

ANOTHER APPROACH TO ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSIONS FOR EULER'S APPROXIMATIONS OF SEMIGROUPS

M. Vilkiene

Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Akademijos 4, 08663 Vilnius, Lithuania
(e-mail: monika.vilkiene@ktu.lt)

Abstract. In [2], optimal bounds for the remainder terms in asymptotic expansions for Euler's approximations of semigroups were derived. The approach was based on applications of the Fourier–Laplace transforms, which allowed one to reduce the problem to estimation of error terms in the Law of Large Numbers. In this paper, we propose an alternative (direct) approach based on application of certain integro-differential identities (so-called multiplicative representations of differences). Such identities were introduced by Bentkus in [3] and applied (see Bentkus and Paulauskas [4]) to derive the optimal convergence rates in Chernoff-type lemmas and Euler's approximations of semigroups.

Keywords: Euler's approximations, semigroups, asymptotic expansions, holomorphic semigroups, convergence rate.

Received 15 03 2006

1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

Let A be a possibly unbounded linear operator on a complex Banach space X and $R(\lambda) = (I + \lambda A)^{-1}$ be the resolvent of A . Let $E(t) = \exp\{-tA\}$, $t \geq 0$, be a semigroup of operators with generator A . We consider the functions $t \mapsto R^n(t/n) = (I + tA/n)^{-n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which are called the Euler's approximations of the semigroup $E(t)$.

We consider asymptotic expansions of Euler's approximations

$$(I + tA/n)^{-n} = \exp\{-tA\} + \frac{a_1}{n} + \dots + \frac{a_k}{n^k} + o\left(\frac{1}{n^k}\right) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1.1)$$

with coefficients a_k depending on $E(t)$ and independent of n . We also obtain asymptotic expansions of the semigroup

$$\exp\{-tA\} = (I + tA/n)^{-n} + \frac{b_1}{n} + \dots + \frac{b_k}{n^k} + o\left(\frac{1}{n^k}\right) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1.2)$$

with b_k which are linear combinations of functions $t \mapsto (tA)^m R^n(t/n)$ with coefficients independent of n . We provide explicit and optimal bounds for the remainder terms in (1.1) and (1.2).

To obtain asymptotic expansions we use a decomposition of Euler's approximations which is an integro-differential identity. Such an approach was introduced by Bentkus in [3] for analysis of errors in the Central Limit Theorem and in approximations by accompanying laws and applied by Bentkus and Paulauskas in [4] to derive optimal convergence rates in Chernoff-type lemmas and Euler's approximations of semigroups. It consists of a choice of a curve, say $\gamma(\tau)$, $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$, connecting two close objects, say a and b , such that $\gamma(0) = a$ and $\gamma(1) = b$. In our case, we have $a = \exp\{-tA\}$, $b = R^n(t/n)$ and $\gamma(\tau) = R^n(\tau t/n) \exp\{-(1-\tau)tA\}$ (see Section 4 in Bentkus ([3])). Then we apply the mean-value theorem (or Newton-Leibnitz formula) along the curve, that is, $b - a = \int_0^1 \gamma'(\tau) d\tau$. In our case, we have

$$R^n(t/n) - \exp\{-tA\} = \frac{1}{n} \int_0^1 \tau(tA)^2 R^{n+1}(\tau t/n) E(t(1-\tau)) d\tau. \quad (1.3)$$

Iterative applications of this identity lead to asymptotic expansions of $R^n(t/n)$ and $E(t)$.

Using this approach, Bentkus and Paulauskas in [4] provided a rather short and simple proof of $\Delta_n = O(n^{-1})$, where $\Delta_n = \|R^n(t/n) - E(t)\|$, in the case of bounded holomorphic semigroups. For semigroups in Hilbert spaces generated by m -sectorial operators, Cachia and Zagrebnov in [6] obtained the bound $\Delta_n = O(n^{-1} \ln n)$. Paulauskas in [9] improved the bound to the optimal $O(n^{-1})$ using a new method based on the results and methods of probability theory related to the Central Limit Theorem. Cachia in [5] extended the bound $O(n^{-1} \ln n)$ to the case of bounded holomorphic semigroups and noticed that the Paulauskas argument can be applied to improve the bound to $O(n^{-1})$. Proofs in [6], [9] and [5] are rather complicated, and it is not clear how to extend such proofs in order to obtain asymptotic expansions. Bentkus in [2] obtained asymptotic expansions for Euler's approximations of semigroups and optimal error bounds using another approach based on applications of the Fourier-Laplace transforms and a reduction of the problem to the convergence rates and asymptotic expansions in the Law of Large Numbers. In particular, for bounded differentiable semigroups, the error bound $\Delta_n = O(n^{-1})$ was obtained. It covers and refines all known related results obtained for semigroups of operators in Banach spaces. An alternative (direct) approach we use in this paper does not involve the Laplace transforms. The proofs are much simpler and shorter here; besides, this method also gives us a constructive description of the terms of the expansion.

To make the exposition more comprehensible, we first formulate the results in the special case of short expansions, i.e., expansions with remainders $O(n^{-2})$. We start with a related integro-differential identity.

