# **ON SOME INEQUALITIES OF PROBABILISTIC NUMBER THEORY**

#### **V. Stakenas ˙**

Vilnius University, Naugarduko 24, 03225 Vilnius, Lithuania (e-mail: vilius.stakenas@maf.vu.lt)

**Abstract.** Let  $Q_+$  denote the set of positive rational numbers. We define discrete probability measures  $v_x$ on the  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $Q_+$ . We introduce additive functions  $f: Q_+ \to G$  and obtain a bound for  $v_x(f(r) \notin X + X - X)$  using a probability related to some independent random variables. This inequality is an analogue to that proved by I. Ruzsa for additive arithmetical functions.

*Keywords:* additive function, rational numbers, independent random variables.

Received 03 01 2006

## **1. INEQUALITIES FOR ADDITIVE FUNCTIONS**

Parallelism between the value distributions of arithmetical functions and the distributions related to systems of independent random variables is the essential idea of probabilistic number theory.

Let  $f: N \to G$  be an arithmetical additive function taking values in some Abelian group *G*, and let  $v_x$  ( $x \ge 1$ ) be the discrete probabilistic measure assigning the weights

$$
\nu_x(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{[x]}, & \text{if } n \leq x, \\ 0, & \text{if } n > x, \end{cases}
$$

to positive integers. Then the accompanying independent random variables indexed by prime numbers are specified by

$$
P(\xi_p = a) = \sum_{f(p^{\alpha}) = a} \frac{1}{p^{\alpha}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right).
$$

If *G* consists of real (or complex) numbers, the moments of an additive function and random variables can be considered. The inequality proved by Kubilius in 1955 for a complex-valued additive function *f* is, in fact, the statement about the second central moments:

$$
E_x|f - E_xf|^2 \ll \sum_{p \le x} E|\xi_p^x - E\xi_p^x|^2,
$$

where, for  $g: N \to C$ ,

$$
E_x g = \int g \, \mathrm{d}v_x = \frac{1}{[x]} \sum_{n \leq x} g(n),
$$

and by  $\xi_p^x$  we denote the independent random variables associated with "truncated" additive function  $f_x$ , defined by  $f_x(p^\alpha) = f(p^\alpha)$  for  $p^\alpha \leq x$  and  $f_x(p^\alpha) = 0$  for  $p^\alpha > x$ . Efforts were made to prove similar inequalities for other moments and functionals of additive functions. Various aspects of research in this field are reviewed in [1]–[3].

In 1984, I. Ruzsa proved a surprisingly general inequality. In [4], it is proved that if *G* is an Abelian group and  $f: N \to G$  an additive function, then, for each subset  $X$  ⊂  $G$ ,

$$
\nu_x(f(n) \notin X + X - X) \ll P\left(\sum_{p \le x} \xi_p \notin X\right). \tag{1}
$$

This inequality can be used as a tool to transfer the problem of estimating some moments of *f* to that of estimating moments related to independent random variables  $\xi_p$ . The reader should see [4] for details.

# **2. ADDITIVE FUNCTIONS IN THE DOMAIN OF RATIONAL NUMBERS**

Let  $Q_+$  be the set of positive rational numbers  $\frac{m}{n}$ ; we always suppose that  $(m, n) = 1$ . For  $q = \frac{m}{n}$  and  $q' = \frac{m'}{n'}$ , we denote

$$
(q, q') = \frac{(m, m')}{(n, n')}.
$$

**Definition.** Let *G* be an arbitrary Abelian group. We call a function  $f: Q_+ \to G$ additive if

$$
f(q \cdot q') = f(q) + f(q')
$$

for all  $q, q' \in Q_+$  such that  $(q, q') = (q^{-1}, q') = 1$ .

For  $\frac{m}{n} \in Q_+$  and a prime number *p* such that *p*|*mn*, we define

$$
\alpha_p\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = \begin{cases} \alpha, & \text{if } p^{\alpha} \parallel m, \\ -\alpha, & \text{if } p^{\alpha} \parallel n. \end{cases}
$$

If *p*  $\langle mn,$  we set  $\alpha_p(\frac{m}{n}) = 0$ . Then, for an additive function  $f: Q_+ \to G$ ,

$$
f\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = \sum_{p} f\left(p^{\alpha_p(m/n)}\right).
$$

