



Enantiomeric Recognition of α -Aminoacids by a Uranyl Salen-Bis-Porphyrin Complex

Chiara M. A. Gangemi¹, Ugne Rimkaite², Federica Cipria¹, Giuseppe Trusso Sfrazzetto^{1,3} and Andrea Pappalardo^{1,3*}

¹ Department of Chemical Sciences, University of Catania, Catania, Italy, ² Faculty of Chemistry and Geosciences, University of Vilnius, Vilnius, Lithuania, ³ I.N.S.T.M. - Consorzio Interuniversitario Nazionale per la Scienza e Tecnologia dei Materiali, University of Catania, Catania, Italy

A novel uranyl salen-bis-porphyrin complex, in which two porphyrin subunits and salen moiety were directly linked, was synthesized for the recognition of tetrabutylammonium (TBA) amino acids. This uranyl salen complex, due to the presence of porphyrins with their fluorescence properties, represents the first example of a luminescence of uranyl salen complexes. UV/Vis measurements indicate the formation of 1:1 host-guest complexes, whereas UV-vis and fluorescence studies revealed that this complex acts as a receptor for the enantiomeric recognition of α -aminoacids derivatives, with high association constants and an excellent enantiomeric discrimination between the two enantiomers of phenylalanine-TBA.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Carmine Gaeta,
University of Salerno, Italy

Reviewed by:

Paula M. Marcos,
University of Lisbon, Portugal
Silvano Geremia,
University of Trieste, Italy

*Correspondence:

Andrea Pappalardo
andrea.pappalardo@unict.it

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Supramolecular Chemistry,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Chemistry

Received: 27 September 2019

Accepted: 18 November 2019

Published: 03 December 2019

Citation:

Gangemi CMA, Rimkaite U, Cipria F, Trusso Sfrazzetto G and Pappalardo A (2019) Enantiomeric Recognition of α -Aminoacids by a Uranyl Salen-Bis-Porphyrin Complex. *Front. Chem.* 7:836. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2019.00836

Keywords: porphyrins, salen ligands, uranyl complexes, luminescence, enantiomeric recognition

INTRODUCTION

Salen ligands are a class of molecules that have been widely explored in the field of supramolecular chemistry. The most fascinating and promising use of salen derivatives is due to their chiral complexes with numerous metals. The salen structure, due to two contiguous stereogenic carbon atoms in the diimine bridge, creates a chiral pocket which can coordinate a metal cation (*via* imine nitrogen and phenolic oxygen atoms). Salen ligands rose to prominence thanks to the pioneering work of Jacobsen and Katsuki that paved the way to one of the most well-designed protocol for the enantioselective epoxidation of unfunctionalized alkenes catalyzed by chiral manganese salen complexes (Jacobsen, 1993; Katsuki, 2000; Yoon and Jacobsen, 2003; La Paglia Fragola et al., 2012; Trusso Sfrazzetto et al., 2015; Ballistreri et al., 2016, 2018; Zammataro et al., 2019). Furthermore, salen ligands are structures of great value in homogeneous catalysis (Katsuki, 1995; Jacobsen, 2000; Cozzi, 2004; McGarrigle and Gilheany, 2005; Baleizao and Garcia, 2006; Wezenberg and Kleij, 2008; Whiteoak et al., 2012).

In recent years, our research group exploited these chiral salen-metal complexes as enantiomeric receptors for chiral guests. In fact, depending on the metal ion and different substituents in the aromatic ring of salen framework, these salen-metal complexes can be used as efficient enantioselective catalysts and highly sensitive chemosensors (D'Urso et al., 2014; Puglisi et al., 2017, 2018, 2019). In particular, chiral uranyl salen complexes have proved to be excellent receptors for amino acid salts (Amato et al., 2007, 2010, 2011; Ballistreri et al., 2010; Pappalardo et al., 2012a), since the uranyl metal center, acting as a Lewis acid, possesses an equatorial fifth position able to coordinate one molecule of carboxylate anion (Ballistreri et al., 2012; Brancatelli et al., 2013). These synthetic enantioselective receptors could help to better understand the mechanisms of drugs action; processes that are involved in immunological responses and processes of the storage of

genetic information. Besides, slightly modification of their structures could lead to chemosensors, which due to their simple use, relatively low cost and high sensitivity are particularly significant in the chemical analysis.