THEOREM 1.1. *We have*

$$(I + tA/n)^{-n} = \exp\{-tA\} + \frac{(tA)^2}{2n} \exp\{-tA\} + D_1, \quad (1.4)$$

where the remainder term D_1 is given by

$$D_1 = \frac{1}{n^2}(D_{1,1} + D_{1,2}) \tag{1.5}$$

with

$$D_{1,1} = - \int_0^1 \tau^2 (tA)^3 R^{n+1}(\tau t/n) E(t(1-\tau)) d\tau$$

and

$$D_{1,2} = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \tau_1^3 \tau_2 (tA)^4 R^{n+1}(\tau_1 \tau_2 t/n) E(t(1-\tau_1 \tau_2)) d\tau_1 d\tau_2.$$

To estimate the remainder term D_1 in expansion (1.4), we use the same conditions as in Theorem 1.3 of Bentkus and Paulauskas in [4]. These conditions are satisfied by bounded holomorphic semigroups (see Bentkus and Paulauskas [4]).

THEOREM 1.2. *Assume that there exists a constant K independent of n and t such that*

$$n \|tA(I + tA)^{-n}\| \leq K \tag{1.6}$$

and

$$\| \exp\{-tA\} \| \leq K, \quad \|tA \exp\{-tA\} \| \leq K \tag{1.7}$$

for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $t \geq 0$. Then the remainder term D_1 in asymptotic expansion (1.4) satisfies

$$\|D_1\| \leq \frac{C_1}{n^2} K^4,$$

where C_1 is an absolute positive constant.

Now we consider the so-called inverse expansions, i.e., expansions where the exponent $E(t)$ is approximated by $R^n(t/n)$. Again we start with a short expansion with the remainder term $O(n^{-2})$.

THEOREM 1.3. *We have*

$$\exp\{-tA\} = (I + tA/n)^{-n} + \frac{b_1}{n} + \Delta_1, \tag{1.8}$$

where $b_1 = -\frac{(tA)^2}{2}(I + tA/n)^{-n}$. The remainder term Δ_1 is given by

$$\Delta_1 = -D_1 - \frac{(tA)^2}{2n} \Delta_0, \tag{1.9}$$

where D_1 is given by (1.5), and

$$\Delta_0 = -\frac{1}{n} \int_0^1 \tau (tA)^2 R^{n+1}(\tau t/n) E(t(1-\tau)) d\tau.$$

To estimate the remainder term Δ_1 in expansion (1.8), we use the same conditions as in Theorem 1.2.

THEOREM 1.4. *Assume that there exists a constant K independent of n and t such that conditions (1.6) and (1.7) are satisfied for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $t \geq 0$. Then the remainder term Δ_1 in asymptotic expansion (1.8) satisfies*

$$\|\Delta_1\| \leq \frac{C_1}{n^2} K^5,$$

where C_1 is an absolute positive constant.

Now we generalize the results of Theorems 1.1–1.4 for asymptotic expansions of any given length k . We first need some additional notation. Henceforth, \sum_α means summation over all integer components $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ of vectors $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$ which satisfy certain conditions. Write

$$c_{m,1} = \frac{1}{m+1} \quad \text{and} \quad c_{m,j} = \frac{1}{m+j} \sum_i \frac{1}{i_2 i_3 \dots i_j} \quad \text{for } j = 2, \dots, m, \quad (1.10)$$

where $i = (i_2, i_3, \dots, i_j)$ satisfy $2 \leq i_j \leq m - j + 2$ and $i_{n+1} + 2 \leq i_n \leq m + j - 2(n-1)$ for $n = 2, 3, \dots, j-1$. Then the coefficients a_m in (1.1) are given by

$$a_m = \sum_{j=1}^m c_{m,j} (-tA)^{m+j} \exp\{-tA\}. \quad (1.11)$$

For example, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= \frac{(tA)^2}{2} \exp\{-tA\}, \\ a_2 &= -\frac{(tA)^3}{3} \exp\{-tA\} + \frac{(tA)^4}{8} \exp\{-tA\}, \\ a_3 &= \frac{(tA)^4}{4} \exp\{-tA\} - \frac{(tA)^5}{6} \exp\{-tA\} + \frac{(tA)^6}{48} \exp\{-tA\}. \end{aligned}$$

We note that an alternative form of the coefficients $c_{m,j}$ is

$$c_{m,j} = \frac{1}{j!} \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_j = m+j} \frac{1}{i_1 i_2 \dots i_j},$$

where $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j \geq 2$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$. Here $\sum_{i_1+\dots+i_n=k}$ means summation over all distinct ordered n -tuples of positive integers i_1, \dots, i_n whose elements sum to k .

We also define the functions

$$\sigma_{k,j} = \sigma_{k,j}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_j) = \tau_1^{k+j} \sum_i \tau_2^{i_2} \dots \tau_j^{i_j} \quad \text{for } j = 2, \dots, k+1, \quad (1.12)$$

where $i = (i_2, i_3, \dots, i_j)$ satisfy $1 \leq i_j \leq k - j + 2$ and $i_{n+1} + 2 \leq i_n \leq k + j - 2(n - 1)$ for $n = 2, 3, \dots, j - 1$. When $j = 1$, $\sigma_{k,1} = \tau_1^{k+1}$.

To shorten the notation for multiple integrals we use a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables $\tau, \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots$ uniformly distributed in the interval $[0, 1]$. Then we can write $\int_0^1 f(\tau) d\tau = \mathbf{E} f(\tau)$ for any function f . In the case where f is a function of k variables, we write $\mathbf{E} f(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)$ instead of a k -tuple integral.