258 *V. Stakenas ˙*

There are many possibilities to assign weights to  $m/n \in Q_+$  and to define discrete measures on  $Q_+$ . In this paper, we confine ourselves to the numbers of interval  $(0, 1)$ and define, for  $A \subset Q_+$ ,

$$
\nu_x(A) = \frac{\#A \cap \mathcal{F}_x}{\#\mathcal{F}_x}, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{F}_x = \left\{ \frac{m}{n} : m < n \leq x, (m, n) = 1 \right\}, \quad x > 1. \tag{2}
$$

We associate with an additive function  $f: Q_+ \to G$  two systems of independent random variables  $\xi_p^{(1)}$  and  $\xi_p^{(2)}$  (*p* is a prime number) such that

$$
P(\xi_p^{(1)} = a) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in Z \\ f(p^{\max(\alpha, 0)}) = a}} \frac{1}{p^{|\alpha|}} \left(1 - \frac{2}{p + 1}\right),
$$
  

$$
P(\xi_p^{(2)} = a) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in Z \\ f(p^{\min(\alpha, 0)}) = a}} \frac{1}{p^{|\alpha|}} \left(1 - \frac{2}{p + 1}\right).
$$
 (3)

The aim of this paper is to prove Ruzsa's inequality (1) in the setting just defined.

THEOREM. Let  $f: Q_+ \to G$  be an additive function with values in Abelian group G;  $X^{(1)}, X^{(2)} \subset G, X = X^{(1)} + X^{(2)}$ . Then, for the measure  $v_x$  defined by (2) and *independent random variables (*3*), the inequality*

$$
\nu_x\left(f\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)\not\in X+X-X\right)\ll P\left(\sum_{p\leqslant x}\xi_p^{(1)}\not\in X^{(1)}\right)+P\left(\sum_{p\leqslant x}\xi_p^{(2)}\not\in X^{(2)}\right)\tag{4}
$$

*holds with the constant in*  $\ll$  *independent of*  $X^{(1)}$ *,*  $X^{(2)}$ *, and f.* 

In [4], it is explained how from the inequality like (4) the bounds for the moments can be derived. For example, let  $f(m/n)$  be a complex-valued additive function such that  $f(p^{\alpha}) = 0$  for  $p^{|\alpha|} > x$ . We denote

$$
A_x^{(1)} = \sum_{p \le x} E \xi_p^{(1)}, \quad A_x^{(2)} = \sum_{p \le x} E \xi_p^{(2)},
$$
  

$$
A_x = A_x^{(1)} + A_x^{(2)} = \sum_{\substack{p \le x \\ \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}}} \frac{f(p^{\alpha})}{p^{|\alpha|}} \left(1 - \frac{2}{p+1}\right).
$$

Then with  $X^{(1)} = \{z: |z - A_x^{(1)}| < u/6\}$  and  $X^{(2)} = \{z: |z - A_x^{(2)}| < u/6\}$  we have

$$
X^{(i)} + X^{(i)} - X^{(i)} = \{z: |z - A_x^{(i)}| < u/2\}, \quad X + X - X = \{z: |z - A_x| < u\},
$$

and inequality (4) can be rewritten as

$$
\nu_x\left(\left|f\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) - A_x\right| \ge u\right) \ll P\left(\left|\sum_{p\le x} (\xi_p^{(1)} - E\xi_p^{(1)})\right| \ge u/6\right) + P\left(\left|\sum_{p\le x} (\xi_p^{(2)} - E\xi_p^{(2)})\right| \ge u/6\right).
$$
 (5)

Using the equality

$$
E|\xi|^2 = 2\int_0^\infty u P(|\xi| \geqslant u) \, \mathrm{d}u,
$$

we have

$$
E_x |f - A_x|^2 = \frac{1}{\# \mathcal{F}_x} \sum_{m/n \in \mathcal{F}_x} \left| f\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) - A_x \right|^2 = 2 \int_0^\infty u v_x \left( \left| f\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) - A_x \right| \ge u \right) du,
$$
  
\n
$$
E \left| \sum_{p \le x} (\xi_p^{(i)} - E\xi_p^{(i)}) \right|^2 = 2 \int_0^\infty u P \left( \left| \sum_{p \le x} (\xi_p^{(i)} - E\xi_p^{(i)}) \right| \ge u \right) du
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{18} \int_0^\infty u P \left( \left| \sum_{p \le x} (\xi_p^{(i)} - E\xi_p^{(i)}) \right| \ge \frac{u}{6} \right) du.
$$