Taking into account the salen ligand applications in the field of catalysis and enantiomeric recognition, previous studies have inspired us to extend current research on the synthesis of salen receptors comprising porphyrin macrocycles which, with their high stability and fluorescence properties, could greatly extend the use of salen ligands as chemosensors. Porphyrins, due to their rigid molecular structure, tunable substituents, large skeleton dimensions, and additional metallation sites in the core, are very attractive macrocycles for their applications in many technological fields (Beletskaya et al., 2009; Drain et al., 2009). In our strategy, the rational combination of porphyrin derivatives with chiral uranyl-salen ligands in one structure, would lead to chemosensors that possess unprecedented luminescence properties, that till now were precluded in uranyl-salen complexes due to the presence of uranyl metal center. Here we report on the synthesis of a novel uranyl salen-bis-porphyrin complex, in which two porphyrin subunits and the salen ligand are directly connected, and the enantiomeric recognition properties of this receptor toward selected α -aminoacids derivatives assessed by UV-vis and fluorescence measurements (Figure 1).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

General Experimental Methods

The NMR experiments were carried out at 27°C on a Varian UNITY Inova 500 MHz spectrometer (^1H at 499.88 MHz, ^{13}C NMR at 125.7 MHz) equipped with pulse field gradient module (Z axis) and a tuneable 5 mm Varian inverse detection probe (ID-PFG). ESI mass spectra were acquired on a API 2000– ABSciex using CH_3OH (positive ion mode). A JASCO V-560 UV-Vis spectrophotometer equipped with a 1 cm path-length cell was used for the UV-Vis measurements. Luminescence measurements were carried out using a Cary Eclipse Fluorescence spectrophotometer with resolution of 0.5 nm, at room temperature. The emission was recorded at 90° with respect to the exciting line beam using 10:10 slit-widths for all measurements. All chemicals were reagent grade and were used without further purification.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of TBA Amino Acid Derivates (Ballistreri et al., 2010)

An aqueous solution of tetrabutylammonium hydroxide (40% w/w, 13 mmol) was added to an aqueous suspension of the desired amino acid (13 mmol). The resultant reaction mixture was heated at 60°C for 2 h. Water was removed in vacuo at 80°C. The residue was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL), filtered and the solvent was evaporated in vacuo to afford in high yield the desired product.

Procedure for UV-vis and Fluorescence Titrations


Two stock solutions of host and guest (1.0×10^{-3} M) in dry chloroform were prepared. From these, different solutions with different ratio receptor/guest (host concentration = 1.0×10^{-6} M) were prepared, and UV-vis and emission spectra were recorded at 25°C. Fluorescence titrations were carried out using $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 350$ nm in dry chloroform, recording at $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 650$ and 715 nm. With this data treatment, the apparent binding affinities of receptor with amino acid guests were estimated using HypSpec (version 1.1.33) (Pappalardo et al., 2012b), a software designed to extract equilibrium constants from potentiometric and/or spectrophotometric titration data. HypSpec starts with an assumed complex formation scheme and uses a least-squares approach to derive the spectra of the complexes and the stability constants. χ^2 -test (chi-square) was applied, where the residuals follow a normal distribution (for a distribution approximately normal, the χ^2 -test value is around 12 or less). In all of the cases, $\chi^2 \leq 10$ were found, as obtained by 3 independent measurement sets.

Synthesis of Compound 4 (Johansson et al., 2003)

5.0 g (0.0300 mol) of compound 2 (Dalla Cort et al., 2006) and 5.97 g of potassium phthalimide 3 (0.0322 mol) were placed in a 250 mL round-bottom flask. The reagents were dissolved in 100 mL of DMF. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 48 h and then was heated at 55°C for 4 h. The reaction mixture was cooled down to room temperature and diluted with 250 mL of EtOAc and washed with water (3×200 mL). The organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na_2SO_4 , filtered and evaporated. The product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (CHCl_3) to afford 3.37 g (40 % yield) of compound 4. ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3): $\delta = 10.99$ (s, 1H); 9.88 (s, 1H); 7.86–7.84 (m, 2H); 7.73–7.71 (m, 2H); 7.67 (s, 1H); 7.65–7.63 (dd, $J = 8.5$ Hz, $J = 2.0$ Hz, 1H); 6.95 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H); 4.82 (s, 2H).