We also introduce the indicator functions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}_{1,k} &= \mathbb{I}\{\tau_2 \geq 1/2, \dots, \tau_k \geq 1/2\}, \quad k \geq 2, \\ \mathbb{I}_{m,k} &= \mathbb{I}\{\tau_m \leq 1/2, \tau_{m+1} \geq 1/2, \dots, \tau_k \geq 1/2\}, \quad k \geq 3, \end{aligned}$$

for $m = 2, \dots, k - 1$, and

$$\mathbb{I}_{k,k} = \mathbb{I}\{\tau_k \leq 1/2\}, \quad k \geq 2.$$

THEOREM 1.5. *We have*

$$(I + tA/n)^{-n} = \exp\{-tA\} + \frac{a_1}{n} + \dots + \frac{a_k}{n^k} + D_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (1.13)$$

where a_m are given by (1.11). The remainder term is given by

$$D_k = \frac{1}{n^{k+1}} \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} D_{k,j}, \quad (1.14)$$

where

$$D_{k,j} = \mathbf{E} \sigma_{k,j}(-tA)^{k+j+1} R^{n+1}(\tau_1 \dots \tau_j t/n) E(t(1 - \tau_1 \dots \tau_j)) \quad (1.15)$$

with $\sigma_{k,j}$ given by (1.12).

THEOREM 1.6. *Assume that there exists a constant K independent of n and t such that conditions (1.6) and (1.7) are satisfied for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $t \geq 0$. Then the remainder term D_k in asymptotic expansion (1.13) satisfies*

$$\|D_k\| \leq \frac{C_k}{n^{k+1}} K^{2k+2}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (1.16)$$

with a positive constant C_k depending only on k .

Now we provide asymptotic expansions of the semigroup $E(t)$ via Euler's approximations $R^n(t/n)$. First, we define

$$d_m = \sum_{i=1}^m c_{m,i} (-tA)^{m+i}, \quad (1.17)$$

where $c_{m,i}$ are given by (1.10). Then the coefficients b_m in (1.2) are given by

$$b_m = - \sum_{j=1}^m d_j b_{m-j}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, \quad b_0 = (I + tA/n)^{-n}. \quad (1.18)$$

We note that b_m can be represented as

$$b_m = h_m (I + tA/n)^{-n},$$

where

$$h_m = (-1)^m \sum_{i=1}^m c_{m,i} (tA)^{m+i}. \quad (1.19)$$

For example, we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= -\frac{(tA)^2}{2} \left(I + \frac{tA}{n}\right)^{-n}, \\ b_2 &= \frac{(tA)^3}{3} \left(I + \frac{tA}{n}\right)^{-n} + \frac{(tA)^4}{8} \left(I + \frac{tA}{n}\right)^{-n}, \\ b_3 &= -\frac{(tA)^4}{4} \left(I + \frac{tA}{n}\right)^{-n} - \frac{(tA)^5}{6} \left(I + \frac{tA}{n}\right)^{-n} - \frac{(tA)^6}{48} \left(I + \frac{tA}{n}\right)^{-n}. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 1.7. *We have*

$$\exp\{-tA\} = (I + tA/n)^{-n} + \frac{b_1}{n} + \dots + \frac{b_k}{n^k} + \Delta_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (1.20)$$

where b_m are given by (1.18). The remainder term is given by

$$\Delta_k = -D_k - \frac{d_1}{n} \Delta_{k-1} - \dots - \frac{d_k}{n^k} \Delta_0, \quad (1.21)$$

where D_k is given by (1.14).

THEOREM 1.8. Assume that there exists a constant K independent of n and t such that conditions (1.6) and (1.7) are satisfied for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $t \geq 0$. Then the remainder term Δ_s in asymptotic expansion (1.20) satisfies

$$\|\Delta_s\| \leq \frac{C_s}{n^{s+1}} K^{2s+3}, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots \tag{1.22}$$

with a positive constant C_s depending only on s .

2. PROOFS

Proof of Theorem 1.1. From (1.3) we have

$$(I + tA/n)^{-n} = \exp\{-tA\} + D_0, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$D_0 = \frac{1}{n} \int_0^1 \tau_1 (tA)^2 R^{n+1}(\tau_1 t/n) E(t(1 - \tau_1)) d\tau_1 = \frac{1}{n} D_{0,1} \tag{2.2}$$

(see (4.2) in Bentkus [3]).

It is easy to check the algebraic identity

$$R^{n+1}(\tau_1 t/n) = R^n(\tau_1 t/n) - \frac{1}{n} \tau_1 t A R^{n+1}(\tau_1 t/n). \tag{2.3}$$

Also, from (2.1) and (2.2) we obtain that

$$R^n(\tau_1 t/n) = \exp\{-\tau_1 t A\} + \frac{1}{n} \int_0^1 \tau_1^2 \tau_2 (tA)^2 R^{n+1}(\tau_1 \tau_2 t/n) E(\tau_1 t(1 - \tau_2)) d\tau_2. \tag{2.4}$$