Hence, from (5) we can derive that

$$
E_x|f - A_x|^2 \ll E \Big| \sum_{p \leq x} (\xi_p^{(1)} - E\xi_p^{(1)}) \Big|^2 + E \Big| \sum_{p \leq x} (\xi_p^{(2)} - E\xi_p^{(2)}) \Big|^2,
$$

which after some calculations can be reduced to the Kubilius-type number-theoretic inequality:

$$
\sum_{m/n \in \mathcal{F}_x} \left| f\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) - A_x \right|^2 \ll \# \mathcal{F}_x \cdot \sum_{\substack{p|\alpha| \leq x \\ \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}}} \frac{|f(p^{\alpha})|^2}{p^{|\alpha|}}.
$$

Note that similar inequalities were proved in [5] with

$$
\mathcal{F}_x = \left\{ \frac{m}{n} : (m, n) = 1, n \leq x \right\} \cap (\alpha, \beta),
$$

using the large sieve inequalities, approach initiated by Elliott (see, [1], [2]).

# **PROOF OF THE THEOREM**

First note that the independent random variables  $\xi_p^{(i)}$ ,  $p \leq x$ , with distributions (3) can be realized as functions  $\xi_p^{(i)}$ :  $Q_+ \rightarrow G$ :

$$
\xi_p^{(1)}\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = f\left(p^{\max(\alpha_p(m/n),0)}\right), \quad \xi_p^{(2)}\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = f\left(p^{\min(\alpha_p(m/n),0)}\right),
$$

if we define the discrete measure  $P = \lambda_x$  on subsets  $A \subset Q_+$  by

$$
\lambda_x(A) = \left(\sum_{m/n \in Q_x} \frac{1}{mn}\right)^{-1} \sum_{m/n \in Q_x \cap A} \frac{1}{mn},
$$

where

$$
Q_x = \left\{ \frac{m}{n} : p^+(mn) \leq x \right\},\
$$

and  $p^+(k)$  denotes the greatest prime divisor of  $k$ . Then

$$
f\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = f^{(1)}\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) + f^{(2)}\left(\frac{m}{n}\right), \quad f^{(i)}\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = \sum_{p} \xi_p^{(i)}\left(\frac{m}{n}\right).
$$

Let

$$
\mathcal{F}_x^* = \left\{ \frac{m}{n}: (m, n) = 1, m, n \leq x \right\}.
$$

Evidently,  $\mathcal{F}_x \subset \mathcal{F}_x^* \subset Q_x$ ,  $\#\mathcal{F}_x^* = 2 \#\mathcal{F}_x$ , and

$$
\# \mathcal{F}_x^* = 2 \sum_{m \leq x} \varphi(m) \sim \frac{6}{\pi^2} x^2 \quad \text{as } x \to \infty,
$$
 (6)

where  $\varphi(m)$  is the Euler function. For  $A \subset Q_+$ , we define

$$
\nu_x^*(A) = \frac{\#A \cap \mathcal{F}_x^*}{\#\mathcal{F}_x^*}.
$$

Then

$$
\nu_x(A) = \frac{\#A \cap \mathcal{F}_x}{\#\mathcal{F}_x} \leq \frac{\#\mathcal{F}_x^*}{\#\mathcal{F}_x} \cdot \frac{\#A \cap \mathcal{F}_x^*}{\#\mathcal{F}_x^*} \leq 2\nu_x^*(A),
$$

and it is sufficient to prove inequality (4) with  $v_x^*$  instead of  $v_x$ .

If *f*<sup>(*i*</sup>)( $\frac{m}{n}$ ) ∈ *X*<sup>(*i*</sup>) + *X*<sup>(*i*</sup>) − *X*<sup>(*i*</sup>) for *i* = 1, 2, then *f*( $\frac{m}{n}$ ) ∈ *X* + *X* − *X*, hence,

$$
\nu_x^* \left( f \left( \frac{m}{n} \right) \notin X + X - X \right) \ll \nu_x^* \left( f^{(1)} \left( \frac{m}{n} \right) \notin X^{(1)} + X^{(1)} - X^{(1)} \right) + \nu_x^* \left( f^{(2)} \left( \frac{m}{n} \right) \notin X^{(2)} + X^{(2)} - X^{(2)} \right).
$$

Then it suffices to prove the inequalities

$$
\nu_x^* \left( f^{(i)} \left( \frac{m}{n} \right) \notin X^{(i)} + X^{(i)} - X^{(i)} \right) \ll \lambda_x \left( f^{(i)} \left( \frac{m}{n} \right) \notin X^{(i)} \right), \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{7}
$$

We proceed with the proof for  $i = 1$ ; the proof for  $i = 2$  is almost identical.

