

# A Weighted Version of the Mishou Theorem

# Antanas Laurinčikas<sup>a</sup>, Darius Šiaučiūnas<sup>b</sup> and Gediminas Vadeikis<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institute of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, Vilnius University
Naugarduko g. 24, LT-03225 Vilnius, Lithuania
<sup>b</sup> Institute of Regional Development, Šiauliai University
P. Višinskio g. 25, LT-76351 Šiauliai, Lithuania
E-mail(corresp.): darius.siauciunas@su.lt
E-mail: antanas.laurincikas@mif.vu.lt
E-mail: gediminas.vadeikis@mif.vu.lt

Received April 9, 2020; revised September 27, 2020; accepted September 27, 2020

**Abstract.** In 2007, H. Mishou obtained a joint universality theorem for the Riemann and Hurwitz zeta-functions  $\zeta(s)$  and  $\zeta(s,\alpha)$  with transcendental parameter  $\alpha$  on the approximation of a pair of analytic functions by shifts  $(\zeta(s+i\tau), \zeta(s+i\tau,\alpha))$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ . In the paper, the Mishou theorem is generalized for the set of above shifts having a weighted positive lower density. Also, the case of a positive density is considered.

Keywords: Hurwitz zeta-function, Mishou theorem, Riemann zeta-function, universality.

AMS Subject Classification: 11M06; 11M41.

## 1 Introduction

The Riemann zeta-function  $\zeta(s)$ ,  $s = \sigma + it$ , and the Hurwitz zeta-function  $\zeta(s, \alpha)$  with parameter  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  are defined, for  $\sigma > 1$ , by the Dirichlet series

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^s} \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta(s,\alpha) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m+\alpha)^s},$$

and have analytic continuation to the whole complex plane, except for a simple pole at the point s = 1 with residue 1. The functions  $\zeta(s)$  and  $\zeta(s, \alpha)$  play an important role not only in analytic number theory but in mathematics in

Copyright © 2021 The Author(s). Published by Vilnius Gediminas Technical University This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

general. The definitions of  $\zeta(s)$  and  $\zeta(s, \alpha)$  are similar, however, their analytic properties are quite different. For example, since the function  $\zeta(s)$ , for  $\sigma > 1$ , has the Euler product over primes

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_{p} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p^s} \right)^{-1},$$

 $\zeta(s) \neq 0$  in the half-plane  $\sigma > 1$ , while the function  $\zeta(s, \alpha)$  has zeros in that half plane if  $\alpha \neq 1$  or 1/2. On the other hand, the functions  $\zeta(s)$  and  $\zeta(s, \alpha)$  have a common feature, they are universal in the sense that their shifts  $\zeta(s + i\tau)$ and  $\zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha)$  approximate wide classes of analytic functions. We recall that universality of the function  $\zeta(s)$  was discovered by S.M. Voronin in [31]. For a statement of the Voronin theorem, it is convenient to use the following notation. For  $D = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : 1/2 < \sigma < 1\}$ , denote by  $\mathcal{K}$  the class of compact subsets of the strip D with connected complements, by H(K) with  $K \in \mathcal{K}$  the class of continuous functions on K that are analytic in the interior of K, and by  $H_0(K)$  the subclass of H(K) of non-vanishing functions on K. Then the modern version of the Voronin theorem, see, for example, [1, 6, 13, 30] asserts that, for every  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $f(s) \in H_0(K)$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\liminf_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \operatorname{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0, T] : \sup_{s \in K} |\zeta(s + i\tau) - f(s)| < \varepsilon \right\} > 0.$$

The latter inequality shows that there are infinitely many shifts  $\zeta(s + i\tau)$  approximating a given function  $f(s) \in H_0(K)$ . Here meas A denotes the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

Universality of the Hurwitz zeta-function is a more complicated problem. At the moment, the following result is known. Suppose that  $\alpha$  is a transcendental or rational  $\neq 1, 1/2$ . Then, for every  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $f(s) \in H(K)$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\liminf_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \operatorname{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0, T] : \sup_{s \in K} |\zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha) - f(s)| < \varepsilon \right\} > 0.$$

The case of rational  $\alpha$  was obtained by Voronin [32] and B. Bagchi [1], while the case of transcendental  $\alpha$  was treated by S.M. Gonek [6], and, by a different method, in [23]. In [14], the transcendence of  $\alpha$  was replaced by a weaker condition on the linear independence of the set  $L(\alpha) = \{\log(m+\alpha) : m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}$  over the field of rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

H. Mishou in [29] began to study a joint approximation property of the functions  $\zeta(s)$  and  $\zeta(s, \alpha)$ . More precisely, he proved that if  $\alpha$  is transcendental, then, for every  $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{K}, f_1(s) \in H_0(K_1), f_2 \in H(K_2)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\liminf_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \operatorname{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0, T] : \sup_{s \in K_1} |\zeta(s + i\tau) - f(s)| < \varepsilon, \\ \sup_{s \in K_2} |\zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha) - f(s)| < \varepsilon \right\} > 0.$$

The Mishou theorem is the first so-called mixed joint universality theorem because the function  $\zeta(s)$  has Euler's product over primes, while the function

 $\zeta(s,\alpha)$  with transcendental  $\alpha$  has no such a product. Mixed joint universality theorems were studied in [2,5,7,8,9,10,11,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,24].