Substituting (2.3) and then (2.4) into expression of $D_{0,1}$, we get

$$D_{0,1} = \frac{1}{n} D_{1,1} + \frac{(tA)^2 \exp\{-tA\}}{2} + \frac{1}{n} D_{1,2}, \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$D_{1,1} = - \int_0^1 \tau_1^2 (tA)^3 R^{n+1}(\tau_1 t/n) E(t(1 - \tau_1)) d\tau_1$$

and

$$D_{1,2} = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \tau_1^3 \tau_2 (tA)^4 R^{n+1}(\tau_1 \tau_2 t/n) E(t(1 - \tau_1 \tau_2)) d\tau_1 d\tau_2.$$

Substituting expression (2.5) into (2.2), we obtain the asymptotic expansion

$$(I + tA/n)^{-n} = \exp\{-tA\} + \frac{a_1}{n} + D_1,$$

where

$$a_1 = \frac{(tA)^2 \exp\{-tA\}}{2}$$

and

$$D_1 = \frac{1}{n^2}(D_{1,1} + D_{1,2}).$$

In order to prove Theorem 1.2, we first prove the following:

LEMMA 2.1. *Assume that there exists a constant K independent of n and t such that*

$$n \|tA(I + tA)^{-n}\| \leq K$$

and

$$\|tA \exp\{-tA\}\| \leq K$$

for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $t \geq 0$. Then

$$n^m \|(tA)^m (I + tA)^{-n}\| \leq m^m K^m \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\|(tA)^m \exp\{-tA\}\| \leq m^m K^m \quad (2.7)$$

for all $m = 1, 2, \dots$

Proof. We first prove (2.6). We consider only the case where $n = ms$, since in the cases $n = ms + 1, n = ms + 2, \dots, n = ms + m - 1$, the proof is similar. So, for $n = ms$, we have

$$n^m \|(tA)^m (I + tA)^{-n}\| \leq n^m \|tA(I + tA)^{-s}\|^m \leq \frac{n^m}{s^m} K^m = m^m K^m.$$

Now let us prove (2.7). Using the semigroup property $\exp\{-(t + s)A\} = \exp\{-tA\} \exp\{-sA\}$, we obtain

$$\|(tA)^m \exp\{-tA\}\| \leq m^m \|tA/m \exp\{-tA/m\}\|^m \leq m^m K^m.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. From (1.5) we have

$$\|D_1\| \leq \frac{1}{n^2} (\|D_{1,1}\| + \|D_{1,2}\|).$$

Let us first estimate $\|D_{1,1}\|$. It is clear that $\|D_{1,1}\| \leq \theta_{1,1} + \theta_{1,2}$, where

$$\theta_{1,1} = \int_{1/2}^1 \|\tau^2 (tA)^3 R^{n+1}(\tau t/n) E(t(1-\tau))\| d\tau$$

and

$$\theta_{1,2} = \int_0^{1/2} \|\tau^2 (tA)^3 R^{n+1}(\tau t/n) E(t(1-\tau))\| d\tau.$$

Let $\varrho_{1,1} = \|(\tau tA)^3 R^{n+1}(\tau t/n)\|$. Then

$$\theta_{1,1} = \int_{1/2}^1 \varrho_{1,1} \frac{\|E(t(1-\tau))\|}{\tau} d\tau.$$

By Lemma 2.1 we have $\varrho_{1,1} \leq 27K^3$ and $\|E(t(1-\tau))\| \leq K$. Integrating over the interval $[1/2, 1]$, we get

$$\theta_{1,1} \leq 27 \ln 2 K^4.$$

Let us estimate $\theta_{1,2}$. Write

$$\varrho_{1,2} = \|(\tau tA)^2 R^{n+1}(\tau t/n)\|, \quad \varrho_{1,3} = \|(1-\tau)tAE(t(1-\tau))\|.$$

Then

$$\theta_{1,2} = \int_0^{1/2} \varrho_{1,2} \varrho_{1,3} \frac{1}{(1-\tau)} d\tau.$$

By Lemma 2.1 we have $\varrho_{1,2} \leq 4K^2$ and $\varrho_{1,3} \leq K$. Integrating over the interval $[0, 1/2]$, we get

$$\theta_{1,2} \leq 4 \ln 2 K^3$$

and (note that $K \geq 1$)

$$\|D_{1,1}\| \leq C_{1,1} K^4,$$

where $C_{1,1}$ is an absolute positive constant.

Now we estimate $\|D_{1,2}\|$. It is clear that $\|D_{1,1}\| \leq \theta_{2,1} + \theta_{2,2}$, where

$$\theta_{2,1} = \int_0^1 \int_{1/2}^1 \|\tau_1^3 \tau_2 (tA)^4 R^{n+1}(\tau_1 \tau_2 t/n) E(t(1 - \tau_1 \tau_2))\| d\tau_1 d\tau_2$$

and

$$\theta_{2,2} = \int_0^1 \int_0^{1/2} \|\tau_1^3 \tau_2 (tA)^4 R^{n+1}(\tau_1 \tau_2 t/n) E(t(1 - \tau_1 \tau_2))\| d\tau_1 d\tau_2.$$

Write

$$\varrho_{2,1} = \|(\tau_1 \tau_2 t A)^3 R^{n+1}(\tau_1 \tau_2 t/n)\|, \quad \varrho_{2,2} = \|(1 - \tau_1 \tau_2) t A E(t(1 - \tau_1 \tau_2))\|.$$

Then

$$\theta_{2,1} = \int_0^1 \int_{1/2}^1 \varrho_{2,1} \varrho_{2,2} \frac{1}{\tau_2^2 (1 - \tau_1 \tau_2)} d\tau_1 d\tau_2.$$

