas in (*Form-cut*) rule are formulas of  $LTL^-$ .)*

Calculus  $HLTL^-$  is sound for  $LTL^-$  (Proposition 1). So by Proposition 3 it follows that calculus  $G_r(\varphi)$  ( $= GLTL^- + (II(\varphi)\text{-cut})$ ) is sound.

## 4 Completeness with restricted cut rule

In this section, we give a schema of proof of the following:

**Theorem 1 [Completeness of  $G_r(\varphi)$ ].** *For any formula  $\varphi$  of  $LTL^-$ ,  $\models \varphi$  implies  $G_r(\varphi) \vdash \emptyset \rightarrow \varphi$ .*

### 4.1 Construction of canonical model

We define a set  $\Gamma$  to be  $II(\varphi)$ -consistent iff  $G_r(\varphi) \not\vdash \Gamma \rightarrow \emptyset$ . The *set of states* (denoted by  $W(\varphi)$ ) of a canonical model is defined to be maximal  $II(\varphi)$ -consistent subsets of  $\widetilde{FL}(\varphi)$ . We define the binary *relation*  $<$  (next) on  $W(\varphi)$  as follows:  $s < t$  iff  $\{\psi \mid \psi \in s\} \subseteq t$  and  $\{\psi \mid \psi \in t\} \subseteq s$ .

*Remark 2.* 1) Note that the definition of the relation  $<$  is different from the respective definition in [5].  $<$  is defined similarly as respective relation in [4]; 2) similar definition of states of a canonical model is in [1, 5, 6].

We say that an infinite sequence of states  $s_0, s_1, \dots$  is *acceptable* iff 1) for all  $n \geq 0$ , if  $\phi_1 U \phi_2 \in s_n$  then there exists  $l \geq n$  such that  $\phi_2 \in s_l$ ; for all  $n \leq k < l$ , we have  $\phi_1 \in s_k$ ; 2) for all  $m \geq 0$ , if  $\psi_1 S \psi_2 \in s_m$  then there exists  $j \leq m$  such that  $\psi_2 \in s_j$  and, for all  $j < k \leq m$ , we have  $\psi_1 \in s_k$ .

A *canonical model*  $\sigma^c(\varphi)$  is defined to be an infinite sequence of states  $s_0, s_1, \dots$ , (from  $W(\varphi)$ ) such that 1)  $\bigcirc \mathbf{f} \in s_0$ ; 2) for all  $n$ ,  $s_n < s_{n+1}$ ; 3)  $\sigma^c(\varphi)$  is an acceptable sequence.

For a primitive proposition  $p$  we set  $\sigma^c(\varphi), n \models p$  iff  $p \in s_n$ . For any formula  $\psi \in \widetilde{FL}(\varphi)$ , by the induction on  $|\psi|$  we show the following:

**Theorem 2 [Truth Theorem].**  $\sigma^c(\varphi), n \models \psi$  iff  $\psi \in \sigma^c(\varphi)(n)$ . (Here  $\sigma^c(\varphi)(n)$  is the  $n$ -th element of  $\sigma^c(\varphi)$ ).

## 4.2 Completeness of $G_r(\varphi)$

Using properties of states from  $W(\varphi)$  one can prove the following:

**Theorem 3.** *If  $\psi \in \widetilde{FL}(\varphi)$  and  $\psi$  is a  $\Pi(\varphi)$ -consistent formula then the following statements hold: 1) there exists a state  $s \in W(\varphi)$  such that  $\psi \in s$ ; 2) there exists a sequence of states  $\sigma = s_0, s_1, \dots$ , such that  $s_n = s$  for some  $n \geq 0$  and  $\sigma$  is a canonical model.*

Since  $\neg\varphi \in \widetilde{FL}(\varphi)$  by Theorems 2 and 3 we have:

**Corollary 1.** *If  $\neg\varphi$  is  $\Pi(\varphi)$ -consistent formula then there exists a model  $\sigma$  and  $n \geq 0$  such that  $\sigma, n \models \neg\varphi$  (i.e.  $\not\models \varphi$ ).*

Theorem 1 follows by contraposition of Corollary 1.

## References

- [1] L. Alberucci. *The modal  $\mu$ -calculus and the logic of common knowledge*. PhD thesis, Institut für Informatik und angewandte Mathematik, Universität Bern, 2002.
- [2] M.J. Fisher and R.E. Ladner. Propositional dynamic logic of regular programs. *J. Computer and System Sciences*, **18**:194–211, 1979.
- [3] S.C. Kleene. *Mathematical Logic*. New York, London, Sydney, 1967.
- [4] O. Lichtenstein and A. Pnueli. Propositional temporal logics: decidability and completeness. *Logic J. of the IGPL*, **8**(1):55–85, 2000.
- [5] J. Sakalauskaitė. A sequent calculus for logic knowledge and past time: completeness and decidability. *Lith. Math. J.*, **46**(3):427–437, 2006.
- [6] M.K. Valiev. On propositional programming logics. *Voprosy Kibernetiki*, pp. 23–36, 1982 (in russian).
- [7] L. Zuck, O. Lichtenstein and A. Pnueli. The glory of the past. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, **193**:196–218, 1985.

## REZIU M Ė

### Dalinis pjūvio pašalinimas teiginių diskretinei laiko logikai

*J. Sakalauskaitė*

Pateikiami Gentzeno tipo sekvenciniai skaičiavimai teiginių tiesinio laiko logikai su ateities ir praeities laiko operatoriais. Šiuose skaičiavimuose pjūvio formulės priklauso baigtinei formulių aibei. Įrodomas šių skaičiavimų korektiškumas ir pilnumas.

*Raktiniai žodžiai:* sekvenciniai skaičiavimai, pjūvio taisyklė, laiko logika, praeities operatoriai, pilnumas.