Synthesis of Compound 5

0.662 g (2.36 mmol) of compound 4 and 0.0171 g (0.036 mmol) of tetrabutylammonium tribromide were placed in a 20 mL round-bottom flask. In this flask 3.60 mL of 1,3-propanediol and 3.90 mL of triethyl orthoformate were added. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 48 h, then diluted with 45 mL of EtOAc. The organic solution was washed with water (3×20 mL), dried over anhydrous Na_2SO_4 , filtered and evaporated. The product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (cyclohexane/EtOAc 3:1) affording 0.732 g of white compound 5 (92% yield). ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3): $\delta = 7.85$ (s, 1H); 7.83–7.81 (m, 2H); 7.70–7.68 (m, 2H); 7.36–7.34 (dd, $J = 8.5$ Hz, $J = 2.5$ Hz, 1H); 7.28 (s, 1H); 6.84 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H); 5.61 (s, 1H); 4.74 (s, 2H); 4.30–4.27 (m, 2H); 4.02–3.96 (m, 2H); 2.30–2.20 (m, 1H); 1.52–1.48 (m, 1H). ^{13}C (125 MHz, CDCl_3): $\delta = 25.6, 31.4, 40.9, 67.2, 102.9, 117.4, 122.1, 123.2, 127.6, 128.6, 131.3, 132.1, 133.9, 154.9, 168.0$.




Synthesis of Compound 6

0.440 g (1.298 mmol) of compound **5** and 0.704 mL (0.713 mmol) of hydrazine monohydrate in 30 mL of EtOH were added in a round-bottomed flask of 50 mL. The reaction was heated at 90°C and monitored by TLC. After 20 min, the heating was interrupted. The reaction mixture was cooled down to room temperature and solvent was evaporated. The obtained white precipitate was diluted in 30 mL of water. The aqueous solution was extracted with CHCl₃ (2 × 20 mL) which was previously passed through Al₂O₃ layer. The CHCl₃ solution was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered and evaporated. Compound **6** (0.154 g, 57%) was obtained as a yellow oil. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.18–7.16 (dd, J = 8.5 Hz, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H); 7.14 (s, 1H); 6.87–6.85 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H); 5.65 (s, 1H); 4.33–4.30 (m, 2H); 4.04–3.99 (m, 2H); 3.77 (s, 2H); 2.32–2.30 (m, 1H); 1.54–1.50 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): 26.6; 45.7; 67.4; 102.4; 117.1; 122.2; 126.3; 129.2; 134.3; 154.0.

Synthesis of Compound 8

0.394 g (0.598 mmol) of compound **7** (Gaware et al., 2017) were dissolved in 20 mL of anhydrous DMF, in a round-bottomed flask. To this stirred solution 0.273 g (0.718 mmol) of HATU was added, and the resulting mixture was left to stir under N₂

atmosphere at room temperature for 20 min. Then, solution of 0.130 g (0.623 mmol) of compound **6** in 5 mL of anhydrous DMF was poured into the reaction mixture, which was stirred under N₂ atmosphere and room temperature, for other 40 min. Finally, 0.105 mL (0.623 mmol) of *N,N*-diisopropylethylamine was added to the reaction mixture. The reaction was carried out in N₂ atmosphere for 70 h. After this period, the reaction mixture was evaporated. The resulting precipitate was dissolved in 30 mL of CH₂Cl₂. The organic solution was washed with water (3 × 40 mL), dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ filtered and evaporated. Compound **8** was purified by silica gel column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 100:2) affording 80 mg (16% yield) of a purple solid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.85–8.61 (m, 8H); 8.29–8.26 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H); 8.24–8.18 (m, 6H); 8.11–8.05 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H); 7.90 (s, 1H); 7.78–7.72 (m, 9H); 7.37–7.33 (dd, J = 8.5 Hz, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H); 7.31 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H); 6.96–6.93 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H); 6.57 (s, 1H); 5.70 (s, 1H); 4.64 (d, 2H); 4.38–4.32 (m, 2H); 4.20–4.02 (m, 2H); 2.35–2.25 (m, 1H); 1.52–1.48 (m, 1H); –2.81 (s, 2H). ¹³C (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 25.7, 29.7, 43.9, 67.5, 102.9, 117.8, 118.5, 120.3, 125.3, 126.5 (x3), 126.7 (x3), 127.5 (x3), 127.7 (x3), 127.9, 129.2, 130.6, 131.7, 132.1, 134.4 (x2), 134.5, 134.6, 142.0, 142.7, 145.6, 150.3, 155.0.