The aim of this paper, is a joint weighted universality theorem for the functions  $\zeta(s)$  and  $\zeta(s, \alpha)$ . The weighted universality of zeta-functions was began to study in [12]. In weighted universality theorems, the positivity of a lower density of the shifts approximating a given analytic function is replaced by the positivity of that weighted analogue. Let  $w(\tau)$  be positive function for  $\tau \ge T_0 > 0$  such that

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} W(T, w) = \infty, \quad W(T, w) = \int_{T_0}^T w(\tau) \, \mathrm{d}\tau,$$

and, for every interval  $[a, b] \subset [T_0, \infty)$ , the variation  $V_a^b w$  satisfies the inequality  $V_a^b w \leq cw(a)$  with certain c > 0. Moreover, let I(A) denote the indicator function of the set A. Under the above hypotheses on the weight function w, it was obtained in [12] that, for every  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $f(s) \in H_0(K)$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\liminf_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{W(T,w)} \int_{T_0}^T w(\tau) I\left(\left\{\tau \in [T_0,T] : \sup_{s \in K} |\zeta(s+i\tau) - f(s)| < \varepsilon\right\}\right) \,\mathrm{d}\tau > 0.$$

A weighted discrete universality for  $\zeta(s)$  was proved in [25]. Weighted universality theorems for periodic zeta-functions were obtained in [26, 27].

A weighted universality theorem for the Hurwitz zeta-function was proved in [3]. Denote by W the above class of weight functions.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that  $\alpha$  is transcendental and  $w \in W$ . Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $f(s) \in H(K)$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\liminf_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{W(T,w)} \int_{T_0}^T w(\tau) I\left(\left\{\tau \in [T_0,T] : \sup_{s \in K} |\zeta(s+i\tau,\alpha) - f(s)| < \varepsilon\right\}\right) \mathrm{d}\tau > 0.$$

The main result of this paper is the following weighted theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that  $\alpha$  is transcendental and  $w \in W$ . Let  $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{K}$ and  $f(s) \in H_0(K_1), f_2(s) \in H(K_2)$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\begin{split} \liminf_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{W(T,w)} \int_{T_0}^T w(\tau) I\left( \left\{ \tau \in [T_0,T] : \sup_{s \in K_1} |\zeta(s+i\tau) - f(s)| < \varepsilon, \\ \sup_{s \in K_2} |\zeta(s+i\tau,\alpha) - f(s)| < \varepsilon \right\} \right) \, \mathrm{d}\tau > 0. \end{split}$$

Moreover, the limit

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{W(T,w)} \int_{T_0}^T w(\tau) I\left(\left\{\tau \in [T_0,T] : \sup_{s \in K_1} |\zeta(s+i\tau) - f(s)| < \varepsilon, \sup_{s \in K_2} |\zeta(s+i\tau,\alpha) - f(s)| < \varepsilon\right\}\right) d\tau > 0$$

exists for all but at most countably many  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

If  $w(\tau) = 1$ , then the first assertion of Theorem 2 reduces to the Mishou theorem [29]. For example, we may take  $w(\tau) = 1/\tau$  and  $\alpha = 1/e$ .

For the proof of Theorem 2, we will use the probabilistic approach based on weak convergence of probability measures in the space of analytic functions.

#### 2 A weighted limit theorem on the product of two tori

In what follows, we denote by  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{X})$  the Borel  $\sigma$ -field of the space  $\mathbb{X}$ , by  $\mathbb{P}$  the set of all prime numbers, and  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .

Let  $\gamma = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : |s| = 1\}$ . Define two tori  $\Omega_1 = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \gamma_p$  and  $\Omega_2 = \prod_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0} \gamma_m$ , where  $\gamma_p = \gamma$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $\gamma_m = \gamma$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . With product topology and pointwise multiplication, the infinite-dimensional tori  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  are compact topological Abelian groups. Therefore,  $\Omega = \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$  is again a compact topological Abelian group. Hence, on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega))$ , the probability Haar measure  $m_H$  can be defined, and we obtain the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), m_H)$ . Denote by  $\omega_1(p)$  the *p*th component of an element  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1, p \in \mathbb{P}$ , and by  $\omega_2(m)$  the *m*th component of an element  $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . The elements of  $\Omega$  are of the form  $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2)$ .

In this section, we will consider the weak convergence for

$$Q_{T,w}(A) = \frac{1}{W(T,w)} \int_{T_0}^T w(\tau) I\left(\left\{\tau \in [T_0,T] : \left(\left(p^{-i\tau} : p \in \mathbb{P}\right), \left((m+\alpha)^{-i\tau} : m \in \mathbb{N}_0\right)\right) \in A\right\}\right) d\tau, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega).$$

**Theorem 3.** Suppose that  $\alpha$  is transcendental and  $w \in W$ . Then  $Q_{T,w}$  converges weakly to the Haar measure  $m_H$  as  $T \to \infty$ .