By Lemma 2.1 we have $\varrho_{2,1} \leq 27K^3$ and $\varrho_{2,2} \leq K$. Integrating, we get

$$\theta_{2,1} \leq 27(1/2 + 2 \ln 2) K^4.$$

It remains to estimate $\theta_{2,2}$. Let

$$\varrho_{2,3} = \|\tau_1 \tau_2 t A R^{n+1}(\tau_1 \tau_2 t/n)\|, \quad \varrho_{2,4} = \|(1 - \tau_1 \tau_2)^3 (tA)^3 E(t(1 - \tau_1 \tau_2))\|.$$

Then

$$\theta_{2,2} = \int_0^1 \int_0^{1/2} \varrho_{2,3} \varrho_{2,4} \frac{\tau_1^2}{(1 - \tau_1 \tau_2)^3} d\tau_1 d\tau_2.$$

By Lemma 2.1 we have $\varrho_{2,3} \leq K$ and $\varrho_{2,4} \leq 27K^3$. Integrating, we get

$$\theta_{2,2} \leq 27(7/4 - 2 \ln 2) K^4$$

and

$$\|D_{1,2}\| \leq C_{1,2} K^4,$$

where $C_{1,2}$ is an absolute positive constant. Then

$$\|D_1\| \leq \frac{1}{n^2} (\|D_{1,1}\| + \|D_{1,2}\|) \leq \frac{C_1}{n^2} K^4.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. From Theorem 1.1 we have

$$\exp\{-tA\} = (I + tA/n)^{-n} - \frac{a_1}{n} - D_1,$$

where $a_1 = \frac{(tA)^2}{2} \exp\{-tA\}$ and

$$\exp\{-tA\} = (I + tA/n)^{-n} + \Delta_0, \tag{2.8}$$

where $\Delta_0 = -D_0$. Substituting (2.8) into expression of a_1 , we obtain

$$\exp\{-tA\} = (I + tA/n)^{-n} - \frac{(tA)^2}{2n} ((I + tA/n)^{-n} + \Delta_0) - D_1. \tag{2.9}$$

Regrouping the terms in (2.9), we obtain asymptotic expansion (1.8).

Proof of Theorem 1.4. From Theorem 1.2 we have

$$\|D_1\| \leq \frac{c_1}{n^2} K^4.$$

Let us estimate $\|(tA)^2 \Delta_0\|$. It is clear that $\|(tA)^2 \Delta_0\| \leq \frac{1}{n}(\theta_1 + \theta_2)$, where

$$\theta_1 = \int_{1/2}^1 \|\tau(tA)^4 R^{n+1}(\tau t/n) E(t(1-\tau))\| d\tau$$

and

$$\theta_2 = \int_0^{1/2} \|\tau(tA)^4 R^{n+1}(\tau t/n) E(t(1-\tau))\| d\tau.$$

Write $\varrho_1 = \|(\tau tA)^4 R^{n+1}(\tau t/n)\|$. Then

$$\theta_1 = \int_{1/2}^1 \varrho_1 \frac{\|E(t(1-\tau))\|}{\tau^3} d\tau.$$

By Lemma 2.1 we have $\varrho_1 \leq 4^4 K^4$ and $\|E(t(1-\tau))\| \leq K$. Integrating over the interval $[1/2, 1]$, we get

$$\theta_1 \leq 384 K^5.$$

Now we estimate θ_2 . Let

$$\varrho_2 = \|\tau t A R^{n+1}(\tau t/n)\|, \quad \varrho_3 = \|(1-\tau)^3 (tA)^3 E(t(1-\tau))\|.$$

Then

$$\theta_2 = \int_0^{1/2} \varrho_2 \varrho_3 \frac{1}{(1-\tau)^3} d\tau.$$

From (1.6) we have $\varrho_2 \leq K$ and by Lemma 2.1 we have $\varrho_3 \leq 27K^3$. Integrating over the interval $[0, 1/2]$, we get

$$\theta_2 \leq 81K^4/2$$

and (note that $K \geq 1$)

$$\|(tA)^2 \Delta_0\| \leq \frac{C_0}{n} K^5,$$

where C_0 is an absolute positive constant. Then

$$\|\Delta_1\| \leq \|D_1\| + \frac{\|(tA)^2 \Delta_0\|}{2n} \leq \frac{C_1}{n^2} K^5.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We prove the theorem using induction with respect to k . In the case $k = 0$, we have

$$(I + tA/n)^{-n} = \exp\{-tA\} + D_0, \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$D_0 = \frac{1}{n} \int_0^1 \tau_1 (tA)^2 R^{n+1}(\tau_1 t/n) E(t(1-\tau_1)) d\tau_1 = \frac{1}{n} D_{0,1} \quad (2.11)$$

(see (4.2) in Bentkus [3]). The case $k = 1$ was proved in Theorem 1.1 (see also Bentkus [3]).