Synthesis of Compound 9

0.080 g (0.094 mmol) of compound **8** were dissolved in 1.74 mL of TFA, in a round-bottomed flask, and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 3 h. Then, 10 mL of diethyl ether were added to the organic solution affording a green precipitate, that was filtered and crystallized using MeOH, to give 50 mg (67% yield) of a red solid. ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3): δ

= 11.04 (s, 1H); 9.96 (s, 1H); 8.96–8.86 (m, 8H); 8.33–8.29 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H); 8.24–8.19 (m, 6H); 8.26–8.22 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H); 7.80–7.72 (m, 9H); 7.70 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H); 7.68–7.64 (dd, J = 8.5 Hz, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H); 7.05 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H); 6.77 (t, 1H); 4.76 (d, 2H); -2.77 (s, 2H). ^{13}C (125 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 43.0, 118.0, 118.1, 120.4, 121.2, 125.0, 125.2, 126.5, 126.7, 127.5, 127.8, 128.5, 129.0, 131.4, 132.1, 132.2, 132.6, 132.8, 132.9, 134.4, 134.5, 134.6,

136.6, 136.7, 142.0, 142.8, 149.5, 150.1, 150.3, 150.4, 167.2, 196.3. MS (ESI): $m/z = 790.2 [M + H]^+$.

Synthesis of Salen-Bis-Porphyrin Ligand **10**

In a round bottom flask, to a solution of 27.6 mg of salicylic-porphyrin **9** (0.0349 mmol) in absolute EtOH (10 mL) was added (1*R*,2*R*)-(+)-1,2-diphenylethylenediamine (3.7 mg, 0.0175 mmol). The reaction was stirred for 48 h at room temperature and monitored by TLC (CH₂Cl₂/CH₃OH, 100:2). The reaction was quenched by evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure, and salen-bis-porphyrin ligand **10** was purified by PLC (CH₂Cl₂/CH₃OH, 100:2) to afford 24 mg of a red/purple solid compound (78% yield). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 14.41$ (bs, 2H); 8.86–8.74 (m, 16H); 8.30 (s, 2H); 8.25–8.07 (m, 20H); 7.77–7.66 (m, 20H); 7.29–7.15 (m, 12H); 6.97 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2H); 6.83 (t, 2H); 4.73 (s, 2H); 4.56 (d, 4H); –2.78 (s, 4H). ¹³C (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 43.3, 80.1, 117.2$ (x2), 118.47, 118.53, 120.3 (x2), 120.5, 125.4 (x2), 126.3, 126.7 (x2), 127.7 (x3), 127.8 (x2), 128.4, 128.6, 131.0, 132.3, 133.5, 134.4 (x3), 134.5 (x2), 134.6, 139.0, 141.9, 142.0, 145.6, 160.4, 166.2, 167.4. MS (ESI): $m/z = 1760.4 [M + H]^+$, $m/z = 891.0 [M + Na]^{2+}$.

Synthesis of Uranyl Salen-Bis-Porphyrin Complex **1**

To a solution of **10** (22 mg, 0.0125 mmol) dissolved in absolute ethanol (10 mL) was added uranyl acetate (5.3 mg, 0.0125 mmol). The reaction was stirred overnight at room temperature, and the resulting solid was filtered and dried to yield 27 mg of uranyl salen-bis-porphyrin complex **1** as a red powder (98% yield). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): $\delta = 9.49$ (s, 2H); 9.33 (s, 2H) 8.82–8.76 (m, 16H); 8.32–8.20 (m, 20H); 7.83–7.80 (m, 20H); 7.74–7.59 (m, 8H); 7.21 (t, 2H); 7.15 (m, 2H); 7.05 (d, 2H); 6.35 (s, 2H); 4.67–4.57 (m, 4H); –2.93 (s, 4H). ¹³C (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): $\delta = 42.2, 79.5, 119.0$ (x2), 120.1, 120.2 (x2), 120.3, 122.7, 125.9 (x3), 126.6 (x2), 127.0 (x3), 127.2 (x2), 127.4 (x3), 127.5, 128.1, 128.2, 133.7, 133.9, 134.2 (x3), 135.2, 141.1, 141.6, 144.0, 166.0, 168.6, 171.2. MS (ESI): $m/z = 1058 [M + 2EtOH + 2H]^{2+}$; $m/z = 1077 [M + 2EtOH + Na + H]^{2+}$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Target uranyl salen-bis-porphyrin complex **1** was synthesized in seven steps starting from 5-Cl-methyl-salicylaldehyde **2** (Dalla Cort et al., 2006; Saffar-Telur, 2015) as shown in **Scheme 1**. In the first step potassium phthalimide was treated with compound **2** to yield compound **4** (40%), which was then reacted with 1,3-propanediol to afford the acetal intermediate **5** (92%). Conversion of the phthalimido moiety into an amino group by treatment with hydrazine, under standard Gabriel conditions, yielded the compound **6** (57%). The condensation reaction between compound **6** and 5-(4-Carboxyphenyl)-10,15,20-triphenylporphyrin **7** (Gaware et al., 2017) which was activated using HATU (Gangemi et al., 2015), afforded the porphyrin derivative **8** (16%), which was then treated with TFA to remove the acetal moiety and yield the salicylic-porphyrin **9** (67%). Condensation of **9** with the (1*R*,2*R*)-(+)-1,2-diphenylethylenediamine yielded salen ligand **10** (78%), which

was finally converted into the corresponding salen complex **1** (98 %) by uranyl acetate. The proposed structures for this new chiral uranyl-salen complex and all the intermediates compounds are consistent with the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy data as well as the ESI mass spectrometry data (see **Supplementary Material**).