*Proof.* The characters of the group  $\Omega$  are of the form

$$\prod_{p\in\mathbb{P}}'\omega_1^{k_p}(p)\prod_{m\in\mathbb{N}_0}'\omega_2^{l_m}(m),$$

where the sign "'" means that only a finite number of integers  $k_p$  and  $l_m$  are distinct from zero. Therefore, the Fourier transform  $g_{T,w}(\underline{k},\underline{l}), \underline{k} = (k_p : k_p \in \mathbb{Z}, p \in \mathbb{P}), \underline{l} = (k_p : l_m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ , of  $Q_{T,w}$  is defined by

$$g_{T,w}(\underline{k},\underline{l}) = \int_{\Omega} \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}}^{\prime} \omega_1^{k_p}(p) \prod_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}^{\prime} \omega_2^{l_m}(m) \, \mathrm{d}Q_{T,w}.$$

Therefore, by the definition of  $Q_{T,w}$ ,

$$g_{T,w}(\underline{k},\underline{l}) = \frac{1}{W(T,w)} \int_{T_0}^T w(\tau) \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}}' p^{-ik_p\tau} \prod_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}' (m+\alpha)^{-il_m\tau} d\tau$$
$$= \frac{1}{W(T,w)} \int_{T_0}^T w(\tau) \exp\left\{-i\tau \left(\sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}}' k_p \log p + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}' l_m \log(m+\alpha)\right)\right\} d\tau. \quad (2.1)$$

Clearly,

$$g_{T,w}(\underline{0},\underline{0}) = \frac{1}{W(T,w)} \int_{T_0}^T w(\tau) \,\mathrm{d}\tau = 1.$$

$$(2.2)$$

Suppose that  $(\underline{k}, \underline{l}) \neq (\underline{0}, \underline{0})$ . Then

$$A(\underline{k},\underline{l}) \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}}^{'} k_p \log p + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}^{'} l_m \log(m+\alpha) \neq 0.$$
(2.3)

Actually, if the latter inequality is not true, then

$$\prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}}' p^{k_p} \prod_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}' (m+\alpha)^{l_m} = 1.$$

From this, it follows that

$$\prod_{m\in\mathbb{N}_0}^{\prime} (m+\alpha)^{l_m}$$

is a rational number. However, this contradicts the transcendence of  $\alpha$ . If all  $l_m = 0$ , then  $\sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} k_p \log p \neq 0$  because the set  $\{\log p : p \in \mathbb{P}\}$  is linearly independent over the field of rational numbers. Thus, (2.3) is true. Now, by (2.1), we find

$$g_{T,w}(\underline{k},\underline{l}) = \frac{1}{-iW(T,w)A(\underline{k},\underline{l})} \int_{T_0}^T w(\tau) \operatorname{dexp}\{-i\tau A(\underline{k},\underline{l})\}$$
$$\ll (W(T,w)|A(\underline{k},\underline{l})|)^{-1} \left(1 + \int_{T_0}^T |\operatorname{d}w(\tau)|\right) \ll (W(T,w)|A(\underline{k},\underline{l})|)^{-1}$$

in view of a property of the variation of  $w(\tau)$ . Since  $\lim_{T\to\infty} W(T,w) = \infty$ , this shows that

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} g_{T,w}(\underline{k}, \underline{l}) = 0.$$

Therefore, by (2.2),

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} g_{T,w}(\underline{k}, \underline{l}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (\underline{k}, \underline{l}) = (\underline{0}, \underline{0}), \\ 0 & \text{if } (\underline{k}, \underline{l}) \neq (\underline{0}, \underline{0}), \end{cases}$$

and the theorem is proved because the right-hand side of the latter equality is the Fourier transform of the Haar measure  $m_H$ .  $\Box$ 

# 3 Case of absolute convergence

Theorem 3 implies a weighted joint limit theorem in the space  $H^2(D)$ , where H(D) is the space of analytic functions on D endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compacta. Thus, let  $\theta > 1/2$  be a fixed number, for  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$v_n(m) = \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{\theta}\right\},\$$

and, for  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$v_n(m, \alpha) = \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{m+\alpha}{n+\alpha}\right)^{\theta}\right\}.$$

Math. Model. Anal., 26(1):21-33, 2021.

Define the series

$$\zeta_n(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{v_n(m)}{m^s}$$
 and  $\zeta_n(s,\alpha) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{v_n(m,\alpha)}{(m+\alpha)^s}.$ 

Then the latter series are absolutely convergent for  $\sigma > 1/2$ , see [13, 23], respectively. For brevity, let

$$\underline{\zeta}_n(s,\alpha) = (\zeta_n(s), \zeta_n(s,\alpha))$$

Extend the functions  $\omega_1(p)$ , to the set  $\mathbb{N}$  by the formula

$$\omega_1(m) = \prod_{\substack{p^l \mid m \\ p^{l+1} \nmid m}} \omega_1^l(p), \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

and, additionally to  $\zeta_n(s)$  and  $\zeta_n(s, \alpha)$ , define

$$\zeta_n(s,\omega_1) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_1(m)v_n(m)}{m^s} \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_n(s,\omega_2,\alpha) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_2(m)v_n(m,\alpha)}{(m+\alpha)^s},$$

and put  $\underline{\zeta}_n(s,\omega,\alpha) = (\zeta_n(s,\omega_1), \zeta_n(s,\omega_2,\alpha))$ . Obviously, the series  $\zeta_n(s,\omega_1)$  and  $\zeta_n(s,\omega_2,\alpha)$  are absolutely convergent for  $\sigma > 1/2$  as well.