For a nonempty set of positive integers  $W \subset N$ , we denote

$$
W^{Q} = \left\{ \frac{m}{n} \colon m \in W, (m, n) = 1 \right\}.
$$

Then taking

$$
U = \{m: f(m) \in X^{(1)}\}, \quad V = \{m: f(m) \in X^{(1)} + X^{(1)} - X^{(1)}\},
$$

we can rewrite inequality (7) for  $i = 1$  as

$$
\nu_x^*(\overline{V^Q}) \ll \lambda_x(\overline{U^Q}),\tag{8}
$$

where  $\overline{B}$  denotes the complement of a set *B*. We need some results about  $\lambda_x$ .

**Lemma.** *For any set*  $W \subset N$ *, we have* 

$$
\lambda_x(W^Q) = \prod_{p \leq x} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p+1}\right) \sum_{m \in W \cap Q_x} \frac{1}{m} \prod_{p|m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)
$$

$$
= \left(\sum_{m \in Q_x} \frac{\varphi(m)}{m^2}\right)^{-1} \sum_{m \in W \cap Q_x} \frac{\varphi(m)}{m^2}.
$$
(9)

*Let*

$$
E = \{ p^k \colon p \leq x ; m \in W, (m, p) = 1 \Rightarrow mp^k \notin W \}.
$$

 $If \lambda_x(W^Q) \geq 99/100$ , *then* 

$$
\sum_{p^k \in E} \frac{1}{p^k} \leqslant 5\lambda_x(\overline{W^Q}).\tag{10}
$$

*Proof of Lemma.* Equalities (9) can be established by a straightforward calculation. If we denote

$$
P_x = \prod_{p \leq x} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p+1}\right) = \left(\sum_{m \in Q_x} \frac{\varphi(m)}{m^2}\right)^{-1},
$$

then

$$
\lambda_x(W^Q) = P_x \sum_{m \in W \cap Q_x} \frac{1}{m} \prod_{p|m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)
$$
  
=  $P_x \sum_{m \in W \cap Q_x} \frac{\varphi(m)}{m^2}, \quad P_x \sim c \left(\log x\right)^{-1} \text{ as } x \to \infty.$ 

Let  $k \in Q_x$  be a positive integer, i.e.,  $p^+(k) \leq x$ . By  $A_k \subset N$  we denote the set of multiples of *k*. Using the obvious inequalities

$$
\varphi(l)\varphi(m)\leqslant\varphi(lm)\leqslant l\varphi(m),
$$

from (9) we obtain that

$$
\frac{\varphi(k)}{k^2} \leqslant \lambda_x(A_k^Q) \leqslant \frac{1}{k}.
$$

We have

$$
\lambda_x(W^{\mathcal{Q}}) = P_x \sum_{m \in W \cap Q_x} \frac{\varphi(m)}{m^2} = 1 - \epsilon, \quad \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{100}.
$$

For  $q = p^k$ , where *p* is prime, denote

$$
W_q = \{qm: (m, p) = 1, m \in W\}.
$$

If  $q \in E$ , then  $W_q \subset \overline{W}$ , hence,

$$
\epsilon \ge \lambda_x(W_q^Q) = \frac{1}{q} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \cdot P_x \cdot \sum_{\substack{m \in W \cap Q_x \\ (m,p)=1}} \frac{\varphi(m)}{m^2}
$$
  
 
$$
\ge \frac{1}{q} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \left( \lambda_x(W^Q) - \lambda_x(A_p^Q) \right) \ge \frac{1}{q} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \left( 1 - \epsilon - \frac{1}{p} \right) \ge \frac{49}{200} \cdot \frac{1}{q}. \quad (11)
$$

Since  $\bigcup_{q \in E} W_q \subset \overline{W}$ , we have

$$
\epsilon \geq \lambda_x \Big( \bigcup_{q \in E} W_q^Q \Big) \geqslant \sum_{q \in E} \lambda_x (W_q^Q) - \sum_{q_1, q_2 \in E} \lambda_x (W_{q_1}^Q \cap W_{q_2}^Q). \tag{12}
$$

If  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are powers of the same prime number, then  $W_{q_1}^Q \cap W_{q_2}^Q = \emptyset$ . We denote

$$
\sigma = \sum_{q \in E} \frac{1}{q}.
$$

Using in (12) the inequalities

$$
\lambda_x(W_q^Q) \geq \frac{49}{200}\frac{1}{q}, \quad \lambda_x(W_{q_1}^Q \cap W_{q_2}^Q) \leq \lambda_x(A_{q_1q_2}^Q) \leq \frac{1}{q_1q_2},
$$

we obtain

$$
\epsilon \geqslant c\sigma - \sigma^2
$$
,  $c = \frac{49}{200}$ .