Assume that (1.13) holds for $0, 1, \dots, k-1$. Let us show that (1.13) holds for k as well. To this end we have to show that

$$D_{k-1} = \frac{a_k}{n^k} + D_k.$$

From (1.14) we have

$$D_{k-1} = \frac{1}{n^k} \sum_{j=1}^k D_{k-1,j}, \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$D_{k-1,j} = \mathbf{E} \sigma_{k-1,j} (-tA)^{k+j} R^{n+1}(\tau_1 \dots \tau_j t/n) E(t(1-\tau_1 \dots \tau_j)). \quad (2.13)$$

It is easy to show that the following algebraic identity holds:

$$R^{n+1}(\tau_1 \dots \tau_j t/n) = R^n(\tau_1 \dots \tau_j t/n) - \frac{1}{n} \tau_1 \dots \tau_j t A R^{n+1}(\tau_1 \dots \tau_j t/n). \tag{2.14}$$

Also, from (2.10) and (2.11) we obtain

$$R^n(\tau_1 \tau_2 \dots \tau_j t/n) = \exp\{-\tau_1 \tau_2 \dots \tau_j t A\} + \frac{1}{n} \int_0^1 \tau_{j+1} (\tau_1 \tau_2 \dots \tau_j t A)^2 \times R^{n+1}(\tau_1 \tau_2 \dots \tau_j \tau_{j+1} t/n) E(\tau_1 \tau_2 \dots \tau_j t (1 - \tau_{j+1})) d\tau_{j+1}. \tag{2.15}$$

Substituting expressions (2.14) and (2.15) into (2.13), we get

$$D_{k-1,j} = I_{k-1,j,1} + I_{k-1,j,2} + I_{k-1,j,3},$$

where

$$I_{k-1,j,1} = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{E} \sigma_{k-1,j} \tau_1 \dots \tau_j (-tA)^{k+j+1} R^{n+1}(\tau_1 \dots \tau_j t/n) E(t(1 - \tau_1 \dots \tau_j)),$$

$$I_{k-1,j,2} = \mathbf{E} \sigma_{k-1,j} (-tA)^{k+j} \exp\{-tA\},$$

and

$$I_{k-1,j,3} = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{E} \sigma_{k-1,j} \tau_{j+1} \tau_1^2 \dots \tau_j^2 (-tA)^{k+j+2} R^{n+1}(\tau_1 \dots \tau_{j+1} t/n) E(t(1 - \tau_1 \dots \tau_{j+1})).$$

Integrating $I_{k-1,j,2}$ (note that $\mathbf{E} \sigma_{k-1,j} = c_{k,j}$), we get

$$I_{k-1,j,2} = c_{k,j} (-tA)^{k+j} \exp\{-tA\},$$

where $c_{k,j}$ is given by (1.10). Taking the sum, we obtain that $a_k = \sum_{j=1}^k I_{k-1,j,2}$.

It is easy to check that

$$\sigma_{k-1,j} \tau_1 \dots \tau_j + \sigma_{k-1,j-1} \tau_1^2 \dots \tau_{j-1}^2 \tau_j = \sigma_{k,j}.$$

From this equality it follows that

$$D_{k,j} = n(I_{k-1,j,1} + I_{k-1,j-1,3}) \quad \text{for } j = 2, \dots, k,$$

$$D_{k,1} = nI_{k-1,1,1}, \quad \text{and} \quad D_{k,k+1} = nI_{k-1,k,3}.$$

Finally, we get

$$D_{k-1} = \frac{1}{n^k} \sum_{j=1}^k (I_{k-1,j,1} + I_{k-1,j,2} + I_{k-1,j,3}) = \frac{a_k}{n^k} + D_k$$

with a_k given by (1.11) and D_k given by (1.14).

Proof of Theorem 1.6. From (1.14) we have

$$\|D_k\| \leq \frac{1}{n^{k+1}} \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \|D_{k,j}\|. \quad (2.16)$$

Each $D_{k,j}$ is the sum of j -tuple integrals of the type

$$J_i = \mathbf{E} \tau_1^{k+j} \tau_2^{i_2} \dots \tau_j^{i_j} (-tA)^{k+j+1} R^{n+1}(\tau_1 \dots \tau_j t/n) E(t(1 - \tau_1 \dots \tau_j)),$$

where the sum is taken over all integer components of $i = (i_2, i_3, \dots, i_j)$ such that $1 \leq i_j \leq k - j + 2$ and $i_{n+1} + 2 \leq i_n \leq k + j - 2(n - 1)$ for $n = 2, 3, \dots, j - 1$.

We rewrite each J_i as the sum of $j \geq 2$ integrals

$$J_i = J_{i,1} + \dots + J_{i,j},$$

where

$$J_{i,m} = \mathbf{E} \mathbb{I}_{m,j} \tau_1^{k+j} \tau_2^{i_2} \dots \tau_j^{i_j} (-tA)^{k+j+1} R^{n+1}(\tau_1 \dots \tau_j t/n) E(t(1 - \tau_1 \dots \tau_j))$$

for all $m = 1, \dots, j$. Then

$$\|J_i\| \leq \|J_{i,1}\| + \dots + \|J_{i,j}\| \leq \theta_{i,1} + \dots + \theta_{i,j},$$

where

$$\theta_{i,m} = \mathbf{E} \mathbb{I}_{m,j} \|\tau_1^{k+j} \tau_2^{i_2} \dots \tau_j^{i_j} (tA)^{k+j+1} R^{n+1}(\tau_1 \dots \tau_j t/n) E(t(1 - \tau_1 \dots \tau_j))\|$$

for $m = 1, \dots, j$. Write

$$Q_{i,m,1} = \|(\tau_1 \dots \tau_j tA)^{i_m} R^{n+1}(\tau_1 \dots \tau_j t/n)\|$$

and

$$Q_{i,m,2} = \|(t(1 - \tau_1 \dots \tau_j)A)^{k+j+1-i_m} E(t(1 - \tau_1 \dots \tau_j))\|.$$