The UV-vis spectrum of uranyl salen-bis-porphyrin complex **1** dissolved in CHCl₃ solution shows an intense Soret band centered at 419 nm ($\epsilon = 6,26 \cdot 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) and four Q-bands (515 nm; 550 nm; 590 nm; 646 nm) (**Figure 2**). The UV-vis spectrum is similar to the 5-(4-Methoxycarbonylphenyl)-10,15,20-triphenylporphyrin (TPPCOOMe, the precursor of 5-(4-Carboxyphenyl)-10,15,20-triphenylporphyrin **7**) in the same solvent (Rong et al., 2012), suggesting that the insertion of the salen-UO₂ does not change the spectroscopic behavior of the porphyrin. Furthermore, there is no evidence of aggregation even at higher concentration (2.5 μM), as confirmed by UV-Vis and fluorescence data. In addition, the luminescence measurements are in accordance with literature data for similar porphyrins, with two main emission bands centered at 650 and 715 nm (λ_{ex} 350 nm), respectively (**Figure 2**).

After proving the luminescent properties of uranyl salen-bis-porphyrin complex **1**, enantioselective recognition properties were evaluated by UV-vis and fluorescence measurements in chloroform, in particular for fluorescence titrations, following the emission changes at these two emission wavelengths of porphyrin moiety (650 nm and 715 nm, by using λ_{ex} 350 nm). Unfortunately, the fluorescence titrations showed a small intensity variation with all the selected amino acid guests except for the *L*-tryptophan derivative, which led to a poor data fitting. For that reasons, binding constant values between uranyl salen-bis-porphyrin complex **1** and amino acid derivatives were determined by UV-vis titrations, following a decrease of the absorption at 419 nm upon addition of increasing aliquots of guests. A representative example of UV-vis titration and the fluorescence titration of uranyl salen-bis-porphyrin complex **1** with *L*-Trp-TBA are shown in **Figure 3**.

Table 1 reports the pertinent binding constant values with selected amino acid derivatives, the detection limit observed (DL) and the corresponding enantiomeric excess. In all cases, binding constant values have been calculated using 1:1 stoichiometry, suggested by Job's plots (see **Supplementary Material**).

Notably, due to the presence of the porphyrin moieties, receptor **1** is able to detect the amino acid guests at low concentration. In fact, 1 μM solution of uranyl salen-bis-porphyrin complex **1** is able to detect amino acid derivatives at very low concentrations (ppb).

Enantiomeric recognition is very efficient with the *L*-Phe-TBA, which is recognized more than 8 times respect to the *D*-enantiomer ($K_L/K_D = 8.51$). A good enantioselectivity is also observed for the *D*- and *L*-Trp-TBA pair ($K_D/K_L = 4.04$). Moreover, for the *L*-Trp-TBA we were able to determine the binding constant value by fluorescence titration ($K (\text{M}^{-1}) = 2.63 \pm 0.03 \times 10^6$), in good agreement with the value calculated by UV-vis titration. In particular, a decrease of the emission intensity has been observed, probably due to a photoinduced electron transfer mechanism (PET) (Trusso Sfrassetto et al.,



2016). Only the *D*- and *L*-Ala-TBA ($K_D/K_L = 1.70$) pair shows a slight selective recognition. With the smaller amino acid guest, coordination to the uranyl metal center appears less susceptible to the different configurations of the carbon atom stereocenter and then the molecular recognition is less selective. With aromatic amino acid derivatives, the possibility for the carboxylate anion to bind the fifth equatorial coordination site of the uranyl metal and, at the same time, to exploit CH- π interactions with the salen moiety and the porphyrin

macrocycles of receptor **1** might be responsible for the strong observed enantioselectivity. Moreover, the strong recognition for the *L*-Phe-TBA enantiomer is in contrast with respect to those observed with our previous receptors having the same configuration of the chiral diamine bridge (*R,R*) (Ballistreri et al., 2010; Amato et al., 2011; Pappalardo et al., 2012a; Forte et al., 2015). Probably, the presence of the two porphyrin arms not only increase the limit of detection by the presence of a fluorescence signal, but also plays a fundamental role in the recognition event.