Consider the function  $u_n : \Omega \to H^2(D)$  given by  $u_n(\omega) = \underline{\zeta}_n(s, \omega, \alpha)$ . Since the above seeries are absolutely convergent for  $\sigma > 1/2$ , the function  $u_n(\omega)$  is continuous. For  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H^2(D))$ , define

$$P_{T,n,w}(A) = \frac{1}{W(T,w)} \int_{T_0}^T w(\tau) I\left(\left\{\tau \in [T_0,T] : \underline{\zeta}_n(s+i\tau,\alpha) \in A\right\}\right) \,\mathrm{d}\tau.$$

Then we have  $P_{T,n,w}(A) = Q_{T,w}(u^{-1}A)$ . Thus, the equality  $P_{T,n,w} = Q_{T,w}u^{-1}$  is true. This, the continuity of  $u_n$ , Theorem 3 together with Theorem 5.1 of [4] lead to the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.** Suppose that  $\alpha$  is transcendental and  $w \in W$ . Then  $P_{T,n,w}$  converges weakly to the measure  $V_n \stackrel{def}{=} m_H u_n^{-1}$  as  $T \to \infty$ .

The measure  $V_n$  plays an important role in the proof of the limit theorem for

$$P_{T,w}(A) = \frac{1}{W(T,w)} \int_{T_0}^T w(\tau) I\left(\left\{\tau \in [T_0,T] : \underline{\zeta}(s+i\tau,\alpha) \in A\right\}\right) \,\mathrm{d}\tau,$$
$$A \in \mathcal{B}(H^2(D)),$$

where  $\underline{\zeta}(s,\alpha) = (\zeta(s), \zeta(s,\alpha))$ . From the proof of the Mishou theorem [29], the following properties of  $V_n$  follows. On the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), m_H)$ , define the  $H^2(D)$ -valued random element

$$\underline{\zeta}(s,\omega,\alpha) = \left(\prod_{p\in\mathbb{P}} \left(1 - \frac{\omega_1(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1}, \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_2(m)}{(m+\alpha)^s}\right),$$

26

and let  $P_{\zeta}$  be the distribution of  $\zeta(s, \omega, \alpha)$ , i. e.,

$$P_{\underline{\zeta}}(A) = m_H \left\{ \omega \in \Omega : \underline{\zeta}(s, \omega, \alpha) \in A \right\}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H^2(D)).$$

Moreover, let  $S = \{g \in H(D) : g(s) \neq 0 \text{ or } g(s) \equiv 0\}$ . Under the above notation, we have

**Lemma 1.** Suppose that  $\alpha$  is transcendental. Then  $V_n$  converges weakly to  $P_{\underline{\zeta}}$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Moreover, the support of  $P_{\zeta}$  is the set  $S \times H(D)$ .

To prove that  $P_{T,w}$ , as  $T \to \infty$ , also converges weakly to the measure  $P_{\underline{\zeta}}$ , some approximation of  $\zeta(s,\alpha)$  by  $\zeta_n(s,\alpha)$  is needed.

#### 4 Approximation in the mean

For  $g_1, g_2 \in H(D)$ , define

$$\rho(g_1, g_2) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} 2^{-l} \frac{\sup_{s \in K_l} |g_1(s) - g_2(s)|}{1 + \sup_{s \in K_l} |g_1(s) - g_2(s)|},$$

where  $\{K_l : l \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset D$  is a sequence of compact subsets such that  $D = \bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} K_l$ ,  $K_l \subset K_{l+1}$  for all  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , and if  $K \subset D$  is a compact set, then K lies in some  $K_l$ . Then  $\rho$  is a metric on H(D) that induces its topology of uniform convergence on compacta.

Now, let  $g_1 = (g_{11}, g_{12}), g_2 = (g_{21}, g_{22}) \in H^2(D)$ . Then putting

$$\underline{\rho}(\underline{g}_1, \underline{g}_2) = \max_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant 2} \rho(g_{1j}, g_{2j})$$

gives a metric on  $H^2(D)$  inducing the product topology.

The following statement is true.

