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#### Abstract

The variance of a linear statistics on multisets of necklaces is explored. The upper and lower bounds with optimal constants are obtained.
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## 1 Introduction and results

Let $(\mathcal{P},\|\cdot\|)$ be an initial set of weighted objects and

$$
\pi(j):=|\{p \in \mathcal{P}:\|p\|=j\}|<\infty
$$

for every $j=1,2, \ldots$. Examine the set $\mathcal{G}$ with the extended weight function $\|\cdot\|$ of multisets comprised of $p \in \mathcal{P}$. Namely, $a \in \mathcal{G}$ if $a=\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right\}$ and $\|a\|=$ $\left\|p_{1}\right\|+\cdots+\left\|p_{r}\right\|$ including the empty multiset $\emptyset$ of weight 0 . Then

$$
m(n):=\left|\mathcal{G}_{n}\right|:=|\{a \in \mathcal{G}:\|a\|=n\}|=\sum_{\ell(\bar{k})=n} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\binom{\pi(j)+k_{j}-1}{k_{j}}
$$

where $\ell(\bar{k})=1 k_{1}+\cdots+n k_{n}$ if $\bar{k}=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}:=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$.
In the present paper, we deal with the multisets for which $m(n)=q^{n}$, where $q \geqslant 2$ is an arbitrary natural number. If $q$ is a prime power, then $\mathcal{G}$ may be interpreted as $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}[t]$, the set of monic polynomials over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Then $\mathcal{P}$ is the subset of irreducible polynomials. For an arbitrary such $q$, there exist combinatorial constructions,

[^0]called multisets of necklaces satisfying $m(n)=q^{n}$ (see, [1, Example 2.12, p. 43]). For multisets, we have the following relations
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(n)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{d \mid n} q^{n / d} \mu(d), \quad q^{n}=\sum_{d \mid n} d \pi(d) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where in the summations, $d$ runs over natural divisors of $n$ and $\mu(d)$ stands for the Möbius function. The equalities are equivalent to the formal power series relation

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{n} x^{n}=\frac{1}{1-q x}=\prod_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(1-x^{j}\right)^{-\pi(j)}
$$

Take an $a \in \mathcal{G}_{n}$ uniformly at random, that is, sample it with probability $\nu_{n}(\{a\})=$ $q^{-n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\nu_{0}(\{\emptyset\})=1$. If $k_{j}(a) \geqslant 0$ is the number of elements $p_{i}$ in $a \in \mathcal{G}_{n}$ of weight $j$, then $\bar{k}(a)=\left(k_{1}(a), \ldots, k_{n}(a)\right)$ is the structure vector of $a \in \mathcal{G}_{n}$ satisfying $\ell(\bar{k}(a))=n$. Its distribution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{n}(\bar{k}(a)=\bar{s})=\mathbf{1}\{\ell(\bar{s})=n\} q^{-n} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\binom{\pi(j)+s_{j}-1}{s_{j}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{s}=\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$ and $\mathbf{1}\{\cdot\}$ stands for the indicator function.
We are interested in the distribution with respect to $\nu_{n}$ of the linear statistics

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\bar{c}):=h(\bar{c}, a)=c_{1} k_{1}(a)+\cdots+c_{n} k_{n}(a), \quad \bar{c}=\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The number of components in $a$ is such a function, namely, it equals $k_{1}(a)+\cdots+k_{n}(a)$. We refer to [1] for more sophisticated examples.

The present paper is devoted to the variance of $h(\bar{c})$ which is a sum of dependent random variables (r.vs) as the relation $h(\bar{j}, a)=\ell(\bar{k}(a))=n$ for each $a \in \mathcal{G}_{n}$ shows. Estimating it, we propose an approach to overcome technical obstacles stemming from dependence.

In the sequel, the expectations and variances with respect to $\nu_{n}$ will be denoted by $\mathbf{E}_{n}$ and $\mathbf{V}_{n}$ while, when the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ is not specified, we will respectively use the notation $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{V}$. The summation indexes $i, j, l, k, m, m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ will be natural numbers.