Then we have

$$\theta_{i,m} = \mathbf{E} \mathbb{I}_{m,j} Q_{i,m,1} Q_{i,m,2} |g_{i,m}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_j)|,$$

where

$$g_{i,m}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_j) = \frac{\tau_1^{k+j-i_m} \tau_2^{i_2-i_m} \dots \tau_{m-1}^{i_{m-1}-i_m}}{\tau_{m+1}^{i_m-i_{m+1}} \dots \tau_j^{i_m-i_j} (1 - \tau_1 \dots \tau_j)^{k+j+1-i_m}}.$$

The function $g_{i,m}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_j)$, $m = 2, \dots, j$, is bounded for $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{m-1} \in [0, 1]$, $\tau_m \in [0, 1/2]$, and $\tau_{m+1}, \dots, \tau_j \in [1/2, 1]$. By Lemma 2.1 we have

$$Q_{i,m,1} \leq i_m^{i_m} K^{i_m}, \quad Q_{i,m,2} \leq (k + j + 1 - i_m)^{k+j+1-i_m} K^{k+j+1-i_m},$$

and, integrating, we get

$$\theta_{i,m} \leq C_{i,m,k,j} K^{k+j+1},$$

where $C_{i,m,k,j}$ is a positive constant depending only on k, j, m , and i_1, \dots, i_j .

In the case $m = 1$, we have

$$Q_{i,1,1} = \|(\tau_1 \dots \tau_j t A)^{k+j} R^{n+1}(\tau_1 \dots \tau_j t/n)\|$$

and

$$Q_{i,1,2} = \|(1 - \tau_1 \dots \tau_j) t A E(t(1 - \tau_1 \dots \tau_j))\|.$$

Then

$$\theta_{i,1} = \mathbf{E} \mathbb{I}_{1,j} Q_{i,1,1} Q_{i,1,2} |g_{i,1}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_j)|,$$

where

$$g_{i,1}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_j) = \frac{1}{\tau_2^{k+j-i_2} \dots \tau_j^{k+j-i_j} (1 - \tau_1 \dots \tau_j)}.$$

We note that, for $\tau_2, \dots, \tau_j \in [1/2, 1]$,

$$g_{i,1}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_j) \leq \frac{2^N}{(1 - \tau_1 \tau_2)},$$

where $N = i_2 + \dots + i_j - (k + j)(j - 1)$. Integrating over $\tau_3, \dots, \tau_j \in [1/2, 1]$, we have

$$\theta_{i,1} \leq 2^{N-j+2} (k + j)^{k+j} K^{k+j+1} \int_0^1 \int_{1/2}^1 \frac{1}{(1 - \tau_1 \tau_2)} d\tau_1 d\tau_2.$$

This integral converges, and from this it follows that

$$\theta_{i,1} \leq C_{i,1,k,j} K^{k+j+1},$$

where $C_{i,1,k,j}$ is a positive constant depending only on k, j , and i_2, \dots, i_j . Taking the sums over all m and i_2, \dots, i_j , we obtain

$$\|D_{k,j}\| \leq C_{k,j} K^{k+j+1}, \quad j = 2, \dots, k + 1, \tag{2.17}$$

where $C_{k,j}$ is a positive constant depending only on k and j .

It remains to prove the case where $j = 1$. Then

$$D_{k,1} = \mathbf{E} \tau_1^{k+1} (-tA)^{k+2} R^{n+1} (\tau_1 t/n) E(t(1 - \tau_1)).$$

Write

$$\theta_1 = \mathbf{E} \mathbb{I}\{\tau_1 > 1/2\} \|\tau_1^{k+1} (-tA)^{k+2} R^{n+1} (\tau_1 t/n) E(t(1 - \tau_1))\|$$

and

$$\theta_2 = \mathbf{E} \mathbb{I}\{\tau_1 \leq 1/2\} \|\tau_1^{k+1} (-tA)^{k+2} R^{n+1} (\tau_1 t/n) E(t(1 - \tau_1))\|,$$

where \mathbb{I} is the indicator function. Then we have $\|D_{k,1}\| \leq \theta_1 + \theta_2$.

Let $\varrho_{1,1} = \|(\tau_1 tA)^{k+2} R^{n+1} (\tau_1 t/n)\|$. Then

$$\theta_1 = \mathbf{E} \mathbb{I}\{\tau_1 > 1/2\} \varrho_{1,1} \|E(t(1 - \tau_1))\| / \tau_1.$$

By Lemma 2.1 we have $\|\varrho_{1,1}\| \leq (k+2)^{k+2} K^{k+2}$ and $\|E(t(1 - \tau_1))\| \leq K$. Integrating over the interval $[1/2, 1]$, we get

$$\theta_1 \leq C_{1,k,1} K^{k+3}.$$

Now we estimate θ_2 . Let $\varrho_{2,1} = \|(\tau_1 tA)^{k+1} R^{n+1} (\tau_1 t/n)\|$ and $\varrho_{2,2} = \|(1 - \tau_1)(tA) \times E(t(1 - \tau_1))\|$. Then

$$\theta_2 = \mathbf{E} \mathbb{I}\{\tau_1 \leq 1/2\} \varrho_{2,1} \varrho_{2,2} / (1 - \tau_1).$$