**Theorem 5.** Suppose that  $w \in W$ . Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \limsup_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{W(T, w)} \int_{T_0}^T w(\tau) \underline{\rho} \left( \underline{\zeta}(s + i\tau, \alpha), \underline{\zeta}_n(s + i\tau, \alpha) \right) \, \mathrm{d}\tau = 0$$

for all  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* By the definition of the metric  $\rho$ , it suffices to prove the equalities

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \limsup_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{W(T, w)} \int_{T_0}^T w(\tau) \rho\left(\zeta(s + i\tau), \zeta_n(s + i\tau)\right) \,\mathrm{d}\tau = 0 \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \limsup_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{W(T, w)} \int_{T_0}^T w(\tau) \rho\left(\zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha), \zeta_n(s + i\tau, \alpha)\right) \, \mathrm{d}\tau = 0.$$
(4.2)

Math. Model. Anal., 26(1):21-33, 2021.

Obviously, (4.1) is a corollary of (4.2) with  $\alpha = 1$ . Moreover, to prove (4.2) it suffices to show that, for every compact set  $K \subset D$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \limsup_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{W(T, w)} \int_{T_0}^T w(\tau) \sup_{s \in K} |\zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha) - \zeta_n(s + i\tau, \alpha)| \, \mathrm{d}\tau = 0.$$
(4.3)

Let

$$l_n(s,\alpha) = \frac{s}{\theta} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right) (n+\alpha)^s, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $\Gamma(s)$  is the Euler gamma-function. Then the classical Mellin formula implies, for  $\sigma > 1/2$ , the equality

$$\zeta_n(s,\alpha) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\theta-i\infty}^{\theta+i\infty} \zeta(s+z,\alpha) l_n(z,\alpha) \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{z}.$$
(4.4)

We take an arbitrary compact set  $K \subset D$ , and fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $1/2 + 2\varepsilon \leq \sigma \leq 1 - \varepsilon$  for points  $s = \sigma + iv \in K$ . Then, by (4.4) and the residue theorem, for  $\theta_1 > 0$ ,

$$\zeta_n(s,\alpha) - \zeta(s,\alpha) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\theta_1 - i\infty}^{-\theta_1 + i\infty} \zeta(s+z,\alpha) l_n(z,\alpha) \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{z} + R_n(s,\alpha), \quad (4.5)$$

where  $R_n(s,\alpha) = l_n(1-s,\alpha)/(1-s)$ . Suppose that  $\theta_1 = \sigma - \varepsilon - 1/2$ . Then (4.5) shows that, for  $s \in K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\zeta_n(s,\alpha) - \zeta(s,\alpha)| \leqslant &\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\zeta(s+i\tau-\theta_1+it,\alpha)| \frac{|l_n(-\theta_1+it,\alpha)|}{|-\theta_1+it|} \mathrm{d}t \\ &+ |R_n(s+i\tau,\alpha)| \,. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, after shifting v + t to t, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{W(T,w)} \int_{T_0}^T w(\tau) \sup_{s \in K} \left| \zeta(s+i\tau,\alpha) - \zeta_n(s+i\tau,\alpha) \right| \, \mathrm{d}\tau \ll I_1 + I_2, \qquad (4.6)$$

where

$$\begin{split} I_1 = & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(\tau) \left( \frac{1}{W(T,w)} \int_{T_0}^{T} |\zeta(1/2 + \varepsilon + i(t+\tau),\alpha)| \, \mathrm{d}\tau \right) \\ & \times \sup_{s \in K} \frac{|l_n(1/2 + \varepsilon - s + it,\alpha)|}{|1/2 + \varepsilon - s + it|} \, \mathrm{d}t, \\ I_2 = & \frac{1}{W(T,w)} \int_{T_0}^{T} w(\tau) \sup_{s \in K} |R_n(s+i\tau,\alpha)| \, \mathrm{d}\tau. \end{split}$$

It is well known that  $\Gamma(\sigma + it) \ll \exp\{-c|t|\}$  uniformly in  $\sigma_1 \leqslant \sigma \leqslant \sigma_2$  for every  $\sigma_1 < \sigma_2$  with an absolute constant c > 0. Therefore, putting  $\theta = 1/2 + \varepsilon$ , we find that, for  $s \in K$ ,

$$\frac{|l_n(1/2+\varepsilon-s+it,\alpha)|}{|1/2+\varepsilon-s+it|} = \frac{(n+\alpha)^{1/2+\varepsilon-\sigma}}{\theta} \Big| \Gamma\Big(\frac{1/2+\varepsilon-\sigma}{\theta} + \frac{i(t-v)}{\theta}\Big) \Big| \\ \ll_\alpha \frac{n^{-\varepsilon}}{\theta} \exp\Big\{ -c\frac{|t-v|}{\theta} \Big\} \ll_{K,\alpha} n^{-\varepsilon} \exp\{-c_1|t|\}$$
(4.7)

with  $c_1 > 0$ . In [3] it was obtained that, for  $\sigma$ ,  $1/2 < \sigma < 1$ , and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\int_{T_0}^T w(\tau) |\zeta(\sigma + i(t+\tau), \alpha)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}t \ll W(t, w)(1+|t|^2).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{split} &\int_{T_0}^T w(\tau) \left| \zeta(\sigma + i(t+\tau), \alpha) \right|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\tau \\ &\ll \left( \int_{T_0}^T w(\tau) \, \mathrm{d}\tau \int_{T_0}^T w(\tau) \left| \zeta(1/2 + \varepsilon + i(t+\tau), \alpha) \right|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\tau \right)^{1/2} \ll W(t, w) (1 + |t|^2). \end{split}$$