TABLE 1 | Binding constant values K (M^{-1}) with selected amino acid derivatives, detection limit observed (DL), and enantiomeric excess calculated by UV-vis titrations in dry chloroform at 25°C.

Guest	DL ^b	K (M^{-1}) ^a	e.e.
D-Phe-TBA	2.5 ppb	$(8.13 \pm 0.08) \times 10^4$	$K_L/K_D = 8.51$
L-Phe-TBA	1.6 ppb	$(6.92 \pm 0.07) \times 10^5$	
D-Ala-TBA	1.7 ppb	$(9.77 \pm 0.59) \times 10^6$	$K_D/K_L = 1.70$
L-Ala-TBA	1.3 ppb	$(5.75 \pm 0.05) \times 10^6$	
D-Trp-TBA	1.6 ppb	$(4.33 \pm 0.09) \times 10^6$	$K_D/K_L = 4.04$
L-Trp-TBA	1.1 ppb	$(1.07 \pm 0.01) \times 10^6$	

^aCalculated by HypSpec v1.1.33.

^bCalculated by method of the calibration curve using the formula $DL = 3\sigma/K$, where σ is the standard deviation of the blank, and K is the slope of the calibration curve.

CONCLUSION

We have synthesized a new chiral uranyl salen complex bearing two porphyrin macrocycles and evaluated the enantiomeric recognition properties of this complex toward α -amino acid derivatives by UV-vis titrations. The presence of porphyrin arms lead to a receptor that possesses luminescence properties that are not quenched by the coordination with the uranyl cation, which decreases the fluorescence intensity in uranyl salen complexes. UV/Vis measurements and Job plots indicate the formation of 1:1 host-guest complexes. This receptor displays a very high selectivity toward amino acid derivatives, in particular for the two enantiomers of Phe-TBA. The two porphyrin macrocycles play a key role in the enantioselectivity interacting through

CH- π with the aromatic moiety of aminoacids, leading to high binding affinities. Work is in progress in our laboratory to better understand the rules governing the interactions of this salen receptor with amino acid guests in order to design new host-guest systems that possess luminescence properties.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All datasets generated for this study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

CG, UR, FC, and AP performed the synthesis of uranyl porphyrin-salen complex. GT acquired UV-vis and luminescence data. AP writing-original draft preparation. CG, UR, FC, GT, and AP writing-review and editing.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the University of Catania, Department of Chemical Sciences (Piano per la Ricerca 2016-2018-Linea Intervento 2).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fchem.2019.00836/full#supplementary-material>