Theorem 1 If $\bar{c} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{V}_{n} h(\bar{c})=\sum_{1 \leqslant j k \leqslant n} c_{j}^{2} \pi(j) k q^{-j k}-\sum_{\substack{i l+j k>n \\ i l \leqslant n, j k \leqslant n}} c_{i} c_{j} \pi(i) \pi(j) q^{-i l-j k} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sketch of the proof is given at the beginning of Section 2.
It is known [1] that, for a fixed $j$, the r.v. $k_{j}(a)$ converges in distribution to the r.v. $\gamma_{j}$ distributed according the negative binomial law $N B\left(\pi(j), q^{-j}\right)$. If $\left\{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ are mutually independent, define the statistics $Y_{n}=c_{1} \gamma_{1}+\cdots+n \gamma_{n}$. We shall see that the first sum on the right-hand side in (4) is close to $\mathbf{V} Y_{n}$; therefore, estimating $\mathbf{V}_{n} h(\bar{c})$, we use the following quadratic forms:

$$
B_{n}(\bar{c}):=\sum_{1 \leqslant j k \leqslant n} c_{j}^{2} \pi(j) k q^{-j k}, \quad R_{n}(\bar{c})=\sum_{m \leqslant n} m q^{-2 m}\left(\sum_{j \mid m} c_{j} \pi(j)\right)^{2}
$$

Theorem 2 If $n \geqslant 2$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{V}_{n} h(\bar{c}) \leqslant B_{n}(\bar{c})+\frac{1}{2} R_{n}(\bar{c}) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality becomes an equality for

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{j}=c_{j}^{*}:=\frac{3}{\pi(j)} \sum_{d \mid j} d q^{d} \mu\left(\frac{j}{d}\right)-(2 n+1) j, \quad 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 1 If $n \geqslant 2$ and $\bar{c} \neq \overline{0}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{V}_{n} h(\bar{c})<\frac{3}{2} B_{n}(\bar{c})<\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{q-1}{q} n q^{-n}\right) \mathbf{V} Y_{n} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequalities are trivial for functions proportional to $h(\bar{j}, a)=n$ if $a \in \mathcal{G}_{n}$, because of $\mathbf{V}_{n} h(\bar{j})=0$ then. A shift of $\bar{c}$ eliminates this inconvenience. Observe that either of $B_{n}(\bar{c}-t \bar{j})$ and $R_{n}(\bar{c}-t \bar{j})$ attain their minimums in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ at

$$
t=t_{c}:=\frac{2}{(n+1) n} \sum_{m \leqslant n} m q^{-m} \sum_{j \mid m} c_{j} \pi(j) .
$$

Theorem 3 If $n \geqslant 3$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n}\left(\bar{c}-t_{c} \bar{j}\right)-\frac{1}{3} R_{n}\left(\bar{c}-t_{c} \bar{j}\right) \leqslant \mathbf{V}_{n} h(\bar{c}) \leqslant B_{n}\left(\bar{c}-t_{c} \bar{j}\right)+\frac{1}{2} R_{n}\left(\bar{c}-t_{c} \bar{j}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Both inequalities are sharp.
Corollary 2 If $n \geqslant 3$ and $\bar{c} \neq \alpha \bar{j}$ for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$
\frac{2}{3} B_{n}\left(\bar{c}-t_{c} \bar{j}\right)<\mathbf{V}_{n} h(\bar{c})<\frac{3}{2} B_{n}\left(\bar{c}-t_{c} \bar{j}\right) .
$$

The proofs of the last two theorems presented in Section 2 are built upon the ideas and auxiliary results obtained in [4], [2] and [5].

## 2 Proofs

We firstly recall known facts about random multisets which can be found in [3] and [1, Section 2.3]. Let $\bar{\gamma}^{(x)}=\left(\gamma_{1}^{(x)}, \gamma_{2}^{(x)}, \ldots\right)$ be the infinite dimensional vector of independent r.vs having the negative binomial distributions $N B\left(\pi(j), x^{j}\right)$, namely,

$$
P\left(\gamma_{j}^{(x)}=m\right)=\binom{\pi(j)+m-1}{m}\left(1-x^{j}\right)^{\pi(j)} x^{j m}, \quad m=0,1, \ldots
$$

where $0<x \leqslant q^{-1}$. Then $\gamma_{j}^{\left(q^{-1}\right)}=\gamma_{j}$ which has been introduced in Introduction. For convenience, we extend $\bar{k}(a)$ to $\bar{k}(a):=\left(k_{1}(a), \ldots, k_{n}(a), 0, \ldots\right)$ and use infinite dimensional vectors. Set $\theta^{(x)}=1 \gamma_{1}^{(x)}+\cdots+n \gamma_{n}^{(x)}+(n+1) \gamma_{n+1}^{(x)}+\cdots$ The latter r.v. is well defined if $0<x<q^{-1}$, since the condition of the Boreli-Cantelli lemma is satisfied:

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P\left(\gamma_{j}^{(x)} \neq 0\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\left(1-x^{j}\right)^{\pi(j)}\right)<\infty
$$

Lemma 1 If $\bar{s}=\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{j}, s_{j+1}, \ldots\right) \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{\infty}$ and $0<x<q^{-1}$, then

$$
\nu_{n}(\bar{k}(a)=\bar{s})=P\left(\bar{\gamma}^{(x)}=\bar{s} \mid \theta^{(x)}=n\right)
$$

Proof. Actually, this is Lemma 2.2 in [3] stated there for $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$. The details remain the same in the more general case.