This together with (4.7) shows that

$$I_1 \ll_K n^{-\varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1+|t|) \exp\{-c_1|t|\} \,\mathrm{d}t \ll_{K,\alpha} n^{-\varepsilon}.$$
(4.8)

Similarly, we find that, for  $s \in K$ ,

$$|R_n(s+i\tau,\alpha)| \ll_{\alpha} n^{1-\sigma} \exp\left\{-c\frac{|\tau-v|}{\theta}\right\} \ll_{K,\alpha} n^{1-\sigma} \exp\{-c_2|\tau|\}$$

with  $c_2 > 0$ . Thus,

$$I_2 \ll_{K,\alpha} n^{1/2-2\varepsilon} \frac{1}{W(T,w)} \int_{T_0}^T w(\tau) \exp\{-c_2|\tau|\} \,\mathrm{d}\tau \ll_{K,\alpha} \frac{n^{1/2-2\varepsilon}}{W(T,w)}.$$

If  $T \to \infty$ , then  $I_2 \to 0$ , because  $W(T, w) \to \infty$ . Moreover, by (4.8), if  $n \to \infty$ , then  $I_1 \to 0$ . Therefore, (4.6) implies (4.3). The lemma is proved.  $\Box$ 

# 5 A limit theorem for $\zeta(s, \alpha)$

Now we are ready to prove the weak convergence for  $P_{T,w}$  as  $T \to \infty$ .

**Theorem 6.** Suppose that  $\alpha$  is transcendental and  $w \in W$ . Then  $P_{T,w}$  converges weakly to the measure  $P_{\zeta}$  as  $T \to \infty$ .

*Proof.* On a certain probability space with measure  $\mu$ , define a random variable  $\theta_{T,w}$  by

$$\mu\{\theta_{T,w} \in A\} = \frac{1}{W(T,w)} \int_{T_0}^T w(\tau)I(A) \,\mathrm{d}\tau, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Consider the  $H^2(D)$ -valued random element

$$\underline{X}_{T,n,w} = \underline{X}_{T,n,w}(s) = \underline{\zeta}_n(s + i\theta_{T,w}, \alpha).$$

Then, in view of Theorem 4,

$$\underline{X}_{T,n,w} \xrightarrow[T \to \infty]{\mathcal{D}} Y_n, \tag{5.1}$$

Math. Model. Anal., 26(1):21-33, 2021.

where  $Y_n$  is the  $H^2(D)$ -valued random element with the distribution  $V_n$ . Lemma 1 implies the relation

$$Y_n \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{\mathcal{D}} P_{\underline{\zeta}}.$$

Moreover, an application of Theorem 5 shows that, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \limsup_{T \to \infty} \mu\left(\underline{\rho}\left(\underline{X}_{T,w}(s), \underline{X}_{T,n,w}(s)\right) \ge \varepsilon\right) \\ \ll \lim_{n \to \infty} \limsup_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon W(T,w)} \int_{T_0}^T w(\tau)\underline{\rho}\left(\underline{\zeta}(s+i\tau,\alpha), \underline{\zeta}_n(s+i\tau,\alpha)\right) \,\mathrm{d}\tau = 0, \quad (5.2)$$

where the  $H^2(D)$ -valued random element  $\underline{X}_{T,w} = \underline{X}_{T,w}(s)$  is defined by

$$\underline{X}_{T,w}(s) = \underline{\zeta}(s + i\theta_{T,w}, \alpha).$$

Now, relations (5.1)–(5.2) show that all hypotheses of Theorem 4.2 from [4] are satisfied. Therefore, we obtain that

$$\underline{X}_{T,w} \xrightarrow[T \to \infty]{\mathcal{D}} P_{\underline{\zeta}},$$

and this is equivalent to the assertion of the theorem.  $\Box$ 

## 6 Proof of universality

Theorem 2 follows easily from Theorem 6 and the Mergelyan theorem on the approximation of analytic functions by polynomials [28].

*Proof.* (Proof of Theorem 2). By the Mergelyan theorem, there exist polynomials  $p_1(s)$  and  $p_2(s)$  such that

$$\sup_{s \in K_1} \left| f_1(s) - e^{p_1(s)} \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad \sup_{s \in K_2} \left| f_2(s) - p_2(s) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$
 (6.1)

Define the set

$$G_{\varepsilon} = \left\{ g_1, g_2 \in H(D) : \sup_{s \in K_1} \left| g_1(s) - e^{p_1(s)} \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \sup_{s \in K_2} \left| g_2(s) - p_2(s) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\}.$$