If  $\sigma \leqslant c/2$ , then

$$
\epsilon \geqslant c\sigma - \sigma^2 \geqslant \frac{1}{2}c\sigma, \quad \sigma \leqslant \frac{2\epsilon}{c} = \frac{400}{49}\epsilon < 5\epsilon,
$$

and the statement is proved. We show that  $\sigma > c/2$  can never occur.

Let  $\sigma > c/2$ . Because of (11), for each  $q \in E$ , we have

$$
\frac{1}{q} \leqslant \frac{200}{49} \epsilon = \frac{\epsilon}{c}.
$$

Then it is possible to choose a subset  $E'$  such that

$$
\sigma' = \sum_{p \in E'} \frac{1}{q}, \quad \frac{c}{2} \geq \sigma' > \frac{c}{2} - \frac{\epsilon}{c}.
$$

Having in mind what is already proved, we obtain

$$
\sigma' \leqslant \frac{2\epsilon}{c}.
$$

Now we conclude that there must be

$$
\frac{2\epsilon}{c} \geqslant \frac{c}{2} - \frac{\epsilon}{c}, \quad \epsilon \geqslant \frac{c^2}{6}.
$$

This is not true with  $c = \frac{49}{200}$ , and  $\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{100}$  since  $c^2/6 > 0$ , 0010004. The proof of lemma is complete.

Let us return to the proof of (8). It is sufficient to prove this inequality for

$$
U = \{m: f(m) \in X^{(1)}\}, \quad V = \{m: f(m) \in X^{(1)} + X^{(1)} - X^{(1)}\} \quad (U \subset V)
$$

with  $\lambda_x(U^Q) \geqslant \frac{99}{100}$ . Let

$$
T = \sum_{m/n \in \overline{V^Q} \cap \mathcal{F}_x^*} \log \frac{x}{m} = \log x \cdot \#(\overline{V^Q} \cap \mathcal{F}_x^*) - S, \quad S = \sum_{m/n \in \overline{V^Q} \cap \mathcal{F}_x^*} \log m.
$$

We establish the bounds

$$
T \ll x^2 \log x \cdot \lambda_x(\overline{U^Q}), \quad S \ll x^2 \log x \cdot \lambda_x(\overline{U^Q}); \tag{13}
$$

using them and (6), we obtain inequality (8) from

$$
\log x \cdot \#(\overline{V^Q} \cap \mathcal{F}_x^*) \ll T + S.
$$

In what follows, we use the simple asymptotics

$$
\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n,m)=1}} 1 = x \prod_{p|m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(2^{\omega(m)}\right).
$$

We have

$$
T = \sum_{\substack{m/n \in \overline{V^Q} \cap \mathcal{F}_x^*}} \log \frac{x}{m} \ll x \sum_{\substack{m \in \overline{V} \\ m \le x}} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\substack{n \le x \\ (n,m)=1}} 1 = x^2 \sum_{\substack{m \in \overline{V} \\ m \le x}} \frac{1}{m} \prod_{p|m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)
$$
  
+ 
$$
O\left(x \sum_{\substack{m \in \overline{V} \\ m \le x}} \frac{2^{\omega(m)}}{m}\right) \ll x^2 \log x \cdot \lambda_x(\overline{V^Q}) + O\left(x \sum_{\substack{m \in \overline{V} \\ m \le x}} \frac{2^{\omega(m)}}{m}\right).
$$

Now we get

$$
\sum_{\substack{m\in V\\m\leqslant x}}\frac{2^{\omega(m)}}{m}=\sum_{\substack{m\in V\\m\leqslant x}}\frac{d_m}{m}\prod_{p|m}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right),\quad d_m=2^{\omega(m)}\prod_{p|m}\left(1+\frac{1}{p-1}\right)\leqslant 4^{\omega(m)}.
$$

Since  $\omega(m) \ll \log m / \log \log m$ , we have  $d_m \ll m^{\epsilon}$  ( $\epsilon > 0$ ) and

$$
\sum_{\substack{m \in \overline{V} \\ m \le x}} \frac{2^{\omega(m)}}{m} \ll x \log x \cdot \lambda_x(\overline{V^Q}),
$$
  

$$
T \ll x^2 \log x \cdot \lambda_x(\overline{V^Q}) \ll x^2 \log x \cdot \lambda_x(\overline{U^Q}).
$$

The first inequality of (13) is established.