By Lemma 2.1 we have $\|\varrho_{2,1}\| \leq (k+1)^{k+1} K^{k+1}$ and $\|\varrho_{2,2}\| \leq K$. Integrating over the interval $[0, 1/2]$, we obtain

$$\theta_2 \leq C_{2,k,1} K^{k+2}.$$

Then (note that $K \geq 1$) we have

$$\|D_{k,1}\| \leq C_{k,1} K^{k+3}. \quad (2.18)$$

Substituting (2.17) and (2.18) into (2.16), we get

$$\|D_k\| \leq \frac{C_k}{n^{k+1}} K^{2k+2}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.7. We prove the theorem using induction with respect to k . In the case $k = 0$, we have

$$\exp\{-tA\} = (I + tA/n)^{-n} + \Delta_0,$$

where $\Delta_0 = -D_0$. The case where $k = 1$ was proved in Theorem 1.3. Assume that (1.20) and (1.21) hold for $0, 1, \dots, k - 1$. Let us prove that (1.20) and (1.21) hold for k as well. From Theorem 1.5 we have

$$\exp\{-tA\} = (I + tA/n)^{-n} - \frac{a_1}{n} - \dots - \frac{a_k}{n^k} - D_k, \tag{2.19}$$

where

$$\frac{a_m}{n^m} = \frac{d_m}{n^m} \exp\{-tA\} \tag{2.20}$$

for $m = 1, \dots, k$. Substituting expression (1.20) (with expansion length $k - m$) into expression (2.20), we get

$$\frac{a_m}{n^m} = \frac{d_m}{n^m} \left((I + tA/n)^{-n} + \frac{b_1}{n} + \dots + \frac{b_{k-m}}{n^{k-m}} + \Delta_{k-m} \right) \tag{2.21}$$

for $m = 1, \dots, k$.

Substituting (2.21) into (2.19), then collecting terms with the same powers of n and moving terms containing the remainder terms into Δ_k , we obtain expressions (1.20) and (1.21).

Proof of Theorem 1.8. From (1.21) we obtain another expression for Δ_s

$$\Delta_s = -D_s - \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} h_{s-k} \frac{D_k}{n^{s-k}},$$

where h_m are given by (1.19). From Theorem 1.6 we have

$$\|D_s\| \leq \frac{c_s}{n^{s+1}} K^{2s+2}, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where c_s is a positive constant depending only on s . For $s = 0$, we have $\|D_0\| \leq 4K^3/n$ by Theorem 1.3 in [4].

Then we note that h_{s-k} are linear combinations of $(tA)^{s-k+1}, \dots, (tA)^{2s-2k}$ with some numerical coefficients depending only on k and s . So, in order to prove the theorem we have to show that

$$\|(tA)^p D_k\| \leq \frac{C_{p,k}}{n^{k+1}} K^{2s+3}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, s - 1,$$

where $p = s - k + 1, \dots, 2s - 2k$ and $C_{p,k}$ is a positive constant depending only on p and k . The proof is similar to the proofs of Theorems 1.6 and 1.4. In the case $k = 1, \dots, s - 1$, we obtain $\|(tA)^p D_k\| \leq \frac{C_{p,k}}{n^{k+1}} K^{2s+2}$ and, in the case $k = 0$, we have $\|(tA)^p D_0\| \leq \frac{C_{p,0}}{n} K^{2s+3}$. We omit the proof here.

Acknowledgment. I would like to thank Vidmantas Bentkus for suggesting the problem and for many useful advices during the preparation of the paper.

REFERENCES

1. W. Arendt, C. Batty, M. Hieber, F. Neubrander, *Vector-Valued Laplace Transforms and Cauchy Problems*, Monographs in Mathematics, vol. 96, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel (2001).
2. V. Bentkus, *Asymptotic expansions and convergence rates for Euler's approximations of semigroups*, A manuscript, to appear elsewhere (2004).
3. V. Bentkus, A new method for approximations in probability and operator theories, *Liet. matem. rink.*, **43**(4), 444–470 (2003).
4. V. Bentkus and V. Paulauskas, Optimal error estimates in operator-norm approximations of semigroups, *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, **68**(3), 131–138 (2004).
5. V. Cachia, Euler's exponential formula for semigroups, *Semigroup Forum*, **68**, 1–24 (2004).
6. V. Cachia and V.A. Zagrebnov, Operator-norm approximations of semigroups by quasi-sectorial contractions, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **180**, 176–194 (2001).
7. E.B. Davies, *One-parameter Semigroups*, Academic Press, London (1980).
8. E. Hille and R.S. Phillips, *Functional Analysis and Semigroups*, Colloquium Publications, vol. 31, American Mathematical Society (AMS), 1957.
9. V. Paulauskas, On operator-norm approximation of some semigroups by quasi-sectorial operators, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **207**(1), 58–67 (2004).
10. A. Pazy, *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, **44**, Springer-Verlag, New York (1983).

REZIUMĖ

M. Vilkienė. Pusgrupių Eulerio aproksimacijos ir asimptotiniai skleidiniai

Straipsnyje gauti pusgrupių Eulerio aproksimacijų asimptotiniai skleidiniai ir liekanų optimalūs įverčiai. Buvo naudojamas metodas, pateiktas Bentkaus [3] straipsnyje.