Lemma 2 For a functional $\Psi: \mathbb{N}_{0}^{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathbf{E}\left|\Psi\left(\bar{\gamma}^{(x)}\right)\right|<\infty$, we have

$$
\mathbf{E} \Psi\left(\bar{\gamma}^{(x)}\right)=(1-q x)\left(\Psi(\overline{0})+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}_{n} \Psi(\bar{k}(a)) q^{n} x^{n}\right), \quad 0<x<q^{-1} .
$$

Proof. Apply Lemma 1 in the double averaging as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E} \Psi\left(\bar{\gamma}^{(x)}\right) & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}\left(\Psi\left(\bar{\gamma}^{(x)}\right) \mid \theta^{(x)}=n\right) P\left(\theta^{(x)}=n\right) \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}_{n} \Psi(\bar{k}(a)) P\left(\theta^{(x)}=n\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1. It is straightforward. Applying the last lemma for the relevant $\Psi$, one can easily find the needed mixed moments of $k_{j}(a), 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$, and further, the variance of the linear combination $h(a)$.

To prove Theorems 2 and 3, we will apply the following lemmas concerning particular matrices and quadratic forms.

Lemma 3 Let $U=\left(\left(u_{i j}\right)\right), i, j \leqslant n$, be the symmetric matrix with the entries

$$
u_{i j}=\mathbf{1}\{i+j>n\}(i j)^{-1 / 2}
$$

The spectrum of $U$ is the set $\left\{1,-1 / 2,1 / 3, \ldots,(-1)^{n-1} / n\right\}$. The eigenvectors corresponding to the first three eigenvalues are proportional to $\bar{e}_{r}=\left(e_{r 1}, \ldots, e_{r n}\right)$, where $r=1,2,3$ and, for $j \leqslant n$,

$$
e_{1 j}=\sqrt{j}, \quad e_{2 j}=(3 j-2 n-1) \sqrt{j}, \quad e_{3 j}=\left(10 j^{2}-6(2 n+1) j+3 n^{2}+3 n+2\right) \sqrt{j} .
$$

Proof. This is the byproduct of works [4] and [2].
Afterwards, let $\bar{e}_{r}, 1 \leqslant r \leqslant n$, be the orthogonal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ comprised of the eigenvectors of $U$ and $\bar{x}^{\prime}$ means the transposed vector $\bar{x}$.

Lemma 4 If $b_{m} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$ and $n \geqslant 2$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leqslant m \leqslant n} m b_{m}^{2} \leqslant \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant m_{1}, m_{2} \leqslant n \\ m_{1}+m_{2}>n}} b_{m_{1}} b_{m_{2}} \leqslant \sum_{1 \leqslant m \leqslant n} m b_{m}^{2} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $n \geqslant 3$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m \leqslant n} m b_{m}=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant m_{1}, m_{2} \leqslant n \\ m_{1}+m_{2}>n}} b_{m_{1}} b_{m_{2}} \leqslant \frac{1}{3} \sum_{1 \leqslant m \leqslant n} m b_{m}^{2} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, each bound in (9) and (11) are achieved, respectively, for $b_{m}=e_{r m} / \sqrt{m}$, where $r=2,1,3$ and $e_{r m}$ have been defined in Lemma 3.

Proof. Inequalities (9) are seen from Lemma 3 after the substitution $b_{m}=x_{m} / \sqrt{m}$, $m \leqslant n$, since the extreme eigenvalues are 1 and $-1 / 2$.

After the same substitution, we further examine the quadratic form with the matrix $U$. Condition (10) reckons the subspace of vectors $\bar{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ satisfying $x_{1}+\cdots+x_{j} \sqrt{j}+\cdots+x_{n} \sqrt{n}=\bar{x} \cdot \bar{e}_{1}^{\prime}=0$. This subspace is spanned over the first eigenvector. In other words, under (10), only the form values obtained in the subspace $L \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ spanned over the vectors $\bar{e}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{e}_{n}$ count. Hence

$$
\max _{\bar{x} \in L}\|\bar{x}\|^{-2} \bar{x} U \bar{x}^{\prime} \leqslant \max _{2 \leqslant r \leqslant n}(-1)^{r-1} / r=1 / 3
$$