We observe that, in virtue of Lemma 1,  $(e^{p_1(s)}, p_2(s))$  is an element of the support of the measure  $P_{\underline{\zeta}}$ . Since  $G_{\varepsilon}$  is an open neighbourhood of an element of the support of  $P_{\zeta}$ , the inequality

$$P_{\zeta}(G_{\varepsilon}) > 0 \tag{6.2}$$

is true. Therefore, using the equivalent of the weak convergence of probability measures in terms of open sets and taking into account Theorem 6, we have

$$\liminf_{T \to \infty} P_{T,w}(G_{\varepsilon}) \ge P_{\underline{\zeta}}(G_{\varepsilon}) > 0.$$

Hence, by the definitions of  $P_{T,w}$  and  $G_{\varepsilon}$ ,

$$\liminf_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{W(T,w)} \int_{T_0}^T w(\tau) I\left(\left\{\tau \in [T_0,T] : \sup_{s \in K_1} \left|\zeta(s+i\tau) - e^{p_1(s)}\right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \\ \sup_{s \in K_2} \left|\zeta(s+i\tau,\alpha) - p_2(s)\right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\}\right) \mathrm{d}\tau > 0.$$
(6.3)

It remains to replace  $e^{p_1(s)}$  and  $p_2(s)$  by  $f_1(s)$  and  $f_2(s)$ , respectively. Suppose that  $\tau$  satisfy inequalities

$$\sup_{s\in K_1} \left| \zeta(s+i\tau) - e^{p_1(s)} \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad \sup_{s\in K_2} \left| \zeta(s+i\tau,\alpha) - p_2(s) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Then inequalities (6.1) imply

$$\sup_{s \in K_1} |\zeta(s+i\tau) - f_1(s)| < \varepsilon, \quad \sup_{s \in K_2} |\zeta(s+i\tau,\alpha) - f_2(s)| < \varepsilon.$$

Consequently,

$$\left\{ \tau \in [T_0, T] : \sup_{s \in K_1} \left| \zeta(s + i\tau) - e^{p_1(s)} \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \sup_{s \in K_2} \left| \zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha) - p_2(s) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\}$$
$$\subset \left\{ \tau \in [T_0, T] : \sup_{s \in K_1} \left| \zeta(s + i\tau) - f_1(s) \right| < \varepsilon, \sup_{s \in K_2} \left| \zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha) - f_2(s) \right| < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

This and (6.3) prove the first assertion of the theorem.

Define one more set

$$\hat{G}_{\varepsilon} = \left\{ g_1, g_2 \in H(D) : \sup_{s \in K_1} |g_1(s) - f_1(s)| < \varepsilon, \sup_{s \in K_2} |g_2(s) - f_2(s)| < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Then the boundaries  $\partial \hat{G}_{\varepsilon_1}$  and  $\partial \hat{G}_{\varepsilon_2}$  do not intersect for different positive  $\varepsilon_1$ and  $\varepsilon_2$ . This shows that the set  $\hat{G}_{\varepsilon}$  is a continuity set of the measure  $P_{\underline{\zeta}}$  for all but at most countably many  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Therefore, using the equivalent of weak convergence of probability measures in terms of continuity sets, we obtain by Theorem 6 that

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} P_{T,w}(\hat{G}_{\varepsilon}) = P_{\underline{\zeta}}(\hat{G}_{\varepsilon}) \tag{6.4}$$

for all but at most countably many  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Moreover, inequalities (6.1) imply the inclusion  $G_{\varepsilon} \subset \hat{G}_{\varepsilon}$ . Thus, by (6.2), the inequality  $P_{\underline{\zeta}}(\hat{G}_{\varepsilon}) > 0$  holds. This, the definitions of  $P_{T,w}$  and  $\hat{G}_{\varepsilon}$ , and (6.4) prove the second assertion of the theorem.  $\Box$ 

#### Acknowledgements

The research of the first author is funded by the European Social Fund (project No. 09.3.3-LMT-K-712-01-0037) under grant agreement with the Research Council of Lithuania (LMT LT).