REFERENCES

- Amato, M. E., Ballistreri, F. P., D'Agata, S., Pappalardo, A., Tomaselli, G. A., Toscano, R. M., et al. (2011). Enantioselective molecular recognition of chiral organic ammonium ions and amino acids using cavitand-salen-based receptors. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 21, 5674–5680. doi: 10.1002/ejoc.201100955
- Amato, M. E., Ballistreri, F. P., Gentile, S., Pappalardo, A., Tomaselli, G. A., and Toscano, R. M. (2010). Recognition of achiral and chiral ammonium salts by neutral ditopic receptors based on chiral salen-UO₂ macrocycles. *J. Org. Chem.* 75, 1437–1443. doi: 10.1021/jo902328y
- Amato, M. E., Ballistreri, F. P., Pappalardo, A., Sciotto, D., Tomaselli, G. A., and Toscano, R. M. (2007). Synthesis and conformational aspects of 20- and 40-membered macrocyclic mono and dinuclear uranyl complexes incorporating salen and (R)-BINOL units. *Tetrahedron* 63, 9751–9757. doi: 10.1016/j.tet.2007.07.014
- Baleizao, C., and Garcia, H. (2006). Chiral salen complexes: an overview to recoverable and reusable homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysts. *Chem. Rev.* 106, 3987–4043. doi: 10.1021/cr050973n
- Ballistreri, F. P., Gangemi, C. M., Pappalardo, A., Tomaselli, G. A., Toscano, R. M., and Trusso Sfrazzetto, G. (2016). (Salen)Mn(III) catalyzed asymmetric epoxidation reactions by hydrogen peroxide in water: a green protocol. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 17:1112. doi: 10.3390/ijms17071112
- Ballistreri, F. P., Lombardo, G., Pappalardo, A., Punzo, F., Thompson, A., Tomaselli, G. A., et al. (2012). An integrated X-ray and molecular dynamics study of uranyl-salen structures and properties. *Dalton Trans.* 41, 1951–1960. doi: 10.1039/C1DT11758K
- Ballistreri, F. P., Pappalardo, A., Toscano, R. M., Tomaselli, G. A., and Trusso Sfrazzetto, G. (2010). Heteroditopic chiral uranyl-salen receptor for molecular recognition of amino acid ammonium salts. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 20, 3806–3810. doi: 10.1002/ejoc.201000566
- Ballistreri, F. P., Toscano, R. M., Amato, M. E., Pappalardo, A., Gangemi, C. M. A., Spidalieri, S., et al. (2018). A new Mn-salen micellar nanoreactor for enantioselective epoxidation of alkenes in water. *Catalysts* 8:129. doi: 10.3390/catal8040129
- Beletskaya, I., Tyurin, V. S., Tsivadze, A. Y., Guillard, R., and Stern, C. (2009). Supramolecular chemistry of metalloporphyrins. *Chem. Rev.* 109, 1659–1713. doi: 10.1021/cr800247a
- Brancatelli, G., Geremia, S., Notti, A., Pappalardo, A., and Trusso Sfrazzetto, G. (2013). Mono- and dinuclear uranyl(VI) complexes with chiral Schiff base ligand. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 396, 25–29. doi: 10.1016/j.ica.2012.12.034
- Cozzi, P. G. (2004). Metal-Salen Schiff base complexes in catalysis: practical aspects. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 33, 410–421. doi: 10.1039/B307853C
- Dalla Cort, A., Mandolini, L., Pasquini, C., and Schiaffino, L. (2006). A novel ditopic zinc-salophen macrocycle: a potential two-stationed wheel for [2]-pseudorotaxane. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 4, 4543–4546. doi: 10.1039/b613705a
- Drain, C. M., Varotto, A., and Radiovojevic, I. (2009). Self-organized porphyrinic materials. *Chem. Rev.* 109, 1630–1658. doi: 10.1021/cr8002483
- D'Urso, A., Tudisco, C., Ballistreri, F. P., Condorelli, G. G., Randazzo, R., Tomaselli, G. A., et al. (2014). Enantioselective extraction mediated by a chiral cavitand-salen covalently assembled on a porous silicon surface. *Chem. Commun.* 50, 4993–4996. doi: 10.1039/C4CC00034J
- Forte, G., D'Urso, A., Ballistreri, F. P., Toscano, R. M., Tomaselli, G. A., Trusso Sfrazzetto, G., et al. (2015). Enantiomeric recognition of α -amino acid derivatives by chiral uranyl-salen receptors. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 56, 2922–2926. doi: 10.1016/j.tetlet.2015.04.092
- Gangemi, C. M. A., Randazzo, R., Fragalà, M. E., Tomaselli, G. A., Ballistreri, F. P., Pappalardo, A., et al. (2015). Hierarchically controlled