Returning to $b_{m}$, from this we obtain inequality (11).
Proof of Theorem 2. After grouping the summands, expression (4) can be rewritten as follows:

$$
V_{n} h(\bar{c})=B_{n}(\bar{c})-\sum_{\substack{m_{1}, m_{2} \leqslant n \\ m_{1}+m_{2}>n}}\left(q^{-m_{1}} \sum_{i \mid m_{1}} c_{i} \pi(i)\right)\left(q^{-m_{2}} \sum_{j \mid m_{2}} c_{j} \pi(j)\right) .
$$

Now evidently estimate (5) follows from Lemma 4 with

$$
b_{m}=q^{-m} \sum_{j \mid m} c_{j} \pi(j), \quad m \leqslant n
$$

Moreover, it becomes an equality if we take $c_{j}=c_{j}^{*}$ satisfying

$$
q^{-m} \sum_{j \mid m} c_{j}^{*} \pi(j)=3 m-2 n-1,
$$

which by the Möbius inversion formula and (1) may be rewritten as (6).
To prove the first assertion of Corollary 1, it suffices to estimate the inner sum in $R_{n}(\bar{c})$, namely,

$$
\left(\sum_{j \mid m} c_{j} \pi(j)\right)^{2} \leqslant \sum_{j \mid m} \frac{c_{j}^{2} \pi(j)}{j} \cdot \sum_{j \mid m} j \pi(j)=\sum_{j \mid m} \frac{c_{j}^{2} \pi(j)}{j} \cdot q^{m}
$$

Further, using the expression of $\mathbf{V} Y_{n}$, we just estimate the remainder:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{V} Y_{n}-B_{n}(\bar{c}) & =\sum_{j \leqslant n} c_{j}^{2} \pi(j) \sum_{k>n / j} k q^{-j k} \geqslant n q^{-n} \sum_{j \leqslant n} \frac{c_{j}^{2} \pi(j)}{j} \frac{q^{j}}{\left(q^{j}-1\right)^{2}} \cdot \frac{q^{j}-1}{q^{j}} \\
& \geqslant n q^{-n-1}(q-1) \mathbf{V} Y_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Plugging both estimates into (5), we obtain the first inequality in Corollary 1 with $\leqslant$ instead of $<$. In fact, we obtained the strict inequality since Cauchy's inequality applied in the last step is strict if $\bar{c}$ is not proportional to $\bar{j}$, and in this exceptional case, $\mathbf{V} h(\bar{c})=0$.

Proof of Theorem 3. Observe that $\mathbf{V}_{n} h(\bar{c})=\mathbf{V}_{n}(h(\bar{c})-t n)=\mathbf{V}_{n} h(\bar{c}-t \bar{j})$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence the right-hand inequality follows from (5) applied for the shifted statistics.

To get the lower bound of variance, we combine (4) and (11). We start with

$$
\mathbf{V}_{n} h\left(\bar{c}-t_{c} \bar{j}\right)=B_{n}\left(\bar{c}-t_{c} \bar{j}\right)-\sum_{\substack{m_{1}, m_{2} \leq n \\ m_{1}+m_{2}>n}} \tilde{b}_{m_{1}} \tilde{b}_{m_{2}}
$$

where

$$
\tilde{b}_{m}=q^{-m} \sum_{j \mid m}\left(c_{j}-t_{c} j\right) \pi(j)
$$

and $m \leqslant n$. By the definition of $t_{c}$ the latter sequence satisfies condition (10). Hence by (11),

$$
\sum_{\substack{m_{1}, m_{2} \leqslant n \\ m_{1}+m_{2}>n}} \tilde{b}_{m_{1}} \tilde{b}_{m_{2}} \leqslant \frac{1}{3} \sum_{m \leqslant n} m \tilde{b}_{m}^{2}=\frac{1}{3} R_{n}\left(\bar{c}-t_{c} \bar{j}\right) .
$$

This and (4) imply the lower bound. Moreover, the latter is sharp since Lemma 4 assures this by a choice of a particular sequence $\tilde{b}_{m}, m \leqslant n$.
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## REZIUMĖ

## Vėrinių multiaibiu statistikos dispersijos efektyvūs įverčiai

A. Karbonskis, E. Manstavičius

Nagrinėjama tiesinės statistikos, apibrėžtos atsitiktinių vėrinių multiaibėje, dispersija. Gauti tikslūs viršutinieji ir apatinieji ìverčiai.
Raktiniai žodžiai: Turanas-Kubiliaus nelygybė; daugianariai virš baigtinio lauko; priedų funkcija
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