#### References

- B. Bagchi. The Statistical Behaviuor and Universality Properties of the Riemann Zeta-Function and Other Allied Dirichlet Series. PhD Thesis, Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta, 1981.
- [2] A. Balčiūnas, V. Garbaliauskienė, J. Karaliūnaitė, R. Macaitienė, J. Petuškinaitė and A. Rimkevičienė. Joint discrete approximation of a pair of analytic functions by periodic zeta-functions. *Math. Modell. Anal.*, 25(1):71–87, 2020. https://doi.org/10.3846/mma.2020.10450.
- [3] A. Balčiūnas and G. Vadeikis. A weighted universality throrem for the Hurwitz zeta-function. Šiauliai Math. Semin., 12(20):5–18, 2017.
- [4] P. Billingsley. Convergence of Probability Measures. Willey, New York, 1968.
- [5] V. Garbaliauskienė, J. Karaliūnaitė and A. Laurinčikas. On zeros of some combinations of Dirichlet *L*-functions and Hurwitz zeta-functions. *Math. Modell. Anal.*, **22**(6):733–749, 2017. https://doi.org/10.3846/13926292.2017.1365313.
- [6] S.M. Gonek. Analytic Properties of Zeta and L-Functions. PhD Thesis, University of Michigan, 1979.
- [7] R. Kačinskaitė and B. Kazlauskaitė. Two remarks related to the universality of zeta-functions with periodic coefficients. *Results Math.*, **73**(3):95, 2018. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00025-018-0856-z.
- [8] R. Kačinskaitė and A. Laurinčikas. The joint distribution of periodic zeta-functions. *Studia Sci. Math. Hungarica*, 48(2):257–279, 2011. https://doi.org/10.1556/sscmath.48.2011.2.1162.
- [9] R. Kačinskaitė and K. Matsumoto. The mixed joint universality for a class of zeta-functions. Math. Nachr., 288(16):1900–1909, 2015. https://doi.org/10.1002/mana.201400366.
- [10] R. Kačinskaitė and K. Matsumoto. Remarks on the mixed joint universality for a class of zeta-functions. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 95(2):187–198, 2017. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0004972716000733.
- [11] R. Kačinskaitė and K. Matsumoto. On mixed joint discrete universality for a class of zeta-functions. II. Lith. Math. J., 59(1):54–66, 2019. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10986-019-09432-1.
- [12] A. Laurinčikas. On the universality of the Riemann zeta-function. Lith. Math. J., 35(4):399–402, 1995. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02348827.
- [13] A. Laurinčikas. Limit Theorems for the Riemann Zeta-Function. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 1996. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-2091-5.
- [14] A. Laurinčikas. The joint universality of Hurwitz zeta-functions. Siauliai Math. Semin., 3(11):169–187, 2008.
- [15] A. Laurinčikas. Joint universality of zeta-functions with periodic coefficients. *Izv. Math.*, 74(3):515–539, 2010. https://doi.org/10.1070/IM2010v074n03ABEH002497.
- [16] A. Laurinčikas. Universality theorems for zeta-functions with periodic coefficients. *Siber. Math. J.*, **57**(2):330–339, 2016. https://doi.org/10.1134/S0037446616020154.
- [17] A. Laurinčikas. A discrete version of the Mishou theorem. II. Proc. Steklov Inst. Math., 296(1):172–182, 2017. https://doi.org/10.1134/S008154381701014X.

- [18] A. Laurinčikas. Joint value distribution theorems for the Riemann and Hurwitz zeta-functions. *Moscow Math. J.*, 18(2):349–366, 2018. https://doi.org/10.17323/1609-4514-2018-18-2-349-366.
- [19] A. Laurinčikas. Joint discrete universality for periodic zeta-functions. Quaest. Math., 42(5):687–699, 2019. https://doi.org/10.2989/16073606.2018.1481891.
- [20] A. Laurinčikas. Non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta-function and joint universality theorems. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 475(1):385–402, 2019. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2019.02.047.
- [21] A. Laurinčikas. On the Mishou theorem with algebraic parameter. Siber. Math. J., 60(6):1075–1082, 2019. https://doi.org/10.1134/S0037446619060144.
- [22] A. Laurinčikas. Joint discrete universality for periodic zeta-functions. II. Quaest. Math., 2020. https://doi.org/10.2989/16073606.2019.1654554.
- [23] A. Laurinčikas and R. Garunkštis. *The Lerch Zeta-Function*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 2002. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-6401-8.
- [24] A. Laurinčikas and R. Macaitienė. Joint approximation of analytic functions by shifts of the Riemann and periodic Hurwitz zeta-functions. *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.*, **12**(2):508–527, 2018. https://doi.org/10.2298/AADM170713016L.
- [25] A. Laurinčikas, D. Šiaučiūnas and G. Vadeikis. Weighted discrete universality of the Riemann zeta-function. *Math. Modell. Anal.*, 25(1):21–36, 2020. https://doi.org/10.3846/mma.2020.10436.
- [26] R. Macaitienė, M. Stoncelis and D. Šiaučiūnas. A weighted discrete universality theorem for periodic zeta-functions. II. Math. Modell. Analysis, 22(6):750–762, 2017. https://doi.org/10.3846/13926292.2017.1365779.
- [27] R. Macaitienė, M. Stoncelis and D. Šiaučiūnas. A weighted universality theorem for periodic zeta-functions. *Math. Modell. Analysis*, **22**(1):95–105, 2017. https://doi.org/10.3846/13926292.2017.1269373.
- [28] S.N. Mergelyan. Uniform approximations to functions of complex variable. Usp. Mat. Nauk., 7:31–122, 1952 (in Russian).
- [29] H. Mishou. The joint value distribution of the Rieman zeta-function and Hurwitz zeta-functions. *Lith. Math. J.*, 47(1):32–47, 2007. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10986-007-0003-0.
- [30] J. Steuding. Value-Distribution of L-Functions. Lecture Notes Math. vol. 1877, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2007. https://doi.org/10.5565/PUBLMAT\_PJTN05\_12.
- [31] S.M. Voronin. Theorem on the "universality" of the Riemann zeta-function. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Matem., 39:475–486, 1975 (in Russian).
- [32] S.M. Voronin. Analytic Properties of Generating Function of Arithmetic Objects. Diss. doktor fiz.-matem. nauk, Steklov Math. Inst., Moscow, 1977 (in Russian).