We define the set *E* as in Lemma with  $W = U$ . If  $p^k \notin E$ , then there exists  $l \in$  $U, (l, p) = 1$ , such that  $p^k \cdot l \in U$ . The important observation of I. Ruzsa [4] is that, for  $m \in U$ ,  $p^k \notin E$ ,  $(m, p) = 1$ , we have  $p^k m = \frac{p^k l}{l} \cdot m$  and

$$
f(p^k m) = f(p^k l) + f(m) - f(l) \in V.
$$

We now proceed with *S*:

$$
S = \sum_{m/n \in \overline{V^Q} \cap \mathcal{F}_x^*} \log m = \sum_{p^k \leq x} \log p^k \sum_{\substack{p^k m \in \overline{V^Q} \cap \mathcal{F}_x^* \ (m,p)=1}} 1 = S_1 + S_2,
$$
  

$$
S_1 = \sum_{\substack{p^k \leq x \\ p^k \in E}} \log p^k \sum_{\substack{p^k m \in \overline{V^Q} \cap \mathcal{F}_x^* \ (m,p)=1}} 1, \quad S_2 = \sum_{\substack{p^k \leq x \\ p^k \notin E}} \log p^k \sum_{\substack{p^k m \in \overline{V^Q} \cap \mathcal{F}_x^* \ (m,p)=1}} 1.
$$

For *S*1*,* we apply the statement of lemma:

$$
S_1 \ll x^2 \log x \sum_{p^k \in E} \frac{1}{p^k} \ll x^2 \log x \cdot \lambda_x(\overline{U^Q}).
$$

Estimating  $S_2$ , we use the arguments for  $p^k \notin E$  explained above:

$$
S_2 \leqslant \sum_{p^k \leqslant x} \log p^k \sum_{m \in \overline{U}, (m, p) = 1 \atop m \equiv \overline{U}, p^k \leqslant \frac{x}{m}} 1 \leqslant \sum_{m \in \overline{U} \atop m \leqslant x} \sum_{p^k \leqslant \frac{x}{m}} \log p^k \sum_{n \leqslant x \atop (n, m) = 1} 1
$$
  

$$
\leqslant \sum_{m \in \overline{U} \atop m \leqslant x} \left( x \prod_{p \mid m} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) + 2^{\omega(m)} \right) \cdot \sum_{p^k \leqslant \frac{x}{m}} \log p^k
$$
  

$$
\leqslant x^2 \sum_{m \in \overline{U} \atop m \leqslant x} \frac{1}{m} x \prod_{p \mid m} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) + x \sum_{m \in \overline{U} \atop m \leqslant x} \frac{2^{\omega(m)}}{m} \ll x^2 \log x \cdot \lambda_x(\overline{U^Q}).
$$

Hence,

$$
S \ll x^2 \log x \cdot \lambda_x(\overline{U^Q}),
$$

and the proof of the theorem is complete.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. P.D.T.A. Elliott, *Probabilistic Number Theory*, I, Springer, New York (1979).
- 2. P.D.T.A. Elliott, *Duality in Analytic Number Theory*, Cambridge University Press (1997).
- 3. J. Kubilius, On some inequalities in the probabilistic number theory, in: A. Laurinčikas et al. (Eds.), *New Trends in Prob. And Stat.*, vol. 4, VSP/TEV (1997), pp. 345–356.
- 4. I.Z. Ruzsa, Generalized moments of additive functions, *J. Number Theory*, **18**(1), 27–33 (1984).
- 5. J. Šiaulys and V. Stakenas, The Kubilius inequality for additive functions in rational arguments, Lith. *Math. J.*, **30**(1), 72–77 (1990).

#### **REZIUMĖ**

# *V. Stakenas. Tikimybin ˙ es skaiˇ ˙ ci u teorijos nelygybes˙*

Įrodyti tikimybinės skaičių teorijos nelygybių adityvių funkcijų dažniams analogai, kai adityviosios funkcijos nagrinėjamos racionaliųjų skaičių aibėje. Naudojantis šiomis nelygybėmis galima gauti adityviųjų funkcijų momentų įverčius.