- protonation/aggregation of a porphyrin-spermine derivative. *New J. Chem.* 39, 6722–6725. doi: 10.1039/C5NJ01264C
- Gaware, V. S., Hakerud, M., Juzeniene, A., Högset, A., Berg, K., and Måsson, M. (2017). Endosome targeting meso-tetraphenylchlorin–chitosan nanocjugates for photochemical internalization. *Biomacromolecules* 18, 1108–1126. doi: 10.1021/acs.biomac.6b01670
- Jacobsen, E. N. (1993). “Asymmetric catalytic epoxidation of unfunctionalized olefins,” in *Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis*, ed I. Ojima (New York, NY: VCH), 159–202.
- Jacobsen, E. N. (2000). Asymmetric catalysis of epoxide ring-opening reactions. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 33, 421–431. doi: 10.1021/ar960061v
- Johansson, A., Abrahamsson, M., Magnuson, A., Huang, P., Mårtensson, J., Styring, S., et al. (2003). Synthesis and photophysics of one mononuclear Mn(III) and one dinuclear Mn(III,III) complex covalently linked to a ruthenium(II) tris(bipyridyl) complex. *Inorg. Chem.* 42, 7502–7511. doi: 10.1021/ic0344822
- Katsuki, T. (1995). Catalytic asymmetric oxidations using optically active (salen)manganese(III) complexes as catalysts. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 140, 189–214. doi: 10.1016/0010-8545(94)01124-T
- Katsuki, T. (2000). “Asymmetric oxidations and related reactions: asymmetric epoxidation of unfunctionalized olefins and related reactions,” in *Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis, 2nd Edn*, ed I. Ojima (New York, NY: Wiley-VCH), 287–325.
- La Paglia Fragola, V., Lupo, F., Pappalardo, A., Trusso Sfrazzetto, G., Toscano, R. M., Ballistreri, F. P., et al. (2012). A surface-confined O=MnV(salen) oxene catalyst and high turnover values in asymmetric epoxidation of unfunctionalized olefins. *J. Mater. Chem.* 22, 20561–20561. doi: 10.1039/c2jm34847k
- McGarrigle, E. M., and Gilheany, D. G. (2005). Chromium- and manganese-salen promoted epoxidation of alkenes. *Chem. Rev.* 105, 1563–1602. doi: 10.1021/cr0306945
- Pappalardo, A., Amato, M. E., Ballistreri, F. P., Tomaselli, G. A., Toscano, R. M., and Trusso Sfrazzetto, G. (2012a). Pair of diastereomeric uranyl salen cavitands displaying opposite enantiodiscrimination of α -amino acid ammonium salts. *J. Org. Chem.* 77, 7684–7687. doi: 10.1021/jo301098d
- Pappalardo, A., Ballistreri, F. P., Li Destri, G., Mineo, P. G., Tomaselli, G. A., Toscano, R. M., et al. (2012b). Supramolecular polymer networks based on calix[5]arene tethered poly(*p*-phenyleneethynylene). *Macromolecules* 45, 7549–7556. doi: 10.1021/ma3015239
- Puglisi, R., Ballistreri, F. P., Gangemi, C. M. A., Toscano, R. M., Tomaselli, G. A., Pappalardo, A., et al. (2017). Chiral Zn–salen complexes: a new class of fluorescent receptors for enantiodiscrimination of chiral amines. *New J. Chem.* 41, 911–915. doi: 10.1039/C6NJ03592B
- Puglisi, R., Mineo, P. G., Pappalardo, A., Gulino, A., and Trusso Sfrazzetto, G. (2019). Supramolecular detection of a nerve agent simulant by fluorescent Zn–salen oligomer receptors. *Molecules* 24:2160. doi: 10.3390/molecules24112160
- Puglisi, R., Pappalardo, A., Gulino, A., and Trusso Sfrazzetto, G. (2018). Supramolecular recognition of CWAs simulant by metal-salen complexes: the first multi-topic approach. *Chem. Commun.* 54, 11156–11159. doi: 10.1039/C8CC06425C
- Rong, Y., Chen, P., Wang, D., and Liu, M. (2012). Porphyrin assemblies through the air/water interface: effect of hydrogen bond, thermal annealing, and amplification of supramolecular chirality. *Langmuir* 28, 6356–6363. doi: 10.1021/la3005294
- Saffar-Telur, A. (2015). Direct covalent attachment of Mn(III) salen complex to the hydroxyapatite-encapsulated γ -Fe₂O₃ nanocrystallites: an efficient magnetic and reusable catalyst for oxidation of alcohols. *RSC Adv.* 5, 70577–70585. doi: 10.1039/C5RA08594B
- Trusso Sfrazzetto, G., Millesi, S., Pappalardo, A., Toscano, R. M., Ballistreri, F. P., Tomaselli, G. A., et al. (2015). Olefin epoxidation by a (salen)Mn(III) catalyst covalently grafted on glass beads. *Cat. Sci. Techn.* 5, 673–679. doi: 10.1039/C4CY00831F
- Trusso Sfrazzetto, G., Satriano, C., Tomaselli, G. A., and Rizzarelli, E. (2016). Synthetic fluorescent probes to map metallostasis and intracellular fate of zinc and copper. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 311, 125–167. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2015.11.012
- Wezenberg, S. J., and Kleij, A. W. (2008). Material applications for salen frameworks. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 47, 2354–2364. doi: 10.1002/anie.200702468
- Whiteoak, C. J., Salassa, G., and Kleij, A. W. (2012). Recent advances with π -conjugated salen systems. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 41, 622–631. doi: 10.1039/C1CS15170C
- Yoon, T. P., and Jacobsen, E. N. (2003). Privileged chiral catalysts. *Science* 299, 1691–1693. doi: 10.1126/science.1083622
- Zammataro, A., Gangemi, C. M. A., Pappalardo, A., Toscano, R. M., Puglisi, R., Nicotra, G., et al. (2019). Covalently functionalized carbon nanoparticles with a chiral Mn–Salen: a new nanocatalyst for enantioselective epoxidation of alkenes. *Chem. Commun.* 55, 5255–5258. doi: 10.1039/C9CC01825E

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The reviewer SG declared a past co-authorship with one of the authors AP to the handling editor.

Copyright © 2019 Gangemi, Rimkaite, Cipria, Trusso Sfrazzetto and Pappalardo. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.